

## **Appendix 3**

### SA findings for Policy Options considered at the Issues and Options stage (2017)

## Introduction

- A3.1 This appendix presents the SA findings for the policy options that have been considered for the Local Plan Review. These options were initially set out in the Issues and Options consultation paper (October 2017), although some additional work has since been undertaken by the Council to develop some of those options.
- A3.2 The appraisal work set out in this appendix is presented in the same order that the options appeared in the Issues and Options consultation paper.
- A3.3 The SA findings set out were originally presented to Stroud District Council officers in early August 2018, so that the findings could inform the policy approaches included within the Emerging Strategy Paper, and further policy development which took place in the subsequent stage of the Local Plan Review in 2019.

## Chapter 1: Key Issues

- A3.4 The first chapter of the Issues and Options consultation paper sets out the key issues facing Stroud District, in relation to economy, affordable housing, environment, health and wellbeing and delivery.
- A3.5 Alternative options are not included in this chapter; therefore no appraisal work in relation to the key issues has been undertaken. However, a review of the key issues was undertaken following preparation of the SA Scoping Report (April 2018) in order to ensure that there are no inconsistencies and that an appropriate range of key issues is identified in the Local Plan. This review did not result in the SA team recommending that any changes should be made to the key issues in the Local Plan.

## Chapter 2: Needs

### Local Economy and Jobs

- A3.6 This section of the Local Plan sets out a number of alternative options in relation to the need for economic growth and job creation in the District. While some of the questions posed in the consultation are open ended and do not comprise alternative options that can be appraised, a number of distinct options are identified and the sections below provide a commentary on their likely significant sustainability effects.

#### *Question 2.1c*

- Option 1: Locating growth adjacent to M5 junctions.
- Option 2: Continuing expansion of employment land at existing settlements/sites.

- A3.7 The specific nature of these options means that negligible effects would be likely in relation to many of the SA objectives. However, locating more employment development adjacent to the M5 junctions could have minor negative effects on SA objectives 10: **air quality** and 14: **climate change** as this approach may result in higher levels of car use for employees commuting to and from the sites, as well as potentially attracting less sustainable, transport-based commercial activities such as logistics. Development adjacent to the M5 junctions would be some distance from the main settlements in the District including Stroud and Cam and Dursley. There may also be minor negative effects on SA objective 16: **employment** as employment opportunities adjacent to the M5 junctions may not be easily accessible for people without access to a car. A minor negative effect on SA objective 2: **health** could also result from there being more limited opportunities for people to walk or cycle to work.
- A3.8 Conversely, continuing to expand employment land at existing settlements and sites could have minor positive effects on the SA objectives described above, as more people may be able to make

use of existing sustainable transport links to access work opportunities without relying on private cars.

- A3.9 The effects of both options on the environmental objectives, including SA objectives 7: **biodiversity**, 8: **landscape**, 9: **historic environment** and 12: **flood risk**, would depend on the specific location of employment land allocations under either option, and so cannot be determined at this high level.

*Question 2.1d*

- Option 1: Increased flexibility to allow other job generating uses on all employment sites.
- Option 2: Increased flexibility allowed on some sites only.
- Option 3: Identify a percentage threshold for non B class employment uses.

- A3.10 Allowing for increased flexibility in terms of the uses permitted at employment sites (Options 1 and 2) could have a positive effect on SA objective 6: **access to services** for employees at those sites, as they would be able to make use of facilities such as retail outlets during breaks and after work. Depending on nature of the other uses, there could also be positive effects on SA objective 2: **health**, i.e. if gyms were located within employment sites alongside Class B uses. Although such effects would be particularly positive under Option 1, which would allow flexibility on all employment sites and not just some (as with Option 2), the positive effects are not likely to be significant under either option as they only relate to employees at the sites concerned, rather than a large number of residents across the District. The likely effects of Option 3 would depend on the percentage threshold for non B class employment uses that is eventually applied, with the potential positive effects on the above SA objectives being greater if the percentage threshold is higher.

- A3.11 However, under all options there is a potential for minor negative effects on SA objective 16: **employment** if allowing a greater mix of employment uses were to result in an overall lower number of jobs being created. Some of the non B class uses that could be located within employment sites, such as retail units and gyms, are not likely to generate significant numbers of well-paid jobs in comparison to other potential B class uses. However, the potential negative effects of this nature are uncertain for all three options depending on the other uses that may eventually come forward and the number of associated jobs. As previously, the potential for negative effects is greater under Option 1 which would allow flexibility for other job generating uses on all, rather than just some, sites.

*Question 2.1e*

- Option 1: Promote further home working, encourage development of live-work units and co-working facilities.

- A3.12 The option for the Local Plan Review to promote more home working and to encourage the development of live-work units and co-working facilities is likely to have minor positive effects on SA objectives 10: **air quality** and 14: **climate change** as it may lead to lower levels of car use for commuting. There is also likely to be a minor positive effect on SA objective 16: **employment** as this approach should mean that a wider range of job opportunities are available to more people, including those without cars or with restricted working hours. The creation of co-working facilities in particular may also have a minor positive effect on SA objective 17: **economic growth** as it would support business development.

- A3.13 The specific nature of this option means that negligible effects on the other SA objectives are expected.

*Question 2.1f*

- Option 1: Promote further farm diversification.
- Option 2: Control pattern of rural development more closely.

- A3.14 Promoting further farm diversification under Option 1 could have a minor positive effect on SA objectives 16: **employment** and 17: **economic growth** as this approach could offer local employment opportunities and allow farming businesses to respond flexibly to changes in agriculture to ensure that their businesses remain viable. Depending on the nature of

diversification that takes place, there may also be minor positive effects on SA objectives 3: **health** and 6: **access to services and facilities** if the businesses provide opportunities for physical activity, or add to the range of community services and facilities available in the area. Conversely, Option 2 would involve more close control over rural development, which could have minor negative effects on those SA objectives.

- A3.15 However, Option 1 could have negative effects on some of the environmental SA objectives, in particular SA objective 8: **landscape**, although this is uncertain depending on the nature and location of diversification activities. Controlling rural development more closely under Option 2 could have a positive effect on that objective.

### Our Town Centres

- A3.16 This section of the Issues and Options consultation document sets out a number of 'mix and match' options for improving the town centres of Stroud, Nailsworth, Dursley, Wotton-under-Edge and Stonehouse. As these options are generally aspirational, broadly positive effects on the SA objectives are expected to occur.
- A3.17 In general, improving the District's town centres will have positive effects on SA objectives 5: **vibrant communities** and 6: **access to services**. There are also likely to be positive effects on SA objectives 10: **air quality** and 14: **climate change** as improvements to the town centres may encourage more people to shop and spend time in those areas, which are generally more accessible via sustainable transport compared to out of town retail parks or other larger centres. Significant positive effects on SA objective 17: **economic growth** would also be expected as the overall purpose of the options are to maintain and enhance the vitality and viability of the District's town centres. Several of the options for the town centres are associated with marketing the tourism potential of the towns, i.e. promoting the proximity of Stroud and Dursley and so would have positive effects on SA objective 17: **economic growth** for that reason as well.
- A3.18 Considering the specific options set out in the Issues and Options document, there is, however, potential for some of the options to have a negative effect on SA objectives 10: **air quality** and 14: **climate change** where they could be seen to encourage car use. For example, one of the options that is included for both Stroud and Dursley is to improve signage to car parking for motorists – while this could benefit the street scene and reduce congestion, it could indirectly encourage car use. The other approach proposed for Dursley, to enhance signage in the town for pedestrians and cyclists, would have more positive effects on those SA objectives. Similarly, one of the options for Wotton-under-Edge is to find a solution for the lack of car and coach parking, including allocating a site – this could again have a negative effect on SA objectives 10: **air quality** and 14: **climate change**, as could the option for Stroud to relax parking restrictions in the evening and two of the options for Stonehouse that refer to promoting the town's links with the strategic road network.
- A3.19 One of the proposals for Nailsworth, improving the town square, would have a positive effect on SA objective 8: **landscape and townscape**.
- A3.20 The option for Stroud to support new housing in the town centre for young professionals could have a minor positive effect on SA objective 1: **housing**.

### A Local Need for Housing

- A3.21 This section of the Issues and Options consultation document poses a number of open ended consultation questions in relation to the need for housing development in the District, but does not identify alternative options that can be subject to SA. Therefore, no appraisal work has been undertaken in relation to this section. Any potential development sites that have been put forward in response to question 2.3c have been subject to SA along with other site options.

### Local Green Spaces and Community Facilities

- A3.22 This section of the Issues and Options consultation document poses a number of open ended consultation questions in relation to the need for local green spaces and community facilities in the District, but does not identify alternative options that can be subject to SA. Therefore, no appraisal work has been undertaken in relation to this section. A small number of potential open space site options have been subject to SA separately.

## Chapter 3: Future Growth Strategy

### Future Growth Strategy

- A3.23 In summary, the four strategic growth options being considered for the Stroud Local Plan Review comprise:
- Option 1: Concentrated development - 5,550 dwellings and 30ha B class employment.
  - Option 2: Wider distribution - 5,520 dwellings and 30ha B class employment.
  - Option 3: Dispersal -5,695 dwellings and 40ha B class employment.
  - Option 4: Growth Point -6,010 dwellings and 40ha B class employment.

- A3.24 The Council's paper "Local Plan Review: Developing a preferred strategy (revised March 2018)" describes the options in more detail (including how much housing would be delivered in the broad locations making up the option) and has been taken into account during the appraisal, along with four maps prepared by the Council illustrating the broad locations for growth under each option.

### *Summary of SA findings*

- A3.25 **Table 1** at the end of this section summarises the sustainability effects identified for the four future growth strategy options being considered for the Stroud Local Plan. The justification for the sustainability effects identified is provided in the detailed SA matrix in **Appendix 1** at the end of this note.
- A3.26 It is expected that Option 1 would provide new housing and economic growth at locations to achieve the most positive effects as well as having the lowest number of outright significant negative effects. These effects are likely given that this approach would provide the majority of housing and employment development adjacent to the main towns in the district and would be concentrated at a few larger sites.
- A3.27 Option 1 would provide enough housing to ensure the housing stock meets the needs of local people, and the provision of much of this development at a smaller number of larger sites is likely to mean that high levels of affordable housing could be provided without significant impacts on viability. This approach may also provide more opportunities for the incorporation of new infrastructure to support low carbon and renewable energies as well as sustainable waste management practices. This option also provides a high level of new employment land in relatively accessible locations. The concentration of new development across a smaller number of larger sites is also likely to mean that transport connectivity issues which might otherwise adversely affect the accessibility of employment opportunities in the district might be addressed by securing government funding for new infrastructure provision.
- A3.28 It is expected that providing new housing by the larger towns of the district would mean that new residents would be located in close proximity to a range of existing services and facilities which would be to the benefit of promoting modal shift and health and well-being as well as social inclusion. Furthermore, it is likely that this approach would help to improve the vitality and viability of the town centres at the settlements in question, although it recognised that this approach would not directly support the growth of the more rural villages of the district.
- A3.29 Considering the high level of growth required over the plan period it is expected that all options would require development to proceed at large areas of greenfield land. Option 1 may however present increased opportunities to make use of brownfield sites which are more likely to be available at the larger settlements in the district. Option 1 would also provide the majority of new growth away from the more sensitive biodiversity and geodiversity sites (particularly the Severn Estuary SPA, SAC and Ramsar site) and landscape designations (including the Cotswolds AONB) in the district. Providing development near the large settlements of the district will also help to avoid the areas at most risk of flooding and areas which have been designated as having potential to adversely impact water quality if development was to proceed.
- A3.30 Conversely Option 2 and Option 3 would result in a greater spread of development throughout the district at the smaller towns and more rural villages. These locations are currently less accessible and provide access to a lower number of key services and facilities. Furthermore the wider dispersal of development through the district would place a higher level of development in close

proximity to potentially sensitivity biodiversity and geodiversity designations while also resulting in adverse impacts on the established character of the more rural villages and the AONB. Both of these options would make use of a higher number of smaller development sites meaning that issues relating to viability<sup>121</sup> may be more likely to result in relation to the delivery of affordable housing. It is also considered government funding which might otherwise be used to help to address connectivity issues in the district would be less likely to be secured at the smaller sites which these options would put forward.

**Table A3.1: Summary of sustainability effects for the Future Growth Strategy Options for Stroud Local Plan**

SA Objective	Option 1: Concentrated development	Option 2: Wider distribution	Option 3: Dispersal	Option 4: Focus on a single growth point
SA 1: Housing	++	++/-	++/-	++
SA 2: Health	++/-	+/-	+/--	++/--?
SA 3: Social inclusion	++/-	+/-	+/--	++/--?
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	0
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-
SA 6: Services and facilities	++/-	++/-	+/--	++/-
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	--?	--?	--?
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	--?	--?	--?
SA 9: Historic environment	+?/--?	+?/--?	+?/-?	+/-?
SA 10: Air quality	+	+/-	-	+/-
SA 11: Water quality	-	--	--	0
SA 12: Flooding	+/-	-	--	-
SA 13: Efficient land use	+/--	--	--	--
SA 14: Climate change	+	+/-?	-	+/-?
SA 15: Waste	+?	0	0	+
SA 16: Employment	++/-	++/-	+/--	++?/-
SA 17: Economic growth	+/-	+/-	+/-	++?/-

A3.31 Option 4 would provide the majority of new development at large scale sites at just three locations in the district; including at the new growth point to the south of Sharpness. It is expected that the new growth point at Sharpness in particular would not provide immediate access to existing services and facilities, meaning that new residents may be required to travel longer distances on a day to day basis. However, the large scale of development concentrated at only three locations is likely to support the incorporation of new services and facilities at these growth points as well as supporting higher levels of affordable housing and the securing of government funding for infrastructure improvements. The latter in particular could be of particular benefit in terms of securing future inward economic investment.

A3.32 However, large scale development at the three growth point locations in Option 4 is likely to result in the loss of a large amount of greenfield land with reduced focus on the use of brownfield sites. The development to be provided at the Sharpness growth point would be provided at a location which could adversely impact upon the integrity of the Severn Estuary SPA, SAC and Ramsar site in particular. This location by the Severn Estuary also contains areas of Flood Zone 2 and Flood Zone 3 although it is noted that flood defences are in place which would help mitigate the potential for adverse flood risk.

<sup>121</sup> National Planning Practice Guidance (paragraph 031 Reference ID: 23b-031-20161116) refers to the fact that contributions for affordable housing should not be sought from some smaller-scale developments.

### Conclusion

A3.33 Option 1 performs slightly better overall in terms of potential positive effects and slightly fewer negative effects. However, there are elements of the other three options that also perform well. In particular, concentrating all the new growth at the three potential growth points could have fewer negative environmental impacts than Options 2 and 3, and would have most of the same significant positive effects as Option 1 for provision of housing, employment opportunities, access to services, health and social inclusion due to the creation of new, mixed-use communities. Option 2 with a slightly wider distribution than Option 1 could have benefits in terms of access to services and employment opportunities for some of the other larger towns and villages in the District. Therefore, it may be worth considering a hybrid option which most resembles Option 1: Concentrated development, but perhaps including growth at one or two growth points and/or one or two of the smaller towns and larger villages as well (although this would need to avoid settlements where negative environmental effects on biodiversity/geodiversity, landscape/townscape, historic environment, water quality and flooding are more likely).

### Gloucester's Fringe

A3.34 This section of the Issues and Options consultation document identifies a number of broad locations for growth on the fringe of Gloucester. These locations have been subject to SA along with the other site options.

### South of the District

A3.35 This section of the Issues and Options consultation document considers whether there are broad locations that could be considered for growth in the South of the District. Potential development locations in that area have been subject to SA along with the other site options.

### Settlement Hierarchy

A3.36 This section of the Issues and Options consultation document presents the settlement hierarchy as it is set out in the adopted Local Plan and asks for comment on that. No alternative options are set out and therefore no appraisal work has been undertaken in relation to the settlement hierarchy.

### Settlement Boundaries

A3.37 This section of the Issues and Options consultation document identifies three alternative approaches to managing development proposals on the edges of towns and villages:

#### Question 3.5a

- Option 1: Continue with existing settlement development limits, amended as necessary.
- Option 2: Assess proposals on a case by case basis using broader criteria (e.g. landscape impact; form of settlement, proximity to services, etc.).
- Option 3: Continue with settlement development limits but expand the types of development that are allowed beyond them in the countryside.

A3.38 A fourth option also asks consultees whether there are any other approaches that should be considered, which cannot be appraised as no other approaches are identified.

A3.39 The appraisal of these options has been informed by the discussion paper that was prepared by Council officers for the Planning Review Panel, entitled 'Review of Settlement Development Limits'.

A3.40 Continuing with the current approach of defining stringent settlement development limits (Option 1) is likely to have broadly positive effects on the environmental SA objectives as development outside of settlement limits is strictly controlled. The protection resulting from this approach would have minor positive effects on SA objectives 7: **biodiversity**, 8: **landscape** and 13: **land use and soils**. There may also be minor positive effects on SA objective 10: **air quality** as focussing development within existing settlement limits, as opposed to permitting more dispersed development, could result in lower levels of car use. In addition, there could be a minor positive effect in relation to SA objective 6: **access to services**. However, the lack of flexibility

associated with this approach could have minor negative effects on SA objectives 1: **housing** and 16: **economy** if proposals for development outside of settlement limits that would otherwise benefit these SA objectives are prevented from coming forward. It is possible that this less flexible approach could result in development proposals being refused in locations where there would not actually be adverse impacts on the environment, but the opportunity to consider and assess this on a case-by-case basis is lost.

- A3.41 Option 2 (assessing proposals on a case by case basis using criteria) would allow for more flexibility, which may benefit SA objectives 1: **housing** and 16: **economy** if residential and commercial developments are able to come forward in wider locations where it can be established that there would not be harm as a result. This more flexible approach would not necessarily result in adverse effects in relation to the environmental SA objectives, as there would be criteria that proposals would still be required to meet; however there may be an increased chance of negative effects on SA objectives 7: **biodiversity** and 8: **landscape** in particular if there is less stringent protection compared to Option 1. Effects would depend largely on the criteria that are applied and how stringently they are enforced, as well as whether the Council has available the evidence needed to thoroughly assess proposals, such as Conservation Area appraisals and up to date landscape sensitivity assessments. There may also be minor negative effects on SA objective 6: **access to services** and SA objective 10: **air quality** if this approach were to result in more dispersed development which is likely to be associated with higher levels of car use.
- A3.42 The third option would involve continuing with the current settlement development limits but expanding the types of development that are allowed beyond them in the countryside. This approach would provide the environmental protection of option 1, although not as strongly because certain types of development would not be as tightly controlled in terms of their location and may therefore be more likely to have adverse impacts. As with option 2 however, there could be benefits for SA objectives 1: **housing** and 16: **economy** assuming that the types of developments that might be allowed would be things like live work units and exemplar carbon neutral schemes. There may also be minor negative effects on SA objective 10: **air quality** if more dispersed development under this option were to result in higher levels of car use. In addition, dispersed development could have a negative effect in relation to SA objective 6: **access to services**.
- A3.43 A number of hybrid options are also identified in the discussion paper referred to above and the effects of these would be a mixture of the positives and negatives described above for the three options in the Issues and Options document. For example, one hybrid option could be a combination of Options 1 and 2 – removing settlement development limits for large settlements but retaining them for small villages with few facilities in sensitive locations. This approach would have some of the more positive social and economic effects described above for Option 2, while still providing some of the environmental protection associated with Option 1.

#### **Broad Locations and Potential Sites**

- A3.44 Reasonable alternative locations for development have been subject to SA and the findings are presented separately. This includes the site options set out in the Issues and Options consultation document as well as other reasonable alternative options that have been considered previously by the Council or that have come forward since the Issues and Options consultation.

## **Chapter 4: Background Studies**

- A3.45 This final section of the Issues and Options consultation document describes the background studies that are being prepared to inform the Local Plan Review and asks consultees whether any others are considered necessary. No alternative options suitable for appraisal are included in this section.



# Appendix 4

## Assumptions Informing the Appraisal of Site Options

**Table A4.1: Assumptions for the appraisal of residential site options**

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
<p>SA 1: To provide affordable, sustainable and decent housing to meet local needs.</p>	<p>All of the residential site options are expected to have positive effects on this objective, due to the nature of the proposed development. Larger sites will provide opportunities for the development of a larger number of homes and so would have significant positive effects.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sites with capacity for more than 600 homes will have a significant positive (++) effect.</li> <li>Sites with capacity for fewer than 600 homes will have a minor positive (+) effect.</li> </ul>	<p>Stroud District Council site options</p>
<p>SA 2: To maintain and improve the community's health with accessible healthcare for residents, including increasing levels of physical activity, especially among the young.</p>	<p>Residential sites that are within close proximity of existing healthcare facilities (i.e. GP surgeries) will ensure that residents have good access to healthcare services. If a number of sites are allocated within close proximity of one another, this could lead to existing healthcare facilities becoming overloaded. If at any point information becomes available regarding the capacity of existing healthcare facilities, this will be taken into account in the SA. It is also recognised that new development could stimulate the provision of new healthcare facilities, but this cannot be assumed at this stage.</p> <p>Public health will also be influenced by the proximity of sites to open spaces, walking and cycle paths, easy access to which can encourage participation in active outdoor recreation.</p> <p>Therefore:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sites that are within 400m of a GP surgery will have a significant positive (++) effect.</li> <li>Sites that are within 400-800m of a GP surgery will have a minor positive (+) effect.</li> <li>Sites that are not within 800m of a GP surgery will have a minor negative (-) effect.</li> </ul> <p>In addition, which could lead to mixed effects overall<sup>122</sup>:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sites that are within 800m of an area of open space <u>and</u> within 400m of a walking or cycle path will have a significant positive (++) effect.</li> <li>Sites that are within 800m of an area of open space <u>or</u> within 400m of a walking or cycle path (but not both) will have a minor positive (+) effect.</li> <li>Sites that are more than 800m from an area of open space and more than 400m from a walking or cycle path will have a minor negative (-) effect.</li> <li>Sites that contain an existing area of open space or a walking or cycle path which could therefore be lost as a result of new development could have a significant negative (--?)</li> </ul>	<p>GIS data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>GP surgeries</li> <li>Council play areas</li> <li>Cycle routes</li> <li>National cycle network</li> <li>Green spaces</li> <li>Country parks</li> <li>National trails</li> <li>Protected outdoor playspaces</li> </ul>

<sup>122</sup> In all cases, if the two parts of a score are the same type of effect, e.g. positive and negative, then a best or worst case scenario will be recorded, i.e. a score comprising '+' and '++' would be recorded as '++', while a score comprising '-' and '--' would be recorded as '--'. Mixed effects will only be recorded where a score comprises both positive and negative effects e.g. '+/-' or '++/--'.

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
	effect, although this is uncertain depending on whether the development of the site would in fact result in the loss of that facility.	
SA 3: To encourage social inclusion, equity, the promotion of equality and a respect for diversity and meet the challenge of a growing and ageing population	The location of residential development will not affect the achievement of this objective (proximity to services and facilities is considered under SA objective 6 below). The likely effects of all residential site options on this objective are therefore negligible (0).	Stroud District Council site options
SA 4: To reduce crime, anti-social behaviour and disorder and the fear of crime.	The effects of new residential development on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within development sites which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of residential development; rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site. Therefore, the effects of all of the residential site options on this SA objective will be negligible (0).	Stroud District Council site options
SA 5: To create and sustain vibrant communities.	The location of residential development will not have a significant effect on the achievement of this objective – effects will depend largely on the detailed proposals for sites and their design, which are not known at this stage. However, residential development on brownfield land could be seen as promoting regeneration. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sites that are on brownfield land will have a minor positive (+) effect.</li> <li>Sites that are on greenfield land will have a negligible (0) effect.</li> </ul>	Satellite imagery
SA 6: To maintain and improve access to all services and facilities.	Sites that are located at the larger settlements within the District will generally have better access to a wider range of existing services and facilities compared to sites located at smaller settlements. While new services and facilities may be provided in association with new residential development, particularly at larger sites, this cannot be assumed at this stage. <u>The settlement hierarchy set out in the adopted Stroud Local Plan is to be updated as part of the Local Plan Review.</u>  <u>New evidence presented in the Settlement Role and Function Study Update 2018<sup>123</sup> has informed this update and has identified a number of changes to the settlement hierarchy for the District. This evidence has resulted in the reclassification of any fifth tier settlements (as defined in the adopted Local Plan) as fourth tier settlements. The fourth tier settlements classification is split between Tier 4a (which may be unable to meet residents' day to day</u>	GIS data: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Settlement locations</li> </ul> Stroud District Settlement Hierarchy ( <u>with consideration for the updates included as part of the Local Plan Review and the Settlement Role and Function Study Update 2018</u> )

<sup>123</sup> Stroud District Council (May 2019) *Settlement Role and Function Study Update 2018*

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
	<p><u>requirements but are relatively well-connected and accessible settlements) and Tier 4b (which lack the range of services to meet day to day requirements and are generally inaccessible with significant environmental constraints) settlements. The previously identified third tier settlements have been now classified as either Tier 3a or Tier 3b settlements. Tier 3a settlements are those which have been identified as providing access to a good range of local services and facilities. Tier 3b settlements have been identified as providing access to a more basic level of services and facilities. Therefore:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sites that are located at a first tier settlement would have a significant positive (++) effect.</li> <li>• Sites that are located at a second tier settlement would have a minor positive (+) effect.</li> <li>• <u>Sites that are located at a Tier 3a settlement would have a minor positive (+) effect.</u></li> <li>• <u>Sites that are located at a Tier 3b settlement would have a negligible (0) effect.</u></li> <li>• Sites that are located at a fourth tier settlement would have a minor negative (-) effect.</li> <li>• Sites that are located in the open countryside would have a significant negative (--) effect.</li> </ul>	
<p>SA 7: To create, protect, enhance, restore and connect habitats, species and/or sites of biodiversity or geological interest.</p>	<p>Development sites that are within close proximity of an international, national or local designated conservation site have the potential to affect the biodiversity or geodiversity of those sites/features, e.g. through habitat damage/loss, fragmentation, disturbance to species, air pollution, increased recreation pressure etc. Conversely, there may be opportunities to promote habitat connectivity if new developments include green infrastructure. Therefore, while proximity to designated sites provides an indication of the potential for an adverse effect, uncertainty exists, as appropriate mitigation may avoid adverse effects and may even result in beneficial effects. In addition, the potential impacts on biodiversity present on each site, or undesignated habitats and species adjacent to the potential development sites, cannot be determined at this strategic level of assessment. This would be determined once more specific proposals are developed and submitted as part of a planning application.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Residential sites that are within 250m of one or more internationally or nationally designated biodiversity or geodiversity sites may have a significant negative (--?) effect.</li> <li>• Residential sites that are between 250m and 1km of one or more internationally or nationally designated biodiversity or geodiversity sites, or that are within 250m of a locally designated site may have a minor negative (-?) effect. In addition, residential sites that are within 250m-3km from Rodborough Common SAC or 250m-7.7km from the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site could have a minor negative effect as these are existing zones of recognised recreational impact from residential development.</li> </ul>	<p>GIS data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ramsar sites</li> <li>• SPAs</li> <li>• SACs</li> <li>• SSSIs</li> <li>• NNRs</li> <li>• Key wildlife sites</li> <li>• Green spaces</li> <li>• Country parks</li> <li>• Protected outdoor playspaces</li> </ul>

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sites that are more than 1km from any internationally or nationally designated biodiversity or geodiversity sites, or that are over 250m from a locally designated site could have a negligible (0?) effect.</li> </ul> <p>In addition:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Residential sites that contain an existing green infrastructure asset that could be lost as a result of new development may have a significant negative effect (--?) although this is currently uncertain as it may be possible to conserve or even enhance that asset through the design and layout of the new development.</li> </ul>	
<p>SA 8: To conserve and enhance the local character and distinctiveness of landscapes and townscapes and provide sustainable access to countryside in the District.</p>	<p>A Landscape Sensitivity Assessment was carried out for Stroud District Council in December 2016 by White Consultants. It assessed the sensitivity of parcels of land located around the Tier 1, 2 and 3 settlements in the District. Residential development in more sensitive locations could have adverse impacts on the character and quality of the landscape, although effects will be uncertain as they will also depend on factors such as the design of the development.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sites that are in an area of low sensitivity could have a negligible (0?) effect.</li> <li>Sites that are in an area of medium/low or medium sensitivity could have a minor negative (-?) effect.</li> <li>Sites that are in an area of medium/high or high sensitivity could have a significant negative (--?) effect.</li> </ul> <p><u>The appraisal of sites which do not fall on land that was assessed as part of the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment<sup>124</sup> has been informed by the landscape findings of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities assessment. This considered the sensitivity of areas of Gloucestershire County to accommodate large scale development.</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>Sites that are in an area of low sensitivity could have a negligible (0?) effect.</u></li> <li><u>Sites that are in an area of low-moderate or moderate sensitivity could have a minor negative (-?) effect.</u></li> <li><u>Sites that are in an area of moderate-high or high sensitivity could have a significant negative (--?) effect.</u></li> <li><u>Sites in locations that are not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape findings of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities assessment would have an uncertain (?) effect.</u></li> </ul>	<p>GIS data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>AONB</li> </ul> <p>Landscape Character Assessment</p> <p><u>Landscape findings of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities assessment</u></p>

<sup>124</sup> LUC on behalf of Gloucester City Council, Cheltenham Borough Council, Tewkesbury Borough Council, Stroud District Council and Forest of Dean District Council (2019) The Assessment of Strategic Development Opportunities in Parts of Gloucestershire

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
	<p>In addition, proximity to the Cotswolds AONB can provide an indication of the potential for development to have adverse impacts on that designated landscape.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sites that are within the AONB could have a significant negative (--?) effect.</li> <li>Sites that are not within the AONB, but that are within 500m of it, could have a minor negative (-?) effect.</li> </ul>	
<p>SA 9: To conserve and/or enhance the significant qualities, fabric, setting and accessibility of the District's historic environment.</p>	<p>Residential site options for the Stroud District Local Plan Review have been subject to a heritage assessment as part of the SALA process. Each site option has been attributed a score based on its sensitivity with respect to the historic environment.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sites that were screened out of the SALA heritage assessment because they have no heritage impacts, or sites that were assessed but scored '1' (i.e. that have no significant heritage constraints) would have a negligible (0) effect.</li> <li>Sites that are scored '2' (i.e. that have some impact on heritage interest) would have a minor negative (-) effect.</li> <li>Sites that are scored '3' or '4' (i.e. that have significant or very significant heritage constraints) would have a significant negative (--) effect.</li> <li>Sites that were not included in the SALA heritage assessment would have uncertain (?) effects.</li> </ul> <p>For some sites, the SALA identified opportunities for sites to have potential for heritage benefits as a result of development. For these sites, a potential but uncertain minor positive (+?) effect is identified. This could result in mixed effects overall.</p>	<p>SALA heritage assessment</p>
<p>SA 10: To ensure that air quality continues to improve.</p>	<p>There are no existing Air Quality Management Areas in Stroud District. The effects of new residential development on this objective will therefore largely depend on the extent to which their location facilitates walking or the use of sustainable transport in place of car travel.</p> <p>The proximity of development sites to sustainable transport links will affect the extent to which people are able to make use of non-car based modes of transport although the actual use of sustainable transport modes will depend on people's behaviour. Furthermore, the proximity of sites to town/district/local centres and employment sites as well as services and facilities (for example such as schools, supermarkets and community facilities) will reduce the need for residents to travel long distances on a regular basis.</p> <p>It is possible that new transport links such as bus routes or cycle paths may be provided as part of new developments, particularly at larger sites, but this cannot be assumed. It is also recognised that many cyclists will travel on roads as well as dedicated cycle routes, and that the extent to which people choose to do so will depend on factors such as the availability of</p>	<p>SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment</p>

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
	<p>cycle storage facilities at their end destination, which are not determined by the location of development sites. How safe or appealing particular roads are for cyclists cannot be determined at this strategic level of assessment.</p> <p>An assessment of the accessibility of each site option was undertaken by Gloucestershire County Council on behalf of Stroud District Council as part of the SALA. This work rated each site option in terms of its accessibility to town/district/local centres, employment sites and services and facilities that people may be required to access on a regular basis. Sites were assessed in terms of accessibility to 14 such features by walking, by car and by bus (including walking journey time to the relevant bus stop). The assessment assigned a score of 1, 2 or 3 to sites for each method of transport where it was located within 15 minutes, between 15-30 minutes or over 30 minutes of each of the 14 features respectively. These scores were then added to given a total score for each site. Even though the assessment took car use into account, scores were lower where journeys would be shorter; therefore a lower score is still an indication of lower likely overall emissions from traffic. Therefore:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sites achieving a score of below 50 in the SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment work are likely to have a significant positive (++) effect.</li> <li>• Sites achieving a score of between 50-60 in the SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment work are likely to have a minor positive (+) effect.</li> <li>• Sites achieving a score of between 60-70 in the SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment work are likely to have a negligible (0) effect.</li> <li>• Sites achieving a score of between 70-80 in the SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment work are likely to have a minor negative (-) effect.</li> <li>• Sites achieving a score of over 80 in the SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment work are likely to have a significant negative (--) effect.</li> </ul>	
<p>SA 11: To maintain and enhance the quality of ground and surface waters and to achieve sustainable water resources management in the District.</p>	<p>Levels of water consumption within new development will be determined by its design and onsite practices, rather than the location of the site. However, the location of residential development could affect water quality during construction depending on its proximity to Drinking Water Safeguarding Zones and Source Protection Zones<sup>125</sup>. The extent to which water quality is affected would depend on construction techniques and the use of sustainable drainage systems (SuDS) within the design, therefore effects are uncertain at this stage.</p>	<p>GIS data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Drinking Water Safeguard Zones</li> <li>• Source Protection Zones</li> </ul>

<sup>125</sup> As the consideration of Nitrate Vulnerable Zones (NVZs) is most appropriate for agricultural related development it was not considered appropriate to include the proximity of residential development to these areas within the assumptions.

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Development within Drinking Water Safeguarding Zones and Source Protection Zones could result in significant negative (--?) effects on water quality although this is uncertain at this stage of assessment.</li> <li>• Development outside of Drinking Water Safeguarding Zones and Source Protection Zones would have a negligible (0) effect.</li> </ul>	
<p>SA 12: To manage and reduce the risk of flooding and resulting detriment to public wellbeing, the economy and the environment.</p>	<p>The effects of new development on this SA objective will depend to some extent on its design, for example whether it incorporates SuDS, which is unknown and cannot be assessed at this stage. Where site options are located in areas of high flood risk, it could increase the risk of flooding in those areas (particularly if the sites are not previously developed) and would increase the number of people and assets at risk from flooding. <u>Therefore, to reflect comments made by the Environment Agency regarding flood risk in their consultation response to the SA Report for the Local Plan Review: Emerging Strategy Paper:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Sites that are entirely or mainly (i.e. &gt;50%) on greenfield land that is within flood zones 3a or 3b or mainly on brownfield within flood zones 3a or 3b are likely to have a significant negative (--) effect.</u></li> <li>• <u>Sites that are either entirely or mainly on greenfield outside of flood zones 3a and 3b, are likely to have a minor negative (-) effect.</u></li> <li>• <u>Sites that are on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b are likely to have a negligible (0) effect.</u></li> </ul>	<p>GIS data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Flood Zones</li> </ul> <p>Satellite imagery</p>
<p>SA 13: To improve efficiency in land use and protection of soil quality through the re-use of previously developed land and existing buildings and encouraging urban renaissance.</p>	<p>Development on brownfield land represents more efficient use of land in comparison to the development of greenfield sites. Therefore:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Residential sites that are relatively large in size (they would provide more than 600 homes) and that are mainly or entirely (i.e. &gt;50%) on greenfield land would have a significant negative (--) effect.</li> <li>• Residential sites that are relatively small in size (they would provide fewer than 600 homes) and that are mainly or entirely on greenfield land would have a minor negative (-) effect.</li> <li>• Residential sites that are relatively small in size (they would provide fewer than 600 homes) and that are mainly or entirely on brownfield land would have a minor positive (+) effect.</li> </ul>	<p>Stroud District Council site options</p> <p>Satellite imagery</p>



SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Residential sites that are relatively large in size (they would provide more than 600 homes) and that are mainly or entirely on brownfield land would have a significant positive (++) effect.</li> </ul> <p>In addition:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sites that are on greenfield land classed as high quality agricultural land (Grades 1, 2 or 3a) would have a significant negative (--) effect regardless of size. This will be uncertain (--?) if the site is within Grade 3 land, as only Grade 3a is classed as high quality but the GIS data available does not distinguish between Grades 3a and 3b.</li> </ul>	
<p>SA 14: To implement strategies that help mitigate global warming by actively reducing greenhouse gases and adapt to unavoidable climate change within the District.</p>	<p>The location of residential development will not affect the achievement of this objective – effects will depend largely on the detailed proposals for sites and their design, which are not known at this stage. The extent to which the location of residential sites would facilitate the use of sustainable modes of transport in place of cars is considered under SA objective 10 above. The likely effects of all residential site options on this objective are therefore negligible (0).</p>	<p>Stroud District Council site options</p>
<p>SA 15: To minimise the amount of waste produced, maximise the amount that is reused or recycled, and seek to recover energy from the largest proportion of the residual material, and achieve the sustainable management of waste.</p>	<p>The effects of new residential development on waste generation will depend largely on resident’s behaviour. However, where development takes place on previously developed land there may be opportunities to reuse onsite buildings and materials, thereby reducing waste generation. Therefore:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sites that are on brownfield land could have a minor positive (+?) effect on reducing waste generation although this is uncertain.</li> <li>Sites that are on greenfield land would have a negligible (0) effect on reducing waste generation.</li> </ul>	<p>Satellite imagery</p>
<p>SA 16: To deliver, maintain and enhance sustainable and diverse employment opportunities, to meet both current and future needs.</p>	<p>The location of residential sites will influence the achievement of this objective by determining how easily residents would be able to access job opportunities at existing employment sites. As part of the SALA work, the Council has assessed the proximity of residential site options to key employment sites. In addition, proximity to a Tier 1 or 2 settlement could indicate good access to employment opportunities, as they tend to be focussed mainly at the larger settlements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sites that are within 600m of a key employment site <u>and</u> that are at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement would have a significant positive (++) effect.</li> <li>Sites that are within 600m of a key employment site <u>or</u> that are at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement (but not both) would have a minor positive (+) effect.</li> </ul>	<p>GIS data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Settlement locations</li> </ul> <p>Stroud District Settlement Hierarchy</p> <p>List of sites currently in employment use</p>

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sites that are within 600m-1km of a key employment site but that are not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement would have a minor negative (-) effect.</li> <li>Sites that are more than 1km from a key employment site and that are not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement would have a significant negative (-- ) effect.</li> </ul> <p>In addition, if a residential site option would result in the loss of an existing employment site, a negative effect would occur in relation to the protection of existing employment sites. Therefore (which could result in mixed effects overall):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sites that are currently in employment use would have a significant negative (-- ) effect.</li> </ul>	
<p>SA 17: To allow for sustainable economic growth within environmental limits and innovation, an educated/skilled workforce and support the long term competitiveness of the District.</p>	<p>The specific location of residential sites within the District will not influence sustainable economic growth. The effects of residential sites on the educational element of this objective will depend on the access that they provide to existing educational facilities, although there are uncertainties as the effects will depend on there being capacity at those schools to accommodate new pupils. New residential development could stimulate the provision of new schools/school places, particularly larger sites, but this cannot be assumed at this stage. Therefore:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sites that are within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school may have a significant positive (++?) effect.</li> <li>Sites that are within 800m of one of either an existing primary or an existing secondary school (but not both), may have a minor positive (+?) effect.</li> <li>Sites that are not within 800m of an existing school may have a minor negative (-?) effect.</li> </ul>	<p>GIS data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Secondary schools</li> <li>Primary schools</li> </ul>

**Table A4.2: Assumptions for the appraisal of employment site options**

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
SA 1: To provide affordable, sustainable and decent housing to meet local needs.	The location of employment site options will not have a direct effect on this objective, due to the nature of the development proposed. All employment site options will therefore have negligible (0) effects.	Stroud District Council site options
SA 2: To maintain and improve the community's health with accessible healthcare for residents, including increasing levels of physical activity, especially among the young.	<p>Employment sites that are within close proximity of walking or cycle paths may offer good opportunities for people to travel to work via active modes of transport, benefitting health. In addition, proximity to open spaces may benefit employee's health as a result of being able to access outdoor recreation opportunities during breaks.</p> <p>Therefore:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Employment sites that are within 800m of an area of open space <u>and</u> 400m of a walking or cycle path will have a significant positive (++) effect.</li> <li>• Employment sites that are within 800m of an area of open space <u>or</u> 400m of walking or cycle path (but not both) will have a minor positive (+) effect.</li> <li>• Employment sites that are more than 800m from an area of open space and 400m from a walking or cycle path will have a minor negative (-) effect.</li> <li>• Employment sites that contain an existing area of open space or a walking or cycle path could result in the loss of those facilities and so may have a significant negative (--?) effect, although this is uncertain depending on whether the development of the site would in fact result in the loss of that facility.</li> </ul>	<p>GIS data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Council play areas</li> <li>• Cycle routes</li> <li>• National cycle network</li> <li>• Green spaces</li> <li>• Country parks</li> <li>• National trails</li> <li>• Protected outdoor playspaces</li> </ul>
SA 3: To encourage social inclusion, equity, the promotion of equality and a respect for diversity and meet the challenge of a growing and ageing population	The location of employment development will not affect the achievement of this objective (proximity to services and facilities is considered under SA objective 6 below). The likely effects of all employment site options on this objective are therefore negligible (0).	Stroud District Council site options
SA 4: To reduce crime, anti-social behaviour and disorder and the fear of crime.	The effects of new employment development on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within development sites which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of employment development; rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site. Therefore, the effects of all of the employment site options on this SA objective will be negligible (0).	Stroud District Council site options

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
SA 5: To create and sustain vibrant communities.	<p>The location of employment development will not have a significant effect on the achievement of this objective – effects will depend largely on the detailed proposals for sites and their design, which are not known at this stage. However, employment development on brownfield land could be seen as promoting regeneration.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sites that are on brownfield land will have a minor positive (+) effect.</li> <li>Sites that are on greenfield land will have a negligible (0) effect.</li> </ul>	Satellite imagery
SA 6: To maintain and improve access to all services and facilities.	<p>The location of employment development will not affect the achievement of this objective as employees would generally be at the sites for work purposes, rather than seeking to access nearby services and facilities. The likely effects of all employment site options on this objective are therefore negligible (0).</p>	Stroud District Council site options
SA 7: To create, protect, enhance, restore and connect habitats, species and/or sites of biodiversity or geological interest.	<p>Development sites that are within close proximity of an international, national or local designated conservation site have the potential to affect the biodiversity or geodiversity of those sites/features, e.g. through habitat damage/loss, fragmentation, disturbance to species, air pollution, increased recreation pressure etc. Conversely, there may be opportunities to promote habitat connectivity if new developments include green infrastructure. Therefore, while proximity to designated sites provides an indication of the potential for an adverse effect, uncertainty exists, as appropriate mitigation may avoid adverse effects and may even result in beneficial effects. In addition, the potential impacts on biodiversity present on each site, or undesignated habitats and species adjacent to the potential development sites, cannot be determined at this strategic level of assessment. This would be determined once more specific proposals are developed and submitted as part of a planning application.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Employment sites that are within 250m of one or more internationally or nationally designated biodiversity or geodiversity sites may have a significant negative (--?) effect.</li> <li>Employment sites that are between 250m and 1km of one or more internationally or nationally designated biodiversity or geodiversity sites, or that are within 250m of a locally designated site may have a minor negative (-?) effect.</li> <li>Employment sites that are more than 1km from any internationally or nationally designated biodiversity or geodiversity sites, or that are over 250m from a locally designated site could have a negligible (0?) effect.</li> </ul> <p>In addition:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sites that contain an existing green infrastructure asset that could be lost as a result of new development may have a significant negative effect (--?) although this is currently</li> </ul>	<p>GIS data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Ramsar sites</li> <li>SPAs</li> <li>SACs</li> <li>SSSIs</li> <li>NNRs</li> <li>Key wildlife sites</li> <li>Green spaces</li> <li>Country parks</li> <li>Protected outdoor playspaces</li> </ul>

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
	<p>uncertain as it may be possible to conserve or even enhance that asset through the design and layout of the new development.</p>	
<p>SA 8: To conserve and enhance the local character and distinctiveness of landscapes and townscapes and provide sustainable access to countryside in the District.</p>	<p>A Landscape Sensitivity Assessment was carried out for Stroud District Council in December 2016 by White Consultants. It assessed the sensitivity of parcels of land located around the Tier 1, 2 and 3 settlements in the District. Employment development in more sensitive locations could have adverse impacts on the character and quality of the landscape, although effects will be uncertain as they will also depend on factors such as the design of the development.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sites that are in an area of low sensitivity could have a negligible (0?) effect.</li> <li>• Sites that are in an area of medium/low or medium sensitivity could have a minor negative (-?) effect.</li> <li>• Sites that are in an area of medium/high or high sensitivity could have a significant negative (--?) effect.</li> </ul> <p><u>The appraisal of sites which do not fall on land that was assessed as part of the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment<sup>126</sup> has been informed by the landscape findings of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities assessment. This considered the sensitivity of areas of Gloucestershire County to accommodate large scale development.</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Sites that are in an area of low sensitivity could have a negligible (0?) effect.</u></li> <li>• <u>Sites that are in an area of low-moderate or moderate sensitivity could have a minor negative (-?) effect.</u></li> <li>• <u>Sites that are in an area of moderate-high or high sensitivity could have a significant negative (--?) effect.</u></li> <li>• <u>Sites in locations that are not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape findings of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities assessment would have an uncertain (?) effect.</u></li> </ul> <p>In addition, proximity to the Cotswolds AONB can provide an indication of the potential for development to have adverse impacts on that designated landscape.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sites that are within the AONB could have a significant negative (--?) effect.</li> <li>• Sites that are not within the AONB, but that are within 500m of it, could have a minor negative (-?) effect.</li> </ul>	<p>GIS data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• AONB</li> </ul> <p>Landscape Character Assessment</p> <p><u>Landscape findings of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities assessment</u></p>

<sup>126</sup> LUC on behalf of Gloucester City Council, Cheltenham Borough Council, Tewkesbury Borough Council, Stroud District Council and Forest of Dean District Council (2019) The Assessment of Strategic Development Opportunities in Parts of Gloucestershire

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
<p>SA 9: To conserve and/or enhance the significant qualities, fabric, setting and accessibility of the District's historic environment.</p>	<p>Employment site options for the Stroud District Local Plan Review have been subject to a heritage assessment as part of the SALA process. Each site option has been attributed a score based on its sensitivity with respect to the historic environment.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sites that were screened out of the SALA heritage assessment because they have no heritage impacts, or sites that were assessed but scored '1' (i.e. that have no significant heritage constraints) would have a negligible (0) effect.</li> <li>• Sites that are scored '2' (i.e. that have some impact on heritage interest) would have a minor negative (-) effect.</li> <li>• Sites that are scored '3' or '4' (i.e. that have significant or very significant heritage constraints) would have a significant negative (--) effect.</li> <li>• Sites that were not included in the SALA heritage assessment would have uncertain (?) effects.</li> </ul> <p>For some sites, the SALA identified opportunities for sites to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development. For these sites, a potential but uncertain minor positive (+?) effect is identified. This could result in mixed effects overall.</p>	<p>SALA heritage assessment</p>
<p>SA 10: To ensure that air quality continues to improve.</p>	<p>There are no existing Air Quality Management Areas in Stroud District. The effects of new employment development on this objective will therefore largely depend on the extent to which their location facilitates the use of sustainable transport in place of private cars for commuting. While some commercial activities could have adverse impacts on air quality, the specific nature of employment uses that may come forward at each site option is not yet known.</p> <p>The proximity of employment sites to sustainable transport links will affect the extent to which people are able to make use of non-car based modes of transport to commute, although the actual use of sustainable transport modes will depend on people's behaviour. It is possible that new transport links such as bus routes or cycle paths may be provided as part of new developments, particularly at larger sites, but this cannot be assumed. It is also recognised that many cyclists will travel on roads as well as dedicated cycle routes, and that the extent to which people choose to do so will depend on factors such as the availability of cycle storage facilities at their end destination, which are not determined by the location of sites. How safe or appealing particular roads are for cyclists cannot be determined at this strategic level of assessment. However, the proximity of employment site options to existing cycle routes can be taken as an indicator of how likely people are to commute by bicycle.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Employment sites that are within 1km of a railway station and 400m of a bus stop (regardless of proximity to cycle routes) are likely to have a significant positive (++) effect.</li> </ul>	<p>GIS data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Railway stations</li> <li>• Bus stops</li> </ul>

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Employment sites that are within either 1km of a railway station <u>or</u> 400m of a bus stop, but not both, (regardless of proximity to cycle routes) are likely to have a minor positive (+) effect.</li> <li>• Employment sites that are more than 1km from a railway station and 400m from a bus stop but that have an existing cycle route within 200m of the site could have a minor negative (-?) effect although this is uncertain depending on whether the nearby cycle route(s) could be used for the purposes of commuting.</li> <li>• Employment sites that are more than 1km from a railway station and 400m from a bus stop and that do not have an existing cycle route within 200m of the site are likely to have a significant negative (--) effect.</li> </ul>	
<p>SA 11: To maintain and enhance the quality of ground and surface waters and to achieve sustainable water resources management in the District.</p>	<p>Levels of water consumption within new development will be determined by its design and onsite practices, including the nature of the commercial activities onsite, rather than the location of the site. However, the location of employment development could affect water quality during construction depending on its proximity to Drinking Water Safeguarding Zones and Source Protection Zones<sup>127</sup>. The extent to which water quality is affected would depend on construction techniques and the use of sustainable drainage systems (SuDS) within the design; therefore effects are uncertain at this stage.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Development within Drinking Water Safeguarding Zones and Source Protection Zones could result in significant negative (--) effects on water quality although this is uncertain at this stage of assessment.</li> <li>• Development outside of Drinking Water Safeguarding Zones and Source Protection Zones would have a negligible (0) effect.</li> </ul>	<p>GIS data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Drinking Water Safeguard Zones</li> <li>• Source Protection Zones</li> </ul>
<p>SA 12: To manage and reduce the risk of flooding and resulting detriment to public wellbeing, the economy and the environment.</p>	<p>The effects of new development on this SA objective will depend to some extent on its design, for example whether it incorporates SuDS, which is unknown and cannot be assessed at this stage. Where site options are located in areas of high flood risk, it could increase the risk of flooding in those areas (particularly if the sites are not previously developed) and would increase the number of people and assets at risk from flooding. <u>Therefore, to reflect comments made by the Environment Agency regarding flood risk in their consultation response to the SA Report for the Local Plan Review: Emerging Strategy Paper:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Sites that are entirely or mainly (i.e. &gt;50%) on greenfield land that is within flood zones 3a or 3b or mainly on brownfield within flood zones 3a or 3b are likely to have a significant negative (--) effect.</u></li> </ul>	<p>GIS data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Flood Zones</li> </ul> <p>Satellite imagery</p>

<sup>127</sup> As the consideration of Nitrate Vulnerable Zones (NVZs) is most appropriate for agricultural related development it was not considered appropriate to include the proximity of residential development to these areas within the assumptions.

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Sites that are either entirely or mainly on greenfield outside of flood zones 3a and 3b, are likely to have a minor negative (-) effect.</u></li> <li>• <u>Sites that are on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b are likely to have a negligible (0) effect.</u></li> </ul>	
<p>SA 13: To improve efficiency in land use and protection of soil quality through the re-use of previously developed land and existing buildings and encouraging urban renaissance.</p>	<p>Development on brownfield land represents more efficient use of land in comparison to the development of greenfield sites. Therefore:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Employment sites that are relatively large in size (they would provide more than 10ha of employment land) and that are mainly or entirely (i.e. &gt;50%) on greenfield land would have a significant negative (--) effect.</li> <li>• Employment sites that are relatively small in size (they would provide more than 10ha of employment land) and that are mainly or entirely on greenfield land would have a minor negative (-) effect.</li> <li>• Employment sites that are relatively small in size (they would provide more than 10ha of employment land) and that are mainly or entirely on brownfield land would have a minor positive (+) effect.</li> <li>• Employment sites that are relatively large in size (they would provide more than 10ha of employment land) and that are mainly or entirely on brownfield land would have a significant positive (++) effect.</li> </ul> <p>In addition:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sites that are on greenfield land classed as high quality agricultural land (Grades 1, 2 or 3a) would have a significant negative (--) effect regardless of their size. This will be uncertain (--?) if the site is within Grade 3 land, as only Grade 3a is classed as high quality but the GIS data available does not distinguish between Grades 3a and 3b.</li> </ul>	<p>Stroud District Council site options</p> <p>Satellite imagery</p>
<p>SA 14: To implement strategies that help mitigate global warming by actively reducing greenhouse gases and adapt to unavoidable climate change within the District.</p>	<p>The location of employment development will not affect the achievement of this objective – effects will depend largely on the detailed proposals for sites and their design, which are not known at this stage. The extent to which the location of employment sites would facilitate the use of sustainable modes of transport in place of cars is considered under SA objective 10 above. The likely effects of all employment site options on this objective are therefore negligible (0).</p>	<p>Stroud District Council site options</p>
<p>SA 15: To minimise the amount of waste produced, maximise the amount that is reused or recycled, and seek</p>	<p>The effects of new employment development on waste generation will depend largely on people’s behaviour while using the new development, as well as the nature of commercial activities onsite which is not yet known. However, where employment development takes</p>	<p>Satellite imagery</p>



SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
to recover energy from the largest proportion of the residual material, and achieve the sustainable management of waste.	<p>place on previously developed land there may be opportunities to reuse onsite buildings and materials, thereby reducing waste generation. Therefore:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sites that are on brownfield land could have a minor positive (+?) effect on reducing waste generation although this is uncertain.</li> <li>• Sites that are on greenfield land would have a negligible (0) effect on reducing waste generation.</li> </ul>	
SA 16: To deliver, maintain and enhance sustainable and diverse employment opportunities, to meet both current and future needs.	<p>All of the employment site options are expected to have positive effects on this objective, due to the nature of the proposed development. Larger sites will provide opportunities for the creation of more new jobs and so would have significant positive effects. Therefore:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sites that are more than 10ha in size will have a significant positive (++) effect.</li> <li>• Sites that are smaller than 10ha in size will have a minor positive (+) effect.</li> </ul>	Stroud District Council site options
SA 17: To allow for sustainable economic growth within environmental limits and innovation, an educated/skilled workforce and support the long term competitiveness of the District.	<p>All of the employment site options are expected to have positive effects on this objective, as they may provide opportunities for work-based training and skills development, and would help to contribute to sustainable economic growth and competitiveness of the District.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sites that are more than 10ha in size will have a significant positive (++) effect.</li> <li>• Sites that are smaller than 10ha in size will have a minor positive (+) effect.</li> </ul>	Stroud District Council site options

**Table A4.3: Assumptions for the appraisal of mixed use site options**

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
<p>SA 1: To provide affordable, sustainable and decent housing to meet local needs.</p>	<p>All of the mixed use site options that would incorporate residential development are expected to have positive effects on this objective, due to the nature of the proposed development. Larger sites will provide opportunities for the development of a larger number of homes as part of the mixed use development and so would have significant positive effects.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sites with capacity for more than 600 homes will have a significant positive (++) effect.</li> <li>Sites with capacity for fewer than 600 homes will have a minor positive (+) effect.</li> </ul>	<p>Stroud District Council site options</p>
<p>SA 2: To maintain and improve the community's health with accessible healthcare for residents, including increasing levels of physical activity, especially among the young.</p>	<p>Mixed use sites (incorporating residential development) that are within close proximity of existing healthcare facilities (i.e. GP surgeries) will ensure that residents have good access to healthcare services. If a number of sites are allocated within close proximity of one another, this could lead to existing healthcare facilities becoming overloaded. If at any point information becomes available regarding the capacity of existing healthcare facilities, this will be taken into account in the SA. It is also recognised that new development could stimulate the provision of new healthcare facilities, but this cannot be assumed at this stage.</p> <p>Public health will also be influenced by the proximity of sites to open spaces, walking and cycle paths, easy access to which can encourage participation in active outdoor recreation, both for residents and employees at mixed use sites.</p> <p>Therefore:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sites that are within 400m of a GP surgery will have a significant positive (++) effect.</li> <li>Sites that are within 400-800m of a GP surgery will have a minor positive (+) effect.</li> <li>Sites that are not within 800m of a GP surgery will have a minor negative (-) effect.</li> </ul> <p>In addition, which could lead to mixed effects overall<sup>128</sup>:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sites that are within 800m of an area of open space <u>and</u> within 400m of a walking or cycle path will have a significant positive (++) effect.</li> <li>Sites that are within 800m of an area of open space <u>or</u> within 400m of a walking or cycle path (but not both) will have a minor positive (+) effect.</li> </ul>	<p>GIS data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>GP surgeries</li> <li>Council play areas</li> <li>Cycle routes</li> <li>National cycle network</li> <li>Green spaces</li> <li>Country parks</li> <li>National trails</li> <li>Protected outdoor playspaces</li> </ul>

<sup>128</sup> In all cases, if the two parts of a score are the same type of effect, e.g. positive and negative, then a best or worst case scenario will be recorded, i.e. a score comprising '+' and '++' would be recorded as '++', while a score comprising '-' and '--' would be recorded as '--'. Mixed effects will only be recorded where a score comprises both positive and negative effects e.g. '+/-' or '++/-'.

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sites that are more than 800m from an area of open space and more than 400m from a walking or cycle path will have a minor negative (-) effect.</li> <li>Sites that contain an existing area of open space or a walking or cycle path which could therefore be lost as a result of new development could have a significant negative (--?) effect, although this is uncertain depending on whether the development of the site would in fact result in the loss of that facility.</li> </ul>	
SA 3: To encourage social inclusion, equity, the promotion of equality and a respect for diversity and meet the challenge of a growing and ageing population	The location of mixed use development will not affect the achievement of this objective (proximity to services and facilities is considered under SA objective 6 below). The likely effects of all mixed use site options on this objective are therefore negligible (0).	Stroud District Council site options
SA 4: To reduce crime, anti-social behaviour and disorder and the fear of crime.	The effects of new mixed use development on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within development sites which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of mixed use development; rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site. Therefore, the effects of all of the mixed use site options on this SA objective will be negligible (0).	Stroud District Council site options
SA 5: To create and sustain vibrant communities.	<p>The location of mixed use development will not have a significant effect on the achievement of this objective – effects will depend largely on the detailed proposals for sites and their design, which are not known at this stage. However, mixed use development on brownfield land could be seen as promoting regeneration.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sites that are on brownfield land will have a minor positive (+) effect.</li> <li>Sites that are on greenfield land will have a negligible (0) effect.</li> </ul>	Satellite imagery
SA 6: To maintain and improve access to all services and facilities.	<p>Sites that are located at the larger settlements within the District will generally have better access to a wider range of existing services and facilities compared to sites located at smaller settlements. While new services and facilities may be provided as part of new mixed use development, this cannot be assumed at this stage. <u>The settlement hierarchy set out in the adopted Stroud Local Plan is to be updated as part of the Local Plan Review.</u></p> <p><u>New evidence presented in the Settlement Role and Function Study Update 2018<sup>129</sup> has informed this update and has identified a number of changes to the settlement hierarchy for</u></p>	<p>GIS data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Settlement locations</li> </ul> <p>Stroud District Settlement Hierarchy (with consideration for the updates included as part of</p>

<sup>129</sup> Stroud District Council (May 2019) *Settlement Role and Function Study Update 2018*

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
	<p><u>the District. This evidence has resulted in the reclassification of any fifth tier settlements (as defined in the adopted Local Plan) as fourth tier settlements. The fourth tier settlements classification is split between Tier 4a (which may be unable to meet residents' day to day requirements but are relatively well-connected and accessible settlements) and Tier 4b (which lack the range of services to meet day to day requirements and are generally inaccessible with significant environmental constraints) settlements. The previously identified third tier settlements have been now classified as either Tier 3a or Tier 3b settlements. Tier 3a settlements are those which have been identified as providing access to a good range of local services and facilities. Tier 3b settlements have been identified as providing access to a more basic level of services and facilities. Therefore:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sites that are located at a first tier settlement would have a significant positive (++) effect.</li> <li>• Sites that are located at a second tier settlement would have a minor positive (+) effect.</li> <li>• <u>Sites that are located at a Tier 3a settlement would have a minor positive (+) effect.</u></li> <li>• <u>Sites that are located at a Tier 3b settlement would have a negligible (0) effect.</u></li> <li>• Sites that are located at a fourth tier settlement would have a minor negative (-) effect.</li> <li>• Sites that are located in the open countryside would have a significant negative (--) effect.</li> </ul>	<p><u>the Local Plan Review and the Settlement Role and Function Study Update 2018)</u></p>
<p>SA 7: To create, protect, enhance, restore and connect habitats, species and/or sites of biodiversity or geological interest.</p>	<p>Development sites that are within close proximity of an international, national or local designated conservation site have the potential to affect the biodiversity or geodiversity of those sites/features, e.g. through habitat damage/loss, fragmentation, disturbance to species, air pollution, increased recreation pressure etc. Conversely, there may be opportunities to promote habitat connectivity if new developments include green infrastructure. Therefore, while proximity to designated sites provides an indication of the potential for an adverse effect, uncertainty exists, as appropriate mitigation may avoid adverse effects and may even result in beneficial effects. In addition, the potential impacts on biodiversity present on each site, or undesignated habitats and species adjacent to the potential development sites, cannot be determined at this strategic level of assessment. This would be determined once more specific proposals are developed and submitted as part of a planning application.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mixed use sites that are within 250m of one or more internationally or nationally designated biodiversity or geodiversity sites may have a significant negative (--?) effect.</li> <li>• Mixed use sites that are between 250m and 1km of one or more internationally or nationally designated biodiversity or geodiversity sites, or that are within 250m of a locally designated site may have a minor negative (-?) effect. In addition, mixed use sites that are within 250m-3km from Rodborough Common SAC or 250m-7.7km from</li> </ul>	<p>GIS data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ramsar sites</li> <li>• SPAs</li> <li>• SACs</li> <li>• SSSIs</li> <li>• NNRs</li> <li>• Key wildlife sites</li> <li>• Green spaces</li> <li>• Country parks</li> <li>• Protected outdoor playspaces</li> </ul>

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
	<p>the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site could have a minor negative effect as these are existing zones of recognised recreational impact from residential development.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mixed use sites that are more than 1km from any internationally or nationally designated biodiversity or geodiversity sites, or that are over 250m from a locally designated site could have a negligible (0?) effect.</li> </ul> <p>In addition:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mixed use sites that contain an existing green infrastructure asset that could be lost as a result of new development may have a significant negative effect (--?) although this is currently uncertain as it may be possible to conserve or even enhance that asset through the design and layout of the new development.</li> </ul>	
<p>SA 8: To conserve and enhance the local character and distinctiveness of landscapes and townscapes and provide sustainable access to countryside in the District.</p>	<p>A Landscape Sensitivity Assessment was carried out for Stroud District Council in December 2016 by White Consultants. It assessed the sensitivity of parcels of land located around the Tier 1, 2 and 3 settlements in the District. Mixed use development in more sensitive locations could have adverse impacts on the character and quality of the landscape, although effects will be uncertain as they will also depend on factors such as the design of the development.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sites that are in an area of low sensitivity could have a negligible (0?) effect.</li> <li>Sites that are in an area of medium/low or medium sensitivity could have a minor negative (-?) effect.</li> <li>Sites that are in an area of medium/high or high sensitivity could have a significant negative (--?) effect.</li> <li>Sites in locations that are not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment would have an uncertain (?) effect.</li> </ul> <p><u>The appraisal of sites which do not fall on land that was assessed as part of the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment<sup>130</sup> has been informed by the landscape findings of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities assessment. This considered the sensitivity of areas of Gloucestershire County to accommodate large scale development.</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>Sites that are in an area of low sensitivity could have a negligible (0?) effect.</u></li> <li><u>Sites that are in an area of low-moderate or moderate sensitivity could have a minor negative (-?) effect.</u></li> <li><u>Sites that are in an area of moderate-high or high sensitivity could have a significant negative (--?) effect.</u></li> </ul>	<p>GIS data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>AONB</li> </ul> <p><u>Landscape Character Assessment</u></p> <p><u>Landscape findings of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities assessment</u></p>

<sup>130</sup> LUC on behalf of Gloucester City Council, Cheltenham Borough Council, Tewkesbury Borough Council, Stroud District Council and Forest of Dean District Council (2019) The Assessment of Strategic Development Opportunities in Parts of Gloucestershire

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Sites in locations that are not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape findings of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities assessment would have an uncertain (?) effect.</u></li> </ul> <p>In addition, proximity to the Cotswolds AONB can provide an indication of the potential for development to have adverse impacts on that designated landscape.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sites that are within the AONB could have a significant negative (--?) effect.</li> <li>• Sites that are not within the AONB, but that are within 500m of it, could have a minor negative (-?) effect.</li> </ul>	
<p>SA 9: To conserve and/or enhance the significant qualities, fabric, setting and accessibility of the District's historic environment.</p>	<p>Mixed use site options for the Stroud District Local Plan Review have been subject to a heritage assessment as part of the SALA process. Each site option has been attributed a score based on its sensitivity with respect to the historic environment.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sites that were screened out of the SALA heritage assessment because they have no heritage impacts, or sites that were assessed but scored '1' (i.e. that have no significant heritage constraints) would have a negligible (0) effect.</li> <li>• Sites that are scored '2' (i.e. that have some impact on heritage interest) would have a minor negative (-) effect.</li> <li>• Sites that are scored '3' or '4' (i.e. that have significant or very significant heritage constraints) would have a significant negative (-- ) effect.</li> <li>• Sites that were not included in the SALA heritage assessment would have uncertain (?) effects.</li> </ul> <p>For some sites, the SALA identified opportunities for sites to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development. For these sites, a potential but uncertain minor positive (+?) effect is identified. This could result in mixed effects overall.</p>	<p>SALA heritage assessment</p>
<p>SA 10: To ensure that air quality continues to improve.</p>	<p>There are no existing Air Quality Management Areas in Stroud District. The effects of new mixed use development on this objective will therefore largely depend on the extent to which their location facilitates walking and the use of sustainable transport in place of car travel. By nature, mixed use developments should promote higher levels of walking and reduced car travel, by co-locating residential and other types of development.</p> <p>The proximity of development sites to sustainable transport links will affect the extent to which people are able to make use of non-car based modes of transport, although the actual use of sustainable transport modes will depend on people's behaviour. Furthermore, the proximity of sites to town/district/local centres and employment sites as well as services and facilities (for example such as schools, supermarkets and community facilities) will reduce the need for residents to travel long distances on a regular basis.</p>	<p>SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment</p>

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
	<p>It is possible that new transport links such as bus routes or cycle paths may be provided as part of new developments, particularly at larger sites, but this cannot be assumed. It is also recognised that many cyclists will travel on roads as well as dedicated cycle routes, and that the extent to which people choose to do so will depend on factors such as the availability of cycle storage facilities at their end destination, which are not determined by the location of development sites. How safe or appealing particular roads are for cyclists cannot be determined at this strategic level of assessment.</p> <p>An assessment of the accessibility of each site option was undertaken by Gloucestershire County Council on behalf of Stroud District Council as part of the SALA. This work rated each site option in terms of its accessibility to town/district/local centres, employment sites and services and facilities that people may be required to access on a regular basis. Sites were assessed in terms of accessibility to 14 such features by walking, by car and by bus (including walking journey time to the relevant bus stop). The assessment assigned a score of 1, 2 or 3 to sites for each method of transport where it was located within 15 minutes, between 15-30 minutes or over 30 minutes of each of the 14 features respectively. These scores were then added to given a total score for each site. Even though the assessment took car use into account, scores were lower where journeys would be shorter; therefore a lower score is still an indication of lower likely emissions from traffic. Therefore:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sites achieving a score of below 50 in the SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment work are likely to have a significant positive (++) effect.</li> <li>• Sites achieving a score of between 50-60 in the SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment work are likely to have a minor positive (+) effect.</li> <li>• Sites achieving a score of between 60-70 in the SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment work are likely to have a negligible (0) effect.</li> <li>• Sites achieving a score of between 70-80 in the SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment work are likely to have a minor negative (-) effect.</li> <li>• Sites achieving a score of over 80 in the SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment work are likely to have a significant negative (--) effect.</li> </ul>	
SA 11: To maintain and enhance the quality of ground and surface waters and to achieve sustainable	Levels of water consumption within new development will be determined by its design and onsite practices, rather than the location of the site. However, the location of mixed use development could affect water quality during construction depending on its proximity to Drinking Water Safeguarding Zones and Source Protection Zones <sup>131</sup> . The extent to which water quality is affected would depend on construction techniques and the use of	GIS data: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Drinking Water Safeguard Zones</li> </ul>

<sup>131</sup> As the consideration of Nitrate Vulnerable Zones (NVZs) is most appropriate for agricultural related development it was not considered appropriate to include the proximity of residential development to these areas within the assumptions.

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
water resources management in the District.	<p>sustainable drainage systems (SuDS) within the design; therefore effects are uncertain at this stage.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Development within Drinking Water Safeguarding Zones and Source Protection Zones could result in significant negative (--) effects on water quality although this is uncertain at this stage of assessment.</li> <li>• Development outside of Drinking Water Safeguarding Zones and Source Protection Zones would have a negligible (0) effect.</li> </ul>	Source Protection Zones
SA 12: To manage and reduce the risk of flooding and resulting detriment to public wellbeing, the economy and the environment.	<p>The effects of new development on this SA objective will depend to some extent on its design, for example whether it incorporates SuDS, which is unknown and cannot be assessed at this stage. Where site options are located in areas of high flood risk, it could increase the risk of flooding in those areas (particularly if the sites are not previously developed) and would increase the number of people and assets at risk from flooding. <u>Therefore, to reflect comments made by the Environment Agency regarding flood risk in their consultation response to the SA Report for the Local Plan Review: Emerging Strategy Paper:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Sites that are entirely or mainly (i.e. &gt;50%) on greenfield land that is within flood zones 3a or 3b or mainly on brownfield within flood zones 3a or 3b are likely to have a significant negative (--) effect.</u></li> <li>• <u>Sites that are either entirely or mainly on greenfield outside of flood zones 3a and 3b, are likely to have a minor negative (-) effect.</u></li> <li>• <u>Sites that are on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b are likely to have a negligible (0) effect.</u></li> </ul>	<p>GIS data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Flood Zones</li> <li>• Satellite imagery</li> </ul>
SA 13: To improve efficiency in land use and protection of soil quality through the re-use of previously developed land and existing buildings and encouraging urban renaissance.	<p>Development on brownfield land represents more efficient use of land in comparison to the development of greenfield sites. Therefore:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mixed use sites that are relatively large in size (i.e. they could accommodate more than 600 homes or more than 10ha of employment land) and that are mainly or entirely (i.e. &gt;50%) on greenfield land would have a significant negative (--) effect.</li> <li>• Mixed use sites that are relatively small in size (i.e. they could accommodate fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land) and that are mainly or entirely on greenfield land would have a minor negative (-) effect.</li> <li>• Mixed use sites that are relatively small in size (i.e. they could accommodate fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land) and that are mainly or entirely on brownfield land would have a minor positive (+) effect.</li> </ul>	<p>Stroud District Council site options</p> <p>Satellite imagery</p>



SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mixed use sites that are relatively large in size (i.e. they could accommodate more than 600 homes or more than 10ha of employment land) and that are mainly or entirely on brownfield land would have a significant positive (++) effect.</li> </ul> <p>In addition:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sites that are on greenfield land classed as high quality agricultural land (Grades 1, 2 or 3a) would have a significant negative (--) effect regardless of size. This will be uncertain (--?) if the site is within Grade 3 land, as only Grade 3a is classed as high quality but the GIS data available does not distinguish between Grades 3a and 3b.</li> </ul>	
<p>SA 14: To implement strategies that help mitigate global warming by actively reducing greenhouse gases and adapt to unavoidable climate change within the District.</p>	<p>The location of mixed use development will not affect the achievement of this objective – effects will depend largely on the detailed proposals for sites and their design, which are not known at this stage. The extent to which the location of mixed use sites would facilitate the use of sustainable modes of transport in place of cars is considered under SA objective 10 above. The likely effects of all mixed use site options on this objective are therefore negligible (0).</p>	<p>Stroud District Council site options</p>
<p>SA 15: To minimise the amount of waste produced, maximise the amount that is reused or recycled, and seek to recover energy from the largest proportion of the residual material, and achieve the sustainable management of waste.</p>	<p>The effects of new mixed use development on waste generation will depend largely on resident’s behaviour, as well as the nature of any commercial activities onsite. However, where development takes place on previously developed land there may be opportunities to reuse onsite buildings and materials, thereby reducing waste generation. Therefore:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sites that are on brownfield land could have a minor positive (+?) effect on reducing waste generation although this is uncertain.</li> <li>Sites that are on greenfield land would have a negligible (0) effect on reducing waste generation.</li> </ul>	<p>Satellite imagery</p>
<p>SA 16: To deliver, maintain and enhance sustainable and diverse employment opportunities, to meet both current and future needs.</p>	<p>Mixed use sites that incorporate both residential and employment development will have positive effects on this objective due to the nature of the development which would involve co-locating housing and job opportunities. Larger sites will have particularly positive effects. Therefore:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sites that would deliver more than 600 homes and 10ha of employment land will have a significant positive (++) effect.</li> <li>Sites that would deliver fewer than 600 homes and/or less than 10ha of employment land will have a minor positive (+) effect.</li> </ul> <p>New mixed used development at sites which currently accommodate some form of employment use may result in the loss of employment opportunities in the District,</p>	<p>GIS data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Settlement locations</li> </ul> <p>Stroud District Settlement Hierarchy</p> <p>List of sites currently in employment use</p>

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
	<p>depending on the proportion of employment development which is to be provided at the mixed used sites which is unknown at this stage. As such, the effects of mixed use sites which are currently in employment use are uncertain.</p>	
<p>SA 17: To allow for sustainable economic growth within environmental limits and innovation, an educated/skilled workforce and support the long term competitiveness of the District.</p>	<p>The effects of mixed use sites on this objective will depend partly on the access that they provide to existing educational facilities for residents of the site, although there are uncertainties as the effects will depend on there being capacity at those schools to accommodate new pupils. New development could stimulate the provision of new schools/school placed, particularly larger sites, but this cannot be assumed at this stage. Therefore:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sites that are within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school may have a significant positive (++) effect.</li> <li>• Sites that are within 800m of one of either an existing primary or an existing secondary school (but not both), may have a minor positive (+?) effect.</li> <li>• Sites that are not within 800m of an existing school may have a minor negative (-?) effect.</li> </ul> <p>In addition, the provision of employment development as part of mixed use sites could have positive effects on this objective as a result of providing new opportunities for work-based learning and skills development. This will particularly be the case at larger mixed use sites which could incorporate more commercial development. Therefore, which could lead to mixed effects overall:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sites that would deliver more than 10ha of employment land will have a significant positive (++) effect.</li> <li>• Sites that would deliver less than 10ha of employment land will have a minor positive (+) effect.</li> </ul>	<p>GIS data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Secondary schools</li> <li>• Primary schools</li> </ul> <p>Stroud District Council site options</p>

**Table A4.4: Assumptions for the appraisal of retail/community use site options**

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
SA 1: To provide affordable, sustainable and decent housing to meet local needs.	The location of retail/community use sites will not have an effect on this SA objective; therefore all site options will have a negligible (0) effect.	Stroud District Council site options
SA 2: To maintain and improve the community's health with accessible healthcare for residents, including increasing levels of physical activity, especially among the young.	The location of retail/community use sites will not have an effect on this SA objective; therefore all site options will have a negligible (0) effect unless the site is proposed for a relevant use such as a healthcare facility, in which case a minor (+) or significant positive (++) effect will be identified as appropriate.	Stroud District Council site options
SA 3: To encourage social inclusion, equity, the promotion of equality and a respect for diversity and meet the challenge of a growing and ageing population	All sites proposed for retail and community uses will have a minor positive (+) effect on this SA objective regardless of their location, due to the nature of the proposed development.	Stroud District Council site options
SA 4: To reduce crime, anti-social behaviour and disorder and the fear of crime.	The effects of new development on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within development sites which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of development; rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site. Therefore, the effects of all of the retail/community use site options on this SA objective will be negligible (0).	Stroud District Council site options
SA 5: To create and sustain vibrant communities.	All sites proposed for retail and community uses will have a minor positive (+) effect on this SA objective regardless of their location, due to the nature of the proposed development.	Stroud District Council site options
SA 6: To maintain and improve access to all services and facilities.	Most sites proposed for retail and community uses will have a minor positive (+) effect on this SA objective, due to the nature of the proposed development. Sites within town centres will have particularly positive effects as they will help to protect and enhance the vitality and	GIS data: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Town centre locations</li> </ul>

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
	<p>viability of those areas. Town centres are also generally accessible for more people via public transport. Therefore:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sites that are within a town centre will have a significant positive (++) effect.</li> <li>• Sites that are not within a town centre will have a minor positive (+) effect.</li> </ul>	<p>Stroud District Council site options</p>
<p>SA 7: To create, protect, enhance, restore and connect habitats, species and/or sites of biodiversity or geological interest.</p>	<p>Development sites that are within close proximity of an international, national or local designated conservation site have the potential to affect the biodiversity or geodiversity of those sites/features, e.g. through habitat damage/loss, fragmentation, disturbance to species, air pollution, increased recreation pressure etc. Conversely, there may be opportunities to promote habitat connectivity if new developments include green infrastructure. Therefore, while proximity to designated sites provides an indication of the potential for an adverse effect, uncertainty exists, as appropriate mitigation may avoid adverse effects and may even result in beneficial effects. In addition, the potential impacts on biodiversity present on each site, or undesignated habitats and species adjacent to the potential development sites, cannot be determined at this strategic level of assessment. This would be determined once more specific proposals are developed and submitted as part of a planning application.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Retail/community use sites that are within 250m of one or more internationally or nationally designated biodiversity or geodiversity sites may have a significant negative (--?) effect.</li> <li>• Retail/community use sites that are between 250m and 1km of one or more internationally or nationally designated biodiversity or geodiversity sites, or that are within 250m of a locally designated site may have a minor negative (-?) effect.</li> <li>• Retail/community use sites that are more than 1km from any internationally or nationally designated biodiversity or geodiversity sites, or that are over 250m from a locally designated site could have a negligible (0?) effect.</li> </ul> <p>In addition:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Retail/community use sites that contain an existing green infrastructure asset that could be lost as a result of new development may have a significant negative effect (--?) although this is currently uncertain as it may be possible to conserve or even enhance that asset through the design of the new development.</li> </ul>	<p>GIS data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ramsar sites</li> <li>• SPAs</li> <li>• SACs</li> <li>• SSSIs</li> <li>• NNRs</li> <li>• Key wildlife sites</li> <li>• Green spaces</li> <li>• Country parks</li> <li>• Protected outdoor playspaces</li> </ul>
<p>SA 8: To conserve and enhance the local character and distinctiveness of landscapes and townscapes</p>	<p>A Landscape Sensitivity Assessment was carried out for Stroud District Council in December 2016 by White Consultants. It assessed the sensitivity of parcels of land located around the Tier 1, 2 and 3 settlements in the District. Development in more sensitive locations could</p>	<p>GIS data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• AONB</li> </ul>

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
<p>and provide sustainable access to countryside in the District.</p>	<p>have adverse impacts on the character and quality of the landscape, although effects will be uncertain as they will also depend on factors such as the design of the development.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sites that are in an area of low sensitivity could have a negligible (0?) effect.</li> <li>Sites that are in an area of medium/low or medium sensitivity could have a minor negative (-?) effect.</li> <li>Sites that are in an area of medium/high or high sensitivity could have a significant negative (--?) effect.</li> </ul> <p><u>The appraisal of sites which do not fall on land that was assessed as part of the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment<sup>132</sup> has been informed by the landscape findings of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities assessment. This considered the sensitivity of areas of Gloucestershire County to accommodate large scale development.</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>Sites that are in an area of low sensitivity could have a negligible (0?) effect.</u></li> <li><u>Sites that are in an area of low-moderate or moderate sensitivity could have a minor negative (-?) effect.</u></li> <li><u>Sites that are in an area of moderate-high or high sensitivity could have a significant negative (--?) effect.</u></li> <li><u>Sites in locations that are not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape findings of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities assessment would have an uncertain (?) effect.</u></li> </ul> <p>In addition, proximity to the Cotswolds AONB can provide an indication of the potential for development to have adverse impacts on that designated landscape.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sites that are within the AONB could have a significant negative (--?) effect.</li> <li>Sites that are not within the AONB, but that are within 500m of it, could have a minor negative (-?) effect.</li> </ul>	<p>Landscape Character Assessment</p> <p><u>Landscape findings of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities assessment</u></p>
<p>SA 9: To conserve and/or enhance the significant qualities, fabric, setting and accessibility of the District's historic environment.</p>	<p>Retail/community use site options for the Stroud District Local Plan Review have been subject to a heritage assessment as part of the SALA process. Each site option has been attributed a score based on its sensitivity with respect to the historic environment.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sites that were screened out of the SALA heritage assessment because they have no heritage impacts, or sites that were assessed but scored '1' (i.e. that have no significant heritage constraints) would have a negligible (0) effect.</li> </ul>	<p>SALA heritage assessment</p>

<sup>132</sup> LUC on behalf of Gloucester City Council, Cheltenham Borough Council, Tewkesbury Borough Council, Stroud District Council and Forest of Dean District Council (2019) The Assessment of Strategic Development Opportunities in Parts of Gloucestershire

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sites that are scored '2' (i.e. that have some impact on heritage interest) would have a minor negative (-) effect.</li> <li>Sites that are scored '3' or '4' (i.e. that have significant or very significant heritage constraints) would have a significant negative (--) effect.</li> <li>Sites that were not included in the SALA heritage assessment would have uncertain (?) effects.</li> </ul> <p>For some sites, the SALA identified opportunities for sites to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development. For these sites, a potential but uncertain minor positive (+?) effect is identified. This could result in mixed effects overall.</p>	
SA 10: To ensure that air quality continues to improve.	<p>There are no existing Air Quality Management Areas in Stroud District. The effects of new retail/community use sites on this objective will therefore largely depend on the extent to which their location facilitates the use of sustainable transport in place of car travel. Town centres are generally accessible for more people via public transport. Therefore:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sites that are within a town centre will have a significant positive (++) effect.</li> <li>Sites that are not within a town centre will have a minor positive (+) effect.</li> </ul>	<p>GIS data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Town centre locations</li> </ul>
SA 11: To maintain and enhance the quality of ground and surface waters and to achieve sustainable water resources management in the District.	<p>Levels of water consumption within new development will be determined by its design and onsite practices, rather than the location of the site. However, the location of development could affect water quality during construction depending on its proximity to Drinking Water Safeguarding Zones and Source Protection Zones<sup>133</sup>. The extent to which water quality is affected would depend on construction techniques and the use of sustainable drainage systems (SuDS) within the design; therefore effects are uncertain at this stage.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Development within Drinking Water Safeguarding Zones and Source Protection Zones could result in significant negative (--?) effects on water quality although this is uncertain at this stage of assessment.</li> <li>Development outside of Drinking Water Safeguarding Zones and Source Protection Zones would have a negligible (0) effect.</li> </ul>	<p>GIS data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Drinking Water Safeguard Zones</li> <li>Source Protection Zones</li> </ul>
SA 12: To manage and reduce the risk of flooding and resulting detriment to	<p>The effects of new development on this SA objective will depend to some extent on its design, for example whether it incorporates SuDS, which is unknown and cannot be</p>	<p>GIS data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Flood Zones</li> </ul>

<sup>133</sup> As the consideration of Nitrate Vulnerable Zones (NVZs) is most appropriate for agricultural related development it was not considered appropriate to include the proximity of residential development to these areas within the assumptions.

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
<p>public wellbeing, the economy and the environment.</p>	<p>assessed at this stage. Where site options are located in areas of high flood risk, it could increase the risk of flooding in those areas (particularly if the sites are not previously developed) and would increase the number of people and assets at risk from flooding. <u>Therefore, to reflect comments made by the Environment Agency regarding flood risk in their consultation response to the SA Report for the Local Plan Review: Emerging Strategy Paper:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Sites that are entirely or mainly (i.e. &gt;50%) on greenfield land that is within flood zones 3a or 3b or mainly on brownfield within flood zones 3a or 3b are likely to have a significant negative (--) effect.</u></li> <li>• <u>Sites that are either entirely or mainly on greenfield outside of flood zones 3a and 3b, are likely to have a minor negative (-) effect.</u></li> <li>• <u>Sites that are on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b are likely to have a negligible (0) effect.</u></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Satellite imagery</li> </ul>
<p>SA 13: To improve efficiency in land use and protection of soil quality through the re-use of previously developed land and existing buildings and encouraging urban renaissance.</p>	<p>Development on brownfield land represents more efficient use of land in comparison to the development of greenfield sites. However, retail/community use sites are likely to be relatively small in scale. Therefore:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Retail/community use sites that are mainly or entirely (i.e. &gt;50%) on greenfield land classed as Grade 1 or 2 agricultural land would have a significant negative (--) effect.</li> <li>• Retail/community use sites that are mainly or entirely (i.e. &gt;50%) on greenfield land classed as Grade 3 agricultural land may have a significant negative (--?) effect although this is uncertain depending on whether the land is Grade 3a or 3b which cannot be determined at this stage.</li> <li>• Retail/community use sites that are mainly or entirely on greenfield land classed as Grade 4 or 5 agricultural land, or urban land, would have a minor negative (-) effect.</li> <li>• Retail/community use sites that are mainly or entirely on brownfield land would have a minor positive (+) effect.</li> </ul>	<p>Satellite imagery</p>
<p>SA 14: To implement strategies that help mitigate global warming by actively reducing greenhouse gases and adapt to unavoidable climate change within the District.</p>	<p>The location of retail/community use sites will not affect the achievement of this objective – effects will depend largely on the detailed proposals for sites and their design, which are not known at this stage. The likely effects of all retail/community use site options on this objective are therefore negligible (0).</p>	<p>Stroud District Council site options</p>

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
<p>SA 15: To minimise the amount of waste produced, maximise the amount that is reused or recycled, and seek to recover energy from the largest proportion of the residual material, and achieve the sustainable management of waste.</p>	<p>The effects of new development on waste generation will depend largely on people’s behaviour. However, where development takes place on previously developed land there may be opportunities to reuse onsite buildings and materials, thereby reducing waste generation. Therefore:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sites that are on brownfield land could have a minor positive (+?) effect on reducing waste generation although this is uncertain.</li> <li>• Sites that are on greenfield land would have a negligible (0) effect on reducing waste generation.</li> </ul>	<p>Satellite imagery</p>
<p>SA 16: To deliver, maintain and enhance sustainable and diverse employment opportunities, to meet both current and future needs.</p>	<p>Retail/community use site options are expected to provide some employment opportunities, although these are unlikely to be significant in scale. Therefore, the likely effects of all site options, regardless of their location, will be minor positive (+).</p>	<p>Stroud District Council site options</p>
<p>SA 17: To allow for sustainable economic growth within environmental limits and innovation, an educated/skilled workforce and support the long term competitiveness of the District.</p>	<p>Retail/community use site options are expected to provide some employment opportunities which could have associated opportunities for work-based learning and skills development, although these are unlikely to be significant in scale. Therefore, the likely effects of all site options, regardless of their location, will be minor positive (+).</p>	<p>Stroud District Council site options</p>



**Table A4.5: Assumptions for the appraisal of open space site options**

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
SA 1: To provide affordable, sustainable and decent housing to meet local needs.	The location of open space allocations will not have an effect on this SA objective; therefore all open space site options will have a negligible (0) effect.	Stroud District Council site options
SA 2: To maintain and improve the community's health with accessible healthcare for residents, including increasing levels of physical activity, especially among the young.	The provision of new open space sites will benefit public health by providing areas for active outdoor recreation; therefore all open space site options will have a minor positive (+) effect.	Stroud District Council site options
SA 3: To encourage social inclusion, equity, the promotion of equality and a respect for diversity and meet the challenge of a growing and ageing population	The location of open space allocations will not have an effect on this SA objective; therefore all open space site options will have a negligible (0) effect.	Stroud District Council site options
SA 4: To reduce crime, anti-social behaviour and disorder and the fear of crime.	The effects of open space allocations on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as design and the use of appropriate lighting, which could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of open spaces. Therefore, the effects of all of the open space site options on this SA objective will be negligible (0).	N Stroud District Council site options
SA 5: To create and sustain vibrant communities.	The allocation of new open space will benefit residential amenity and should enhance peoples' satisfaction with their neighbourhoods. Therefore, all open space site options will have a minor positive (+) effect.	Stroud District Council site options
SA 6: To maintain and improve access to all services and facilities.	The location of open space allocations will not have an effect on this SA objective; therefore all open space site options will have a negligible (0) effect.	Stroud District Council site options
SA 7: To create, protect, enhance, restore and connect habitats, species	The allocation of new open space sites will benefit biodiversity by creating new habitat, avoiding habitat fragmentation and potentially improving habitat connectivity. Open space allocations will also prevent those areas being used for built development, which could	Stroud District Council site options

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
and/or sites of biodiversity or geological interest.	otherwise have adversely impacted on biodiversity. Therefore, all open space site options will have a minor positive (+) effect.	
SA 8: To conserve and enhance the local character and distinctiveness of landscapes and townscapes and provide sustainable access to countryside in the District.	The allocation of new open space sites may benefit the landscape by improving the setting of built development. However, effects will be uncertain depending on the nature of the open space and the setting. Open space allocations will also prevent those areas being used for built development, which could otherwise have adversely impacted on the landscape. All open space site options could therefore have a minor positive (+?) effect.	Stroud District Council site options
SA 9: To conserve and/or enhance the significant qualities, fabric, setting and accessibility of the District's historic environment.	The allocation of new open space sites could benefit the historic environment by improving the setting of built heritage. However, effects will be uncertain depending on the nature of the open space and their proximity to heritage features. Open space allocations will also prevent those areas being used for built development, which could otherwise have adversely impacted on cultural heritage. All open space site options could therefore have a minor positive (+?) effect.	Stroud District Council site options
SA 10: To ensure that air quality continues to improve.	Although provision of open space may help to mitigate air pollution if trees are provided within the open space, the location of open space allocations will not have an effect on this SA objective; therefore all open space site options will have a negligible (0) effect.	Stroud District Council site options
SA 11: To maintain and enhance the quality of ground and surface waters and to achieve sustainable water resources management in the District.	Although provision of open space could benefit water quality and water retention if permeable surfaces are provided, or if SuDS are included in the design of the open space, the location of open space allocations will not have an effect on this SA objective; therefore all open space site options will have a negligible (0) effect.	Stroud District Council site options
SA 12: To manage and reduce the risk of flooding and resulting detriment to public wellbeing, the economy and the environment.	The allocation of new open space sites could benefit flood risk by increasing the area of permeable surfaces and facilitating infiltration, particularly where they are in areas of higher flood risk. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Open space site options within flood zones 3a or 3b will have a significant positive (++) effect.</li> <li>Open space site options outside of flood zones 3a and 3b will have a minor positive (+) effect.</li> </ul>	GIS data: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Flood Zones</li> </ul>

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
SA 13: To improve efficiency in land use and protection of soil quality through the re-use of previously developed land and existing buildings and encouraging urban renaissance.	The location of open space allocations will not have an effect on this SA objective; therefore all open space site options will have a negligible (0) effect.	Stroud District Council site options
SA 14: To implement strategies that help mitigate global warming by actively reducing greenhouse gases and adapt to unavoidable climate change within the District.	The location of open space allocations will not have an effect on this SA objective; therefore all open space site options will have a negligible (0) effect.	Stroud District Council site options
SA 15: To minimise the amount of waste produced, maximise the amount that is reused or recycled, and seek to recover energy from the largest proportion of the residual material, and achieve the sustainable management of waste.	The location of open space allocations will not have an effect on this SA objective; therefore all open space site options will have a negligible (0) effect.	Stroud District Council site options
SA 16: To deliver, maintain and enhance sustainable and diverse employment opportunities, to meet both current and future needs.	The location of open space allocations will not have an effect on this SA objective; therefore all open space site options will have a negligible (0) effect.	Stroud District Council site options
SA 17: To allow for sustainable economic growth within environmental limits and innovation, an educated/skilled workforce and support the long term competitiveness of the District.	The location of open space allocations will not have an effect on this SA objective; therefore all open space site options will have a negligible (0) effect.	Stroud District Council site options

## **Appendix 5**

Summary of effects for and detailed SA matrices for all of the site options considered to date

## Summary of Sustainability Appraisal findings for all of the Reasonable Site Options considered to date

- A5.1 A summary of the SA findings for the reasonable alternative site options that have been considered for the Local Plan Review to date is presented below in **Table A5.1** to **Table A5.5**. The SA findings for the sites considered at the earlier stages of the Local Plan making process are presented by the type of site uses being considered: residential, employment, mixed use, community/retail and open space. A small number of sites have been appraised as options for more than one type of use, in line with the audit trail of site options provided by Stroud District Council (SDC) – see **Appendix 9**.
- A5.2 This work was originally presented in an internal SA note to Stroud District Council officers in early August 2018, so that the findings could be taken into account to inform the selection of potential sites for inclusion in the Local Plan Review Emerging Strategy Paper. However, some additional site options have been appraised following their promotion as part of the 2019 Site Submissions which was undertaken as part of the SALA. The appraisal of these sites at this stage also included some which were submitted previously but were then considered for an alternative use. These sites are presented in a separate summary table (**Table A5.6**) so that they can be easily identified from the other site options considered.
- A5.3 This sites in **Table A5.7** are new site options considered following the Draft Plan (November 2019) including those included as part of the Additional Housing Option consultation in October 2020.
- A5.4 The detailed matrices and justifications for the sustainability effects identified for each site option is presented below the summary tables in this appendix.

**Table A5.1: Summary of SA scores for residential site options**

SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
BER002	+	++	0	0	+	+	-?	?	0	+	0	0	+	0	+?	+	+?
BER003	+	++	0	0	+	+	-?	?	0	+	0	0	+	0	+?	+	+?
BER004	+	++	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	0	+	0	--	--?	0	0	++	+?
BER005	+	++	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	0	+	0	--	--?	0	0	++	+?
BER006	+	++	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	0	+	0	--	--?	0	0	++	+?
BER011	+	++	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	0	+	0	--	--?	0	0	++	+?
BER014	+	-	0	0	+	--	-?	-?	?	-	0	0	+	0	+?	--	-?
BIS001	+	+/-	0	0	+	0	0?	--?	?	-	--?	0	+	0	+?	--	+?
BIS002	+	+/-	0	0	0	0	0?	--?	0	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+?
BRI004	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	?	0	--?	-	-	0	0	+	+?
BRI005	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	?	+	--?	-	-	0	0	+	+?
BRI007	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	-	0	--?	-	-	0	0	+	+?
BRI008	+	+/-	0	0	+	+	-?	-?	+/--?	0	--?	--	+	0	+?	+	+?
BRI010	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	-	+	--?	-	-	0	0	+	+?
BRI011	+	+/-	0	0	+	+	-?	-?	--	0	--?	--	+	0	+?	--	+?
BRI012	+	+/-	0	0	+	+	-?	-?	+/--?	0	--?	--	+	0	+?	--	+?
BRI013	+	+/-	0	0	+	+	-?	?	0	0	--?	0	+	0	+?	--	-?
BRI014	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	?	0	--?	-	-	0	0	--	-?
BRI015	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	-	0	--?	--	-	0	0	--	-?
BRI016	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	--	0	--?	--	-	0	0	--	-?
BRI017	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	--	+	--?	--	-	0	0	+	+?
BRI019	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	?	+	--?	-	-	0	0	+	+?

SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
BRI020	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	?	+	--?	-	-	0	0	+	+?
BRI022	+	+/-	0	0	+	+	-?	-?	+/--?	0	--?	--	+	0	+?	+	+?
BRO001	+	-	0	0	0	--	-?	--?	?	-	0	-	--?	0	0	--	-?
CAM001	+	+/--?	0	0	0	++	--?	?	?	+	--?	-	-	0	0	++	+?
CAM003	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	?	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	++	+?
CAM004	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	?	+	--?	-	-	0	0	++	++?
CAM005	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	?	+	--?	-	--?	0	0	++	+?
CAM006	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	?	+	--?	-	--?	0	0	++	+?
CAM007	+	+/--?	0	0	0	++	--?	-?	?	+	--?	-	-	0	0	++	++?
CAM008	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	-?	--	+	--?	-	-	0	0	+	+?
CAM009	+	+/-	0	0	+	++	-?	-?	?	+	--?	0	+	0	+?	+/--	+?
CAM010	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	?	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	++	+?
CAM011	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	0	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	++	+?
CAM013	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	-?	0	-	--?	-	--	0	0	++	-?
CAM015	+	+/-	0	0	0	--	-?	-?	0	0	--?	-	--	0	0	-	-?
CAM016	+	+/-	0	0	0	--	-?	-?	0	0	--?	-	--	0	0	+	-?
CAM021	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	-?	0	+	--?	--	--?	0	0	++	+?
CAM022	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	?	+	--?	-	-	0	0	++	++?
CAM023	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	?	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+?
CAM024	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	?	+	--?	-	--?	0	0	++	+?
CAM025	+	+/-	0	0	0	--	-?	-?	0	--	--?	-	--	0	0	+	-?
CAM026	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	-?	0	0	--?	-	--	0	0	++	-?
CAM A	+	++/-	0	0	0	++	-?	-?	0	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	++	+?
CAM E	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	-?	0	--	--?	--	--?	0	0	++	+?

SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
CBR002	+	+/-	0	0	0	-	-?	--?	?	0	--?	-	--	0	0	--	+
CBR003	+	+/-	0	0	0	-	-?	-?	?	-	--?	-	--	0	0	--	+
CHA001	+	+	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	0	+	--?	-	--?	0	0	-	++?
COA001	+	+/-	0	0	0	0	-?	-?	--	--	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+
COA002	+	+/-	0	0	0	0	-?	--?	0	--	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+
COA A	+	+/-	0	0	0	0	-?	-?	--	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+
CRA001	+	+/-	0	0	0	--	--?	--?	?	--	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+
DUR001	+	+/--?	0	0	0	++	--?	-?	--	++	--?	-	-	0	0	++	++?
DUR002	+	+/--?	0	0	0	++	--?	-?	0	+	--?	-	-	0	0	++	++?
DUR005	+	++	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	?	+	--?	--	--?	0	0	++	++?
DUR006	+	++	0	0	0	++	-?	-?	--	+	--?	-	-	0	0	+	++?
DUR007	+	++	0	0	+	++	-?	-?	+/--?	++	--?	0	+	0	+	+	+
DUR008	+	++	0	0	+	++	-?	-?	+/-	+	--?	0	+	0	+	+	+
DUR009	+	++	0	0	0	++	0?	--?	?	+	--?	--	-	0	0	+/--	+
DUR010	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	-?	0	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+
DUR011	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	0	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+
DUR012	+	+/-	0	0	0	--	-?	-?	0	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+
DUR013	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	-?	0	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+
DUR014	+	+/--?	0	0	0	++	--?	-?	?	0	--?	-	-	0	0	+	+
DUR017	+	++	0	0	+	++	-?	-?	-	++	--?	0	+	0	+	++	+
DUR020	+	++/-	0	0	0	++	--?	--?	?	+	--?	-	-	0	0	++	++?
DUR021	+	+/--?	0	0	0	++	-?	-?	0	++	--?	-	-	0	0	++	++?
DUR022	+	++	0	0	+	++	-?	-?	+/--?	++	--?	0	+	0	+	+	+
DUR A	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	-?	0	--	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+



SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
EAS001	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	--	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+
EAS003	+	+/--?	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	0	-	--?	--	--?	0	0	-	-?
EAS004	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	-	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	-	+
EAS005	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	--	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	-	+
EAS007	++	++/-	0	0	0	--	-?	--?	--	-	--?	--	--	0	0	+	+
EAS008	+	++/-	0	0	0	--	-?	--?	0	-	--?	--	--?	0	0	+	+
EAS009	+	+/-	0	0	0	--	-?	--?	--	--	--?	-	--?	0	0	-	-?
EAS011	+	-	0	0	0	--	-?	-?	?	--	--?	-	--?	0	0	-	-?
EAS012	+	-	0	0	0	--	-?	-?	?	--	0	-	--?	0	0	-	-?
EAS013	+	+/-	0	0	0	--	-?	--?	?	--	--?	-	--?	0	0	-	-?
EAS014	++	+/-	0	0	0	--	-?	--?	?	--	--?	-	--	0	0	-	-?
EAS015	+	+/-	0	0	0	--	-?	?	?	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	-?
EAS A	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	-	--	--?	-	--?	0	0	-	-?
EAS B1	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	0	--	--?	-	--?	0	0	-	-?
FRA001	+	++	0	0	+	+	-?	-?	0	-	--?	0	+	0	+	+	+
FRA004	+	++	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	-	-	0	-	--	0	0	+	+
FRL001	+	+/-	0	0	0	--	-?	--?	?	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+
HAR001	++	+/--?	0	0	0	+	--?	-?	--	-	0	--	--	0	0	+	+
HAR002	+	+/-	0	0	0	--	-?	-?	--	-	0	--	--?	0	0	+	+
HAR004	+	+/-	0	0	0	--	-?	?	0	-	0	--	--	0	0	+	-?
HAR005	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	0?	-?	0	-	0	-	--?	0	0	+	-?
HAR007	++	-	0	0	0	--	-?	--?	?	--	0	-	--	0	0	+	-?
HAR008	+	-	0	0	0	--	-?	--?	?	--	0	-	--?	0	0	+	-?
HAR010	+	-	0	0	0	--	-?	--?	?	--	0	-	--	0	0	-	-?

SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
HAR011	+	-	0	0	0	--	-?	--?	?	--	0	-	--?	0	0	--	-?
HAR012	+	+/-	0	0	0	--	-?	?	?	-	0	--	--?	0	0	+	-?
HAR014	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	0?	-?	0	+	0	-	--?	0	0	+	+
HFD001	+	+/-	0	0	0	-	-?	-?	?	--	0	-	--?	0	0	-	+
HFD002	+	+/-	0	0	+	-	0?	-?	?	--	0	0	+	0	+	-	+
HFD003	+	+/-	0	0	0	-	0?	-?	?	--	0	-	--?	0	0	-	+
HFD004	+	+/-	0	0	0	-	0?	--?	?	--	0	-	--?	0	0	-	+
HFD005	+	+/-	0	0	0	-	0?	--?	?	--	0	-	--?	0	0	--	+
HFD006	+	+/-	0	0	0	-	-?	-?	?	--	0	-	--?	0	0	-	+
HIL001	+	+/-	0	0	0	-	-?	--?	?	--	--?	-	--	0	0	--	+
HOR001	+	+/-	0	0	0	0	-?	--?	0	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+
HOR002	+	+/-	0	0	0	0	-?	--?	?	-	--?	--	-	0	0	--	+
HOR A	+	+/-	0	0	0	0	-?	--?	0	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+
KST001	+	++/-	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	0	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	-	+
KST002	+	++/--?	0	0	0	+	--?	--?	-	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+
KST003	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	0	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+
KST004	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	0	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+
KST006	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	0	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+
KIN001	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	-	+	0	-	--?	0	0	+	++?
KIN002	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	--	0	0	--	--?	0	0	+	++?
KIN003	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	0?	-?	?	0	0	-	--?	0	0	+	+
KIN004	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	0?	-?	?	0	0	-	--?	0	0	+	+
KIN005	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	0?	-?	0	0	0	-	--?	0	0	+	+

SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
KIN007	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	0?	--?	?	0	0	-	--?	0	0	+	+++?
KIN008	+	+/-	0	0	0	--	0?	--?	?	-	0	--	--?	0	0	+	+++?
KIN009	+	-	0	0	0	--	0?	--?	?	--	0	-	--?	0	0	--	-?
KIN010	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	-	0	0	-	--?	0	0	+/--	+
KIN011	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	0?	-?	0	0	0	-	--?	0	0	+	+
LEO001	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	0	0	--?	-	--	0	0	-	+
LEO002	+	++/-	0	0	+	+	-?	-?	?	0	--?	0	+	0	+?	-	+
LEO004	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	-	0	--?	-	--	0	0	-	+
LEO005	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	?	0	--?	-	--	0	0	+	+
LEO007	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	0	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	-	+
LEO B	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	-	0	--?	-	--	0	0	--	+
MAN001	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	0?	--?	-	+	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+++?
MAN002	+	+/--?	0	0	0	+	--?	--?	0	+	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+++?
MAN003	+	++	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	?	0	--?	-	-	0	0	-	+
MAN A	+	+/--?	0	0	0	+	--?	--?	0	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+++?
MID001	+	++/-	0	0	0	-	-?	--?	?	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+
MIN001	+	++/--?	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	--	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+
MIN002	+	+	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	?	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+
MIN003	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	?	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	-?
MIN004	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	0	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	-?
MIN005	+	++	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	--	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+
MIN006	+	++	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	--	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+
MIN007	+	+/--?	0	0	0	+	--?	--?	?	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+

SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
MIN008	+	+	0	0	0	+	--?	--?	0	0	--?	-	-	0	0	+	+?
MIN009	+	+	0	0	0	--	--?	--?	?	0	--?	-	-	0	0	-	+?
MIN013	+	+/-	0	0	0	--	--?	--?	?	--	--?	-	-	0	0	-	-?
NAI001	+	++	0	0	0	+	--?	-?	-	0	--?	-	-	0	0	++	-?
NAI004	+	++	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	-	+	--?	--	-	0	0	++	-?
NAI006	+	++	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	?	+	--?	-	-	0	0	++	-?
NAI007	+	+	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	0	0	--?	-	-	0	0	+	+?
NAI008	+	+/--?	0	0	+	+	-?	-?	0	0	--?	0	+	0	+?	+	+?
NAI009	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	?	0	--?	-	-	0	0	++	+?
NAI010	+	++	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	--	+	--?	-	-	0	0	++	+?
NAI011	+	++	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	--	+	--?	-	-	0	0	++	+?
NAI012	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	--?	--?	0	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+?
NPT001	+	+/-	0	0	0	-	-?	-?	?	-	0	--	-	0	0	--	-?
NEW003a	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	0	-	0	--	--?	0	0	+	+?
NEW003b	+	+/-	0	0	0	--	-?	-?	0	0	0	-	--?	0	0	+	+?
NEW005	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	?	-	0	-	-	0	0	-	+?
NEW006	+	++/--?	0	0	+	--	--?	-?	0	-	0	0	+	0	+?	--	+?
NIB001	+	++/-	0	0	0	0	--?	--?	-	0	0	-	--?	0	0	--	+?
NIB002	+	++/-	0	0	0	0	-?	--?	0	-	0	-	--?	0	0	--	+?
NIB003	+	++/-	0	0	0	0	--?	--?	0	-	0	-	--?	0	0	--	+?
NW0001	+	++/-	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	0	-	--?	-	-	0	0	-	+?
NW0003	+	++/-	0	0	0	+	--?	--?	--	0	--?	-	-	0	0	-	+?

SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
NYM001	+	++/-	0	0	0	-	-?	--?	?	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+
NYM002	+	+/-	0	0	0	-	-?	--?	?	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+
OAK001	+	+/-	0	0	0	-	-?	--?	0	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+
OAK002	+	+/-	0	0	+	--	0?	--?	?	--	--?	0	+	0	+	--	-?
OAK A	+	+/-	0	0	0	0	-?	--?	0	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+
PAI001	+	++	0	0	0	+	--?	--?	--	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+
PAI002	+	++	0	0	0	+	--?	--?	--	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+
PAI004	+	++	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	0	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+
PAI005	+	+	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	-	0	--?	--	--?	0	0	+	+
PAI006	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	--	0	--?	-	-	0	0	+	+
PAI007	+	++/-	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	-	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+
PAI008	+	++/-	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	-	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+
PAI009	+	++/--?	0	0	0	--	--?	--?	?	0	--?	-	-	0	0	--	-?
PAI010	+	++/-	0	0	0	--	-?	--?	?	0	--?	-	-	0	0	--	-?
PAI011	+	++/-	0	0	0	--	--?	--?	?	-	--?	-	-	0	0	--	-?
PAI012	+	++/-	0	0	0	--	-?	--?	?	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+
PAI A	+	++	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	0	--	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+
PAI B	+	++/-	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	-	--	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+
RAN001	+	++/-	0	0	0	--	-?	--?	?	-	--?	-	-	0	0	--	+
SAU001	+	++	0	0	+	-	-?	?	0	--	--?	--	+	0	+	+	+
SLI001	+	++/-	0	0	0	0	-?	--?	--	-	--?	-	--	0	0	--	+
SLI003	+	++/-	0	0	0	0	-?	-?	0	-	--?	-	--	0	0	--	+
SWO002	+	++/-	0	0	0	-	-?	-?	--	0	--?	--	-	0	0	+	+

SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
STI001	+	+/-	0	0	0	-	-?	--?	?	-	--?	-	--	0	0	--	-?
STN002	+	+/-	0	0	0	-	-?	-?	-?	-	0	-	--?	0	0	--	+
STN003	+	+/-	0	0	0	-	-?	-?	-?	-	0	-	--	0	0	--	+
STO002	+	+	0	0	+	++	-?	-?	0	+	--?	0	+	0	+	++	++?
STO003	+	++	0	0	0	++	-?	?	0	+	--?	-	-	0	0	++	++?
STO004	+	++	0	0	0	++	-?	?	0	+	--?	-	-	0	0	++	+
STO007	+	++	0	0	0	++	-?	?	--	0	--?	--	--?	0	0	++	+
STO008	+	++	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	--	0	--?	--	-	0	0	++	-?
STO009	+	++	0	0	0	++	-?	-?	?	+	--?	-	-	0	0	++	+
STO010	+	++	0	0	0	++	-?	-?	?	++	--?	-	-	0	0	++	+
STO012	+	+	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	?	+	--?	-	-	0	0	+	++?
STO013	+	+	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	?	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	++?
STO014	+	+/-	0	0	+	--	-?	--?	+/--?	-	--?	0	+	0	+	--	+
STO015	+	+/-	0	0	0	--	-?	-?	0	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	++	+
STO017	+	-	0	0	0	--	-?	-?	0	--	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	-?
STO018	+	+/--?	0	0	0	++	--?	--?	0	+	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+
STO019	+	++	0	0	0	++	-?	?	+/--?	0	--?	--	--?	0	0	++	+
STO020	+	+/-	0	0	0	--	-?	-?	--	-	--?	-	--	0	0	-	-?
STO B1	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	0	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	++	+
STR001	+	+	0	0	0	++	-?	?	0	+	--?	-	-	0	0	+	++?
STR003	+	++	0	0	+	++	-?	-?	+/--?	+	--?	--	+	0	+	++/--	++?
STR005	+	++	0	0	0	++	-?	-?	-	++	--?	-	-	0	0	++	++?
STR007	+	++	0	0	+	++	-?	-?	+/--?	++	--?	--	+	0	+	++/--	++?
STR008	+	++	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	-	++	--?	-	-	0	0	++	++?

SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
STR010	+	++/--?	0	0	+	++	--?	--?	+/-?	++	--?	0	+	0	+?	++/--	++?
STR018	+	++	0	0	+	++	-?	-?	+/-?	++	--?	0	+	0	+?	++/--	+?
STR019	+	++	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	?	+	--?	-	-	0	0	++	+?
STR020	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	-?	?	+	--?	-	-	0	0	++	+?
STR021	+	+	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	?	+	--?	-	--?	0	0	++	+?
STR022	+	++/--?	0	0	0	++	--?	--?	0	+	--?	-	-	0	0	++	+?
STR023	+	++	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	-	+	--?	-	--?	0	0	++	+?
STR024	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	?	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	++	+?
STR025	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	?	0	--?	-	-	0	0	+	+?
STR026	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	?	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+?
STR030	+	+/--?	0	0	0	++	--?	-?	0	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	++	+?
STR031	+	+	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	?	+	--?	--	-	0	0	++	+?
STR033	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	?	+	--?	-	-	0	0	++	+?
STR034	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	?	+	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	++?
STR035	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	-	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	++	++?
STR036	+	+/-	0	0	0	--	-?	--?	?	+	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+?
STR037	++	+	0	0	0	--	-?	--?	--	-	--?	--	--	0	0	+	+?
STR038	+	++	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	?	+	--?	-	--?	0	0	++	+?
STR039	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	?	+	--?	-	--?	0	0	++	+?
STR040	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	?	0	--?	-	-	0	0	++	+?
STR041	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	?	+	--?	-	-	0	0	++	-?
STR043	+	++/--?	0	0	0	++	--?	--?	--	0	--?	-	-	0	0	++	+?
STR044	+	++/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	--	-	--?	--	--?	0	0	+/--	+?

SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
STR045	+	++/-	0	0	0	++	-?	-?	--	+	--?	-	-	0	0	++	-?
STR046	+	++/-	0	0	0	++	-?	-?	--	+	--?	-	-	0	0	++	-?
STR047	+	++/--?	0	0	0	++	--?	-?	0	+	--?	-	-	0	0	++	-?
STR048	+	++/-	0	0	0	++	-?	-?	--	+	--?	--	-	0	0	++	-?
STR053	+	++/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	-	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+++
STR054	+	++/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	+/--?	+	--?	--	-	0	0	++	+
STR056	+	++/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	0	0	--?	-	-	0	0	++	+
STR057	+	++	0	0	0	++	--?	--?	--	+	--?	--	-	0	0	++	+
STR055	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	?	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+
STR D	+	+	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	?	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	++	+
UPT001	+	+/-	0	0	0	0	0?	--?	+/--?	-	0	-	--?	0	0	--	+
UPT002	+	+/-	0	0	0	0	0?	-?	?	-	0	-	--?	0	0	--	+
UPT003	+	+/-	0	0	0	0	0?	-?	0	-	0	-	--?	0	0	--	+
UPT004	+	+/-	0	0	0	0	0?	-?	0	-	0	-	--?	0	0	--	+
UPT005	+	+/-	0	0	0	0	0?	--?	?	-	0	-	--?	0	0	--	+
UPT006	+	+	0	0	0	--	--?	-?	0	--	0	-	--?	0	0	--	+
UPT007	+	+/-	0	0	0	--	--?	-?	?	--	0	-	--?	0	0	--	+
UPT008	+	+/-	0	0	0	--	-?	--?	?	-	0	-	--	0	0	--	+
UPT009	+	+/-	0	0	0	--	-?	--?	?	--	0	-	--?	0	0	--	-?
UPT010	+	++/--?	0	0	+	--	--?	--?	?	--	0	0	+	0	+	--	-?
UPT011	+	+/-	0	0	0	--	0?	-?	?	0	0	-	--?	0	0	--	-?
UPT012	+	+/-	0	0	0	--	0?	-?	?	-	0	-	--?	0	0	--	+
WHI001	+	++/-	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	0	-	0	-	--?	0	0	--	+



SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/ geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes/ townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
WHI002	+	++/-	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	?	-	0	-	--?	0	0	--	+
WHI004	+	++/-	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	-	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+
WHI005	+	++/-	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	0	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+
WHI006	+	++/-	0	0	0	--	-?	-?	?	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+
WHI008	+	++/-	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	-	-	0	-	--?	0	0	--	+
WHI A	+	++/-	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	0	--	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+
WHI E	+	++/-	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	0	-	0	-	--?	0	0	--	+
WUE001	+	++	0	0	0	+	--?	--?	?	+	0	--	--?	0	0	++	+
WUE002	+	++	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	?	0	0	-	--?	0	0	+	+
WUE003	+	++	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	0	+	0	-	--?	0	0	++	+
WUE004	+	+	0	0	0	+	0?	--?	?	0	0	--	--	0	0	+	+
WUE005	+	++	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	?	+	0	--	--?	0	0	++	+
WUE006	+	++	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	0	+	0	--	--?	0	0	++	+
WUE007	++	++	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	?	-	0	--	--	0	0	++	++?
WUE008	+	++	0	0	+	+	-?	--?	+/-?	+	0	0	+	0	+	++/-	+

**Table A5.2: Summary of SA scores for employment site options**

SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
BER012	0	++	0	0	0	0	0?	-?	0	-?	0	--	--?	0	0	+	+
BER013	0	+	0	0	+	0	--?	?	0	+	0	--	++	0	+	++	++
BRI001	0	+	0	0	+	0	-?	-?	+/--?	+	--?	--	+	0	+	+	+
BRI006	0	+	0	0	+	0	-?	-?	+/--?	+	--?	--	+	0	+	+	+
BRI018	0	+	0	0	+	0	-?	-?	+/--?	+	--?	-	+	0	+	+	+
CAM002	0	+	0	0	+	0	0?	?	?	+	--?	--	+	0	+	+	+
CAM019	0	+/--?	0	0	+	0	--?	?	?	+	--?	--	+	0	+	+	+
CAM020	0	+	0	0	0	0	0?	?	0	+	--?	--	--?	0	0	+	+
EAS006	0	++	0	0	0	0	-?	--?	--	+	--?	--	--?	0	0	+	+
EAS007	0	++	0	0	0	0	-?	--?	--	+	--?	--	--?	0	0	++	++
EAS010	0	-	0	0	+	0	-?	--?	0	-?	--?	--	+	0	+	+	+
FRA003	0	-	0	0	+	0	-?	-?	0	+	--?	0	+	0	+	+	+
FRA C	0	-	0	0	+	0	-?	?	0	+	--?	0	+	0	+	+	+
HAR003	0	+	0	0	0	0	0?	?	?	+	0	-	--?	0	0	+	+
HAR006	0	+	0	0	+	0	0?	-?	?	+	0	--	+	0	+	+	+
HAR009	0	-	0	0	+	0	0?	--?	0	+	0	0	++	0	+	++	++
HFD007	0	+	0	0	+	0	0?	--?	0	+	0	--	+	0	+	+	+
HFD008	0	+	0	0	0	0	0?	?	0	+	0	--	--?	0	0	++	++
HFD009	0	+	0	0	0	0	0?	?	0	+	0	-	--?	0	0	+	+
MIN011	0	-	0	0	+	0	-?	--?	?	+	--?	0	+	0	+	+	+
MIN012	0	-	0	0	0	0	0?	--?	?	+	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+
NAI002	0	++	0	0	+	0	--?	--?	-/+?	+	--?	--	+	0	+	+	+

SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
NAI005	0	+	0	0	+	0	-?	--?	-	+	--?	--	+	0	+	+	+
NEW004	0	+	0	0	0	0	--?	?	0	+	0	--	--?	0	0	+	+
SWO001	0	++/--?	0	0	+	0	--?	-?	0	+	--?	--	+	0	+	+	+
STO001	0	+	0	0	0	0	-?	?	0	++	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+
STR012	0	++	0	0	+	0	-?	-?	+/--?	++	--?	0	+	0	+	+	+
STR027	0	+	0	0	0	0	0?	-?	0	++	--?	--	-	0	0	+	+
STR029	0	+	0	0	+	0	0?	-?	+/--?	++	--?	--	+	0	+	+	+
STR042	0	++	0	0	+	0	-?	-?	+/--?	+	--?	--	+	0	+	+	+
STR049	0	++	0	0	0	0	-?	-?	-	++	--?	--	--?	0	0	+	+
STR B2	0	++	0	0	+	0	-?	-?	+/--?	+	--?	--	+	0	+	+	+

**Table A5.3: Summary of SA scores for mixed use site options**

SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes/townsapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
BRI002	+	+/-	0	0	+	+	-?	-?	+/--?	+	--?	--	+	0	+?	+	+?
BRI003	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	-	+	--?	--	-	0	0	+	+?
BRI009	+	+/--?	0	0	+	+	--?	-?	+/--?	0	--?	--	+	0	+?	+?	+?
BRI021	+	+/-	0	0	+	+	-?	--?	+/--?	0	--?	--	+	0	+?	+?	+?
BRO002	++	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	-	--	0	--	--	0	0	++	++/--?
CAM014	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	+/-?	0	--?	-	--	0	0	+?	+/-?
CAM017	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	0	0	--?	--	--	0	0	+?	++?
CAM018	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	0	0	--?	--	--?	0	0	+	+?
CAM027	++	+/-	0	0	0	--	-?	--?	0	-	--?	--	--	0	0	+	+/-?
CAM D	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	0	--	--?	--	--?	0	0	+	+/-?
DUR003	+	++	0	0	+	++	-?	-?	+/-?	++	--?	0	+	0	+?	+	+?
DUR004	+	++	0	0	+	++	-?	-?	+/-?	++	--?	0	+	0	+?	+	+?
DUR018	+	++	0	0	+	++	-?	-?	--	++	--?	0	+	0	+?	+?	+?
EAS002	+	+/-	0	0	+	+	-?	--?	+/--?	--	--?	0	+	0	+?	+?	+/-?
EAS B2	+	+/-	0	0	+	+	-?	--?	+/--?	--	--?	0	+	0	+?	+?	+/-?
FRA002	+	++/--?	0	0	0	+	--?	--?	-	-	--?	-	--	0	0	+	+?
HAR013	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	0?	?	0	0	0	-	--?	0	0	+?	+/-?
KST007	+	++	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	?	+	--?	--	--	0	0	++	++?
MIN010	+	+/-	0	0	+	--	0?	--?	0	--	--?	0	+	0	+?	+?	+/-?
NPT002	+	+/-	0	0	0	-	-?	-?	?	--	0	-	--?	0	0	+	+/-?
NEW001	+	+/--?	0	0	+	+	--?	-?	+/--?	--	0	--	+	0	+?	+?	+?

SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity / geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes / townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
NEW002	++	++/--?	0	0	0	+	--?	--?	-	0	0	--	--?	0	0	++	++?
SAU002	+	+/-	0	0	+	--	-?	--?	+/--?	--	--?	--	+	0	+?	+?	+/-?
SLI002	++	+/-	0	0	0	0	-?	-?	0	0	--?	-	--	0	0	+	+?
SLI004	+	+/-	0	0	0	--	-?	-?	0	--	--?	-	--	0	0	+	+?
SLI005	++	+/-	0	0	0	--	-?	-?	0	--	--?	-	--	0	0	+	+?
SLI002, SLI004, SLI005	++	+/-	0	0	0	0	-?	-?	0	--	--?	-	--	0	0	++	++?
STN001	+	+/-	0	0	0	-	-?	-?	?	-	0	-	--?	0	0	+	+?
STO006	+	++	0	0	0	++	-?	-?	--	0	--?	--	--?	0	0	+	+?
STO016	++	+/-	0	0	0	--	-?	-?	0	--	--?	--	--?	0	0	+	+/-?
STR002	+	++/-	0	0	+	++	-?	?	+?/-	+	--?	0	++	0	+?	+	++?
STR004	+	++/--?	0	0	+	++	--?	?	+/--?	+	--?	--	+	0	+?	+?	++?
STR006	+	++	0	0	+	++	-?	-?	--	++	--?	--	+	0	+?	+?	++?
STR014	+	+/-	0	0	+	++	-?	-?	+/--?	++	--?	0	+	0	+?	+	+?
STR015	+	++	0	0	+	++	-?	-?	+/--?	++	--?	--	+	0	+?	+	+?
STR016	+	++	0	0	+	++	-?	-?	+	++	--?	--	+	0	+?	+?	+?
STR017	+	++	0	0	+	++	-?	-?	+/--?	++	--?	0	+	0	+?	+	+?
STR032	+	-/+	0	0	+	++	-?	-?	+?/-	+	--?	0	+	0	+?	+?	++?
WHI003	+	-/+	0	0	+	+	-?	--?	?	-	--?	0	+	0	+?	+?	+/-?
WHI007	+	-/+	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	-	--	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	++/-?

**Table A5.4: Summary of SA findings for community and retail use site options**

SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
EAS007	0	+?	0	0	0	0	-?	--?	--	-?	--?	--	--?	0	0	+	+?
NAI003	0	+?	0	0	0	0	--?	--?	?	?	--?	--	-	0	0	+	+?
STR009	0	0	0	0	+	++	-?	--?	?	+	--?	-	-	0	0	+	+?
WUE009	0	0	+	0	0	+	-?	-?	?	-?	0	-	--?	0	0	0	++

**Table A5.5: Summary of SA scores for open space site options**

SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/geo diversity	SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
BER001	0	+	0	0	+	0	+	+?	+?	0	0	++	0	0	0	0	0
BER008	0	+	0	0	+	0	+	+?	+?	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0
CHA002	0	+	0	0	+	0	+	+?	+?	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0
STO005	0	+	0	0	+	0	+	+?	+?	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0
STO011	0	+	0	0	+	0	+	+?	+?	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0
STR028	0	+	0	0	+	0	+	+?	+?	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0

**Table A5.6: Summary of SA scores for site options considered following the Emerging Strategy Paper consultation (prior to consultation on the Draft Local Plan)**

SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity / geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes/ townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
BER015 (Res.)	+	-	0	0	0	--	0?	-?	?	0	0	-	--?	0	0	--	-?
CAM028 (Res.)	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	0	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+?
CAM029 (Mixed use)	+	++/-	+	0	+	++	0?	--?	-	+	--?	-	--?	0	0	++	+?
EAS016 (Res.)	+	-	0	0	+	--	0?	-?	0	--	0	0	+	0	+?	--	-?
EAS017 (Res.)	+	++/-	0	0	0	--	0?	-?	-	0	--?	0	--?	0	0	+	+?
EAS017 (Emp.)	0	++	0	0	0	0	0?	-?	-	+	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+
EAS018 (Res.)	+	++/-	0	0	0	++	0?	-?	--	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	++	+?
EAS019 (Res.)	+	++/-	0	0	0	++	0?	-?	0	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	++	+?
EAS20 (Res.)	+	+/-	0	0	0	--	0?	--?	0	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	-	-?
FRO001 (Res.)	+	+/-	0	0	+	--	0?	--?	--	--	--?	0	+	0	+?	--	-?
HAR015 (Res.)	++	+/-	0	0	0	--	0?	--?	--	--	0	-	--?	0	0	+	-?
HAR016 (Res.)	++	+/-	0	0	0	--	0?	--?	--	--	0	-	--?	0	0	+	-?
HFD010 (Res.)	+	+/-	0	0	+	--	0?	--?	?	-	0	0	+	0	+?	--	-?
HFD011 (Empl.)	0	+	0	0	0	0	0?	--?	--	+	0	-	--?	0	0	++	++
HFD012 (Empl.)	0	+	0	0	0	0	0?	--?	0	+	0	-	--	0	0	++	++
HOR003 (Res.)	+	+/-	0	0	0	--	0?	--?	?	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+?
KIN012 (Empl.)	0	+	0	0	0	0	0?	--?	--	+	0	-	--?	0	0	++	++



SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
KIN013 (Res.)	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	0?	--?	-	0	0	-	--?	0	0	+	+++?
KIN014 (Res.)	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	0?	--?	0	0	0	-	--?	0	0	+	+++?
KST008 (Res.)	+	++/-	0	0	+	+	-?	--?	-	0	--?	0	+	0	+?	--	+?
KST009 (Res.)	+	++/-	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	--	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+?
KST010 (Res.)	+	+/-	0	0	0	--	-?	--?	0	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+?
LEO008 (Res.)	+	+/-	0	0	0	+	0?	-?	-	0	--?	-	--	0	0	--	+?
LEO009 (Res.)	+	+?/--	0	0	0	+	0?	-?	0	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+?
MID002 (Res.)	+	++/--?	0	0	0	-	-?	--?	--	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+?
MIN014 (Res.)	+	+	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	--	0	--?	-	-	0	0	+	+?
MIN015 (Res.)	+	++	0	0	+	+	-?	--?	0	0	--?	0	+	0	+?	+	+?
MIN016 (Res.)	+	++	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	0	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+?
MIN017 (Res.)	+	+?/--	0	0	+	+	-?	--?	0	0	--?	0	+	0	+?	+	+?
NAI013 (Res.)	+	++/--?	0	0	0	+	--?	--?	0	0	--?	-	-	0	0	++	-?
NEW002 a (Mixed use)	++	+?/--	0	0	0	--	--?	--?	0	+	0	-	--?	0	0	++	++
NEW007 (Res.)	+	+/-	0	0	0	--	-?	?	0	0	0	--	--?	0	0	+	+?
NIB004 (Res.)	+	++/--?	0	0	0	0	--?	--?	-	0	0	-	--	0	0	--	+?
NIB005 (Res.)	+	++/-	0	0	0	0	-?	--?	-	-	0	-	--?	0	0	--	+?

SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
NPT003 (Empl.)	0	+	0	0	+	0	0?	-?	0	+	0	0	+	0	+?	+	+
NPT004 (Res.)	+	+/-	0	0	+	--	0?	-?	?	--	0	0	+	0	+?	--	-?
PAI013 (Res.)	+	++/--?	0	0	0	+	--?	--?	0	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+?
SLI006 (Mixed use)	+	+/-	0	0	0	-	0?	-?	0	-	--?	-	--	0	0	+?	+?
SLI007 (Mixed use)	+	++/-	+	0	+	+	0?	-?	0	0	--?	-	--	0	0	+	+?
STN004 (Mixed use)	+	+/-	+	0	+	--	0?	-?	?	--	0	-	--	0	0	+	+?
STO021 (Res.)	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	0?	-?	0	--	--?	-	--?	0	0	++	-?
STO022 (Res.)	+	+?/--	0	0	0	++	--?	--?	0	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+?
STO023 (Res.)	+	+	0	0	+	++	0?	--?	0	+	--?	0	+	0	+?	+	+++?
STO023 (Empl.)	0	+	0	0	+	0	0?	--?	0	++	--?	0	+	0	+?	+	+
STO024 (Res.)	++	+/-	0	0	0	++	0?	-?	0	--	--?	-	--	0	0	++	-?
STR058 (Res.)	+	+/-	0	0	+	++	-?	-?	0	0	--?	0	+	0	+?	+	+++?
STR059 (Res.)	+	+/--?	0	0	+	++	--?	-?	0	0	--?	0	+	0	+?	+	+++?
STR060 (Res.)	+	++/-	0	0	+	++	-?	-?	0	+	--?	0	+	0	+?	++	+?
STR061 (Res.)	+	+	0	0	+	++	-?	?	0	++	--?	0	+	0	+?	++	+?
STR062 (Res.)	+	+/-	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	0	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+?
UPT013 (Res.)	+	+/-	0	0	0	0	0?	--?	0	-	0	-	--?	0	0	--	+?
UPT014 (Res.)	+	+	0	0	0	++	--?	--?	0	--	0	-	--?	0	0	--	+?

SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
WHI010 (Res.)	+	++/-	0	0	0	+	0?	--?	0	-	0	-	--?	0	0	-	+
WUE010 (Res.)	+	+	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	-	-	0	-	--?	0	0	+	++?

**Table A5.7 Summary of SA scores for new site options considered following the Draft Plan (November 2019) including those included as part of the Additional Housing Option consultation (October 2020)**

SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
BER016 (Res.)	+	++	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	0	0	0	--	--?	0	0	+	+?
BER017 (Res.)	+	+	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	0	0	0	-	--?	0	0	+	+?
BRI023 (Mixed Use)	+	-/+	0	0	+	--	-?	--?	?	0	--?	0	+	0	+?	+	+?
CAM030 (Res.)	+	-/+	0	0	0	++	-?	-?	?	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	++	-?
CAM031 (Res.)	+	-/+	0	0	0	++	-?	-?	-	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	++	-?
CAM032 (Res.)	+	-/+	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	?	+	--?	-	--?	0	0	++	+?
CAM033 (Res.)	+	-/+	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	?	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	++	+?
DUR024 (Res.)	+	-/+	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	-	0	--?	-	-	0	0	+	+?
EAS021 (Res.)	+	-/+	0	0	0	--	-?	-?	?	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	-	-?
EAS022 (Res.)	+	-/+	0	0	+	--	-?	-?	-	--	--?	0	+	0	+?	+	-?
HAR017 (Res.)	+	-/+	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	-	+	0	-	--?	0	0	--	+?
HAR018 (Res.)	+	-/+	0	0	0	--	-?	-?	--	--	0	-	--?	0	0	+	-?
HAR019 (Empl.)	0	+	0	0	+	0	0?	--?	0	+	0	0	++	0	+	++	++
HAR020 (Res.)	+	-/+	0	0	0	--	-?	--?	?	-	0	-	--?	0	0	--	-?
HFD013 (Res.)	+	--/+	0	0	0	-	--?	--?	?	--	0	-	--?	0	0	--	+?
HOR004 (Res.)	+	-/+	0	0	0	--	-?	--?	?	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+?
KINO15 (Res.)	+	-/+	0	0	0	--	0?	--?	?	0	0	--	--?	0	0	+	+?
NAI014 (Res.)	+	-/+	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	?	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+?

SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
NYM003 (Res.)	+	-/+	0	0	0	-	-?	--?	?	-	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+?
PAI014 (Res.)	+	-/+++	0	0	0	+	-?	--?	?	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	+?
STO025 (Res.)	+	++	0	0	+	++	-?	?	-/+?	+	--?	0	+	0	+?	++/--	-?
STR063 (Res.)	+	-/+	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	-	0	--?	-	-	0	0	++	+?
STR064 (Res.)	+	-/+	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	?	+	--?	-	--?	0	0	++	+?
STR065 (Mixed Use)	+	++	0	0	+	++	-?	-?	0/+?	++	--?	0	+	0	+?	++	+?
STR066 (Res.)	+	++	0	0	0	++	-?	--?	?	++	--?	-	--?	0	0	++	+?
WHI011 (Mixed use)	++	-/+++	0	0	0	+	-?	-	-	--?	--?	-	--	0	0	++	-?/+++?
WHI012 (Res.)	+	-/+++	0	0	0	+	-?	-?	?	-	0	-	--?	0	0	--	+?
WHI013 (open space)	0	+	0	0	+	0	+	+?	+?	0	0	++	0	0	0	0	0
WHI014 (PGP1) (Mixed Use)	++	-/+++	0	0	++	++	-?	--?	-	-	--?	-	--	0	0	++	+++?
HAR006-HAR009 and HAR015-HAR016 (PGP2) (Mixed Use)	++	-/+++	0	0	++	++/--	-?	--?	--	--	0	-	--	0	0	+	+/-?

# Residential site options

## BER002: Garage court r/o Marybrook Street car park, Berkeley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 5 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	This site is located within 400m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is located within 400m of cycle route and a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 57 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Rigestate, Station Road).
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

### BER003: Former Berkeley hospital site, Berkeley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 12 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	This site is located within 400m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is located within 400m of cycle route and a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 57 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Rigestate, Station Road).
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## BER004: Land to the rear of Canonbury Street, Berkeley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 188 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	This site is located within 400m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is located within 400m of cycle route and a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 250m of Berkeley Heath Water Meadows KWS and within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 57 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Rigestate, Station Road) and is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.



## BER005: Land off Fitzhardinge Way, Berkeley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 45 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	This site is located within 400m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is located within 400m of cycle route and a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 57 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Rigestate, Station Road) and is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## BER006: Land north of Berkeley and south west of bypass, Berkeley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 110 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	This site is located between 400m and 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is located within 400m of cycle route and a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 57 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Rigestate, Station Road) and is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## BER011: Land between B4066 and Station Road

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 50 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	This site is located between 400m and 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is located within 400m of cycle route and a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is 250m of Tintock Wood (including Pitbrook Brake & Penny Grove) KWS and within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 57 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Rigestate, Station Road) and is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## BER014: Land East of Berkeley Heath Motors filling station, Berkeley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 8 homes.
SA 2: Health	-	This site is not located within close proximity of a GP, an area of open space, or a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not at or adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is located outside of Berkeley).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 52. The work identified the area as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500-5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at eight homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not assessed in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 79 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## BIS001: Graduate Gardeners, Bisley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 10 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a tier 3b settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium/low sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 74 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## BIS002: Land off Calfway Lane, Bisley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 5 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a tier 3b settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site scored 1 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 74 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## BRI004: Land off Dalloway, Brimscombe

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 78 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space, a council play area and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and Minchinhampton and Rodborough Common SSSIs. It is also within 250m of a number of KWSs.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not assessed in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 66 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Phoenix Industrial Estate; Hope Mills Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## BRI005: Land north-east of London Road, Brimscombe

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 10 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space, a council play area and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and Minchinhampton and Rodborough Common SSSIs. It is also within 250m of a number of KWSs.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not assessed in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 59 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Phoenix Industrial Estate; Hope Mills Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.



## BRI007: Land south of Bourne Lane, Brimscombe

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 25 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space, a council play area and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and Minchinhampton and Rodborough Common SSSIs. The site is also within 250m of Hillsdon Meadows KWS, Stroudwater Canal - Brimscombe Port KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium – medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 63 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Phoenix Industrial Estate; Hope Mills Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## BRI008: Brimscombe Mills & Mill Pond, Brimscombe

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 30 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space, a council play area and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and Minchinhampton and Rodborough Common SSSIs. The site is also within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Brimscombe Port KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 62 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Phoenix Industrial Estate; Hope Mills Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

**BRI010: Brimscombe Farm, Brimscombe**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 20 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space, a council play area and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and Minchinhampton and Rodborough Common SSSIs. The site is also within 250m of Hillsdon Meadows KWS, Stroudwater Canal - Brimscombe Port KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 58 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Hope Mills Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## BRI011: Dockyard Works, Brimscombe

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 30 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also within 250m of Hillsdon Meadows KWS, Stroudwater Canal - Brimscombe Port KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 66 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Hope Mills Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## BRI012: Val D'Or Works, Brimscombe

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 20 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also within 250m of Knapp Farm Meadows and Alder Grove KWS, Blackness Banks KWS, Stroudwater Canal - Brimscombe Port KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	-/+?	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 64 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

### BRI013: Queens Court, Brimscombe

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 30 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also within 250m of Knapp Farm Meadows and Alder Grove KWS, Blackness Banks KWS, Stroudwater Canal - Brimscombe Port KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 61 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## BRI014: Land North of Queens Court, Brimscombe

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 33 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also within 250m of several KWSs.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 63 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## BRI015: Land east of Toadsmoor Road, Brimscombe

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 21 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also within 250m of Lawrenceland KWS, Blackness Banks KWS, and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 66 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.



## BRI016: Land at Gussage Mill, Brimscombe

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 8 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also within 250m of Lawrenceland KWS, Blackness Banks KWS, and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 65 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## BRI017: Land at Bowbridge Lock, Brimscombe

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 67 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. The site is also within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Bowbridge and Thrupp KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 55 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Phoenix Industrial Estate; Griffin Mills Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## BRI019: Quarry Hill Farm Fields, Brimscombe

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 42 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. The site is also within 250m of Claypits Wood (South) KWS, Stroudwater Canal - Bowbridge and Thrupp KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 54 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Phoenix Industrial Estate; Griffin Mills Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## BRI020: Field on the east side of London Road, Brimscombe

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 60 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. The site is also within 250m of Claypits Wood (South) KWS, Stroudwater Canal - Bowbridge and Thrupp KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 55 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Phoenix Industrial Estate; Griffin Mills Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## BRI022: Lakeside Depot, Brimscombe

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 20 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and Minchinhampton and Rodborough Common SSSIs. The site is also within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Bowbridge and Thrupp KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 58 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Phoenix Industrial Estate; Hope Mills Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## BRO001: Land south east of Pear Tree Cottage, Brookthorpe

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 9 homes.
SA 2: Health	-	This site is not located within close proximity of a GP, an area of open space, or a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is located outside of Brookthorpe).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is however within 250m of Upper Wells Fields KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 79 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## CAM001: Cam Sports Club, Everlands, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 89 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace, but also contains a green space and a protected outdoor playspace which could be lost to development. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m-1km of Stinchcombe Hill SSSI. It is also within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. There are existing GI assets (a green space and a protected outdoor playspace) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 58 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Cam Mills, Everlands) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

### CAM003: Upthorpe Farm, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 300 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 67 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Cam Mills, Everlands) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.



## CAM004: 14 St. George's Close, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 8 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 53 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Cam Mills, Everlands; Littlecombe Business Park) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

## CAM005: Land east of Old Court, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 25 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 53 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Littlecombe Business Park) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing secondary school but is not within 800m of an existing primary school.

## CAM006: Land south of Old Court, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 50 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 53 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Littlecombe Business Park) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing secondary school but is not within 800m of an existing primary school.

## CAM007: Land at Norman Hill playing fields, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 32 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains a green space which could be lost to development. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m-1km of Stinchcombe Hill SSSI. It is also within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. There is an existing GI asset (green space) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 51 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Cam Mills, Everlands; Littlecombe Business Park) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

## CAM008: Land adjacent to Tilsdown House, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 16 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Stinchcombe Hill SSSI. It is also within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 54 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of key employment sites (Cam Mills, Everlands; Draycott / Middle Mill Industrial Estate).
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## CAM009: 4 Tiltdown/ Indoor & Outdoor, Tiltdown, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 11 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Stinchcombe Hill SSSI. It is also within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 54 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+/--	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of key employment sites (Cam Mills, Everlands; Draycott / Middle Mill Industrial Estate). The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## CAM010: Land off Elstub Lane, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 215 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Stinchcombe Hill SSSI. It is also within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 60 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Draycott / Middle Mill Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## CAM011: Land parcel to south west of Manor Close, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 100 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium/low – high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 63 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Draycott / Middle Mill Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.



### CAM013: Land west of Draycott Crescent, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 375 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium/low sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 71 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 and Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Draycott / Middle Mill Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## CAM015: Land west of Cam and Dursley Train Station, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 30 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not at or adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Cam).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 65 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Draycott / Middle Mill Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## CAM016: Land north east of Box Road Avenue, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 90 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not at or adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Cam).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 69 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Draycott / Middle Mill Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## CAM021: Land east of Court House Gardens, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 265 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 57 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Cam Mills, Everlands; Draycott / Middle Mill Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## CAM022: Street Farm, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 28 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 52 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Littlecombe Business Park) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

## CAM023: Land adjacent to Orchard Leaze, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 5 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 69 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## CAM024: Land at Bowlers Lea, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 50 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 57 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Draycott / Middle Mill Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## CAM025: Land NW Cam, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 175 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not at or adjoin a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Cam).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium/low sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 90 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 and Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Draycott / Middle Mill Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.



## CAM026: Land west of A4135 Draycott, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 210 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium/low sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 65 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 and Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Draycott / Middle Mill Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## Broad Location CAM A: South west of Manor Close, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 146 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium/low sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	Most of this broad area lies on site which was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 66 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is mostly within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Draycott / Middle Mill Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## Broad Location CAM E: East of River Cam, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 265 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This location was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	Much of the site scored 83 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Cam Mills, Everlands; Draycott / Middle Mill Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## CBR002: Land west of Greenacre, Cambridge

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 16 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 68 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

### CBR003: Land rear of Caterham House, Cambridge

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 3 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 49. This work identified the area as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at three homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 70 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## CHA001: Land west of Middle Hill, Chalford

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 20 homes.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is located between 400m and 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is however within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 59 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Chalford Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

## COA001: Betworthy Farm, Coaley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 19 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a tier 3b settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 88 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## COA002: Land at Coaley, Coaley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 5 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a tier 3b settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 88 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.



## Broad Location COA A: Land between Betworthy Farm and The Close, Coaley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 19 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a tier 3b settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This location scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 80 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## CRA001: Simmonds Hall Farm Field, Cranham

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 51 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not at or adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Cranham).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	This site is within 250m of the Cotswold Commons and Beechwoods SSSI and NNR. It is also within 250m-1km of Cotswold Beechwoods SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 86 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## DUR001: Land behind Kingshill House, Dursley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 12 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains all of these features which could be lost to development. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m-1km of Stinchcombe Hill SSSI. It is also within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. There are existing GI assets (a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site scored 49 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Littlecombe Business Park) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

## DUR002: Land off Acacia Drive/ Oak Drive, Dursley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 15 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains a council play area which could be lost to development. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m-1km of Stinchcombe Hill SSSI. It is also within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. There is an existing GI asset (council play area) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 50 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Littlecombe Business Park) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

## DUR005: Land north of Brownings Lane, Dursley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 10 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is located between 400m and 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Trail route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 51 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Littlecombe Business Park) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

## DUR006: 13 and 23 Bull Pitch, Dursley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 5 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is located between 400m and 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Trail route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 50 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Littlecombe Business Park).
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

## DUR007: Henlow House/ 54 - 60 Silver Street, Dursley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 20 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is located within 400m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Trail route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site scored 48 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+/--	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Littlecombe Business Park). The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing secondary school but is not within 800m of an existing primary school.

## DUR008: 18 Woodmancote, Dursley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 10 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is located between 400m and 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Trail route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is however within 250m of Hermitage Wood KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	-/+?	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 51 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Littlecombe Business Park).
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.



## DUR009: Land at 27 - 29 Uley Road, Dursley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 20 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is located between 400m and 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Trail route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 57 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## DUR010: Land south and east of Downham View, Durlsey

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 50 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is however within 250m of Dursley Woods KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 69 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## DUR011: Land at Castle Stream Farm, Dursley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 15 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is however within 250m of Dursley Woods KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 79 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## DUR012: Castle Stream Farm, Dursley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 10 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not at or adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Dursley).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is however within 250m of Dursley Woods KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 72 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## DUR013: Land east of Shakespeare Road, Dursley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 100 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is however within 250m of Gravelpits Wood KWS and Dursley Woods KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 67 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## DUR014: Recreation ground east of School Road, Dursley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 38 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains all of these features which could be lost to development. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. There are existing GI assets (a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 69 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## DUR017: The Old Dairy/ Land off Prospect Place, Dursley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 12 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is located within 400m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Trail route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Stinchcombe Hill SSSI and within 250m of Hermitage Wood KWS. It is also within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site scored 47 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Littlecombe Business Park) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing secondary school but is not within 800m of an existing primary school.

## DUR020: Blackboys Farm, Dursley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 322 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Trail route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Stinchcombe Hill SSSI and within 250m of Sheep Path, Westfield and Bownace Woods KWS. It is also within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 52 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Littlecombe Business Park) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.



## DUR021: Land to the rear of the police station, Dursley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 10 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains a green space which could be lost to development. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Stinchcombe Hill SSSI. It is also within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. There is an existing GI asset (green space) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site scored 49 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Littlecombe Business Park) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

**DUR022: 11/11a May Lane, Dursley**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 5 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is located within 400m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Trail route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Stinchcombe Hill SSSI. The site is within 250m of Sheep Path, Westfield and Bownace Woods KWS and Hermitage Wood KWS. It is also within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site scored 47 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Littlecombe Business Park).
SA 17: Economic growth	+	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing secondary school but is not within 800m of an existing primary school.

## Broad Location DUR A: North of Ganzell Lane, Dursley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 50 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is however within 250m of Gravelpits Wood KWS and Dursley Woods KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This location was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	Much of the site scored 85 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## EAS001: Land to rear of Alkerton garage, Eastington

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 155 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 72 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Meadow Mill Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## EAS003: Alkerton Farm, Eastington

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 40 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains all of these features which could be lost to development. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of Wickster's Brook and Ditch (part) KWS. There are existing GI assets (a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium – high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 72 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Meadow Mill Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## EAS004: Land south east of Swallowcroft, Eastington

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 120 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium/low sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 72 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Meadow Mill Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## EAS005: Land to north of Millend Land, Eastington

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 24 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 70 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Meadow Mill Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## EAS007: Land at Junction 13 of the M5, Eastington

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site has capacity for 1263 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Eastington).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Stonehouse KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium/low – medium – high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 73 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Meadow Mill Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.



## EAS008: Land at Chipmans Platt, Eastington

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 32 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Eastington).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Stonehouse KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium – high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 71 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Meadow Mill Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## EAS009: Land west of Nupend, Eastington

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 371 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is not located within 800m of an open space. The site is located within 400m of a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Eastington).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of Mole Grove KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 83 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of key employment sites (Meadow Mill Industrial Estate; Stroudwater Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## EAS011: Land at Nupend (Parcel A), Eastington

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 135 homes.
SA 2: Health	-	This site is not located within close proximity of a GP, an area of open space, or a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Eastington).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 83 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Stroudwater Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## EAS012: Land at South View, Eastington

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 6 homes.
SA 2: Health	-	This site is not located within close proximity of a GP, an area of open space, or a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Eastington).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 87 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Stroudwater Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## EAS013: Land at Westend Farm, Eastington

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 140 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is not located within 800m of an open space. The site is located within 400m of a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Eastington).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of Mole Grove KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 80 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Meadow Mill Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## EAS014: Land north of Westend Farm, Eastington

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site has capacity for 1049 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is not located within 800m of an open space. The site is located within 400m of a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Eastington).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of Mole Grove KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 98 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of key employment sites (Meadow Mill Industrial Estate; Stroudwater Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## EAS015: Land at Claypits

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 77 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Eastington).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 47. The work identified the area as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at 77 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 71 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## Broad Location EAS A: South east of Swallowcroft, Eastington

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 45 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium/low sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This location scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 89 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Meadow Mill Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.



## Broad Location EAS B1: South of Alkerton:South of Bath Road, Eastington

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 40 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 89 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Meadow Mill Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## FRA001: Old Dairy site, Frampton

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 21 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is located within 400m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Cycle Network route and a cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Frampton Pools SSSI. It is also within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 74 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Frampton Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

#### FRA004: Fields northwest of Whitminster Lane, Frampton

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 80 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is located within 400m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Cycle Network route and a cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Frampton Pools SSSI and within 250m of Saul Gravel Pits KWS and Gloucester and Sharpness Canal KWS. It is also within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 74 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Frampton Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## FRL001: Land south of Bakers Farm, France Lynch

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 5 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of France Lynch).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Strawberry Banks SSSI. It is also within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 75 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## HAR001: Land at Hardwicke, Hardwicke

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site has capacity for 1500 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains a green space and a protected outdoor playspace which could be lost to development. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of Gloucester and Sharpness Canal KWS. There are existing GI assets (a green space and a protected outdoor playspace) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium/low – medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 70 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Quedgeley West; Hunts Grove / Quadrant Distribution Centre) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## HAR002: Land at Church Lane, Hardwicke

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 24 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is separate from the main built up area of Hardwicke).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of Gloucester and Sharpness Canal KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 78 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Quedgeley West) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## HAR004: SA4 Hunts Grove Extension, Hardwicke

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 750 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement, however it adjoins the committed Hunts Grove development area outlined in the adopted Local Plan for the Hunts Grove Extension. As set out in adopted Local Plan and Settlement Role and Function Study Update 2018 Hunts Grove is anticipated to become a Tier 2 settlement, however, this will only be the case once development is completed.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 75 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Quedgeley West; Quedgeley Trading Estate East; Hunts Grove / Quadrant Distribution Centre) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## HAR005: Land East of Waterwells Business Park, Hardwicke

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 23 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 49. The work identified the area as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small urban extension (500-1,500 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at 23 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 77 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Hunts Grove / Quadrant Distribution Centre) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.



## HAR007: Land at Hiltmead Lane, Hardwicke

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site has capacity for 823 homes.
SA 2: Health	-	This site is not located within close proximity of a GP, an area of open space, or a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is separate from the main built up area of Hardwicke).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Council's Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 41. This work identified area 41 as having medium-high sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at 823 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the significant negative effect identified. The site is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 89 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 and Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Quedgeley Trading Estate East; Javelin Park) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## HAR008: Land at Parkend Farm, Hardwicke

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 312 homes.
SA 2: Health	-	This site is not located within close proximity of a GP, an area of open space, or a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is separate from the main built up area of Hardwicke).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Council's Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 41. This work identified area 41 as having medium-high sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at 312 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the significant negative effect identified. The site is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 83 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Javelin Park) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## HAR010: Land on east side of M5 at Moreton Valence, Hardwicke

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 247 homes.
SA 2: Health	-	This site is not located within close proximity of a GP, an area of open space, or a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is separate from the main built up area of Hardwicke).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Council's Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 40. This work identified area 40 as having medium-high sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at 247 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the significant negative effect identified. The site is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 97 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 and Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Javelin Park) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## HAR011: Land on west side of M5 at Moreton Valence, Hardwicke

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 62 homes.
SA 2: Health	-	This site is not located within close proximity of a GP, an area of open space, or a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is separate from the main built up area of Hardwicke).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Council's Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 41. This work identified area 41 as having medium-high sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at 62 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the significant negative effect identified. The site is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 96 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## HAR012: Land at M5 Junction 12, Hardwicke

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 19 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is separate from the main built up area of Hardwicke).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 78 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Quedgeley West; Quedgeley Trading Estate East; Javelin Park) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## HAR014: Mayos Land Phase 3, Hardwicke

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 20 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium/low sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 58 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Quedgeley West; Hunts Grove / Quadrant Distribution Centre) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## HFD001: Land west of the railway, Haresfield

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 25 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 87 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Quedgeley Trading Estate East) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of Haresfield C of E Primary School but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## HFD002: Land to east of Round House Farm, Haresfield

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 12 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 86 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Quedgeley Trading Estate East) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.



### HFD003: Land north of Starsmead House, Haresfield

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 34 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 87 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Quedgeley Trading Estate East) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## HFD004: Land to north of Merryfields & Upper Green Cottage, Haresfield

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 28 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 87 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Quedgeley Trading Estate East) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## HFD005: Land south and east of Haresfield Playing Field, Haresfield

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 80 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 88 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## HFD006: Former Walled Garden to Haresfield court, Haresfield

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 14 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 89 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Javelin Park) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## HIL001: Land to the west of Hawkesbury Road, Hillesley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 42 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Yarley Meadows SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 87 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 and Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## HOR001: Land west of St Martin's Church, Horsley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 3 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a tier 3b settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Kingscote and Horsley Woods SSSI. It is also located within 250m of Horsley Wood Banks KWS and Sandgrove Cottages and Hartley Bridge Wood KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 76 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## HOR002: Fields south of Wormwood Hill, Horsley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 5 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a tier 3b settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Kingscote and Horsley Woods SSSI. It is also located within 250m of Horsley Wood Banks KWS, Hartley Bridge Wood (Park Wood) KWS and Sandgrove Cottages and Hartley Bridge Wood KWS
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 70 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## Broad Location HOR A: South of The Street, Horsley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 3 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a tier 3b settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Kingscote and Horsley Woods SSSI. It is also located within 250m of Sandgrove Cottages and Hartley Bridge Wood KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This location was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 77 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.



## KST001: Land to the north of Kings Stanley Primary School, Kings Stanley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 15 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is however located within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium – high sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 68 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Ryeford Industrial Area) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## KST002: Land opposite King's Stanley Primary School, Kings Stanley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 100 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/--?	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Cycle Network route but also contains a National Trail which could be lost to development.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m-1km of Selsey Common SSSI and within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS. It is also within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. There is an existing GI asset (National Trail) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 68 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Ryeford Industrial Area) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

### KST003: Land to rear of Borough Close, Kings Stanley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 20 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is however located within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium – high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 67 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## KST004: Land rear of 24-30 Borough Close, Kings Stanley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 10 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is however located within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 67 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## KST006: Rear of Borough Close, Kings Stanley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 16 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is however located within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 67 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## KIN001: Land north of Pennwood Lodge, Kingswood

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 131 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is however located within 250m of Nind Trout Farm and Ozleworth Brook KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 59 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Abbey Mill Industrial Area; Orchestra Works) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

## KIN002: Land south of Vineyard Lane, Kingswood

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 71 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is however located within 250m of Nind Trout Farm and Ozleworth Brook KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 60 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Abbey Mill Industrial Area; Orchestra Works) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

### KIN003: Land south of 13 & 15 Hillesley Road, Kingswood

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 21 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 64 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Orchestra Works) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.



#### KIN004: Land at Hillesley Road, Kingswood

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 50 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 64 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Abbey Mill Industrial Area; Orchestra Works) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## KIN005: Land at Cloverlea Barn, Kingswood

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 62 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 64 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Abbey Mill Industrial Area; Orchestra Works) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## KIN007: Land off Charfield Road, Kingswood

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 95 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 60 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Abbey Mill Industrial Area; Orchestra Works) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

## KIN008: Land north of Charfield Road, Kingswood

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 150 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Kingswood).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 74 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Abbey Mill Industrial Area; Renishaw, New Mills; Orchestra Works) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

## KIN009: Neathwood Yard, Kingswood

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 6 homes.
SA 2: Health	-	This site is not located within close proximity of a GP, an area of open space, or a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Kingswood).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 55. This work identified area 55 as having medium-high sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 – 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a high level of growth than the capacity of the site at 6 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the significant negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 81 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## KIN010: Land and yard at Walk Mill Lane, Kingswood

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 100 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is however located within 250m of Nind Trout Farm and Ozleworth Brook KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 64 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+/--	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Abbey Mill Industrial Area; Orchestra Works) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## KIN011: Land south of Westfield House, Kingswood

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 10 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 64 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Abbey Mill Industrial Area; Orchestra Works) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## LE0001: Land at Bath Road, Leonard Stanley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 15 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is also located within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 61 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 and Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of key employment sites (Upper Mills Industrial Estate; Ryeford Industrial Area) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.



## LE0002: Brimley, Leonard Stanley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 97 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Trail route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also located within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 65 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of key employment sites (Upper Mills Industrial Estate; Ryeford Industrial Area) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## LE0004: Land to the north of Bath Road, Leonard Stanley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 60 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also located within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 66 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 and Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Upper Mills Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## LE0005: Land at East Grange, Leonard Stanley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 120 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also located within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 63 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 and Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Upper Mills Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## LE0007: Land at Leonard Stanley, Leonard Stanley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 28 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also located within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 67 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Ryeford Industrial Area) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## Broad Location LEO B: Severn Waters, Leonard Stanley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 60 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also located within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 68 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 and Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## MAN001: Manor Farm, Manor Village

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 5 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 58 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

## MAN002: Land at Middle Hill, Eastcombe, Manor Village

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 18 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	The site is not located between 400m and 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspac but also contains a green space which could be lost to development. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. There is an existing GI asset (green space) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 59 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

## MAN003: Frith Wood House & Cottage, Manor Village

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 19 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is located within 400m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also located within 250m of Frith Wood (Bussage) KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 65 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Chalford Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.



## Broad Location MAN A: East of Middle Hill, Manor Village

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 18 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains both of these features which could be lost to development. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. There are existing GI assets (a green space and a protected outdoor playspace) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium – high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 64 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

## MID001: Land north of Coldwell Close, Middleyard

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 34 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Selsey Common SSSI and within 250m of River Frome Mainstream & Tributaries KWS. It is also within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 69 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## MIN001: Land off 17 Butt Street, Minchinhampton

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 34 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/--?	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains a greenspace which could be lost to development. There is a cycle route within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Minchinhampton Common SSSI. It is also within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. There is an existing GI asset (green space) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 62 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## MIN002: Land at The Knapp, Minchinhampton

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 24 homes.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Minchinhampton Common SSSI and within 250m of Besbury Common KWS. It is also within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 64 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

### MIN003: Land East of Besbury Park, Minchinhampton

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 78 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. It is also within 250m of Besbury Common KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 72 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

#### MIN004: Field 0013, Cirencester Road, Minchinhampton

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 50 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 71 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## MIN005: Land at Glebe Farm, Minchinhampton

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 105 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle route within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Minchinhampton Common SSSI. It is also within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 66 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## MIN006: The Lemon Field, Minchinhampton

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 12 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle route within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Minchinhampton Common SSSI. It is also within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 61 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.



## MIN007: Land off Common Road, Minchinhampton

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 228 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains a council play area and a protected outdoor space which could be lost to development. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Minchinhampton Common SSSI and within 250m-1km of Box Farm Meadows SSSI. It is also within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. There are existing GI assets (a council play area and a protected outdoor space) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium – high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 66 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## MIN008: Seymour House/ Westfield Cottage, Minchinhampton

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 5 homes.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Minchinhampton Common SSSI and within 250m-1km of Box Farm Meadows SSSI and Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 69 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## MIN009: Field north east of Deans Quarry, Minchinhampton

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 11 homes.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Minchenhampton).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Minchinhampton Common SSSI and within 250m-1km of Box Farm Meadows SSSI and Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 63 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Hope Mills Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

### MIN013: Land to rear of Tranquility, Houndscroft, Minchinhampton

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 26 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Minchenhampton).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI and Minchinhampton Common SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 82 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of key employment sites (Phoenix Industrial Estate; Griffin Mills Industrial Estate; Hope Mills Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## NAI001: Garden land on the west side of Wood Lane, Nailsworth

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 9 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Minchinhampton Common SSSI and within 250m of Nailsworth Brook KWS. The site is also located within 3.0km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 56 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone and a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Nailsworth Mill Industrial Estate; Spring Mill Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

#### NAI004: Between Old Bristol Rd & Bath Rd, Nailsworth

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 8 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Minchinhampton Common SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 57 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone and a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Nailsworth Mill Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## NAI006: Land between Worley Ridge & New Market Rd, Nailsworth

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 10 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Minchinhampton Common SSSI. The site is also located within 3.0km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 55 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Nailsworth Mill Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## NAI007: Land adjacent to Lower Newmarket Road, Nailsworth

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 9 homes.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Woodchester Park SSSI and within 250m of Bunting Hill KWS. The site is also located within 3.0km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 60 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.



## NAI008: The New Lawn, Nailsworth

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 150 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. However, the site contains a protected outdoor playspace which could be lost to development. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Woodchester Park SSSI and within 250m of High Wood and Bowlas Wood KWS and Bunting Hill KWS. The site is also located within 3.0km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 62 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Inchbrook Industrial Estate).
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## NAI009: Land north of Nortonwood, Nailsworth

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 47 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Woodchester Park SSSI. The site is also located within 3.0km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 62 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Inchbrook Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## NAI010: Land between Northfield Road & Stroud Road, Nailsworth

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 62 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Woodchester Park SSSI and Minchinhampton Common SSSI. The site is also located within 250m of Nailsworth Brook KWS. It is located within 3.0km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 56 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Inchbrook Industrial Estate; Nailsworth Mill Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## NAI011: Land off Jubilee Road, Nailsworth

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 30 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Woodchester Park SSSI and Minchinhampton Common SSSI. The site is also located within 250m of Nailsworth Brook KWS. It is located within 3.0km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 56 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Inchbrook Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## NAI012: Land north of Nympsfield Road/Nortonwood Junction, Nailsworth

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 40 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Woodchester Park SSSI and within 250m of High Wood & Bowlas Wood KWS. The site is also located within 3.0km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 62 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Inchbrook Industrial Estate).
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## NPT001: Land south of Newport Towers Hotel, Newport

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 6 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 53. This work identified the area as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at 6 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 79 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## NEW003a: Land south of primary school, Newtown and Sharpness

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 50 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 70 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Severn Distribution Park) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## NEW003b: Land at Saniger Lane, Newtown and Sharpness

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 110 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Newtown and Sharpness).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 52. This work identified area 523 as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at 110 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 68 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Severn Distribution Park) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.



## NEW005: Land to the north of Oakfield Way, Newtown and Sharpness

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 18 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site and within 250m of Sharpness Docks KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 74 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Severn Distribution Park) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## NEW006: Land at Focus School-Berkeley Campus, Station Road, Newtown and Sharpness

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 150 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/--?	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains a protected outdoor play space which could be lost to development. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Newtown and Sharpness).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of Tintock Wood (including Pitbrook Brake & Penny Grove) KWS. There is an existing GI asset (protected outdoor playspace) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 52. This work identified area 52 as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at 150 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 70 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Rigestate, Station Road) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## NIB001: Land south west of 19 Highlands Drive, North Nibley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 20 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a tier 3b settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Nibley Knoll SSSI and within 250m of Nibley Knoll Grass Banks KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 69 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## NIB002: Land north of Warren Croft, North Nibley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 12 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a tier 3b settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Nibley Knoll SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium – high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 70 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

### NIB003: Land adjacent 38 Barrs Lane, North Nibley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 7 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a tier 3b settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Nibley Knoll SSSI and within 250m of Nibley Knoll Grass Banks KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 71 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## NW0001: Land off Selsley Road, North Woodchester

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 15 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. It is also within 250m of Rabbit Warren Wood KWS and Nailsworth Brook KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 72 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of key employment sites (South Woodchester Industrial Area; Bath Road Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## NW0003: Land east of Rooksmoor Hill, North Woodchester

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 142 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. It is also within 250m of North Woodchester Rail Cutting KWS and RIGS, Nailsworth Brook KWS and North Woodchester Rail Cutting LGS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 60 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of key employment sites (South Woodchester Industrial Area; Bath Road Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## NYM001: Land at The Cross, Nympsfield

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 74 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Woodchester Park SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 78 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.



## NYM002: Land west of Benton Court, Nympsfield

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 30 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Easter Park Farm Quarry SSSI and Woodchester Park SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 78 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## OAK001: Land north of the Crescent, France Lynch

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 50 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/-	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Strawberry Banks SSSI. The site is also within 250m of Oakridge Recreation Ground KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 78 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## OAK002: Birds Frith Farm, France Lynch

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 5 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/-	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of France Lynch).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is however within 250m of Ile's Green Meadow KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 84 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## Broad Location OAK A: North of The Crescent, Oakridge Lynch

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 13 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a tier 3b settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Strawberry Banks SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This location was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 80 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## PAI001: Land on east side of Gyde Road, Painswick

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 8 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Cotswold Commons and Beechwoods SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 64 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site but is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## PAI002: Land south of Gyde House, Painswick

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 13 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Cotswold Commons and Beechwoods SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 64 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site but is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## PAI004: Washwell Fields, Painswick

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 17 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Cotswold Commons and Beechwoods SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site scored 1 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 64 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site but is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## PAI005: Land north-east of Lower Washwell Lane, Painswick

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 150 homes.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Cotswold Commons and Beechwoods SSSI and NNR as well as Cotswold Commons SAC and Bull Cross, The Frith and Juniper Hill SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 68 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site but is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.



## PAI006: Painswick Mill, Painswick

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 20 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 800m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Cotswold Commons and Beechwoods NNR and Bull Cross, The Frith and Juniper Hill SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 66 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site but is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## PAI007: Land at Stamages, Painswick

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 4 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Bull Cross, The Frith and Juniper Hill SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 65 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site but is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## PAI008: Richmond Care Village, Painswick

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 56 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Bull Cross, The Frith and Juniper Hill SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 61 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located further than 1km from an employment but is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## PAI009: Lower Broadhams, Painswick

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 50 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/--?	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains a protected outdoor playspace which could be lost to development. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Painswick).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m-1km of Cotswold Commons and Beechwoods NNR and Bull Cross, The Frith and Juniper Hill and Edge Common SSSIs. There is an existing GI asset (protected outdoor playspace) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 64 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## PAI010: Crab Orchard, Painswick

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 1 home.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Painswick).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Cotswold Commons and Beechwoods NNR and Bull Cross, The Frith and Juniper Hill and Edge Common SSSIs.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 62 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## PAI011: Land north of Groves Close, Painswick

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 7 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Painswick).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Cotswold Commons and Beechwoods NNR and Edge Common SSSI. The site is also located within 250m of Scottsquar & Halliday's Woods KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 76 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## PAI012: Land to the east of Stamages Lane, Painswick

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 20 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Painswick).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Bull Cross, The Frith and Juniper Hill SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 64 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## Broad Location PAI A: Washwell Fields, Painswick

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 17 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Cotswold Commons and Beechwoods SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site scored 1 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 83 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site but is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.



## Broad Location PAI B: Stamages, Painswick

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 4 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Bull Cross, The Frith and Juniper Hill SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This location scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 83 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site but is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## RAN001: Old chicken farm, Randwick

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 86 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Randwick).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is however located within 250m of Ruscombe Wood KWS, Standish Wood and Randwick Grassland KWS, Ruscombe Farm Meadows KWS and Cockshoot Fields KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 77 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## SAU001: Land at Saul Farm, Saul

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 30 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is also located within 250m of Saul Gravel Pits KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 82 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Frampton Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## SLI001: Land east of St John's Road, Slimbridge

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 350 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a tier 3b settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 77 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

### SLI003: Rear of Tying Crescent/ South of Moorend Lane, Slimbridge

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 50 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle route within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a tier 3b settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 74 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## SWO002: Frogmarsh Meadows, north of Frogmarsh Mill, South Woodchester

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 29 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC as well as Minchinhampton Common, Rodborough Common and Woodchester Park SSSIs. The site is also located within 250m of Nailsworth Brook KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 65 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (South Woodchester Industrial Area; Inchbrook Industrial Estate; Frogmarsh Mill Industrial Area) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## STI001: Land at Townsend Farm, Stinchcombe

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 423 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Stinchcombe Hill SSSI and within 250m of The Quarry, Dursley LGS. It is also within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 75 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## STN002: Land at Damery Lane, Stone

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 16 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 53. This work identified area 53 as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at 16 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 75 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.



### STN003: Green Farm, Falfield, Stone

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 45 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 53. This work identified area 53 as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at 45 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 79 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## STO002: Magpies site, Oldends Lane, Stonehouse

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 9 homes.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. While it contains part of an identified open space it is entirely brownfield and its development would not result in loss of green space. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. While it contains part of an identified open space it is entirely brownfield and its development would not result in loss of a GI asset.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 43. This work identified area 43 as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small urban extension (500 to 1,500 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at 9 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 52 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Stroudwater Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

### STO003: Land at Park Road/Severn Road, Stonehouse

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 5 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle route within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is also located within 250m of Stonehouse Newt Pond KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 52 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Bonds Mill Industrial Estate; Stroudwater Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

## STO004: Land to rear of Regent Street, Stonehouse

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 20 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is also located within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Stonehouse KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 50 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Upper Mills Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## STO007: Nutshell House, Stonehouse

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 8 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle route within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is also located within 250m of Stonehouse Newt Pond KWS, Stroudwater Canal - Stonehouse KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 60 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Upper Mills Industrial Estate; Stroudwater Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## STO008: Land at Lower Mills, Stonehouse

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 34 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle route within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is also located within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Stonehouse KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 60 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Upper Mills Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## STO009: Rear gardens on north side of Pearcroft Road, Stonehouse

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 12 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are walking and cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 50 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Upper Mills Industrial Estate; Ryeford Industrial Area) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## STO010: Land on south side of Pearcroft Road, Stonehouse

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 43 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are walking and cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is also located within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Stonehouse KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site scored 48 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Upper Mills Industrial Estate; Ryeford Industrial Area) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.



## STO012: Land north of The Glen, Woodcock Lane, Stonehouse

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 32 homes.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is also located within 250m of Verney Meadows KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 54 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

### STO013: Land at Horsemarling Farm, Standish, Stonehouse

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 455 homes.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is also located within 250m of Verney Meadows KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium – high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 77 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Stroudwater Industrial Estate).
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

## STO014: Former Standish Hospital Site, Stonehouse

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 125 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not at a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Stonehouse).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is also located within 250m of Standish Wood and Randwick Grassland KWS and River Frome Mainstream & Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 79 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing secondary school but is not within 800m of an existing primary school.

## STO015: Land at Stagholt Farm, West of B4008, Standish, Stonehouse

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 105 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Stonehouse).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is also located within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 60 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Stroudwater Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing secondary school but is not within 800m of an existing primary school.

## STO017: Land at Nupend (Parcel B), Stonehouse

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 50 homes.
SA 2: Health	-	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is not within 800m of any areas of open space and there are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not at a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Stonehouse).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 93 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Stroudwater Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## STO018: Stagholt Playing Field Car park, Stonehouse

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 7 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains a greenspace which could be lost to development. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is also located within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS. There is an existing GI asset (green space) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium – high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 53 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Stroudwater Industrial Estate).
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing secondary school but is not within 800m of an existing primary school.

## STO019: Paper Mill, Lower Mills, Stonehouse

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 33 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle route within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is also located within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Stonehouse KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 61 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Upper Mills Industrial Estate; Stroudwater Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## STO020: Land at Stroud Green, Stonehouse

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 926 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not at a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Stonehouse).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is also located within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 70 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Stroudwater Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.



## Broad Location STO B1: North/ North west of Stonehouse: East of railway, Stonehouse

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 105 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is also located within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development and high/medium sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	The site in this location was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 61 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Stroudwater Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## STR001: Land between Hillfield and Downfield, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 6 homes.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 51 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Bath Road Industrial Estate).
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

### STR003: Avocet & Goldcrest Business Parks/ Stroud Metals, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 350 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also located within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Stroud KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 50 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++/--	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Bath Road Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

## STR005: Land adjacent to Fromehall, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 5 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough SAC and SSSI. The site is also located within 250m of Gannicox Toad Pond KWS, Stroudwater Canal - Stroud KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site scored 49 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Bath Road Industrial Estate; Fromeside Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

## STR007: Lower Wharf Industrial Estate, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 21 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough SAC and SSSI. The site is also located within 250m of several KWSs and a GWT Reserve.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site scored 44 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++/--	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Fromeside Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

## STR008: Wallbridge Fields, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 42 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough SAC and SSSI. The site is also located within 250m of several KWSs and a GWT Reserve.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site scored 49 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Fromside Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

## STR010: Beeches Green area, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 375 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/--?	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains a protected outdoor playspace which could be lost to development. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough SAC and SSSI. The site is also located within 250m of Frome Banks GWT Reserve, Stroudwater Canal - Stroud KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS. There is an existing GI asset (protected outdoor playspace) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-/+?	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site scored 45 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++/--	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Salmon Springs Industrial Estate; Fromeside Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

## STR018: Police station/ Magistrates Court, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 45 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	-/+?	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site scored 45 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++/--	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Fromside Industrial Estate; New Mills / Libby Drive) and is at a Tier 1 settlement. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.



## STR019: Middle Leazes, off Parliament Street, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 6 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 50 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Fromside Industrial Estate; New Mills / Libby Drive) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## STR020: Rear gardens at Park Road, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 22 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of the Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. The site is also located within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Stroud KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 52 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Fromeside Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## STR021: Land between 23 and 67 Summer Street, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 100 homes.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also located within 250m of Slade Wood, Stroud KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 58 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (New Mills / Libby Drive) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## STR022: Land to north of Butterow West, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 60 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/--?/	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains a greenspace which could be lost to development. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of the Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI and within 250m of several KWSs. There is an existing GI asset (greenspace) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 55 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Fromside Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## STR023: Land at Grange Fields, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 80 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium – high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 50 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Salmon Springs Industrial Estate; Fromeside Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## STR024: Land to the rear of 87 Summer Street, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 20 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also located within 250m of Slade Wood, Stroud KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 61 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (New Mills / Libby Drive) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## STR025: Land adjacent to rear of 188 Bisley Road, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 46 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also located within 250m of The Horns Bank KWS, The Horns Wood KWS, River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS and Conygre Quarry LGS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 62 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## STR026: Land at Kilminster Farm, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 184 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also located within 250m of Slade Wood, Stroud KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 65 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (New Mills / Libby Drive).
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.



## STR030: Land off Summer Crescent, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 4 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains a council play area and a protected outdoor playspace which could be lost to development. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also located within 250m of Slade Wood, Stroud KWS. There are existing GI assets (a council play area and a protected outdoor playspace) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 62 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (New Mills / Libby Drive) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## STR031: Land at Slad Brook, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 104 homes.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 56 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (New Mills / Libby Drive) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

### STR033: Land to the rear of Thrupp House, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 568 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI and is within 250m of Bisley Road Cemetery LNR. The site is also located within 250m of several KWSs.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 58 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Griffin Mills Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

### STR034: Land north of Farmhill Crescent, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 133 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also located within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 58 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Salmon Springs Industrial Estate).
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

## STR035: Callowell Farm, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 447 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 67 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Salmon Springs Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

## STR036: Land opposite Salmon Springs, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 9 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside the main built up area of Stroud).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 55 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Salmon Springs Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## STR037: Hammonds Farm, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site has capacity for 2312 homes.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on mainly greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside the main built up area of Stroud).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium – high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 73 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on mainly greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Salmon Springs Industrial Estate; New Mills / Libby Drive) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## STR038: Land east of Painswick Old Road, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 308 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium – high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 50 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Salmon Springs Industrial Estate; New Mills / Libby Drive) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.



## STR039: Land north of Folly Lane, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 226 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also within 250m of The Folly, Stroud KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 57 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (New Mills / Libby Drive) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## STR040: Land to the north east of Vatch View, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 105 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also within 250m of The Folly, Stroud KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 62 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (New Mills / Libby Drive) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## STR041: Land off Gunhouse Lane, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 70 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. The site is also within 250m of Claypits Wood North KWS, Stroudwater Canal - Bowbridge and Thrupp KWS, Stroudwater Canal - Stroud KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 56 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Griffin Mills Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## STR043: Rodborough, Lightpill/Rooksmoor, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 120 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/--?	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace which could be lost to development. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI and within 250m-1km of Selsey Common SSSI. The site is also within 250m of North Woodchester Rail Cutting KWS and RIGS, Nailsworth Brook KWS and North Woodchester Rail Cutting LGS. There are existing GI assets (a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 62 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Bath Road Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## STR044: Land behind The Snow Mill, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 30 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are walking and cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Selsey Common SSSI and within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Stroud KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS. It is also within 3.0km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 74 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+/--	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Ryeford Industrial Area). The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## STR045: Jefferies Field, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 20 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are walking and cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also located within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Stonehouse KWS, Stroudwater Canal - Stroud KWS and River Frome Mainstream & Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 56 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Ryeford Industrial Area) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## STR046: Land east of Jefferies Field, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 30 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are walking and cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also located within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Stonehouse KWS, Stroudwater Canal - Stroud KWS and River Frome Mainstream & Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 56 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Ryeford Industrial Area) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## STR047: Playing field/Tily's Field, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 42 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/--?	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are walking and cycle routes within 400m. The site also contains a greenspace, a protected outdoor playspace and a National Trail which could be lost to development.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAS and Ramsar site. The site is also located within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Stonehouse KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS. There are existing GI assets (a greenspace, a protected outdoor playspace and a National Trail) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site scored 1 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 57 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Upper Mills Industrial Estate; Ryeford Industrial Area) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.



## STR048: Land off Ebley Road, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 21 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are walking and cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also located within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Stonehouse KWS, Stroudwater Canal - Stroud KWS and River Frome Mainstream & Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 58 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Ryeford Industrial Area) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## STR053: Land at Rose Cottage, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 5 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also located within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 63 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

## STR054: Land off Meadow Lane, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 12 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are walking and cycle paths within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Selsley Common SSSI and within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Stroud KWS, River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 50 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Bath Road Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## STR055: Land south of Bisley Road, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 150 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle paths within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also located within The Horns Bank KWS, The Horns Wood KWS, River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS and Conygre Quarry LGS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 65 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## STR056: Land west of Devereaux Crescent, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 50 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also within Stroudwater Canal - Stonehouse KWS and Stroudwater Canal - Stroud KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 69 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Ryeford Industrial Area) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## STR057: Land at Woodhouse Farm, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 200 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle paths within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI and within 250m of Rodborough Common Fort Quarry LGS and several KSWs.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 55 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Fromeside Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## Broad Location STR D: North of Grange View/ Delmont Grove, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 308 homes.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle paths within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This location was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 78 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Salmon Springs Industrial Estate; New Mills / Libby Drive) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## UPT001: Land at Nuthill, Upton St Leonards

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 3 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle paths within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a tier 3b settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	There are no designated sites within 1km of this site and it is more than 3.0km from Rodborough Common SAC and 7.7km from the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-/+?	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 76 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.



## UPT002: Land South of Bondend Road, Upton St Leonards

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 32 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle paths within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a tier 3b settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	There are no designated sites within 1km of this site and it is more than 3.0km from Rodborough Common SAC and 7.7km from the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 74 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

### UPT003: The Stanley, Upton St Leonards

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 8 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle paths within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a tier 3b settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	There are no designated sites within 1km of this site and it is more than 3.0km from Rodborough Common SAC and 7.7km from the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 75 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

#### UPT004: Land east of Perry Orchard, Upton St Leonards

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 56 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle paths within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a tier 3b settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	There are no designated sites within 1km of this site and it is more than 3.0km from Rodborough Common SAC and 7.7km from the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 75 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## UPT005: Land south of High Street, Upton St Leonards

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 249 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle paths within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a tier 3b settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	There are no designated sites within 1km of this site and it is more than 3.0km from Rodborough Common SAC and 7.7km from the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 72 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## UPT006: Land south of Hucclecote Meadows, Upton St Leonards

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 101 homes.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle paths within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Upton St Leonards). Although it is close to Gloucester, the M5 acts as a barrier.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	This site is within 250m of Hucclecote Meadows SSSI and LNR.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 83 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## UPT007: Land at Brockworth, Upton St Leonards

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 398 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle paths within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Upton St Leonards). Although it is close to Gloucester, the M5 acts as a barrier.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	This site is within 250m of Hucclecote Meadows SSSI and LNR.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 83 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## UPT008: Land adj to Brockworth Airfield, Upton St Leonards

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 759 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle paths within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Upton St Leonards).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	This site is within 250m-1km of Hucclecote Meadows SSSI and LNR.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 79 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## UPT009: Hardwick Farm, Upton St Leonards

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 200 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace. There are no walking or cycle paths within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Upton St Leonards).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	This site is within 250m-1km of Cotswold Beechwoods SAC, Range Farm Fields SSSI, Cotswold Commons and Beechwoods SSSI and NNR. It is also located within 250m of Watery Lane Meadows KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 98 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.



## UPT010: Prinknash Abbey, Upton St Leonards

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 11 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/--?	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace but contains this feature which could be lost to development. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Upton St Leonards).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Cotswold Beechwoods SAC and SSSI and Cotswold Commons and Beechwoods NNR. There is an existing GI asset (greenspace) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 88 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## UPT011: Land at Birchall Lane, Upton St Leonards

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 23 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle paths within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Upton St Leonards). Although the site is close to Gloucester the M5 acts as a barrier.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	There are no designated sites within 1km of this site and it is more than 3.0km from Rodborough Common SAC and 7.7km from the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 68 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## UPT012: Field south of Fieldway, Upton St Leonards

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 46 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle paths within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Upton St Leonards).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	There are no designated sites within 1km of this site and it is more than 3.0km from Rodborough Common SAC and 7.7km from the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 76 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## WHI001: Land East of School Lane, Whitminster

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 45 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle path within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 77 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## WHI002: Land north of Hyde Lane, Whitminster

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 45 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle path within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 78 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## WHI004: Land west of Upton's Garden, Whitminster

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 10 homes <sup>134</sup> .
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle path within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 76 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

<sup>134</sup> Please note that the total number of homes for this site was incorrectly reported as 30 in the SA Report for the Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018).

## WHI005: Land West of School Lane, Whitminster

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 40 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle path within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 77 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## WHI006: Highfield Nurseries, Whitminster

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 110 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle path within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on mainly greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Whitminster).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 78 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on mainly greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.



## WHI008: Land to west of Paynes Meadow, Whitminster

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 45 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle path within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 78 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## Broad Location WHI A: North of Whitminster Playing field, Whitminster

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 40 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle path within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 81 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## Broad Location WHI E: East of School Lane, Whitminster

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 45 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle path within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This location was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 80 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## WUE001: Land at Holywell Farm, Wotton under Edge

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 40 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Coombe Hill SSSI and within 250m-1km of Wotton Hill SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 58 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Renishaw Old Town) and is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## WUE002: Land north east of Cherry Orchard, Wotton under Edge

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 40 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Coombe Hill SSSI and Wotton Hill SSSI. The site is also located within 250m of Hentley Wood KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 63 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of key employment sites (Renishaw Old Town; Tabernacle Road).
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

### WUE003: Land off Fountain Crescent, Wotton under Edge

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 8 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Coombe Hill SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 55 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Renishaw Old Town) and is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## WUE004: Land south of Bearlands, Wotton under Edge

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 214 homes.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 400m-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle paths within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	There are no designated sites within 1km of this site and it is more than 3.0km from Rodborough Common SAC and 7.7km from the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 66 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 and Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of key employment sites (Renishaw Old Town; Tabernacle Road; Orchestra Works).
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## WUE005: 45 Water Lane, Wotton under Edge

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 13 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle paths within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Coombe Hill SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 55 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Renishaw Old Town) and is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.



## WUE006: Land west of Water Lane, Wotton under Edge

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 133 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Coombe Hill SSSI and Wotton Hill SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site scored 1 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 54 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Renishaw Old Town; Tabernacle Road) and is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## WUE007: Land south of Symn Lane, Wotton under Edge

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site has capacity for 750 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Coombe Hill SSSI and Wotton Hill SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 70 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Renishaw Old Town; Tabernacle Road) and is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

## WUE008: Land off The Chipping, Wotton under Edge

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 9 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Coombe Hill SSSI and Wotton Hill SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-/+?	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 52 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++/--	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Renishaw Old Town; Tabernacle Road) and is at a Tier 2 settlement. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

# Employment site options

## BER012: Old Piggery, Berkeley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	++	This site is within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. This site is also within 400m of cycle routes.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of any designated sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 52. This work identified area 52 as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth and residential development rather than employment development. Therefore, additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified for the site. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-?	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station or within 400m of a bus stop. It is located within 200m of a cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is small in size and on greenfield land. It is also within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

## BER013: Former Berkeley Power Station, Berkeley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	This site is within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace but not within 400m of a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	This site is within 250m of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station or within 200m of a cycle route. It is located within 400m of a bus stop (one is located within the site boundaries).
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	++	The site is large in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	This site is more than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	++	This site is more than 10ha in size.

## BRI001: Griffin Mills and Eagle Works Industrial Estates, Brimscombe

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	This site is within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace, but not within 400m of a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	This site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and Minchinhampton and Rodborough Common SSSIs. It is also within 250m of two Key Wildlife Sites (Stroudwater Canal - Bowbridge and Thrupp; and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries).
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops and within 200m of a cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

## BRI006: Lower Brimscombe Mills, Brimscombe

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 800m of a council play area, a green space and protected outdoor playspace but not within 400m of a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	This site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and Minchinhampton and Rodborough Common SSSIs. It is also within 250m of a number of Key Wildlife Sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops and within 200m of a cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

## BRI018: Stafford Mills Industrial Estate, Brimscombe

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 800m of a council play area, a green space and protected outdoor playspace but not within 400m of a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	This site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. It is also within 250m of two Key Wildlife Sites (Stroudwater Canal - Bowbridge and Thrupp; and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries).
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops and within 200m of a cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.



## CAM002: Cam Mills, Everlands

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 800m of a council play area, a green space and protected outdoor playspace but not within 400m of a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of any designated sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA Heritage Assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops and within 200m of a cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

## CAM019: Draycott/ Middle Mill Industrial Estate, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	The site is within 800m of a council play area, a green space and protected outdoor playspace but not within 400m of a walking or cycle route. The site also contains a green space, which could be lost to development.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	There are no designated sites within 1km of this site. There is an existing GI asset (green space) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA Heritage Assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops and within 200m of a cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

## CAM020: Rear of 4-60 Draycott, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 800m of a council play area, a green space and protected outdoor playspace but not within 400m of a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on mainly greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of any designated sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops and within 200m of a cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is small in size and on mainly greenfield land. It is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on mainly greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

## EAS006: Land at Meadow Mill, Eastington

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	++	This site is within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. This site is also within 400m of a cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m of two Key Wildlife Sites (Stroudwater Canal – Stonehouse and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries).
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops and within 200m of a cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is small in size and on greenfield land. It is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

## EAS007: Land at Junction 13 of the M5, Eastington

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	++	This site is within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. This site is also within 400m of a cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m of two Key Wildlife Sites (Stroudwater Canal – Stonehouse and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries).
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is partly in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops and within 200m of a number of cycle routes (one of these cycle routes runs through the site).
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is large in size and on greenfield land. It is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	This site is more than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	++	This site is more than 10ha in size.

## EAS010: Motorway Depot at M5 Junction 13, Eastington

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	-	The site is more than 800m from an area of open space and more than 400m from a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries Key Wildlife Site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 41. This work identified area 41 as having medium-high sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth and residential development rather than employment development. Therefore, additional uncertainty exists in the significant negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site scored 1 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-?	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station or within 400m of a bus stop. It is located within 200m of a cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

### FRA003: Netherhills Depot, Frampton

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	-	The site is more than 800m from an area of open space and more than 400m from a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Frampton Pools SSSI. It is also within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries Key Wildlife Site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 47. This work identified area 47 as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth and residential development rather than employment development. Therefore, additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station or within 200m of a cycle route. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

## Broad Location FRA C: North of Perry Way, A38, Frampton

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	-	The site is more than 800m from an area of open space and more than 400m from a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Frampton Pools SSSI. It is also within 250m of Netherhills Sand and Gravel Pit Local Geological Site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 47. This work identified area 47 as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth and residential development rather than employment development. Therefore, additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This location was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station or within 200m of a cycle route. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.



### HAR003: Land at Shorn Brook, Hardwicke

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 800m of a council play area, a green space and protected outdoor playspace but not within 400m of a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	There are no designated sites within 1km of this site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA Heritage Assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station or within 200m of a cycle route. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is small in size and on greenfield land. It is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

## HAR006: Summerhill Equestrian Centre, Hardwicke

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 800m of a green space but not within 400m of a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of any designated sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 36. This work identified area 36 as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small urban extension (500 to 1,500 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth and residential development rather than employment development. Therefore, additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA Heritage Assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station or within 200m of a cycle route. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

## HAR009: Moreton Valence Airfield, Hardwicke

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	-	The site is more than 800m from an area of open space and more than 400m from a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of any designated sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 41. This work identified area 41 as having medium-high sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth and residential development rather than employment development. Therefore, additional uncertainty exists in the significant negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station or within 200m of a cycle route. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	++	The site is large in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	This site is more than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	++	This site is more than 10ha in size.

## HFD007: Blooms Garden Centre, Haresfield

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 800m of a green space but not within 400m of a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of any designated sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 40. This work identified area 40 as having medium-high sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth and residential development rather than employment development. Therefore, additional uncertainty exists in the significant negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station or within 200m of a cycle route. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

## HFD008: SA4a Quedgeley East, Haresfield

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 800m of a green space but not within 400m of a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of any designated sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 40. This work identified area 40 as having medium-high sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth and residential development rather than employment development. Therefore, additional uncertainty exists in the significant negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station or within 200m of a cycle route. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is large in size and on greenfield land. It is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	This site is more than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	++	This site is more than 10ha in size.

## HFD009: Quedgeley East Extension, Haresfield

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace but not within 400m of a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of any designated sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station or within 200m of a cycle route. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is small in size and on greenfield land. It is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

## MIN011: Land parcel south of Old Aerodrome Farm, Minchinhampton

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	-	The site is more than 800m from an area of open space and more than 400m from a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m of Westley Farm Meadows Key Wildlife Site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA Heritage Assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station or within 200m of a cycle route. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone and a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

## MIN012: Land adjoining Aston Down Business Park, Minchinhampton

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	-	The site is more than 800m from an area of open space and more than 400m from a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	There are no designated sites within 1km of this site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA Heritage Assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station or within 200m of a cycle route. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone and a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is small in size and on greenfield land. It is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.



## NAI002: Nailsworth Garden Centre, Nailsworth

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	++	This site is within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. This site is also within 400m of a cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Minchinhampton Common SSSI and is within 250m-1km of Box Farm Meadows SSSI. It is also within 250m of Scar Hill Quarry Local Geological Site and Nailsworth Brook and Hazel Wood Meadows Key Wildlife Sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to employment development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-/+?	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops and within 200m of a cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

## NAI005: Land at Gigg Mill, between Old Bristol Rd & Old Horsley Rd, Nailsworth

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace but not within 400m of a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Minchinhampton Common SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is partly in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station or within 200m of a cycle route. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone and a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

## NEW004: SA5a South of Severn Distribution park, Newtown and Sharpness

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace but not within 400m of a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site and SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station or within 200m of a cycle route. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is small in size and on greenfield land. It is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

## SWO001: South Woodchester Industrial Area, South Woodchester

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	++/--?	This site is within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. This site is also within 400m of cycle routes, but contains these features, which could be lost to development.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	This site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI and Minchinhampton Common SSSI. It is within 250m of Nailsworth Brook Key Wildlife Site. There is also a GI asset within the site (cycle routes) which could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops and within 200m of a cycle route (the cycle route runs through the site).
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

## STO001: Land adj ABB/Kent, Oldends Lane, Stonehouse

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace but not within 400m of a cycling or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries Key Wildlife Site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site is located within 1km of a railway station (Stonehouse Station) and within 400m of a number of bus stops. It is not located within 200m of a cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is small in size and on greenfield land. It is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

## STR012: Fromeside, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	++	This site is within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. This site is also within 400m of cycle routes.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. It is also within 250m of a number of Key Wildlife Sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site is located within 1km of a railway station (Stroud Station), within 400m of a number of bus stops and within 200m of a number of cycle routes.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

## STR027: Land at Libbys Drive/ Slad Road, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace but not within 400m of a cycling or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	There are no designated sites within 1km of this site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site is located within 1km of a railway station (Stroud Station) and within 400m of a number of bus stops. It is not located within 200m of a cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is small in size and on greenfield land. It is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

## STR029: New Mills Trading Estate/ Libbys Drive, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace but not within 400m of a cycling or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	There are no designated sites within 1km of this site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site is located within 1km of a railway station (Stroud Station) and within 400m of a number of bus stops. It is not located within 200m of a cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.



## STR042: Bath Road Trading Estate, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	++	This site is within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. This site is also within 400m of cycle routes.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI and Selsley Common SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops and within 200m of cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

## STR049: Brunsdons Yard, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	++	This site is within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. This site is also within 400m of cycle and walking routes.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on mainly greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m of Stroudwater Canal – Stonehouse and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries Key Wildlife Sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site is located within 1km of a railway station (Stonehouse Station), within 400m of a number of bus stops and within 200m of a cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is small in size and on mainly greenfield land. It is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on mainly greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

## Broad Location STR B2: Bath Road Industrial Estate, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	++	This site is within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. This site is also within 400m of cycle routes.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI and Selsley Common SSSI. It is also within 250m of Nailsworth Brook Key Wildlife Site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This location scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the location to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops and within 200m of a number of cycle routes.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

# Mixed use site options

## BRI002: Ham Mill, Brimscombe

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes as part of mixed use development.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI and Minchinhampton Common SSSI. It is also within 250m of a number of Key Wildlife Sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 57 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Brimscombe C of E Primary School. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

### BRI003: Land at Hope Mill Lane, Brimscombe

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI and Minchinhampton Common SSSI. It is also within 250m of a number of Key Wildlife Sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 57 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Brimscombe C of E Primary School. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

## BRI009: Brimscombe Port Industrial Estate, Brimscombe

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace, but there is green space within the site that could be lost to development. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI and Minchinhampton Common SSSI. It is also within 250m of a number of Key Wildlife Sites. The site also contains a GI asset (green space) which could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 60 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+?	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Brimscombe C of E Primary School. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

## BRI021: Bourne Mills, Brimscombe

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Minchinhampton Common SSSI. It is also within 250m of a number of Key Wildlife Sites. The site is also within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 61 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+?	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Brimscombe C of E Primary School. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

## BRO002: Land at Whaddon, Brookthorpe

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site has capacity for more than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Country Park, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	Although this site is at a fourth tier settlement it is adjacent to Quedgeley and Gloucester across the local authority boundary.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Robin's Wood Hill Quarry SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 32. This work identified area 32 as having medium-high sensitivity to accommodate an urban extension (1,500 to 3,500 dwellings). It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 98 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 and 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	This site could deliver more than 600 homes and more than 10ha of employment land.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?/++	The site is not within 800m of an existing primary or secondary school. This site could deliver more than 10ha of employment land.



## CAM014: Coaley Junction, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development and high/medium sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-/+?	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 64 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+?	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?/+	The site is not within 800m of an existing primary or secondary school. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

## CAM017: SA3 North east of Cam, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development and high sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 65 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 and 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+?	This site could deliver more than 10ha of employment land but fewer than 600 homes. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is within 800m of Cam Hopton C of E Primary School. It could deliver more than 10ha of employment land.

## CAM018: Land north of Upthorpe, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium – high/medium sensitivity to residential development and high/medium – high sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 66 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Cam Everlands Primary School and Cam Hopton C of E Primary School. It could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

## CAM027: Land at Cambridge/ Coaley B, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site has capacity for more than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is located outside of Coaley).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 50. This work identified area 50 as having medium-high sensitivity to accommodate a small urban extension (500-1,500 dwellings). It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 74 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 and 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver more than 600 homes but less than 10ha of employment land.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?/+	The site is not within 800m of an existing primary or secondary school. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

## Broad Location CAM D: South of Draycott Farm, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development and high sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This location was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 83 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?/+	The site is not within 800m of an existing primary or secondary school. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

### DUR003: 1-25 Long Street, Dursley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	There is a GP within 400m of the site. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	-/+?	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site scored 49 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Rednock secondary school. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

## DUR004: Reliance House, Dursley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	There is a GP surgery within 400m-800m of the site. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	-/+?	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site scored 49 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Rednock secondary school. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

## DUR018: Land to the rear of 12 - 14 Parsonage Street, Dursley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	There is a GP surgery within 400m of the site. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Stinchcombe Hill SSSI and is also within 250m of two Key Wildlife Sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site scored 49 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+?	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Rednock secondary school. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.



## EAS002: Land rear of Alkerton Court, Eastington

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development and high/medium sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 90 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+?	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?/+	The site is not within 800m of an existing primary or secondary school. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

## Broad Location EAS B2: West of Alkerton: Alkerton Court, Eastington

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development and high/medium sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This location scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 90 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+?	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?/+	The site is not within 800m of an existing primary or secondary school. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

## FRA002: Land at Whitminster Lane/ Church Lane, Frampton

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/--?	The site is within 400m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace, but there is protected outdoor playspace within the boundary of the site which could be lost to development. There are cycle routes within 400m of the site.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m-1km of Frampton Pools SSSI and is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of a number of Key Wildlife Sites. The site also contains a GI asset (protected outdoor playspace) which could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development and high/medium sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 74 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Lakefield C of E Primary School. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

## HAR013: Land at Quadrant Distribution Centre. Hardwicke

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	Although this site is at a tier 3a settlement it is adjacent to Quedgeley across the local authority boundary.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of any designated sites and is more than 3km from Rodborough Common SAC and more than 7.7km from the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 65 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+?	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?/+	The site is not within 800m of an existing primary or secondary school. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

## KST007: Land parcel north west of Stanley Mills, Kings Stanley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than homes as part of mixed use development.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There cycle routes and a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of two Key Wildlife Sites (Stroudwater Canal – Stonehouse and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries).
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium – high sensitivity to residential development and medium – high sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not assessed in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 58 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 and 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	This site has capacity for more than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	This site is within 800m of King's Stanley C of E Primary School and Leonard Stanley C of E Primary School. It has capacity for more than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development.

## MIN010: Aston Down Business Park, Minchinhampton

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a green space. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is located outside of Minchinhampton).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of any designated sites and is more than 3km from Rodborough Common SAC and more than 7.7km from the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 92 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone and a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+?	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?/+	The site is not within 800m of an existing primary or secondary school. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

## NPT002: Land off the A38 at Actrees Farm, Newport

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 53. This work identified area 53 as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at less than 600 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 81 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?/+	The site is not within 800m of an existing primary or secondary school. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

## NEW001: Sharpness Docks, Newtown and Sharpness

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace, but includes a Council play area and protected outdoor playspace within the boundary of the site which could be lost to development. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site and SSSI. It is also within 250m of Sharpness Docks Key Wildlife Site and Sharpness Cliffs Local Geological Site. The site also contains a GI asset (Council play area and protected outdoor playspace) which could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium/low sensitivity to residential development and medium sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 97 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+?	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Sharpness Primary school. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development.



## NEW002: Land at Sharpness (Sanigar Farm), Newtown and Sharpness

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site has capacity for more than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/--?	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace but includes these assets within the boundary of the site meaning that they could be lost to development. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site and SSSI as well as a number of Key Wildlife Sites. The site also contains a GI asset (a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace) which could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium – high/medium – high sensitivity to residential development and high sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 67 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	This site could deliver more than 600 homes and more than 10ha of employment land.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	This site is within 800m of Berkeley Primary School and Sharpness Primary School. The site could deliver more than 10ha of employment land.

## SAU002: Fretherne Nurseries, Saul

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a green space. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is located outside of Saul).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250-1km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site and the Upper Severn Estuary SSSI. It is also within 250m of the River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries Key Wildlife Site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 42. This work identified area 42 as having medium-high sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at less than 600 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the significant negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 86 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+?	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?/+	The site is not within 800m of an existing primary or secondary school. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

## SLI002: Land at Cambridge/ Coaley A, Slimbridge

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site has capacity for more than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a tier 3b settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 49. This work identified area 49 as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at less than 600 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 67 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3b agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver more than 600 homes but less than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Slimbridge Primary school. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development.

## SLI004: Land east of Gossington, Slimbridge

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is located outside of Slimbridge).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 49. This work identified area 49 as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at less than 600 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 76 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3b agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Slimbridge Primary School. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development.

## SLI005: Land South of Cambridge

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site has capacity for more than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is located outside of Slimbridge).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 49. This work identified area 49 as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at less than 600 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 81 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively large and is on greenfield land. It is within an area of Grade 3b agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver more than 600 homes but less than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Slimbridge Primary School. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development.

**SLI002, SLI004 and SLI005: Land at Cambridge/ Coaley A, Slimbridge, Land east of Gossington and Land south of Cambridge**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site has capacity for more than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a tier 3b settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 49. This work identified area 49 as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at less than 600 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	The component sites included in this combined site option were all screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The portions of the site scored 67, 76 and 81 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 and 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	This site could deliver more than 600 homes and more than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is within 800m of Slimbridge Primary school. This site could deliver more than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development.

## STN001: Land adjoining the Pines, Stone

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 53. This work identified area 53 as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at less than 600 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 77 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Stone with Woodford C of E primary School. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development.

## STO006: Land South of Bristol Road, Stonehouse

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400-800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle route within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of a number of Key Wildlife Sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development and medium sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 62 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Park Junior School and Stonehouse Park Infant School. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.



## STO016: Land North West of Stonehouse, Stonehouse

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site has capacity for more than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is located outside of Stonehouse).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries Key Wildlife Site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development and medium sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 85 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?/+	The site is not within 800m of an existing primary or secondary school. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

## STR002: Tricorn House, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC. It is also within 250m of two Key Wildlife Sites (Stroudwater Canal - Stroud and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries).
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-/+?	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 50 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	++	The site is relatively large in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is within 800m of St Matthew's C of E Primary School and Marling School (College) and Stroud High School (College) secondary schools. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

## STR004: Land to the rear of Avocet Business Park, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/--?	The site is within 400m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace, although it includes a protected outdoor playspace within the boundary of the site which could be lost to development. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. It is also within 250m of three Key Wildlife Sites (Gannicox Toad Pond, Stroudwater Canal - Stroud and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries). The site also contains a GI asset (protected outdoor playspace) which could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 51 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+?	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is within 800m of Rodborough Community Primary School, St Matthew's C of E Primary School and The Rosary Catholic Primary Academy, as well as Marling School (College) and Stroud High School (College). This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

## STR006: Lodgemore/Fromehall Mills, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. It is also within 250m of three Key Wildlife Sites (Gannicox Toad Pond, Stroudwater Canal - Stroud and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries).
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site scored 45 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+?	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is within 800m of Rodborough Community Primary School and The Rosary Catholic Primary Academy, as well as Marling School (College) and Stroud High School (College). This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

## STR014: Railway Land/ car parks, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is within 400m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. It is also within 250m of a number of Key Wildlife Sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site scored 44 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Rodborough Community Primary School, Stroud Valley Community Primary School and The Rosary Catholic Primary Academy. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

## STR015: Merrywalks Arches (former Cotswold Indoor Bowls), Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. It is also within 250m of a number of Key Wildlife Sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site scored 44 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Rodborough Community Primary School, Stroud Valley Community Primary School and The Rosary Catholic Primary Academy. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

## STR016: Merrywalks area (surgery, McDonalds, car park), Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. It is also within 250m of a number of Key Wildlife Sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	+?	This site scored 1 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site scored 44 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+?	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Rodborough Community Primary School, Stroud Valley Community Primary School and The Rosary Catholic Primary Academy. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

## STR017: Market Tavern, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. It is also within 250m of a number of Key Wildlife Sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site scored 44 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Rodborough Community Primary School, Stroud Valley Community Primary School and The Rosary Catholic Primary Academy. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.



## STR032: Daniels Industrial Estate, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI as well as Selsley Common SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	-/+?	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 54 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+?	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is within 800m of Gastrells Community Primary School and Rodborough Community Primary School as well as Marling Secondary School. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

### WHI003: Highfield Garden Centre, Whitminster

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle route within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development and high sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 76 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+?	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?/+	The site is not within 800m of any existing primary or secondary schools. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

## WHI007: Grove End Farm, Whitminster

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle route within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of two Key Wildlife Sites (Stroudwater Canal – Whitminster and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries).
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development and medium sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 80 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. It is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver more than 10ha of employment land but fewer than 600 homes.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?/++	The site is not within 800m of any existing primary or secondary schools. This site could deliver more than 10ha of employment land.

## Community and retail site options

### EAS007: Land at Junction 13 of the M5, Eastington (Football stadium for Forest Green Rovers)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	This site option is for a new football stadium and so will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 2: Health	+?	The development of a new football stadium may provide improved access to sporting facilities which would benefit public health but this will be dependent upon whether or not the new stadium would include facilities that could be used more widely.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	This site option is for a new football stadium and so will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	This site option is for a new football stadium and so will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site option is for a new football stadium and so will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site option is for a new football stadium and so will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Stonehouse Key Wildlife Site and River Frome Mainstream & Tributaries Key Wildlife Site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	It is assumed that a detailed assessment of landscape impacts would be carried out as part of any specific proposal for the development of a new football stadium at this site. Part of the area of this site was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to employment development. The site is not within 500m of the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-?	The site is not within a town centre and a football stadium would be likely to generate high levels of traffic, depending on the extent to which sustainable transport links are incorporated as part of the development proposal.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is on greenfield land classed as Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	The location of a new football stadium in the district will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	A new football stadium would provide new jobs, although the number is unlikely to be significant.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	A new football stadium would provide new jobs which could have associated opportunities for work-based learning and skills development, although these are unlikely to be significant in scale.

### NAI003: Land at Avening Road, Nailsworth (Football stadium for Forest Green Rovers)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	This site option is for a new football stadium and so will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 2: Health	+?	The development of a new football stadium may provide improved access to sporting facilities which would benefit public health but this will be dependent upon whether or not the new stadium would include facilities that could be used more widely.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	This site option is for a new football stadium and so will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	This site option is for a new football stadium and so will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site option is for a new football stadium and so will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site option is for a new football stadium and so will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of the Minchinhampton SSSI and within 250m-1km of Box Farm Meadows SSSI. It is also within 250m of a number of Key Wildlife Sites including Nailsworth Brook, Box House Wood, Hazel Wood and Hazel Wood Meadows.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	It is assumed that a detailed assessment of landscape impacts would be carried out as part of any specific proposal for the development of a new football stadium at this site. The site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to both residential and employment development. The site is also within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	?	This site is within approximately 500m of the centre of Nailsworth so may offer reasonable opportunities for sustainable transport use. Effects on traffic generation would depend on the extent to which sustainable transport links are incorporated as part of the development proposal.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone and a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is mostly on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is on mostly greenfield land classed as Grade 4 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	The location of a new football stadium in the district will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	A new football stadium would provide new jobs, although the number is unlikely to be significant.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	A new football stadium would provide new jobs which could have associated opportunities for work-based learning and skills development, although these are unlikely to be significant in scale.

## STR009: Capel Mill, Stroud (retail use)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	New retail development will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 2: Health	0	New retail development will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	New retail development will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	New retail development will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	New retail development in the district is likely to help improve the satisfaction of people with their neighbourhoods.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	New retail development in close proximity to the centre of Stroud will be accessible.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. It is also within 250m of a number of Key Wildlife Sites including Frome Banks GWT reserve, Rodborough Fields and Wood, Frome Banks, Stroudwater Canal – Stroud, and River Frome Mainstream & Tributaries.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	The site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to employment development. The site is within 500m of the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	This site is within close proximity of the town centre of Stroud so opportunities for people to travel to the site without using a car should be good.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is on greenfield land but it is not high agricultural quality land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	The location of new retail development in the district will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	New retail development would provide new jobs, although these are unlikely to be significant in scale.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	New retail development would provide jobs which could have associated opportunities for work-based learning and skills development, although these are unlikely to be significant in scale.

**WUE009: Land south of Symn Lane, Wotton under Edge (Potential extension to Katharine Lady Berkeley's School)**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	A school extension will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 2: Health	0	A school extension will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 3: Social inclusion	+	A school extension will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	A school extension will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	A school extension will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	A school extension will have a minor positive effect on this SA objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Wotton Hill SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-?	The extension of this school may result in increased traffic to the site although effects depend to a large extent on school bus provision.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is on greenfield land classed as Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	The extension of education facilities in the district will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	0	A school extension will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 17: Economic growth	++	A school extension would provide additional school places.

# Open space site options

## BER001: Land west of Parkview play area, Berkeley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	+?	All open space site options could have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 9: Historic environment	+?	All open space site options could have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 11: Water quality	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 12: Flooding	++	This site is within flood zone 3.
SA 13: Efficient land use	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 14: Climate change	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 16: Employment	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 17: Economic growth	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.



## BER008: Land north of Canon Park sports ground, Berkeley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	+?	All open space site options could have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 9: Historic environment	+?	All open space site options could have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 11: Water quality	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 12: Flooding	+	This site is outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 14: Climate change	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 16: Employment	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 17: Economic growth	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.

## CHA002: Land at the west side of Middle Hill, Chalford

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	+?	All open space site options could have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 9: Historic environment	+?	All open space site options could have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 11: Water quality	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 12: Flooding	+	This site is outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 14: Climate change	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 16: Employment	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 17: Economic growth	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.

## STO005: Land north of Bristol Road, Stonehouse

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	+?	All open space site options could have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 9: Historic environment	+?	All open space site options could have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 11: Water quality	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 12: Flooding	+	This site is outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 14: Climate change	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 16: Employment	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 17: Economic growth	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.

## STO011: Cotswold Green, Stonehouse

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	+?	All open space site options could have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 9: Historic environment	+?	All open space site options could have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 11: Water quality	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 12: Flooding	+	This site is outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 14: Climate change	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 16: Employment	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 17: Economic growth	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.

## STR028: School playing field, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	+?	All open space site options could have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 9: Historic environment	+?	All open space site options could have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 11: Water quality	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 12: Flooding	+	This site is outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 14: Climate change	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 16: Employment	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 17: Economic growth	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.

## Site options considered following the Emerging Strategy Paper (prior to consultation on the Draft Plan)

### BER015: Land attached to Foxfield House, Berkeley (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 15 homes.
SA 2: Health	-	This site is not located within close proximity of a GP, an area of open space, or a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is not within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site or 3km of the Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 53. This work identified area 53 as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at 15 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 68 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land and on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## CAM028: Land east of Tait's Hill Road, Cam (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 53 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is not located within 400m of cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 1km of Stinchcombe Hill SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 69 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. It is mostly on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement but is not located within 1km of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## CAM029: Land at Upthorpe Farm (part), Cam (residential and community facilities)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	The site is 12.94ha and would accommodate both residential and community uses. The site has a capacity for 388 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is located within 400m of a cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	+	New community facilities in the district are likely to help promote social inclusion..
SA 4: Crime	0	A negligible effect is expected on this objective
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	New community facilities in the district are likely to help improve the satisfaction of people with their neighbourhoods.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement. This site would include community uses but is not within a town centre.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is not within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site or 3km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 56 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land and is on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	A negligible effect is expected on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement and is located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.



## EAS016: D E Spencer and Sons, Eastington (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 10 homes.
SA 2: Health	-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is not located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is not within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site or 3km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 43. This work identified area 43 as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small urban extension (500 to 1,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at 10 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 86 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement. It is currently in employment use
SA 17: Economic Growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## EAS017: Land adjoining Oldbury Lodge (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has a capacity for 26 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space. The site is located within 400m of cycle route and a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	A negligible effect is expected on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	A negligible effect is expected on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is not within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site or 3km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 69 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land, and is on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	A negligible effect is expected on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment sites, but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## EAS017: Land adjoining Oldbury Lodge (employment)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	A negligible effect is expected in relation to this SA objective.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is located within 800m of a green space. The site is located within 400m of cycle route and a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	A negligible effect is expected on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	A negligible effect is expected on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	A negligible effect is expected on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is more than 1km from a railway station and is within 400m of a bus stop. The site is within 200m from an existing cycle route
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land, and is on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	A negligible effect is expected on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is smaller than 10ha.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	The site is smaller than 10ha.

## EAS018: Land south-east of Chipmans Platt roundabout, Eastington (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has a capacity for 39 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space. The site is located within 400m of cycle route and a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is not within or directly adjoining existing development within a Tier 1-4 settlement. However, the site is adjacent to the West of Stonehouse site allocation in the adopted Local Plan, which would form an extension of Stonehouse.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is not within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site or 3km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 43. This work identified area 43 as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small urban extension (500 to 1,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at 10 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 70 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land and is mostly outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land, and is on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites. While the site is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement, it is adjacent to the West of Stonehouse site allocation in the adopted Local

		Plan, which would form an extension of Stonehouse.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## EAS019: Field north of Travelodge at Chipmans Platt, Eastington (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has a capacity for 57 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space. The site is located within 400m of cycle route and a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is not within or directly adjoining existing development within a Tier 1-4 settlement. However, the site is adjacent to the West of Stonehouse site allocation in the adopted Local Plan, which would form an extension of Stonehouse.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of low/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 74 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land, and is on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites. While it is not adjacent to existing development within a Tier 1 or 2 settlement, the site is adjacent to the West of Stonehouse site allocation in the adopted Local Plan, which would form an extension of Stonehouse.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## EAS020: Land south and east of Cressington Cottages, Eastington (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has a capacity for 22 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/-	This is not within 800m of a GP. The site is not located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is located within 400m of a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site or 3km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 74 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land, and is on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of key employment sites, but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## FRO001: Frocester Manor, Frocester (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 30 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site contains a significant portion of brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is not within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site or 3km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 48. This work identified area 48 as having medium-high sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at 30 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the significant negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 85 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site contains a significant portion of brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.



## HAR015: Land at Moreton Valence, Hardwicke (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site is 72.42ha and has a capacity for 800 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement and does not directly adjoin Quedgeley at the Gloucester fringe.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is not within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site or 3km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site mostly within assessment area 41 with a small part of the site falling within assessment area 36. This work identified area 41 as having medium-high sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at 800 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the significant negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 85 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land and is mostly outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively large in size, on greenfield land and is on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## HAR016: Land to east of A38 at Moreton Valence, Hardwicke (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site has capacity for 800 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/-	This is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is not within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site or 3km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site mostly within assessment area 41 with a small part of the site falling within assessment area 36. This work identified area 41 as having medium-high sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at 800 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the significant negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 87 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land and is mostly outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively large in size, on greenfield land and is on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites, but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## HFD010: Land west of 3 The Cottages, Haresfield (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 3 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site contains a significant portion of brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site or 3km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 40. This work identified area 40 as having medium-high sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at 3 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the significant negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 71 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size, on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## HFD011: Land east of Gloucester Road (B4008), Haresfield (employment)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	A negligible effect is expected in relation to this SA objective.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is located within 800m of a green space. The site is not located within 400m of cycle route and a National Cycle Network route
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	A negligible effect is expected on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	A negligible effect is expected on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	A negligible effect is expected on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 40. This work identified area 40 as having medium-high sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the significant negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is more than 1km from a railway station and is within 400m of a bus stop. The site is not within 200m of an existing cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively large in size, on greenfield land and on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is more than 10ha in size
SA 17: Economic growth	++	The site is more than 10ha in size.

## HFD012: Land west of Gloucester Road (B4008), Haresfield (employment)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	A negligible effect is expected in relation to this SA objective.
SA 2: Health	+	This site is located within 800m of a green space.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Non-residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Non-residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	A negligible effect is expected on this objective
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 40. This work identified area 40 as having medium-high sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the significant negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is more than 1km from a railway station and is within 400m of a bus stop. The site is not within 200m of an existing cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land mostly outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size, on greenfield land and contains a significant portion of Grade 2 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is more than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	++	The site is more than 10ha in size.

### HOR003: The Orchard, Horsley (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 3 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is not within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site or 3km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 74 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land and is on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## KIN012: Land west of Renishaws (employment)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	A negligible effect is expected in relation to this SA objective.
SA 2: Health	+	This site is located within 800m of three green spaces. The site is located further than 400m of cycle route or PRow.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Non-Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Non-Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site contains a significant portion of greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	A negligible effect is expected on this objective
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 55. This work identified area 55 as having medium-high sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth and residential development rather than employment development. Therefore, additional uncertainty exists in the significant negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is more than 1km from a railway station and is within 400m of a bus stop. The site is not within 200m of an existing cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land and mostly outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.

SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively large in size, on greenfield land and contains a significant portion of Grade 2 agricultural land
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is more than 10ha.
SA 17: Economic growth	++	The site is more than 10ha.



### KIN013: Land north and west of 10 - 14 Charfield Road, Kingswood (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 80 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is not within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site or 3km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 64 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land and is mostly outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land and is on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+++	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

## KIN014: Part land off Charfield Road, Kingswood (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 50 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is not within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site or 3km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 60 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land and is on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites, but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+++	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

## KST008: Court Farm Farmyard, King's Stanley (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 12 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is located within 400m of a National Trail.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 1km Selsley Common SSSI, and within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 67 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## KST009: Field 2 Court Farm, King's Stanley (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 32 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is located within 400m of a National Trail.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 1km of Selsley Common SSSI, and within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 67 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land and is on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

### KST010: Field 13 Court Farm, King's Stanley (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 25 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 1km of Woodchester Park SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 67 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land and is on Grade 3 residential land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## LEO008: Part land to the north of Bath Road, Leonard Stanley (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 15 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is not within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site or 3km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 65 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land and is on Grade 2 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## LEO009: Land off Dozule Close, Leonard Stanley (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 30 homes.
SA 2: Health	--/+?	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a Tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is not within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site or 3km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 68 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land and is on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## MID002: Land to the West of Walnut Tree House, Middleyard (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for at least nine homes.
SA 2: Health	++/--?	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site contains a National Trail.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on mostly greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 1km of Selsley Common SSSI and within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 68 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land and is on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.



## MIN014: Land north and west of The Yews, Minchinhampton (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 72 homes.
SA 2: Health	+	This site between 400m and 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 2 settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 1km of Minchinhampton Common SSSI, and within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 61 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land and is not on Grade 3 or higher agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a tier 2 settlement but is not located within 1km of a key employment sites.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

### MIN015: The Old Builders Yard, Minchinhampton (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for at least six homes.
SA 2: Health	++	This site between 400m and 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is located within 400m of a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 2 settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 66 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size, on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a tier 2 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

### MIN016: Land on north side of Woefuldane Bottom, Minchinhampton (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 27 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	This site between 400m and 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is located within 400m of a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 2 settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 66 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land and is on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a tier 2 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

### MIN017: Former garage site, Minchinhampton (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 7 homes.
SA 2: Health	--/+?	This site between 400m and 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space, although it includes a protected outdoor playspace within the boundary of the site which could be lost to development. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 2 settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 1km of Minchinhampton Common SSSI and is within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site scored 1 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 62 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size, on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a tier 2 settlement but is not located within 1km of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

### NAI013: Land south of Avening Road, Nailsworth (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 30 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/--?	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space, although it includes a green space and protected outdoor playspace within the boundary of the site which could be lost to development. The site is located within 400m of a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 2 settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Minchinhampton Common SSSI, and within 1km of Box Farm Meadows SSSI. This site is also within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC. The also includes a green space which could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 69 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone and a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land and is mostly outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land and is not on Grade 3 or higher agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is at a tier 2 settlement and is located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## NEW002a: Additional land at Newtown and Wanswell (residential, employment, and community facilities)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site has capacity for 2,400 homes within plan period and additional land to accommodate 5000 dwellings in total when considered in combination with site NEW002.
SA 2: Health	--/+?	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a protected outdoor playspace and a green space, although it includes a protected outdoor playspace within the boundary of the site which could be lost to development. The site is located within 400m of cycle route and a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	A negligible effect is expected on this objective
SA 4: Crime	0	A negligible effect is expected on this objective
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjacent to a Tier 1-4 settlement
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site contains a green space. The site is within 250m of Tintock Wood KWS, Brooks Grove KWS and Wanswell Hay Meadows KWS. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 52. This work identified area 52 as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is more than 1km from a railway station and is within 400m of a bus stop. The site is within 200m of an existing cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively large in size, on greenfield land and is on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	A negligible effect is expected on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site has a capacity for more than 600 homes and 10ha of employment land
SA 17: Economic growth	++	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school. The site has a capacity for 10ha of employment land.

## NEW007: Panthurst Farm, Newtown & Sharpness (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 6 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjacent to a Tier 1-4 settlement
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 1km the Severn Estuary SSSI, Ramsar, SAC and SPA.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 69 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land much of which lies within flood zones 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land and it is on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites, but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## NIB004: Land to west of New Road, North Nibley (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 20 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/--?	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space, although it includes a green space within the boundary of the site which could be lost to development. The site is located within 400m of a National Trail.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a tier 3b settlement
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Nibley Knoll SSSI and contains a green space. The site includes a green space which could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 69 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land and on Grade 2 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.



**NIB005: Land north of Innock's Estate and east of Lowerhouse Lane, North Nibley (residential)**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 20 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is located within 400m of a National Trail.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a tier 3b settlement
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 1km of Nibley Knoll SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 70 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land and is on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

### NPT003: Actrees Farm (employment)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	A negligible effect is expected in relation to this SA objective
SA 2: Health	+	The site is located within 800m of a protected outdoor playspace The site is located further than 400m of cycle route or a PRow.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Non-Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Non-Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site contains a significant portion of brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	A negligible effect is expected on this objective
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 53. This work identified area 53 as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth and residential development rather than employment development. Therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is more than 1km from a railway station and is within 400m of a bus stop. The site is not within 200m of an existing cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is smaller than 10ha. The site is currently in employment use but any loss of employment is expected to be replaced considering the allocation proposed.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	The site is smaller than 10ha.

## NPT004: Land off Church View, Newport (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 8 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site contains a significant portion of brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	The site is not directly adjacent to a tier 1 to 5 settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is not within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site or 3km of the Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 53. This work identified area 53 as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at eight homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 80 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size, on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

### PAI013: Land at Clattergrove, Painswick (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 40 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/--?	This site is located within 400m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space, although it includes a green space within the boundary of the site which could be lost to development. The site is located within 400m of a National Trail.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is a tier 2 settlement
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Cotswold Common and Beechwoods SSSI and contains a green space.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site scored 1 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 69 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land and is on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site but is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## SLI006: M D Collins Steel Buildings Ltd, Cambridge (residential and employment)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 150 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	A negligible effect is expected on this objective
SA 4: Crime	0	A negligible effect is expected on this objective
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is not within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site or within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 49. This work identified area 49 as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at 150 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 79 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land and on Grade 2 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	A negligible effect is expected on this objective
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+?	The site has capacity to accommodate less than 600 homes and 10ha of employment land. It is currently in employment use
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school. The site has capacity to deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

**SLI007: Land south of Slimbridge (Wisloe) roundabout, Slimbridge (residential, employment, community facilities)**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 69 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is located within 400m of cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	+	New community facilities in the district are likely to help promote social inclusion.
SA 4: Crime	0	A negligible effect is expected on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	New community facilities in the district are likely to help improve the satisfaction of people with their neighbourhoods.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is not within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site or within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 49. This work identified area 49 as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at 69 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 67 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land and on Grade 2 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	A negligible effect is expected on this objective
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site may deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school. The site is expected to deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

## STN004: Land to east of A38 at Stone (residential, non-residential and community facilities)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 100 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	+	New community facilities in the district are likely to help promote social inclusion.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	New community facilities in the district are likely to help improve the satisfaction of people with their neighbourhoods.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is not within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site or 3km of Redborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 49. This work identified area 49 as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at 100 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 85 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size, on greenfield land and on Grade 2 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site has capacity to deliver less than 600 homes and 10ha of employment land.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school. The site has capacity to deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

## STO021: Stagholt Farm, Stonehouse (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 52 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site contains a significant portion of greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is not within or directly adjacent to existing development within a Tier 1-4 settlement. However, the site is adjacent to the West of Stonehouse site allocation in the adopted Local Plan, which would form an extension of Stonehouse.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is not within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site or 3km of Redborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 85 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land which is mostly outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land and on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites. While the site is not adjacent to existing development within a Tier 1 or 2 settlement, it adjoins the West of Stonehouse allocation in the adopted Local Plan which would form an extension of Stonehouse.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.



## STO022: Part land south of Horsemarling Lane, Stonehouse (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 258 homes.
SA 2: Health	--/+?	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space, although it includes a green space and protected outdoor playspace within the boundary of the site which could be lost to development. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is a tier 1 settlement
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site contains a green space and protected outdoor playspace. It is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is not within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site or 3km of Redborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 63 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land and on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement but is not located within 1km of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing secondary school but is not within 800m of an existing primary school

### STO023: Land at The Glen, Stonehouse (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 16 homes.
SA 2: Health	+	This site is located between 400m and 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site contains a significant portion of brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is a tier 1 settlement
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is not within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site or 3km of Redborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 54 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size, on mostly brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on mostly brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a tier 1 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

### STO023: Land at The Glen, Stonehouse (employment)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	A negligible effect is expected in relation to this SA objective
SA 2: Health	+	The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site contains a significant portion of brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	A negligible effect is expected on this objective
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to employment development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site is within 1km of a railway station and is within 400m of a bus stop. The site is not within 200m of an existing cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site contains a large portion of brownfield land and is outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size, on mostly brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on mostly brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is smaller than 10ha.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	The site is smaller than 10ha.

## STO024: Part land north west of Stonehouse (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site has capacity for 800 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is within or directly adjacent to a Tier 1-4 settlement. It is adjacent to site SA2 West of Stonehouse which is allocated in the adopted Local Plan.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is not within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site or 3km of Redborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 85 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land mostly outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size, on greenfield land and is on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites and is adjacent to site SA2 West of Stonehouse which is allocated in the adopted Local Plan.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

### STR058: Former garage site Hillcrest Road, Stroud (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 8 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is a tier 1 settlement
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 3km of Redborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 60 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size, on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

### STR059: Former garages site adjacent to 11 Queens Drive, Stroud (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 7 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space, although it includes a protected outdoor playspace within the boundary of the site which could be lost to development. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is a tier 1 settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 3km of Redborough Common SAC, and contains a green space and protected outdoor playspace.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 61 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size, on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a tier 1 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

## STR060: Garage site Orchard Road, Stroud (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 5 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is located within 400m of a National Trail.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site contains a significant portion of brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is a tier1 settlement
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 3km of Redborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 58 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size, on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is at a tier 1 settlement and is located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## STR061: Garages at Nouncells Cross, Stroud (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 5 homes.
SA 2: Health	+	This site between 400m and 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site contains a significant portion of brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is a tier 1 settlement
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 3km of Redborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site scored 47 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and contains a significant portion of brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site contains a significant portion of brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is at a tier 1 settlement and is located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school



## STR062: Part land south of Kilminster Farm, Stroud (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 50 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is a tier 1 settlement
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 3km of Redborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 63 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land and is on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a tier 1 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school

### UPT013: Part Land south of the High Street, Upton St Leonard (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity 124 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is a tier 3b settlement
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is not within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site or 3km of Redborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 76 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land and is on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school

## UPT014: Land at Upton Lane, Upton St Leonard (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 400 homes.
SA 2: Health	+	This site is between 400m and 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	The site does not directly adjoin a settlement within Stroud but is adjacent to the settlements of Coopers Edge and Gloucester at the Gloucester fringe.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Hucclecote Meadows SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 83 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land and is on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school

## WHI010: Land at Jaxons Farm, Whitminster (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 250 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is located within 400m of a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is a tier 3a settlement
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is not within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site or 3km of Redborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 78 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land and is on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school

## WUE010: Land at Hawpark Farm, Kingswood (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 400 homes.
SA 2: Health	+	This site between 400m and 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is a tier 3a settlement
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 1km of Wotton Hill SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswolds AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 70 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land and is mostly outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land and is on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites, but is not at a tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

Sites options considered following the Draft Plan (November 2019) including those considered as part of the Additional Housing Options consultation (October 2020)

**BER016: Hook Street Farm, Lynch Road (residential)**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 40 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	This site is located within 400m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is located within 400m of a cycle route and a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	The majority of this site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 60 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is mostly on greenfield land and the majority of it falls within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and is mostly on greenfield land. The site is mostly within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is mostly on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Rigestate, Station Road).
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## BER017: Bevans Hill Farm, Lynch Road (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is located between 400m and 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 60 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land. An area of the site to the east lies within Flood Zone 3a or 3b but does not comprise more than 50% of the site's total area.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is mostly within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Rigestate, Station Road).
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

### BRI023: The Bungalow and yard, Toadsmoor Road, Brimscombe (15 dwellings and employment land)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 15 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is located outside of Brimscombe and Chalford).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC site. It is also within 250m of Mackhouse and Lawrenceland Woods KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	It was identified that there are no obvious heritage implications for development at this site. However, this site was not assessed to the same level of detail as those subject to assessment through the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 64 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land and it lies mostly outside of flood zone 3a and 3b (only a small part of the western edge of the site lies within these areas).
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

### CAM030: Land at Oakland House (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.



SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on mainly greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium/low sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	It was identified that there are no obvious heritage implications for development at this site. However, this site was not assessed to the same level of detail as those subject to assessment through the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 62 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on mostly greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and mostly on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on mainly greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Draycott / Middle Mill Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

### CAM031: Land south of Everside Lane (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 270 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium/low sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 71 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is mostly within an area of Grade 2 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Draycott / Middle Mill Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

### CAM032: Additional land north of Upthorpe (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	It was identified that there are no obvious heritage implications for development at this site. However, this site was not assessed to the same level of detail as those subject to assessment through the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 59 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of several key employment sites (Cam Mills, Everlands and Draycott / Middle Mill Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

### CAM033: Land north of 7 - 9A Upthorpe (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	It was identified that there are no obvious heritage implications for development at this site. However, this site was not assessed to the same level of detail as those subject to assessment through the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 61 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of several key employment sites (Cam Mills, Everlands and Draycott / Middle Mill Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## DUR024: Land to the south of Hawthorn Villa (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 10 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is however located within 250m of Gravelpits Wood KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 60 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## EAS021: Claypits Farm (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 28 to 32 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is separated from Eastington by the M5).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 47. This work identified area 47 as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at 28-32 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	It was identified that there are no obvious heritage implications for development at this site. However, this site was not assessed to the same level of detail as those subject to assessment through the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 70 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Meadow Mill Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.

SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.
------------------------	----	--

## EAS022: Land south east of Nupend (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is not located within 800m of an open space. The site is located within 400m of a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is outside of Eastington and Stonehouse).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site falls on land which is mostly not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment (only a small part of the north eastern edge of the site falls on land which has been related as having medium sensitivity to residential development). The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 43. This work identified area 43 as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small urban extension (500 to 1,500 dwellings). It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 83 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Stroudwater Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.



## HAR017: Land at Sellars Road (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 11 to 15 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a Tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of Gloucester and Sharpness Canal KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 36. This work identified area 36 as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small urban extension (500 to 1,500 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at 11-15 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 59 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## HAR018: Land at Eric Vick Transport (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 120 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on mainly greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is separate from the main built up area of Hardwicke).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of Gloucester and Sharpness Canal KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 36. This work identified area 36 as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small urban extension (500 to 1,500 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at 120 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 82 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land but is mostly outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on mainly greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Quedgeley West Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

## HAR019: CM Downton Ltd, Bristol Road (employment use)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 800m of a green space but not within 400m of a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of any designated sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 41. This work identified area 41 as having medium-high sensitivity to accommodate a small village (500 to 1,500 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a different type of development than what is proposed for the site and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the significant negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station or within 200m of a cycle route. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	++	The site is large in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	This site is more than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	++	This site is more than 10ha in size.

## HAR020: Land South West of Rhyne Cottage (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 3 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a green space. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is separate from the main built up area of Whitminster).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 41. This work identified area 41 as having medium-high sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at three homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the significant negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	It was identified that there are no obvious heritage implications for development at this site. However, this site was not assessed to the same level of detail as those subject to assessment through the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 79 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

### HFD013: Land at Haresfield Playing Field (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	--/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path and it also contains a protected outdoor playspace and a green space which might be lost as a result of development
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. There are existing GI assets (a green space and a protected outdoor playspace) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	It was identified that there are no obvious heritage implications for development at this site. However, this site was not assessed to the same level of detail as those subject to assessment through the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 88 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## HOR004: Land South of the B4058 (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 5 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is separate from the main built up area of Horsley).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is within 250m-1km of Kingscote and Horsley Woods SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	It was identified that there are no obvious heritage implications for development at this site. However, this site was not assessed to the same level of detail as those subject to assessment through the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 78 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## KIN015: Land at Blueboy Cottage, Kingswood (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is outside of Kingswood).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 55. This work identified area 55 as having medium-high sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at 600 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the significant negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	It was identified that there are no obvious heritage implications for development at this site. However, this site was not assessed to the same level of detail as those subject to assessment through the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 69 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land, much of which falls within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of several key employment sites (Renishaw, New Mills and Abbey Mill Industrial Area) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.

SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing secondary school but is not within 800m of an existing primary school.
------------------------	----	---



## NAI014: Additional land off Nortonwood (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Woodchester Park SSSI. The site is also located within 3.0km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	It was identified that there are no obvious heritage implications for development at this site. However, this site was not assessed to the same level of detail as those subject to assessment through the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 62 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Inchbrook Industrial Estate).
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

### NYM003: Land at Front Street (rear of Cleve Hill), Nympsfield (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Easter Park Farm Quarry SSSI and Woodchester Park SSSI. The site is also located within 250m of Nympsfield Valley (Big Breach Pasture) KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	It was identified that there are no obvious heritage implications for development at this site. However, this site was not assessed to the same level of detail as those subject to assessment through the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 78 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## PAI014: Land to the South-east of Stroud Road (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Bull Cross, The Frith and Juniper Hill SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	It was identified that there are no obvious heritage implications for development at this site. However, this site was not assessed to the same level of detail as those subject to assessment through the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 65 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located further than 1km from an employment but is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## STO025: Land at Reliance Works, Downton Road, Bridgend (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 12 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	This site is located between 400m and 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle route within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is also located within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-/+?	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA heritage assessment also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 57 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++/--	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Upper Mills Industrial Estate; Stroudwater Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement. The site contains part of this employment site meaning its development could result in some employment land in the district.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

### STR063: Land at Wickridge Farm (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 60 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also within 250m of The Folly, Stroud KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 62 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (New Mills / Libby Drive) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## STR064: Additional land north of Folly Lane (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also within 250m of The Folly, Stroud KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	It was identified that there are no obvious heritage implications for development at this site. However, this site was not assessed to the same level of detail as those subject to assessment through the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 59 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (New Mills / Libby Drive) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

**STR065: Beeches Green Health Centre (20 dwellings, healthcare and extra care accommodation)**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes. The site is expected to provide special care housing facilities which could help meet specific needs in the plan area.
SA 2: Health	++	This site is located within 400m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is located within 400m of a cycle route. The site would also deliver new healthcare provisions.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or by the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0/+?	This site scored 1 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA heritage assessment also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site scored 45 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of several key employment sites (Salmon Springs Industrial Estate; Lodgemore & Fromehall Mills; and Fromeside Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

## STR066: Part STR038 Land east of Painswick Old Road (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes. The site is expected to deliver an element of social housing which could help meet specific needs in the plan area.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	It was identified that there are no obvious heritage implications for development at this site. However, this site was not assessed to the same level of detail as those subject to assessment through the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site scored 49 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of several key employment sites (Salmon Springs Industrial Estate; and New Mills / Libby Drive) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.



**HAR006-HAR009 and HAR015-HAR016/PGP2: Broad location at Moreton Valence / Hardwicke (Up to 1,500 dwellings, employment land, local centre, primary school, community facilities and open space)**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site has capacity up to 1,500 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route. Development of the site would include the delivery of new open space.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	++	The provision of a new local centre at the site is likely to help improve the satisfaction of people with their neighbourhoods at this location.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++/--	This site is not within or directly adjoining development within a Tier 1-4 settlement. It is in close proximity to the committed Hunts Grove development area outlined in the adopted Local Plan for the Hunts Grove Extension. As set out in the adopted Local Plan and Settlement Role and Function Study Update 2018 Hunts Grove is anticipated to become a Tier 2 settlement, however, this will only be the case once development is completed. The provision of a new local centre as part of development could help to improve access to services and facilities in the area.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Council's Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site mostly within assessment area 41 with a small part of the site falling within assessment area 36. This work identified area 41 as having medium-high sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The site is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	While much of this site was not appraised through the SALA heritage assessment, sites HAR015 and HAR016 scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--?	Sites which comprise this site including HAR007, HAR008, HAR009, HAR015 and HAR016 which scored between 83 and 89 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.

SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land and lies mostly outside of flood zones 3a and 3b (although a portion of the central area of the site lies within higher risk flood areas).
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of mostly Grade 3 agricultural land with a small area of Grade 2 agricultural land to the south east.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver more than 600 homes and is expected to provide less than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development. It not at a Tier 1 or Tier settlement but is within 600m of key employment Quedgeley West and Javelin Park.
SA 17: Economic growth	+/-?	The site is not located within 800m of an existing primary school or an existing secondary school. However, the site could deliver employment land (less than 10ha) as part of mixed use development. It would also include the delivery of a new primary school but would not contribute to secondary school facilities in the area.

## WHI011: Land north of Grove End Farm (dwellings and employment land)\*

*\*This site would form Phase 2 of land at Grove End Farm mixed use development (see site WHI014/PGP1 below)*

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site has capacity for more than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a Tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of Mole Grove KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-	A large proportion of the site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development and being of medium sensitivity to employment development. The remaining area of the site has not been assessed as part of that work. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 79 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	This site could deliver more than 600 homes and has potential to provide more than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development.
SA 17: Economic growth	++/-?	The site is not located within 800m of an existing primary school or an existing secondary school. However, the site could deliver more than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development.

## WHI012: Land south of Hyde Lane (residential)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 30 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on mainly greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a Tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	It was identified that there are no obvious heritage implications for development at this site. However, this site was not assessed to the same level of detail as those subject to assessment through the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 76 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

### WHI013: Land at Parklands Farm (open space)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	+?	All open space site options could have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 9: Historic environment	+?	The site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. However, given that the site would be preserved as open space and would prevent the delivery of built development which might otherwise alter the settings of nearby heritage assets, a minor positive effect is expected.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 11: Water quality	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 12: Flooding	++	This site is within flood zone 3.
SA 13: Efficient land use	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 14: Climate change	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 16: Employment	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 17: Economic growth	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.

**WHI014/PGP1: Land at Grove End Farm (combined site WHI007 & WHI011) (2,250 dwellings, 18ha employment land, local centre, primary school and sports pitches)**

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site has capacity for more than 2,250 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Cycle Network route and a cycle route. The provision of new sports pitches at the site could benefit health and wellbeing in the district.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	++	The provision of a new local centre at the site is likely to help improve the satisfaction of people with their neighbourhoods at this location.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a Tier 3a settlement. The provision of a new local centre as part of development could help to improve access to services and facilities at this settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of Mole Grove KWS, Stroudwater Canal – Whitminster KWS and River Frome Mainstream & Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	Much of the western edge of this site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development and being of medium sensitivity to employment development. The remaining area of the site has not been assessed as part of that work. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site comprises site WHI007 which scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment 2018 and site WHI011 which scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment 2020.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 78 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	A large part of the southern portion of the site falls within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.

SA 16: Employment	++	This site could deliver 2,250 homes and 18ha of employment land as part of mixed use development.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school. This site could deliver 18ha of employment land as part of mixed use development. It would also include the delivery of a new primary school.

## **Appendix 6**

### Summary of SA effects identified for the Draft Plan and Emerging Strategy Paper



## Stroud Draft Plan

- A6.1 The Stroud District Local Plan Review Draft Plan was subject to a detailed appraisal against the Sustainability Appraisal (SA) objectives which were developed at the Scoping stage of the SA process. The SA Report was presented for consultation alongside the Stroud District Draft Local Plan in November 2019. The likely total effects of the Draft Plan (including the individual draft site allocations and policies) are re-presented below in **Table A6.1**.
- A6.2 From this, it is possible to assess the likely significant effects of the Draft Plan as a whole (including the draft site allocations) in relation to each of the SA objectives. This enables a description of the likely cumulative effects of the plan as required by the SEA Regulations. **Table A6.2** shows this summary of the expected cumulative effects in relation to each SA objective. A description of the expected cumulative effects of the Draft Plan are detailed below the Table A6.2.

**Table A6.1 Total effects of Stroud Draft Local Plan (November 2019)**

SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
Key priorities	++/- ?	+	+	0	+	++	++	+?	+?	++	0	+	++	++	+?	++/- ?	++/- ?
Vision for the District	0	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	0	0	+	+	+	+
Strategic Objectives SO1	++	++	++	0	0	++	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+
SO1a	0	++	++	++	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SO2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	++	++
SO3	0	0	0	+	0	++	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	+
SO4	0	+	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	++	0	0	0
SO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	++	++	++	++	++	0	0
SO6	0	0	0	0	0	0	++	++	++	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Place Making: the development strategy</b>																	
DCP1	+	+	0	0	+	+	+	+	0	++	+	+	0	++	0	0	0
CP2	++	++/-	+/-	0	+/-	++/-	--?	--?	+?/-- ?	+/-	-	-	--	+/-	+	++/-	++/-
CP3	++	++	+	0	+/-	++/-	--?	+/-?	+/-?	+	-	-	+	+	+	++/-	++/-
CP4	+	+	0	+	++	0	+	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	+	+	0
CP5	0	+	0	0	+	+	0	+	+	+	+	+	0	++	+	0	0
CP6	0	+	0	0	+	+	0	0	0	+/-	0	0	0	+/-	0	0	+
<b>Making Places: Shaping the future of Stroud District</b>																	

SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
Vision for the Stroud Valleys	+	+	+	0	+	+	+	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	0	+	+
Site PS01	+	++/-	0	0	+	+	-?	-?	--/++	0	--?	--	+	0	++?	+	++?
Site PS02	+	++/--?	0	0	+	+	--?	-?	--/++	0	--?	--	+	0	++?	++?	++?
Site PS05	+	++	0	0	+	+	-?	--?	--	--	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	++?
Site PS06	+	++/--?	0	0	+	+	-?	-?	0	0	--?	0	+	0	++?	+	++?
Site PS07	+	++/-	0	0	+	+	--?	--?	0	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	+	++?
Site PS10	+	++	0	0	+	++	-?	-?	--/++	++	--?	0	+	0	++?	+	++?
Site PS11	+	++	0	0	+	++	-?	-?	--/++	++	--?	0	+	0	++?	+	++?
Site PS12	+	++	0	0	+	++	-?	-?	-/++	++	--?	0	+	0	++?	++/--	++?
Site PS13	+	++/--?	0	0	+	++	--?	?	--/++	+	--?	--	+	0	++?	++?	+++?
Vision for the Stonehouse cluster	+	+	0	0	+	+	+	+	0	+	0	0	0	+	0	+	+
Site PS16	+	++/-	0	0	+	+	-?	-?	0	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	-	++?
Site PS17	+	++	0	0	+	++	-?	?	0	-	--?	0	+	0	++?	++	+++?
Site PS19	++	++/-	0	0	+	++	-/++	-?	0	+/--	--?	-	--?	0	0	++	++
Site PS20	0	++/--?	0	0	+	++	-?	--?	--	+	--?	--	--	0	0	++	++
Site PS42	+	++/-	0	0	+	+	0?	-?	0	0	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	++?
Vision for Cam and Dursley	+	+	+	0	+	+	+	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	0	+	+

SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
Site PS21	+	++/-	0	0	+	++	-?	-?	--	+	--?	-	-	0	0	+	+
Site PS24	++	++/-	0	0	+	++	-/+?	-?	?	--/+	--?	-	--	0	0	++	+
Site PS25	+	++/-	0	0	+	++	-?	-?	0	--/+	--?	-	--?	0	0	++	+
Site PS27	0	++	0	0	+	++	-?	-?	-/+?	+	--?	0	+	0	+	+	+
Site PS28	+	++	0	0	+	++	-?	-?	-	++	--?	0	+	0	+	++	+
Vision for Gloucester's rural fringe	+	0	+	0	+	+	0	+	+	+	0	0	+	+	0	+	+
Site PS30	++	++/-	0	0	+	--/+	-?	?	0	--	0	-	--	0	0	+	+
Site PS32	0	+	0	0	0	0	0?	?	0	+	0	-?	--?	0	0	+	+
Site PS43	0	+	0	0	0	0	0?	?	0	+	0	-	--	0	0	+	+
Site G1	++	++/--?	0	0	+	+	+/--?	-?	--	+/--	0	--	--	0	0	+	+++
Site G2	++	++/-	0	0	+	++	-/+?	--?	-	++/--	0	--	--	0	0	+	+++
Vision for the Berkeley Cluster	+	+	+	0	+	+	+	+	+	+	0	+	0	+	0	+	+
Site PS33	+	++	0	0	+	+	-?	-?	0	-	0	--	--?	0	0	++	+
Site PS34	+	++/--?	0	0	+	+	--?	-?	--/+?	--	0	--	+	0	+	+	+
Site PS35	+	++/-	0	0	+	--/+	--?	?	0	-	0	0	+	0	+	--	+
Site PS36	++	++/--?	0	0	+	+	+/--?	--?	-	++/--	0	--	--?	+	0	++	+++
Site PS37	++	++/-	0	0	+	+	-/+?	?	0	+/--	--?	-	--	+	0	++	++
Vision for the Severn Vale	0	+	0	0	0	+	+	+	+	+	0	+	0	+	0	0	+

SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
Site PS44	+	++	0	0	+	+	-?	-?	-	--	0	-	--	0	0	+	+?
Site PS45	+	++/-	0	0	+	+	-?	-?	-	--	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+?
Site PS46	+	++/-	0	0	+	+	-?	-?	0	--	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+?
Vision for the Wotton Cluster	0	0	+	0	+	+	0	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	0	+	+
Site PS38	+	++/-	0	0	+	+	0?	-?	0	--	0	-	--?	0	0	+	+?
Site PS47	0	+	0	0	0	0	0?	?	--	+	0	-	--?	0	0	+	+
Vision for the Cotswold Cluster	+	0	+	0	+	+	0	+	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	+
Site PS41	+	++	0	0	+	+	-?	--?	0	--	--?	-	--?	0	0	--	+?
<b>Homes and Communities</b>																	
DCP2	+	++	++	0	+	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CP7	++	+	++	0	+	+	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	+	0	0	0
CP8	++	+	+	0	+	+	+	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	0	0	+
CP9	++	0	+	0	+	+	+	+	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CP10	++	0	+	0	+	+	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	+	0	0
DHC1	++	+/-	0	0	+	+/-	0	0	0	+/-	0	0	0	+/-	0	+/-	0
DHC2	++	-	+	0	+	+/-	0	+/-?	0	-	0	0	-	-	0	0	0
DHC3	+	+	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	+	0	+	+
DHC4	++	+	+	0	+	+	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	0

SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
DHC5	0	++	+	0	+	+	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	+	0	0	0
DHC6	0	++	0	0	+	+	+	+	+	0	0	+	+	0	0	0	0
DHC7	0	++	0	0	+	+	+	+	0	+	0	+	0	+	0	0	0
HC1	++	+	0	0	+	+	+	+	+	-?	0	0	0	-?	0	0	0
HC2	+	+	0	0	+	+	0	0	0	+	0	0	+	+	0	+	+
HC3	++	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HC4	++	+/-	+	0	0	+/-	0	-?	-?	+/-	0	0	-	+/-	0	+/-	0
HC5	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	+	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	0
HC6	+	+/-	0	0	+	+	0	+	+	+/-	0	0	+	+/-	+	+	0
HC7	+	+	+	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	0
HC8	+	0	0	0	+	0	0	+	+	0	0	0	+	+	0	0	0
<b>Economy and Infrastructure</b>																	
CP11	+?	0	0	0	0	0	+	+	+	+/-	0	+	+/-	+/-	0	++	++
CP12	+	+	+	0	++	++	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	+	0	+	++
CP13	0	+	+	0	+	+	0	0	0	++	0	0	0	++	0	+	+
EI1	0	0	0	0	+	+	0	0	0	+/-	-	-	0	+/-	0	++	++
EI2	+	0	0	0	+	+	+/-?	+/-?	+/-?	+/-	+	+/-?	+	+/-	0	++	++
EI2a	0	0	0	0	0	0	--?	?	--?	-	0	-	+	+/-	0	++	++
EI4	+?	0	0	0	0	0	+?	+?	+?	+/-?	0	+?	+/-	+/-?	0	++	++

SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
EI5	0	0	0	0	0	0	+?	+?	+?	+/-?	+?	0	+	+/-?	0	++	++
EI6	0	+	++	0	+	++	0	0	0	+	0	0	+	+	0	+	0
EI7	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+
EI8	0	+	+	0	+/-?	+	0	+	+	+	0	0	+	+	0	+?	+?
EI9	0	+	+	0	+	+	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	+	0	0	++
EI10	+	0	0	0	0	0	+	+	+	+/-	0	0	+	+/-	0	+	++
EI11	+	++	+	0	+	++	+	+	+	+/-	0	0	0	+/-	0	+	0
EI12	0	+	+	0	0	+	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	+	0	+	+
DEI1	0	+	+	0	0	+	0	0	0	++	0	0	0	++	0	+	0
EI14	0	0	+	0	0	+	0	0	-0	++	0	0	0	++	0	+	+
EI15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	+	0	0	++
EI16	0	0	+	0	0	+	0	0	0	++	0	0	0	++	0	+	0
<b>Our Environment and Surroundings</b>																	
CP14	+	+	0	+	+	+	++	++	++	+	+	+	+	++	+	0	+
CP15	+	+/-	0	0	+	+/-	+	++	+	-	0	0	+	-	0	+	++
ES1	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	+	0	++	+	0	0
ES2	0	+	0	0	+	0	+/-?	+?	+	0	+	+	+	++	0	+	+
DES3	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	++	0	0	0
ES3	+	+	0	+	+	0	0	0	0	++	++	+	+	0	0	0	0

SA Objectives	SA 1: Housing	SA 2: Health	SA 3: Social inclusion	SA 4: Crime	SA 5: Vibrant communities	SA 6: Services and facilities	SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	SA 9: Historic environment	SA 10: Air quality.	SA 11: Water quality	SA 12: Flooding	SA 13: Efficient land use	SA 14: Climate change	SA 15: Waste	SA 16: Employment	SA 17: Economic growth
ES4	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	+	0	0	++	++	0	+	+	0	0
ES5	0	+	0	0	0	+	+	+	0	++	0	0	0	+	0	+	0
DES1	+	0	0	0	0	+	0	+	+	+	0	0	+	+	0	+	+
ES6	0	+	0	0	+	0	++	+?	0	+	+	+	0	+	0	0	0
ES7	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	++	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ES8	0	0	0	0	0	0	++	++	0	+	+	+	0	0	0	0	0
ES9	0	+	0	0	0	0	+?	+?	+?	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	+
ES10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	++	++	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ES11	0	+	0	0	+	0	+	++	++	+	+	+	0	+	0	0	0
ES12	0	+	++	+	++	+	0	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	0	+	+
DES2	0	+	+	0	+	0	++	++	?	+	+	+	0	+	0	0	
ES16	0	0	0	0	++	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+



**Table A6.2 Summary of the likely cumulative sustainability effects for the Stroud District Draft Plan (November 2019)**

SA Objective	Cumulative effects of the Draft Local Plan
SA1: Housing	++
SA2: Health	+/-
SA3: Social Inclusion	+
SA4: Crime	+
SA5: Vibrant communities	+
SA6: Services and facilities	+/-
SA7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	+/--
SA8: Landscapes/townscapes	+/--
SA9: Historic environment	+/--
SA10: Air quality	+/-
SA11: Water quality	+/-
SA12: Flooding	+/-
SA13: Efficient land use	+/--
SA14: Climate change	++/-
SA15: Waste	+
SA16: Employment	++/-
SA17: Economic growth	++

SA objective 1: To provide affordable, sustainable and decent **housing** to meet local needs.

- A6.3 The Draft Plan includes policies which would support the delivery of the required number of new homes over the plan period to address local housing need in the District. Policies CP2 and CP3 outline that the district will accommodate 12,800 additional dwellings between 2020 and 2040 with many of these homes to be delivered at the larger settlements and new growth points at Sharpness and Wisloe. Housing delivery in Stroud District may also contribute to meeting the unmet housing needs of Gloucester City for the Plan period by providing for growth at Whaddon, subject to the location being consistent with the strategy of the JCS.
- A6.4 Policy CP8 requires that new housing development should be a high-quality design and should help to address local requirements in terms of housing type, tenure and size. A proportion of housing in the District will be provided as affordable homes, as set out in Policy CP9. The Draft Plan also addresses specific housing requirements of older people (Policy DCP2) as well as gypsies and travellers and travelling showpeople (Policy CP10).
- A6.5 Draft site allocations at Stonehouse (PS19), Cam (PS24), Hardwicke (PS30 and G1), Whaddon in the Gloucester fringe (G2) Sharpness and Newtown (PS36) and Wisloe (PS37) have the potential to deliver a substantial number of new homes in Stroud to meet local needs (as well as that of

Gloucester city). These sites could also help to support the delivery of a range of new housing type in the District.

A6.6 Overall, a cumulative **significant positive** effect is likely in relation to housing.

SA objective 2: To maintain and improve the community's **health** with accessible healthcare for residents, including increasing levels of physical activity, especially among the young.

A6.7 The Draft Plan's approach to protecting wellbeing and health in the District is set out in Policy DHC5. This policy states the Council will support proposals that include design measures to encourage healthier lifestyles. The approach includes promoting access to healthy foods, supporting physical and mental health and providing access to local health care facilities. The Draft Local Plan also includes Policies DHC6 and DHC7 which provide direct support for the protection of existing and the provision of new open spaces, outdoor recreation facilities, playing fields or allotments. Additionally, the potential to encourage modal shift including active modes in the District is embedded in the Draft Plan. Policies DCP1, CP4 and CP5 would facilitate improvement of walking and cycle networks in the District and the provision of community facilities alongside housing growth through master planning of strategic and other major developments. As such it is expected that the Draft Plan is likely to help provide opportunities for residents to partake of more active and healthier lifestyles. The Draft Plan also includes policies (ES3, ES4, ES5 and DES2) that will help to prevent negative impacts on resident's health by requiring that development minimises its contribution to pollution, either through design or mitigation measures.

A6.8 The proposed development strategy, which is detailed in part by Policy CP2 and CP3 would result in development being concentrated at locations which currently provide access to the widest range of services and facilities. Critical mass would be provided at the new settlements at Sharpness and Wisloe which is likely to support the delivery of new services and facilities at these locations. As such, the proposed growth strategy is likely to be of particular benefit in terms of access to healthcare facilities and facilities which would support more active lifestyle choices among residents. It is noted however that the development strategy may be detrimental in terms of supporting the delivery of services and facilities of a more substantial nature at more rural locations. There is also potential for there to be inadequate health related service provision in the early stages of development at the new settlements at Sharpness and Wisloe. As such there is potential for adverse impacts in terms of access to healthcare facilities at rural locations and the new settlements particularly in the short term.

A6.9 Of the draft site allocations included in the Draft Local Plan, 32 are likely to have a significant positive effect in relation to health as they are located in close proximity to healthcare facilities as well as to existing features which might encourage more active lifestyles among residents (e.g. areas of open space, footpaths, etc.), or they would incorporate an area of open space as part of the development. However, seven sites are likely to have a significant negative effect in relation to health given that they are not located within close proximity of healthcare facilities and assets which could help promote more active lifestyles, or they contain such an asset which might be lost dependent upon the design of development at the site.

A6.10 Overall, a cumulative **mixed minor positive and minor negative** effect is likely in relation to health.

SA objective 3: To encourage **social inclusion**, equity, the promotion of equality and a respect for diversity and meet the challenge of a growing and ageing population

A6.11 The Draft Plan includes a number of policies (DCP2, CP7, DHC5, DHC6, DHC7, DES2, EI6, EI8 and ES12) which directly seek to maintain and improve accessibility to services and amenities including active social, leisure and recreation opportunities. These policies are also likely to help provide opportunities for people from a variety of backgrounds with a range of needs (including older people and people with disabilities) to live and meet in attractive locations.

A6.12 Through Policies CP2 and CP3, the Draft Plan directs a large proportion of new development to locations that allow for access to the widest range of services and facilities (i.e. higher tier settlements). Within the proposed development strategy set out in these policies, it is likely that the delivery of new services and facilities will be supported through the provision of critical mass at the new settlements at Sharpness and Wisloe. Focussing much of the new growth at larger

settlements is likely to be of particular benefit in terms of facilitating improved levels of social inclusion. However, this approach could limit the delivery of similar services and facilities at smaller settlements and rural locations. There is also potential for there to be inadequate service provision in the early stages of development of the new settlements at Sharpness and Wisloe, which may limit the potential for this development to address the issue of social inclusion.

- A6.13 Despite these potential minor negative effects, overall, a cumulative **minor positive** effect is likely in relation to social inclusion.

SA objective 4: To reduce **crime**, anti-social behaviour and disorder and the fear of crime.

- A6.14 The majority of the Draft Plan, including all of the draft site allocations, are not likely to have a direct effect on this objective. Policies CP4 and CP14 however are supportive of the creation of safe town centres and communities in which public safety is prioritised and the fear of crime is reduced respectively, which will in part be achieved through high quality design and layout.
- A6.15 Although policy CP14 does require development to consider crime prevention in the design and layout of developments, this issue will be further considered at the planning application stage and will likely be influenced through the inclusion of features such as street lighting and overlooked public and private spaces.
- A6.16 Overall a cumulative **minor positive** effect is likely in relation to crime.

SA objective 5: To create and sustain **vibrant communities**.

- A6.17 Policies ES12 and ES16 in the Draft Plan are expected to have a direct positive impact in relation to this SA objective. These policies have the potential to help increase the attractiveness of the District and increase residents' satisfaction with their neighbourhoods. In addition to helping to promote higher quality design of development and space to meet a variety of needs these policies would also benefit the aesthetic quality of the District by supporting the provision of publicly accessible art and design works.
- A6.18 The Draft Plan's policy approach (CP12) to addressing the District's needs in terms of town centres is also expected to play a similar role in terms of creating vibrant communities given that it is expected to help improve the offer of services at these locations.
- A6.19 Policies CP2 and CP3 would allow for a concentrated approach to future growth in the District, with most new development being directed in and around settlements where there are already good levels of community service provision. These policies also direct a high amount of growth to new settlements at Sharpness and Wisloe which is likely to support provision of new community facilities at these locations in the long term. However, this concentrated approach may not be of benefit to rural communities in terms of community facility provision, resulting in an imbalance across the District.
- A6.20 Of the 35 draft site allocations included in the Draft Local Plan, 34 are expected to have minor positive effects in relation to this SA objective. In most cases, this is because development would incorporate new community facilities, but in some cases development would occur on brownfield land, thereby contributing to the regeneration of the District.
- A6.21 Overall a cumulative **minor positive** effect is likely in relation to the creation of vibrant communities.

SA objective 6: To maintain and improve access to all **services and facilities**.

- A6.22 Policies CP2 and CP3 would result in much of the housing development over the plan period being concentrated at locations that provide the best level of access to services, i.e. the Tier 1 settlements of Stroud, Stonehouse and Cam and Dursley. A significant amount of growth is also to be directed to new settlements at Sharpness and Wisloe, which will provide new services and facilities as part of development and positive effects on this SA objective. However, this approach could limit the potential for new substantial services and facilities to be supported at the smaller and more rural settlements of the District and there may be potential for service and facility provision to be inadequate during the early stages of development at the new settlements.
- A6.23 Policies in the Draft Plan (Policies EI6, EI7, EI8 and EI9) which seek to protect the viability of town centres in Stroud are expected to help maintain access to service provision at these

locations. Ensuring support for successfully functioning town centres and service provision in accessible locations, particularly by public transport, will be of benefit to a high number of residents within the District. Policies DHC6 and DHC7 seek to ensure that existing open spaces and recreation facilities are protected and opportunities to provide further facilities of this nature are maximised.

- A6.24 The majority of draft site allocations included in the Draft Plan are expected to result in positive effects in relation to this SA objective as some of them would incorporate new community uses as part of development. Sites at the Tier 1 settlements of Stroud, Stonehouse and Cam and Dursley as well as at Whaddon at the urban edge of Gloucester city are expected to result in significant positive effects in relation to this SA objective as these locations provide good access to a range of services and facilities.
- A6.25 Overall a cumulative mixed **minor positive and minor negative** effect is likely in relation to the improving access to services and facilities.

**SA objective 7: To create, protect, enhance, restore and connect habitats, species and/or sites of biodiversity or geological interest.**

- A6.26 The Draft Plan includes policies which would support the conservation and enhancement of the countryside and biodiversity in the District as new development is delivered over the duration of the plan period. Policies ES6, ES8, DES2, CP14, DHC6 and DHC7 provide the greatest potential for positive impacts in relation to this SA objective through a requirement to provide net gain in biodiversity at development sites, the protection of biodiversity designations and greenspaces and the incorporation of green infrastructure as growth occurs in the District.
- A6.27 However, the high level of development supported over the plan period through policy CP2 is likely to result in some habitat loss, disturbance and fragmentation as well as the potential for increased recreational pressures on designated biodiversity sites where new residential development is delivered.
- A6.28 The majority of the draft site allocations included in the Draft Plan are also likely to have a negative effect on this SA objective given that they would be within close proximity of a designated biodiversity site. There is potential for particular pressures to result in relation to the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site, Cotswold Beechwoods SAC and Rodborough Common SAC due to the proximity of draft site allocations and their sensitivity to residential development and recreational pressures. In addition, seven draft site allocations located at Brimscombe and Thrupp (PS02), Nailsworth (PS07), Stroud (PS13), Hardwicke (G1) and Newtown and Sharpness (PS34, PS35 and PS36) are in close proximity of a national or international designated site or include an identified green infrastructure asset which might be lost as part of the development. Therefore, the impact at these sites is expected to be significant negative.
- A6.29 Overall a cumulative **mixed minor positive and significant negative** effect is likely in relation to biodiversity and geodiversity.

**SA objective 8: To conserve and enhance the local character and distinctiveness of landscapes and townscapes and provide sustainable access to countryside in the District.**

- A6.30 The large areas of rural countryside which surround the main and more peripheral settlements, play a large role in influencing the landscape character of Stroud District as well as the townscapes of those settlements. The Cotswolds AONB to the east heavily influences the character of this portion of the District and is particularly sensitive to new development.
- A6.31 The Draft Plan contains a number of policies (CP5, DHC6, DHC7, CP14, CP15, ES7, ES8, ES10, ES11 and DES2) which are likely to help promote a high quality of design and the protection of existing green space and landscape character including important landscape features that characterise the District. In particular, Policy ES7 seeks to support proposals that will conserve and enhance the setting of the Cotswolds AONB, with priority to be given to the conservation and enhancement of the natural and scenic beauty of the landscape. Policies ES12 and ES16 may help to mitigate negative impacts relating to the aesthetic quality of settlements in the District by encouraging high quality design and layout of new development as well as opportunities to incorporate public art works.

- A6.32 The proposed development strategy (in particular elements of Policies CP2 and CP3) seeks to deliver much of the development over the plan period at the main settlements of the District thereby limiting the level of development which would be supported at the smaller and more rural settlements. Although much of the new growth would potentially avoid the more sensitive locations of the District particularly within the AONB, the high level of development required over the plan period will result in the loss of large areas of greenfield land and potential encroachment on the open countryside. Furthermore, development supported through the proposed development strategy would occur around some settlements such as Stroud which are noted to have high landscape sensitivity to new development.
- A6.33 The majority of draft site allocations set out for development in the Draft Plan are expected to have an adverse effect in terms of enhancing the local distinctiveness and character of landscapes in the District. These sites have been assessed as having at least medium/low or medium sensitivity to development as set out in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment for Stroud District or are located within 500m of the AONB. Five sites at Minchinhampton (PS05), Nailsworth (PS07), Stonehouse (PS20), Newton and Sharpness (PS36) and Painswick (PS41) are located at locations which have been identified as having medium/high or high sensitivity to development. Development at these locations could have significant negative effects on landscape character in the district.
- A6.34 Overall a cumulative **mixed (minor positive and significant negative)** effect is likely in relation to landscape.

SA objective 9: To conserve and/or enhance the significant qualities, fabric, setting and accessibility of the District's **historic environment**.

- A6.35 The scale and location of development included in the Draft Plan, as set out in Policies CP2 and CP3 could adversely affect heritage assets and their settings, particularly where development is to occur on larger scale greenfield sites. Loss of greenfield land is likely to significantly alter the setting of nearby heritage assets and may disturb archaeological assets on site. Development would also occur in close proximity to locations which are potentially sensitive in terms of heritage assets such as the Industrial Heritage Conservation Area which runs through Stonehouse and Stroud, as the proposed development strategy focusses much of the growth towards the main settlements. The Industrial Heritage Conservation Area is on the heritage at risk register meaning that it may be particularly sensitive to new development and change which is not considerate of its setting. However, the Draft Plan does encourage the re-use of brownfield land across the District by focussing much of the growth over the plan period within the settlement development limits of the larger settlements, through Policy CP3. This policy approach could result in beneficial effects in terms of the setting of heritage assets.
- A6.36 Policy ES10 relates mostly directly to the protection and enhancement of the District's heritage assets. Policies CP5, DHC6, DHC7, CP14, ES11 and DES2 are likely to support the delivery of high quality design across the plan area and protect important areas of greenspace and elements of the local built environment which provide the setting for heritage assets in Stroud District. In addition, Policies ES12 and ES16 seek to encourage design that is sympathetic to the existing townscape of settlements and increase the attractiveness of settlements through the incorporation of public art works.
- A6.37 A number of the draft site allocations included in the Draft Plan are likely to have significant negative effects in relation to this SA objective. Sites at Brimscombe and Thrupp (PS01 and PS02), Minchinhampton (PS05), Stroud (PS10, PS11 and PS13), Stonehouse (PS20), Cam (PS21), Newtown and Sharpness (PS34) and Kingswood (PS47) have been identified as having significant or very significant heritage constraints as per the findings of the SALA heritage assessment. Conversely, draft site allocations PS01, PS02, PS10, PS11, PS12, PS13, PS27 and PS34 were identified through the SALA heritage assessment as having opportunities to achieve enhancements in terms of the historic environment.
- A6.38 Overall, a cumulative **mixed (minor positive and significant negative)** effect is likely in relation to the historic environment.

SA objective 10: To ensure that **air quality** continues to improve.

- A6.39 The relatively high level of development supported over the plan period through the Draft Plan is expected to result in an increase in the number of journeys being made on a daily basis in Stroud District. Residents are likely to need to travel regularly to access services, facilities and employment sites, which could have an adverse impact on local air quality. However, the policy approach set out through Policies CP2 and CP3 goes some way to provide a spatial strategy for development that could help to minimise the need to travel, particularly by private car. By concentrating large proportions of housing development at Tier 1 settlements where there is currently the best access to services, facilities, jobs and infrastructure, there is potential to reduce trip distance and for a large proportion of trips to be made by more sustainable modes of transport. Policies CP2 and CP3 also allow for a high proportion of development at new settlements at Sharpness and Wisloe. These are large-scale developments that will have sufficient critical mass to support the delivery of services and facilities onsite and would incorporate sustainable transport infrastructure and employment land. The delivery of new development in line with Garden City Principles is likely to further promote the ability to achieve modal shift. However, there is a possibility that residents will have inadequate access to services and facilities during the early stages of development, which may result in a need to travel further afield using private car trips, resulting in decreased air quality in the short term.
- A6.40 However, Policies CP14, ES3 and ES5 require development proposals not to contribute to reduced air quality. In particular, Policy ES5 is likely to contribute significantly to limiting any increases in air pollution as new development is provided in Stroud District. This policy sets out specific mitigation criteria relating to air quality including approaches to the scale and location of transport infrastructure as well as supporting the expansion of the capacity of the natural environment to mitigate poor air quality. The provision of infrastructure to support modal shift will be of particular importance to limiting air pollution as growth occurs in the District. Policy EI12 directly addresses this issue, but would also support the delivery of strategic road improvements, thereby increasing the potential for higher numbers of private car journeys to be made in the plan area. Policy DHC7 relates to the provision of green open space and is also expected to help address issues of air quality in the District given the potential for increased sequestration of air pollutants.
- A6.41 Many of the draft site allocations have been identified as having the potential to require new residents or employees to travel greater distances on a regular basis as set out through the findings of the SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. While sites PS10, PS11 and PS12 at Stroud and site PS28 at Dursley (all of which would provide an element of residential development) are particularly well related to existing services and facilities by more active modes of transport, an additional 14 draft site allocations are expected to have significant negative effects on air quality in the District due to the poor level of access they would provide to services and facilities. A number of draft site allocations would require development to be delivered to encourage the use of more sustainable transport modes. Sites G2, PS36 and PS37 are expected to have significant positive effects in relation to the potential to achieve modal shift in the long term as they would incorporate significant new sustainable transport infrastructure, including railway and rapid bus improvements.
- A6.42 Overall, a cumulative **mixed minor positive and minor negative** effect is likely in relation to air quality.

SA objective 11: To maintain and enhance the **quality of ground and surface waters** and to achieve **sustainable water resources management** in the District.

- A6.43 The Draft Plan's proposed development strategy, as set out in Policies CP2 and CP3, would result in the majority of development occurring by settlements which have been identified as containing land which mostly falls outside of Source Protection Zones. The pattern of development set out through this approach, however, would include large areas of land which fall within Drinking Water Safeguarding Zones and Source Protection Zones and could therefore have a significant negative effect on water quality; including 23 of the 35 draft site allocations.
- A6.44 Despite the potential for negative effects on water quality due to development in areas safeguarded for drinking water sources, there are a number of policies (CP14, ES3, ES4, ES11 and DES2) that are likely to help mitigate these effects. In particular, Policy ES4 sets out specific

criteria for development to ensure no deterioration of water quality and the enhancement of watercourse corridors and catchments. Policy ES4 would help to encourage improved water efficiency at developments. In addition, the design and construction techniques used for new development are likely to be able to avoid adverse effects on groundwater.

- A6.45 Overall a cumulative **mixed minor positive and minor negative** effect is likely in relation to water quality.

SA objective 12: To manage and reduce the risk of **flooding** and resulting detriment to public wellbeing, the economy and the environment.

- A6.46 The Draft Plan's policy approach includes the prioritisation of the use of brownfield land (Policy CP2), the regeneration of underutilised or low value employment sites (Policies EI2 and EI4) and the preservation and provision of open space and community facilities (Policies DHC6 and DHC7). The aforementioned policies have the potential to preserve greenfield areas of the District that contribute to the safe infiltration of surface water, thereby limiting the potential for increased flood risk as development occurs. The Draft Plan also includes Policy ES4, which makes the most significant contribution to this SA objective given that it specifically relates to addressing local flood risk. New development is to be sited with consideration for the sequential test and implementation of the "Exception Test" where necessary and is required to incorporate SuDS.
- A6.47 The Draft Plan supports development which is to occur on sites which take in large portions of land in flood zone 3a and 3b in the District. Development at the draft site allocations at Brimscombe and Thrupp (PS01 and PS02), Stroud (PS13) Stonehouse (PS20), south of Hardwicke (G1) Whaddon (G2) Berkley (PS33), Newtown and Sharpness (PS34) and the new settlement at Sharpness (PS36) would result in increases in the number of residents who have potential to be affected by flooding in Stroud. As such, it is expected that the development of these sites would have significant negative effects in terms of flood risk in the district. However, the majority of draft site allocations are only likely to have minor negative effects because they are outside of the high-risk flood zones.
- A6.48 Overall a cumulative **mixed minor positive and minor negative** effect is likely in relation to flooding.

SA objective 13: To improve efficiency in **land use** and protection of soil quality through the re-use of previously developed land and existing buildings and encouraging urban renaissance.

- A6.49 The Draft Plan includes several policies which are likely to promote a more efficient pattern of land use, including the redevelopment of brownfield sites in Stroud District. The proposed development strategy which Policies CP2 and CP3 form part of, directs a large proportion of new growth within the settlement development limits which may help to maximise the potential of brownfield. Policies EI2 and EI4 seek to maximise the potential of existing employment sites through regeneration and expansion. Nevertheless, the high level of development set out through the Draft Plan will result in large areas of greenfield land being developed and therefore a significant negative effect on this SA objective.
- A6.50 Many of the draft site allocations are on greenfield land, including sites at Minchinhampton (PS05), Nailsworth (PS07), Leonard Stanley (PS16 and PS42), Stonehouse (PS19 and PS20), Cam (PS24 and PS25), Hardwicke and Hunts Grove (PS30, PS32 and PS43), Whaddon (G2), Berkeley (PS33), Kingswood (PS38 and PS47), Painswick (PS41), Frampton (PS44) and Whitminster (PS45 and PS46) as well as the new settlements at Newtown and Sharpness (PS36) and Wisloe (PS37). These greenfield sites are large and/or contain Grades 1, 2 or 3 agricultural soils which are likely to be lost as a result of development (although much of the land within the boundaries at PS37 has been identified as being Grade 3b, which is not classified as 'best and most versatile' land).
- A6.51 Overall a cumulative **mixed minor positive and significant negative** effect is likely in relation to the efficient use of land and preservation of higher value soils.

SA objective 14: To implement strategies that help mitigate global warming by actively reducing greenhouse gases and adapt to unavoidable **climate change** within the District.

- A6.52 The Draft Plan includes an overarching policy (DCP1) dedicated to ensuring carbon neutrality in the District by 2030, which is ahead of the Government target of 2050. To achieve this aim the policy sets out a number of criteria which new development will be required to meet. The proposed development strategy of the Draft Local Plan detailed through Policy CP2 and Policy CP3 is likely to support the achievement of this target by focussing a high proportion of new growth at the larger settlements of the District where residents will have reduced need to travel long distances on a regular basis.
- A6.53 The scale of development set out in the Draft Plan, however, will inevitably result in an increase in the number of journeys undertaken in the plan area. Considering that many of these trips will be made by private vehicle the level of growth supported will be to the detriment of climate change. Development may particularly affect emissions during the initial construction phase due to transport to and from construction sites. The District's contribution to climate change for the most part, however, will be impacted upon by the number of day-to-day journeys undertaken and how these journeys are made, which Policies DCP1, CP2 and CP3 may help to mitigate.
- A6.54 The high levels of residential and employment growth for the District, which are set in Policy CP2, would result in increased levels of travel locally but may also help to support an increased level of self-containment in Stroud District. Some of the economic growth would take place at the M5/A38 corridor which would provide varying levels of access to employment opportunities for residents within the main settlements in the District.
- A6.55 Other policies in the Draft Plan (EI12, DEI1, DES2, ES1, ES2, DES3 ES4) support measures which are likely to help provide climate change mitigation and adaption as development is delivered over the plan period. These policies will contribute to modal shift in Stroud District. They will also have benefits relating to climate change given that they should help to incorporate new green infrastructure which will support carbon sequestration, help to manage flood risk, support more sustainable design and construction practices, as well as the delivery of new infrastructure which supports energy generation from renewable sources and more efficient heat supply.
- A6.56 Overall a cumulative **mixed significant positive and minor negative** effect is likely in relation to climate change and reducing greenhouse gas emissions.

SA objective 15: To minimise the amount of **waste** produced, maximise the amount that is reused or recycled, and seek to recover energy from the largest proportion of the residual material, and achieve the sustainable management of waste.

- A6.57 It is expected that the level of growth, as set out in Policy CP2, would result in increases in local waste production. However, new development may help to encourage sustainable waste management or recycling practices dependent upon whether new infrastructure and storage space to support changes in the behaviour of local people is provided. Furthermore, the delivery of high levels of development will not adversely impact upon rates of recycling and re-use in the District. Policies CP4 and CP5 are likely to contribute positively to the achievement of higher rates of recycling in Stroud as they require that development proposals must give adequate consideration to storage space for waste collection materials and that strategic sites minimise waste and maximise recycling during constructions. The potential for sustainable construction techniques as well as the operation of new development to minimise waste is supported through Policy ES1, which sets out specific criteria that development proposals should fulfil. Policy CP14 states that development in the District should respect environmental limits, which includes minimising the amount of waste produced.
- A6.58 Furthermore, prioritising the use of brownfield land to provide future growth in the District is a theme which runs through much of the Draft Plan. It is expected that this approach to new development in the District may help to encourage the re-use of onsite buildings and materials thereby limiting construction and demolition waste. The proposed development strategy, which is partially set out through Policy CP3, directs a high proportion of development to areas within the settlement development limits where the potential for re-use of brownfield sites is likely to highest.



A6.59 Thirteen of the draft site allocations, including those located at Brimscombe (PS01 and PS02), Nailsworth (PS06), Stroud (PS10, PS11, PS12 and PS13), Stonehouse (PS17), Dursley (PS27 and PS28) and Newtown and Sharpness (PS34 and PS35) contain significant portions of brownfield land and development at these locations may result in the re-use of onsite buildings and materials dependent upon the design of proposals which come forward.

A6.60 Overall, a cumulative **minor positive** effect is likely in relation to waste.

**SA objective 16: To deliver, maintain and enhance sustainable and diverse employment opportunities, to meet both current and future needs.**

A6.61 The proposed growth strategy in the Draft Plan seeks to provide new employment land to meet needs in Stroud District for the next 20 years. It seeks to provide economic growth and additional jobs on and adjacent to existing high value employment sites as well as within the M5/A38 corridor and as part of mixed used developments. Policies CP2 and CP3 set out the specific locations where most of the employment growth is to occur, many of which are located in areas with access to the motorway or A-road network and are well related to the larger settlements.

A6.62 By concentrating employment growth within the A38/M5 corridor and at locations where housing growth would also be provided, the Draft Plan is expected to help maximise the potential for inward investment that will ensure that new employment sites are supported by strategic scale transport infrastructure. This new economic growth and the resultant employment opportunities provided may, however, be less accessible to some people in the District; particularly those at the larger settlement of Dursley.

A6.63 It is expected that the updates to the settlement boundaries set out through Policy CP3 would have both positive and negative effects in relation to the provision of accessible employment opportunities in the District. The update boundaries would result in the majority of new employment opportunities being provided at locations which are in close proximity to a large number of residents. The boundaries would also allow for a compact pattern of development which may help to promote vitality and viability resulting in inward investment and job creation.

A6.64 Policy CP11 directly addresses new employment development in Stroud District with new provision to be supported at a range of sites and premises across the District. New development should not hinder existing employment and where possible should be readily accessible by public transport.

A6.65 Policies EI1, EI2, EI2a, EI4, EI5 and EI10 also make particular contributions to the achievement of employment provision in the District. These policies are likely to help protect existing key employment sites in Stroud District. Appropriate redevelopment for mixed uses is to be supported at certain employment sites where the overall employment offer is at least the same as it was prior to the new proposal. They will also help to support the viability and diversification of rural employment opportunities by allowing the appropriate extensions of rural employment sites as well as farm or forestry diversification schemes and rural tourism. The Draft Plan also supports some employment provision at the District's town centres through Policy CP12. Several draft site allocations are expected to be of particular benefit in terms of providing accessible employment opportunities in the District. This includes sites at Stroud (PS12), Stonehouse (PS17, PS19 and PS20), Cam (PS24 and PS25), Dursley (PS28), Berkeley (PS33), Newtown and Sharpness (PS36) and Wisloe (PS37). These are sites which would deliver a large amount of employment land or would provide new homes in close proximity to a key employment site and within a Tier 1 or 2 settlement where further employment opportunities are likely to be located.

A6.66 Sites at Stroud (PS12), Newtown and Sharpness (PS35), Painswick (PS41), Leonard Stanley (PS42) and Whitminster (PS45 and PS46) have been identified as containing a current employment use or are not within close proximity to employment site and that are not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement, and therefore have the potential to have a negative effect.

A6.67 Overall a cumulative **mixed (significant positive/minor negative)** effect is likely in relation to employment opportunities.

SA objective 17: To allow for sustainable **economic growth** within environmental limits and innovation, an educated/ skilled workforce and support the long term competitiveness of the District.

- A6.68 The Draft Plan's proposed development strategy, as set out in part through Policy CP2, is likely to be beneficial in relation to encouraging inward investment. The proposed development strategy would focus employment growth within the A38/M5 corridor and create new sustainable communities at locations (most notably at Wisloe and Sharpness) where development can provide new and improved services and infrastructure. The relatively high level of growth concentrated at a number of locations where economic development would benefit from access to strategic transport infrastructure is likely to prove attractive to developers and in the longer term would support enhancements to existing infrastructure. The settlement development limits set out through Policy CP3 have the potential to limit the areas where economic growth could occur but would focus growth at more sustainable locations and is also likely to help support the viability of town centres in Stroud District.
- A6.69 New employment development is supported at a range of sites and premises across the District as set out through Policy CP11. Ensuring that new development should not hinder existing employment is likely to help continue support for economic growth in the District. Policies EI1 and EI2 protect key employment sites, and support redevelopment of other employment sites where mixed use development is provided and a decrease in employment opportunities would not result. This approach is likely to mean that those sites which are currently most attractive to businesses are maintained for economic use and regeneration occurs where at least the same employment opportunities for the local community would be provided by the new development. The Draft Plan, through Policies EI4, EI5 and EI10, also supports diversification of the rural economy. These policies would allow for the extension of rural employment sites as well as farm or forestry diversification schemes and rural tourism provided that the necessary criteria are met.
- A6.70 Requiring developers to support infrastructure provision through appropriate contributions, may make development less viable in certain circumstances. However, the requirement for contributions through CIL in line with the Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP) set out through Policy CP6, is expected to help support long term investment in the District. Transport improvements and mitigation that will help to ensure the successful functioning of employment land and town centres in the District will help to foster future economic growth and business start-ups. The policy will also help to promote long term skills uptake through support for education facilities.
- A6.71 The draft site allocations in the Draft Plan which would allow for residential growth in close proximity to both a primary school and a secondary school (and therefore education opportunities) or would allow for a high level of employment development are expected to be of particular benefit in terms of achieving this SA objective. This includes sites at Stroud (PS13), Stonehouse (PS17, PS19 and PS20) and Whaddon (G2). The new settlements to be provided at Sharpness (PS36) and Wisloe (PS37) are likely to help promote educational attainment in the District by supporting the delivery of new education facilities which would benefit residents at these sites and in the surrounding area. The site to the south of Hardwicke (G1) would also support the delivery of new education facilities in Stroud District to the benefit of this SA objective.
- A6.72 Overall a cumulative **significant positive effect** is likely in relation to economic growth.

## Emerging Strategy Paper

- A6.73 The Stroud District Local Plan Review Emerging Strategy Paper was also subject to a detailed appraisal against the SA objectives. The SA Report was presented for consultation alongside the Emerging Strategy Paper in November 2018. Alternative development site options and policy approaches relating to how the key issues and needs of the district were appraised as part of the SA. The findings for the site options are presented alongside the other site options appraised as part of the SA work for the Draft Local Plan and the Additional Housing Options consultation in **Appendix 5**. The findings for the policy options appraised are presented in **Appendix 3**. The summary of the likely cumulative effects of the policies and potential sites included in the Emerging Strategy Paper in relation to each of the SA objectives is re-presented in **Table A6.3** below. Alternatives relating to the future growth strategy for the District and settlement boundaries were also appraised as part of the SA.
- A6.74 A reasonably large amount of housing, employment and other development within Stroud District to meet its future needs were proposed in the Emerging Strategy Paper. Therefore, alongside the more overtly positive effects identified in relation to providing new homes and sites for employment and other uses (including community development and open space), the SA Report identified the potential for negative effects in relation to many of the environmental objectives including biodiversity, the historic environment and landscape.
- A6.75 The Emerging Strategy Paper however also included the Council's approach to addressing the key issues and needs of the District. These included many of the issues which have been represented as key issues in the Draft Paper such the local economy and jobs, town centres, local need for housing and local green spaces and community facilities. The approach to addressing these issues set out in the Emerging Strategy Paper presented the aim of protecting and enhancing the economic, social and environmental conditions of the District. The Council's approach to addressing these issues set out in the Emerging Strategy provided a starting point for the working up of policy requirements in the Draft Plan.

**Table A6.3 Summary of cumulative effects of Stroud District Local Plan Review Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018)**

SA Objective	Cumulative effects of the Local Plan Emerging Strategy Paper
SA1: Housing	++
SA2: Health	+
SA3: Social Inclusion	+
SA4: Crime	+
SA5: Vibrant communities	+
SA6: Services and facilities	+
SA7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	+/--
SA8: Landscapes/townscapes	+/--
SA9: Historic environment	+/--
SA10: Air quality	+/-
SA11: Water quality	+/--
SA12: Flooding	+/-
SA13: Efficient land use	+/--
SA14: Climate change	+/-
SA15: Waste	+
SA16: Employment	++/-
SA17: Economic growth	++

# Appendix 7

Detailed SA Matrices for site allocations in the Draft Plan and the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan

A7.1 Sites are included in this appendix to best match the order in which they appear in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan. Details of whether the site is included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan, Draft Plan and Emerging Strategy are detailed below the site name. This text also highlights where changes have been made to the site boundary.

**PS01: Brimscombe Mill, Brimscombe and Thrupp (dwellings, employment, community uses and open space)**

*(Site included in Pre-submission Draft Plan is equivalent to PS01 in the Emerging Strategy Paper and in Draft Plan)*

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	+	This site has capacity for 40 homes. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 2: Health	-/+	-/+	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space, a council play area and a protected outdoor play space. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path. <b>This site included open space uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS01 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes open spaces.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	+	+	This site is on brownfield land. <b>This site included community uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS01 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes community uses.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	+	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	+/-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and Minchinhampton and Rodborough Common SSSIs. The site is also

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Brimscombe Port KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address biodiversity; however, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS01 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that local biodiversity is conserved and enhanced.</b>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address landscape; however, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS01 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that locally distinctive design measures are incorporated. This may potentially limit impacts in terms of the local landscape setting; however, impacts will be dependent upon the specific design of development which is unknown at this stage.</b>
SA 9: Historic environment	+/--?	+/--?	+/--?	The majority of this site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address the historic environment; however, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS01 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that heritage assets are conserved and enhanced.</b>
SA 10: Air quality.	0	0	0	The majority of this site scored 68 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 12: Flooding	--	--	+/--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address flood risk; however, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS01 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that flood risk is not increased on or off site.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	+	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	+?	+?	+?	This site is on brownfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	+	+	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Phoenix Industrial Estate; Hope Mills Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement. <b>This site included employment uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS01 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes employment uses. However, the amount of employment land to be included at both stages would be less than 10ha.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school. <b>This site included employment uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS01 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes employment uses. However, the amount of employment land to be included at both stages would be less than 10ha.</b>



**PS02: Brimscombe Port, Brimscombe and Thrupp (dwellings, canal related tourism, employment, community uses and open space)**

*(Site included in Pre-submission Draft Plan is equivalent to PS02 in the Emerging Strategy Paper and in the Draft Plan)*

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	+	This site has capacity 150 homes. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 2: Health	--/+?	--/++?	--/++?	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor play space, but there is green space within the site that could be lost to development. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m. <b>This site included open space uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS02 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes open space uses.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	+	+	This site is on brownfield land. <b>This site included community uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS02 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes community uses.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	+	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	--?	--/+?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI and Minchinhampton Common SSSI. It is also within 250m of a number of Key Wildlife Sites. The site also contains a GI asset (green space) which could be lost to development.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address biodiversity; however, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS02 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that local biodiversity is conserved and enhanced.</b>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	--?	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m. <b>The site allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address landscape; however, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS02 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that locally distinctive design measures are incorporated. This may potentially limit impacts in terms of setting; however, the policy does not direct address landscape impacts and effects will be dependent upon the specific design of development which is unknown at this stage.</b>
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	--/+?	--/+?	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address the historic environment; however, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS02 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that heritage assets are conserved and enhanced.</b>
SA 10: Air quality.	0	0	0	The site scored 60 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	--	--	+/--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address flood risk;</b>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>however, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS02 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that development does not increase flood risk on or off site.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	+	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	+?	+?	+?	This site is on brownfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	+?	+?	+?	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land. The site is currently in employment use. <b>This site included canal related tourism uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS01 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes employment uses and provides for the reinstatement of the canal. However, the amount of employment land to be included at both stages is less than 10ha.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	+?	The site is within 800m of Brimscombe C of E Primary School. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land. <b>This site included canal related tourism uses in line with the Site Allocation Policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS02 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes employment uses and provides for the reinstatement of the canal. However, the amount of employment land to be included at both stages is less than 10ha.</b>

**PS05: East of Tobacconist Road, Minchinhampton (dwellings, community uses, open space and strategic landscaping)**

**PS05a: East of Tobacconist Road, Minchinhampton (safeguarded land)**

*(Sites PS05 and PS05a are included in Pre-submission Draft Plan and are equivalent to PS05 in the Emerging Strategy Paper and in the Draft Plan. PS05 in Pre-submission Draft Plan is allocated for housing while PS05a is safeguarded as land with potential to meet the future housing needs of Minchinhampton, if required, to be decided the next Local Plan review.)*

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (PS05)	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (PS05a)	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	+	+	<p>This site has capacity for 80 homes.</p> <p><b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan and Local Sites Allocation Policy PS05 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan did not change the effect expected. Site PS05a will also support housing delivery in Stroud, however, any housing delivery will occur in the longer term.</b></p>
SA 2: Health	++	++	++	++	<p>The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle route within 400m. The site would include an area of open space.</p> <p><b>Site PS05 included open space uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS05 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes open spaces. It is unknown if safeguarded site PS05a would provide new open space. However, if homes are provided at the site in the longer term, new residents would be within 400-800m of a GP, 800m of an area of open space and adjacent to a cycle route.</b></p>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (PS05)	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (PS05a)	Justification
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan (for site PS05 or PS05a).</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan (for site PS05 or PS05a).</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	+	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>Site PS05 included land for a community building in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan.. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS05 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes community uses at the site. Site PS05a is safeguarded to support longer term housing provision in the area and is not expected to provide new community uses.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	+	+	+	This site is at a second tier settlement. This site would include community uses but is not within a town centre. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan (for site PS05 or PS05a).</b>
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	+/-?	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Minchinhampton Common SSSI. It is also within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address biodiversity; however, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS05 in the Pre-submission Draft</b>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (PS05)	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (PS05a)	Justification
					<p>Plan requires that local biodiversity is conserved and enhanced. Site PS05a is safeguarded to support longer term housing provision in the area. Without requirement to address impacts on nearby biodiversity sites there is potential for longer term development to affect these designations.</p>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	--?	+--?	--?	<p>This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB. <b>Site PS05 included strategic landscaping in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS05 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes strategic landscaping, locally distinctive design and minimisation of impacts within the Cotswolds AONB. This may potentially limit impacts in terms of the local landscape setting. Site PS05a is safeguarded to support longer term housing provision in the area. There is potential for longer term development to have impacts on landscape character (including that of the AONB).</b></p>
SA 9: Historic environment	--	--	+/--?	--	<p>This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address the historic environment; however, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS05 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that heritage assets</b></p>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (PS05)	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (PS05a)	Justification
					<b>are conserved and enhanced. Site PS05a is safeguarded to support longer term housing provision in the area. There is potential for longer term development to have impacts on the setting of heritage assets.</b>
SA 10: Air quality.	0	0	0	0	The site scored 66 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan (for site PS05 or PS05a).</b>
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	--?	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan (for site PS05 or PS05a).</b>
SA 12: Flooding	-	-	+/-	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address flood risk; however, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS05 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires the delivery of the site to be accompanied by a sustainable drainage solution. Site PS05a is safeguarded to support longer term housing provision in the area. Development of further housing in the longer term on this area of greenfield land would increase the area of impermeable surfaces in Stroud.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	--?	--?	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (PS05)	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (PS05a)	Justification
					<b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan (both sites PS05 and PS05a fall within areas of Grade 3 agricultural land).</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan (for site PS05 or PS05a).</b>
SA 15: Waste	0	0	0	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan (both sites PS05 and PS05a fall within areas of Grade 3 agricultural land).</b>
SA 16: Employment	+	+	+	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site. <b>Site PS05 did not include employment uses in the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS05 in the Pre-Submission Draft Plan also does not include employment uses. Site PS05a is safeguarded to support longer term housing provision in the area without any employment provision.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	+	+	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school. <b>Site PS05 did not include employment uses in the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS05 in the Pre-Submission Draft Plan also does not include employment uses. Site PS05a is safeguarded to support longer term housing</b>



SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (PS05)	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (PS05a)	Justification
					<b>provision in the area without any employment provision.</b>

## PS06: The New Lawn, Nailsworth (dwellings, community and open space uses)

(Site included in Pre-submission Draft Plan is equivalent to PS06 in the Emerging Strategy Paper and in Draft Plan)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	+	This site has capacity for 80 homes. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan also included a site capacity of 80 homes. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS06 now allocates the site for 90 dwellings.</b>
SA 2: Health	+/--?	++/--?	++/--?	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. However, the site contains a protected outdoor playspace which could be lost to development. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m. <b>This site included open space uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS06 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes open spaces. The policy also requires that existing community and sporting uses at the site are partly retained or replaced elsewhere.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	+	+	This site is on brownfield land. <b>This site included community uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS06 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes community uses.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	+	+	This site is at a second tier settlement. This site would include community uses but is not located within a town centre. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	+/-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Woodchester Park SSSI and within 250m of High Wood and Bowlas Wood KWS and Bunting Hill KWS. The site is also located within 3.0km of Rodborough Common SAC. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address biodiversity; however, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS06 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that local biodiversity is conserved and enhanced.</b>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	+/-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscapesensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address landscape; however, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS06 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that landscape enhancements are incorporated considering the proximity of the AONB. This may potentially limit impacts in terms of the local landscape setting.</b>
SA 9: Historic environment	0	0	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address the historic environment. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS06 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also does not address the historic environment.</b>
SA 10: Air quality.	0	0	0	The site scored 62 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	0	0	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	+	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	+?	+?	+?	This site is on brownfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	+	+	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Inchbrook Industrial Estate). <b>The site did not include employment uses in the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS06 in the Pre-Submission Draft Plan also does not include employment uses.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school. <b>The site did not include employment uses in the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS06 in the Pre-Submission Draft Plan also does not include employment uses.</b>

**PS07: North of Nympsfield Road/Nortonwood junction, Nailsworth (dwellings, community uses, open space and strategic landscaping)**

*(Site is equivalent to PS07 in the Emerging Strategy Paper. This site was included in the Draft Local Plan, but is not included in the Pre-submission Draft Plan.)*

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	This site has capacity for 25 homes. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 2: Health	-/++	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m. The site would include an area of open space. <b>This site included open space uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	This site is on greenfield land. <b>This site included community uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	+	This site is at a second tier settlement. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	--?	The site is within 250m of Woodchester Park SSSI and within 250m of High Wood & Bowlas Wood KWS. The site is also located within 3.0km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB. <b>Development at the site was to incorporate strategic landscaping in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy. This may potentially limit impacts in terms of the local landscape setting, however, impacts will be dependent upon the specific design which is unknown at this stage.</b>
SA 9: Historic environment	0	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 10: Air quality.	0	0	The site scored 62 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	-	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	0	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Inchbrook Industrial Estate). <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>

**PS10: Cheapside, Stroud (dwellings and town centre uses (including improved rail/bus interchange and retention and improvement of community uses)**

*(Site included in Pre-submission Draft Plan is equivalent to PS10 in the Emerging Strategy Paper and in Draft Plan)*

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	+	This site has capacity for 75 homes. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 2: Health	++	++	++	The site is within 400m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	+	+	This site is on brownfield land. <b>This site included town centre uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS10 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes town centres uses and the retention and improvement of existing community uses.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	++	++	This site is at a first tier settlement. The site would include town centre uses. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. It is also within 250m of a number of Key Wildlife Sites. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address landscape. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS10 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that high quality urban design is incorporated within the master plan. This may potentially limit impacts in terms of the townscape; however, impacts will be dependent upon the specific design of development which is unknown at this stage.</b>
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	--/+?	--/+?	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address the historic environment; however, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS10 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan includes the site's location within the Town Centre Conservation Area as a key issue to be addressed.</b>
SA 10: Air quality.	++	++	++	The site scored 44 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan; however Local Sites Allocation Policy PS10 improved includes the provision of an improved rail/bus interchange, improved access to the station and the provision of sufficient public parking. These improvements could enhance access to public transport and strengthen the potential for modal shift.</b>
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	0	0	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>



SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	+	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	+?	+?	+?	This site is on brownfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	+	+	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes. <b>The site included town centre uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS10 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes town centre uses. These uses could support some retail employment opportunities.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	+?	The site is within 800m of Rodborough Community Primary School, Stroud Valley Community Primary School and The Rosary Catholic Primary Academy. <b>The site included town centre uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS10 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes town centre uses. These uses could support some retail employment opportunities which allow for work-based learning and skills development.</b>

## PS11: Merrywalks Arches, Merrywalks, Stroud (dwelling and town centre uses)

(Site included in Pre-submission Draft Plan is equivalent to PS11 in the Emerging Strategy and Paper Draft Plan)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	+	This site has capacity for 25 homes. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 2: Health	++	++	++	The site is within 400m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	+	+	This site is on brownfield land. <b>This site included town centre uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS11 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes town centre uses.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	++	++	This site is at a first tier settlement. This site would include town centre uses. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. It is also within 250m of a number of Key Wildlife Sites. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	--/+?	--/+?	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address the historic environment; however, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS11 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that heritage assets are conserved and enhanced. Development should also address the site's location within the Industrial Heritage Conservation Area.</b>
SA 10: Air quality.	++	++	++	The site scored 44 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	0	0	0	The site is on brownfield land which is mostly outside of flood zone 3a and 3b. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address flood risk; however, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS11 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that flood risk should not be increased on or off site.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	+	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	+?	+?	+?	This site is on brownfield land.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	+	+	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes. <b>The site included town centre uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS11 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes town centre uses. These uses could support some retail employment opportunities.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	+?	The site is within 800m of Rodborough Community Primary School, Stroud Valley Community Primary School and The Rosary Catholic Primary Academy. <b>The site included town centre uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS11 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes town centre uses. These uses could support some retail employment opportunities which allow for work-based learning and skills development.</b>

**PS12: Police station/ Magistrates Court, Parliament St, Stroud (dwellings and town centre uses)**

*(Site included in Pre-submission Draft Plan is equivalent to PS12 in the Emerging Strategy Paper and the Draft Plan)*

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	+	This site has capacity for 45 homes. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 2: Health	++	++	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	+	+	This site is on brownfield land. <b>This site included town centre uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS12 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes town centre uses.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	++	++	This site is at a first tier settlement. The site would include town centre uses. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 9: Historic environment	-/+?	-/+?	-/+?	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address the historic environment; however, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS12 includes the site's proximity to Stroud Town conservation area as a key issue to be addressed.</b>
SA 10: Air quality.	++	++	++	The site scored 45 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	0	0	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	+	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	+?	+?	+?	This site is on brownfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	++/--	++/--	++/--	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Fromeside Industrial Estate; New Mills / Libby Drive) and is at a

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<p>Tier 1 settlement. The site is currently in employment use.</p> <p><b>The site included town centre uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS12 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes town centre uses. These uses could support some retail employment opportunities.</b></p>
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	+?	<p>The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.</p> <p><b>The site included town centre uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS12 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes town centre uses. These uses could support some retail employment opportunities which allow for work-based learning and skills development.</b></p>

**PS13: Central river / canal corridor, Stroud (dwellings, canal related tourism, employment, community and open space uses)**

*(Site is equivalent to PS13 in the Emerging Strategy Paper. This site was included in the Draft Local Plan, but is not included in the Pre-submission Draft Plan)*

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	This site has capacity for 120 homes. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 2: Health	++/--?	++/--?	The site is within 400m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace, although it includes a protected outdoor playspace within the boundary of the site which could be lost to development. There are cycle routes within 400m. <b>This site included open space uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	+	This site is on brownfield land. <b>This site included community uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	++	This site is at a first tier settlement. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	--?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. It is also within 250m of three Key Wildlife Sites (Gannicox Toad Pond, Stroudwater Canal - Stroud and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries). The site also contains a GI asset (protected outdoor playspace) which could be lost to development. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	--/+?	Most of the site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for a large portion of the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.



SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
			<b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 10: Air quality.	+	+	The majority of the site scored 58 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	--	--	The site is on brownfield land which contains large areas of flood zone 3a or 3b. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	+?	+?	This site is on brownfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	+?	+?	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes. While the site is over 10ha in size, considering the other uses to be provided it is unlikely that 10ha of employment land would be provided. The site is currently in employment use. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	++?	The site is within 800m of Rodborough Community Primary School, St Matthew's C of E Primary School and The Rosary Catholic Primary Academy, as well as Marling School (College) and Stroud High School (College). This site is likely to deliver less than 10ha of employment land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>

**STR065: Beeches Green Health Centre (20 dwellings, healthcare and extra care accommodation)**

(Site included in Pre-submission Draft Plan is equivalent to STR065 in the Additional Housing Options consultation)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes. The site is expected to provide special care housing facilities which could help meet specific needs in the plan area. <b>Local Sites Allocation Policy STR065 now allocates the site for 20 dwellings.</b>
SA 2: Health	++	++	This site is located within 400m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is located within 400m of a cycle route. The site would also deliver new healthcare provisions. <b>Local Sites Allocation Policy STR065 now allocates the site to include healthcare and extra care accommodation.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	+	This site is on brownfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	++	This site is at a first tier settlement. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or by the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 9: Historic environment	0/++	0/++	This site scored 1 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA heritage assessment also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.

			<b>Local Sites Allocation Policy STR065 requires the enhancement of the settings of Listed Buildings adjacent to the site.</b>
SA 10: Air quality.	++	++	The site scored 45 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	0	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	+?	+?	This site is on brownfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	++	++	The site is located within 600m of several key employment sites (Salmon Springs Industrial Estate; Lodgemore & Fromehall Mills; and Fromeside Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>

## PS16: South of Leonard Stanley Primary School, Leonard Stanley (dwellings and open space uses)

(Site in Pre-submission Draft Plan is equivalent to PS16 in the Emerging Strategy Paper and the Draft Plan)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	+	This site has capacity for 25 homes. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 2: Health	-/++	-/++	-/++	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path. The site would include open space. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>This site included community uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. However, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS16 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan does not include community uses.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	+	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement. <b>This site included community uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy but is not located within a town centre. However, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS16 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan does not include community uses.</b>
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also located within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS16 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that development conserves existing hedgerows and trees adjacent; however impacts will depend greatly on the design of development and these measures are not expected to directly address the potential adverse impacts on nearby biodiversity designations.</b>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	+/-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken for new urban extensions as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address landscape; however, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS16 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that existing hedgerows and trees on the boundary with Bath Road should be retained and enhanced where possible. Furthermore, development is required to be integrate with adjoining uses. This may potentially limit some impacts on the local landscape setting.</b>
SA 9: Historic environment	0	0	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 10: Air quality.	0	0	0	The site scored 67 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	-	-	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	--?	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	0	0	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	-	-	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Ryeford Industrial Area) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>

## PS42: Land off Dozule Close, Leonard Stanley (residential and open space uses)

(Site not included in the Emerging Strategy Paper. However, site in Pre-submission Draft Plan is equivalent to PS42 in the Draft Plan)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	+	This site has capacity for 15 homes. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 2: Health	+/-	++/-	++/-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route. <b>This site included open space uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. The policy text also required that open space to the southwest of the site and adjoining Marsh Lane should be retained as development is provided. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS42 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes open space uses and retention of the majority of the southern part of the site in open space uses.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>This site included community uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy. However, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS42 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan does not include community uses.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	+	+	This site is at a Tier 3a settlement. <b>This site included community uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan but is not within a town centre. However, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS42 in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan does not include community uses.</b>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	0?	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is not within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site or 3km of Rodborough Common SAC. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS16 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that development conserves existing hedgerows and trees; however, impacts will depend greatly on the design of development.</b>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	+/-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken for new urban extensions as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities. It is within 500m of the AONB. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address landscape; however, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS42 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that existing hedgerows and trees should be retained and enhanced where possible. Furthermore, development is required to be integrate with adjoining uses. This may potentially limit some impacts on the local landscape setting.</b>
SA 9: Historic environment	0	0	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 10: Air quality.	0	0	0	The site scored 68 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>



SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 12: Flooding	-	-	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	--?	--?	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land and is on Grade 3 agricultural land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	0	0	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	--	--	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>

**PS17: Magpies site, Oldends Lane, Stonehouse (dwellings and community uses (including car parking and landscaping))**

*(Site in Pre-submission Draft Plan includes additional land to the north compared to PS17 in the Emerging Strategy Paper and Draft Plan)*

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	+	This site has capacity for 10 homes. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 2: Health	++	++	+	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. It lies within part of an identified protected open space but comprises brownfield land. Its development would therefore not result in loss of greenfield play space. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m. The site would include open space. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan it required the delivery of open space. However, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS17 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan does not include open space with new dwellings, community uses and car parking to be delivered.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	+	+	This site is on brownfield land. <b>This site included community uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS17 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes community uses.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	++	++	This site is at a first tier settlement. The site would include community uses but is not within a town centre. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It lies within part of an identified protected open space but comprises brownfield land. Its development would therefore not result in loss of a green infrastructure asset. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 43. The work identified the area as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small urban extension (500-1,500 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at eight homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address landscape; however, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS17 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan includes landscaping as part of the development proposals. This may potentially limit impacts in terms of local landscape setting; however, impacts will be dependent upon the specific design of development, which is unknown at this stage.</b>
SA 9: Historic environment	0	0	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 10: Air quality.	+	+	+	The site scored 52 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	0	0	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	+	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	+?	+?	+?	This site is on brownfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	++	++	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Stroudwater Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	+++?	+++?	+++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>

**PS19a: Stonehouse North West (dwellings, primary school, community, open space, employment uses and landscaping buffer)**

*(Site boundary updated from that presented for PS19 in the Emerging Strategy Paper. However, site boundary for PS19a in Pre-submission Draft Plan is equivalent to PS19a in Draft Plan)*

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	++	++	This site has capacity for 650 homes. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan; however, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS19a in the Pre-submission Draft Plan now allocates the site for 700 homes (including 30% affordable dwellings).</b>
SA 2: Health	-/++	-/++	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m. This site would include an area of open space. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan required open space to be provided at the site. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS19a in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes open spaces and requires a contribution towards a new surgery at Stonehouse.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	+	This site is on greenfield land. <b>The site included community uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS19a in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes community and open space uses.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	++	++	This site is not within or directly adjoining existing development within a Tier 1-4 settlement. However, the site is adjacent to the West of Stonehouse site allocation in the adopted Local Plan, which would form an extension of Stonehouse. <b>This site included community uses (and a primary school) in line with the Site</b>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<p><b>Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan but is not located within a town centre. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS19a in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes community uses (and a primary school and contributions towards a secondary school, further education and new surgery).</b></p>
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-/+?	+/-?	<p>The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries Key Wildlife Site.</p> <p><b>This site required the provision of green infrastructure in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS19a in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires biodiversity net gain to be achieved and links between native hedgerows and hedges to be established to existing green infrastructure. It also required development to mitigate identified impacts of development upon the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar and Cotswold Beechwoods SAC sites.</b></p>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	-?	<p>This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development and medium sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.</p> <p><b>Development was required through the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan to incorporate strategic landscaping. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS19a in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires the incorporation of a landscaping buffer. These requirements may potentially limit impacts in terms of the local landscape setting, however, impacts will be dependent upon the specific design of development which is unknown at this stage.</b></p>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 9: Historic environment	0	0	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 10: Air quality.	--	+/--	++/--	The site scored 85 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. <b>The site was required to be delivered to prioritise walking, cycling, and public transport in line with the Site Allocation policy text in the Draft Plan. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS19a in the Pre-submission Draft Plan prioritises walking and cycling and access to public transport, requires the incorporation of vehicle charging points and contribution to the re-opening of Stonehouse Bristol Road rail station. The improvements required through the Pre-submission Draft Plan are substantially stronger than those included in the Draft Plan. It is expected that benefits could result in terms of limiting the release of air pollutants as new development is occupied.</b>
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	+/--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan; however, Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS19a in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires the delivery of infrastructure to tackle wastewater generated by the Development.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	-	-	+/-	The majority of this site is on greenfield land which is outside of flood zone 3a and 3b. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan; however, Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS19a in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires the acceptable management and disposal of surface water, including by the incorporation of sustainable drainage systems (SuDS).</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	--	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	0	0	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	++	++	++	This site could deliver more than 600 homes but less than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development. The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Stroudwater Industrial Estate). It is not currently at a Tier 1-4 settlement but is adjacent to the West of Stonehouse site allocation in the adopted Local Plan, which would form an extension of Stonehouse. <b>It was specified in the Site Allocation policy text in the Draft Plan that the site would deliver 5 ha of employment land. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS19a in the Pre-submission Draft Plan clarifies that 5 ha of office, B2 and B8 employment land and ancillary uses are to be provided at the site.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	++	++	The site is within 800m of at least one secondary school but it is not located within 800m of a primary school. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land. <b>It was specified in the Site Allocation policy text in the Draft Plan that the site would deliver 5 ha of employment land. It would also provide for a new primary school. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS19a in the Pre-submission Draft Plan clarifies that 5 ha of office, B2 and B8 employment land and ancillary uses are to be provided at the site. A new primary school and contributions towards a secondary school and further education are also be provided.</b>



**PS20: Eco Park M5 Junction 13, Stonehouse (employment, sports stadium, fitness centre, sport pitches, canal, care village, hotel, open space uses and landscaping buffer)**

*(Site boundary updated from that presented for PS20 in the Emerging Strategy Paper. However, site boundary for PS20 in Pre-submission Draft Plan is equivalent to PS20 in Draft Plan)*

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	0	+	This site would not result in delivery of new homes in the District. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan. However, Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS20 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan includes a 70 bed care village to be located adjacent to Westend/Great Oldbury.</b>
SA 2: Health	--?/++	--?/++	--?/++	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Cycle Network route. This route runs through the site however meaning that it could be lost to development. The site would include an area of open space. <b>The Site Allocation policy text in the Draft Plan included the requirement for sports facilities and open space uses at the site. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS20 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan includes a fitness centre, sports pitches, open space uses and walking and cycling routes.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	+	This site is on greenfield land. <b>This site included sports facilities and open space uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text in the Draft Plan. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS20 also includes sports facilities and open space uses.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	++	++	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Eastington). However, the site is adjacent to

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<p>the West of Stonehouse site allocation in the adopted Local Plan, which would form an extension of Stonehouse.</p> <p><b>This site included sports facilities in line with the Site Allocation policy text in the Draft Plan. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS20 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan includes a fitness centre, hotel and sports pitches. It does not include any new retail provisions or a new local centre.</b></p>
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	+/-?	<p>The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Stonehouse KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.</p> <p><b>The Site Allocation policy text in the Draft Plan did not consider biodiversity. However, Strategic Site Policy PS20 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan includes the provision of accessible natural green space with net gains to local biodiversity and the provision of new native hedgerows and trees.</b></p>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	--?	+/--?	<p>This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium/low – medium – high/medium sensitivity to residential development. The site is in an area which is rated as being of medium/low – medium – high sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.</p> <p><b>It was required that development at the site incorporates strategic landscaping in line with the Site Allocation policy text in the Draft Plan. Strategic Site Policy PS20 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan includes structural landscaping buffering along the M5, A419 and adjacent to residential properties at Westend and Chipman’s Platt, with incorporation of existing and new hedgerows and trees. The more stringent policy requirement may potentially limit impacts in terms of the local landscape setting, however, impacts will be dependent upon the</b></p>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>specific design which is unknown at this stage.</b>
SA 9: Historic environment	--	--	+/--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment. <b>The Site Allocation policy text in the Draft Plan did not include consideration of the historic environment. However, Strategic Site Policy PS20 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that the layout of development uses, density and built form and character has less than substantial harm on the Industrial Heritage Conservation Area (IHCA). The policy requires that any business use development is located outside of the IHCA, so as to reduce any harm. Furthermore, the policy also requires delivery of the canal cut, towpath and operational uses as part of the Stroudwater Canal restoration to mitigate the impacts of development to the south of the A419 on the IHCA.</b>
SA 10: Air quality	+	+	++	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops and within 200m of a cycle route. <b>The Site Allocation policy text in the Draft Plan required development at the site to prioritise walking, cycling, and public transport. Strategic Site Policy PS20 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan includes the incorporation of walking and cycling routes and contributions to the enhancement of off-site walking and cycling routes to key destinations including Stonehouse town centre and railway and to Stroud. Additionally, in terms of public transport, the policy includes contributions to support sustainable transport measures on the A38 and A419 and to the re-opening of Stonehouse Bristol Road rail station. A multi-modal travel interchange is also to be provided. These types of improvements could have benefits in terms of limiting the release of air pollutants as new development is occupied.</b>
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	+/--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan; however, Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS20 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires the delivery of infrastructure to tackle wastewater generated by the development.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	--	--	+/--	The site is on greenfield land and contains a large area of land within flood zone 3a or 3b. <b>The Site Allocation policy text in the Draft Plan did not include requirements relating to flood risk. However, Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS20 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires acceptable management and disposal of surface water, including by the incorporation of sustainable drainage systems (SuDS).</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	--	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	+	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS20 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan allocates the site for business uses associated with the green technology and low carbon sector.</b>
SA 15: Waste	0	0	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	++	++	++	This site would deliver employment land as part of mixed use development. <b>The Site Allocation policy text in the Draft Plan included the delivery of 10ha of employment land to be provided at the site. Strategic Site Policy PS20 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan includes the delivery of 10ha of business use employment land associated with the green technology and low carbon sector, including office, B2, B8 and ancillary uses. Furthermore, the policy</b>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>includes the delivery of a sports stadium, fitness centre and hotel which would support some employment opportunities.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	++	++	++	This site would deliver employment land as part of mixed use development. <b>The Site Allocation policy text in the Draft Plan included 10ha of employment land to be provided at the site. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS20 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes the delivery of 10ha employment land, a sports stadium, a fitness centre and a hotel.</b>

**PS21: Land adjacent to Tiltdown House, Cam (dwellings, community and open space uses)**

*(Site boundary has been updated from that presented for PS21 in the Emerging Strategy Paper. This site was included in the Draft Local Plan, but is not included in the Pre-submission Draft Plan.)*

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	This site has capacity for 15 homes. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 2: Health	-/+	-/++	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path. <b>This site included open space uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	This site is on greenfield land. <b>This site included community uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	++	This site is at a first tier settlement. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Stinchcombe Hill SSSI. It is also within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 9: Historic environment	--	--	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 10: Air quality.	+	+	The site scored 58 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 12: Flooding	-	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	0	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	+	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of key employment sites (Cam Mills, Everlands; Draycott / Middle Mill Industrial Estate). <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan.</b>

**PS24: West of Draycott, Cam (dwellings, community, primary school, open space uses and landscaping buffer)**

*(Site boundary updated from that presented for PS24 in the Emerging Strategy Paper. However, site boundary for PS24 in Pre-submission Draft Plan is equivalent to PS24 in Draft Plan)*

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	++	++	This site has capacity for 700 homes. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan. However, Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS24 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan now allocated 900 dwellings (including 30% affordable dwellings).</b>
SA 2: Health	-/++	-/++	-/++	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path. <b>The Site Allocation policy text in the Draft Plan included open space uses. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS24 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes open space uses as well as contributions to the extension of existing health facilities at Cam. Furthermore, the policy also requires contributions to off-site indoor sports and leisure facilities. These requirements could strengthen the significant positive effect recorded.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	+	This site is on greenfield land. <b>The Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy included community uses. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS24 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes community uses.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	++	++	This site is at a first tier settlement. <b>The site included community uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. However, Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS24 in</b>



SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<p><b>the Pre-submission Draft Plan includes the delivery of a 2 form entry primary school and contributions to secondary school and further education provision. The policy requirements also include community uses and contributions to off-site indoor sports and leisure facilities.</b></p>
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-/+?	+/-?	<p>The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan required the provision of green infrastructure. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS24 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan includes the provision of natural green space providing net gains to local biodiversity as well on site (and potentially off site) work to mitigate against the impacts of development on the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.</b></p>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	+/-?	<p>This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium/low sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan required that the site incorporates strategic landscaping. Strategic Site Policy PS24 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that a structural landscaping buffer is incorporated along the northern and western boundaries incorporating existing and new native hedgerows and trees. The more stringent policy requirement may potentially limit impacts in terms of the local landscape setting, however, impacts will be dependent upon the specific design which is unknown at this stage.</b></p>
SA 9: Historic environment	0	0	0	<p>The site comprises sites CAM013, CAM025 and CAM026 which were screened out of the SALA heritage assessment. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan. However, Strategic Site Policy PS24 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that the layout, density and</b></p>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>built form and character of the development conforms to the Cam Neighbourhood Plan Design Code.</b>
SA 10: Air quality.	--	+/--	+/--	Land within the site scored between 65 and 90 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. Adopting a precautionary approach the most adverse impacts relating to transport have informed the overall effect recorded for the site. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan required development to prioritise walking, cycling, and public transport. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS24 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan includes a layout which prioritises walking and cycling and access to public transport. This is to be achieved through new walking and cycling routes, provision of pedestrian and cycle crossing on the A4135 to Cam and Dursley station and Cam local centre, contributions to sustainable transport measures on the A38 and A4135 and a new bus route and bus stops. These types of improvements could have benefits in terms of limiting the release of air pollutants as new development is occupied.</b>
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	+/--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan. However, Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS24 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires the delivery of infrastructure to tackle wastewater generated by the Development.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	-	-	+/-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan. However, Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS24 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires the acceptable management and disposal of surface water, including by the incorporation of sustainable drainage systems (SuDS).</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	--	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 and Grade 3 agricultural land.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	0	0	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	++	++	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Draycott / Middle Mill Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	+/-?	+/-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan included land for the development for a primary school. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS24 includes the delivery of a 2 form entry primary school and contributions to secondary school and further education provision.</b>

## PS25: East of River Cam, Cam (dwellings, community and open space uses)

(Site in Pre-submission Draft Plan is equivalent to PS25 in the Emerging Strategy Paper and Draft Plan)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	+	This site has capacity for 180 homes. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS25 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also makes provision for approximately 180 dwellings, which will include 30% affordable dwellings.</b>
SA 2: Health	-/++	-/++	-/++	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path. This site would include an area of open space. <b>This site included open space uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text in the Draft Plan. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS25 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes open space uses, as well as accessible natural green space, public outdoor playing space. and the policy also requires contributions towards off-site indoor sports and leisure facilities and the extension of existing health facilities at Cam.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	+	This site is on greenfield land. <b>This site included community uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text in the Draft Plan. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS25 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes community uses.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	++	++	This site is at a first tier settlement. <b>This site included community uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text</b>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>in the Draft Plan. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS25 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes community uses, as well as contributions towards off-site indoor sports and leisure facilities and education provision within the local area.</b>
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	-/+?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address biodiversity. However, Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS25 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires the incorporation of natural green space providing a net gain to local biodiversity. New native hedgerows and trees are also to be provided onsite.</b>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	+/-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address landscape issues. However, Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS25 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan will include structural landscaping buffer incorporating existing and new native hedgerows and trees along the eastern boundary. The more stringent policy requirement may potentially limit impacts in terms of the local landscape setting, however, impacts will be dependent upon the specific design which is unknown at this stage.</b>
SA 9: Historic environment	0	0	0	This location was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan. However, Strategic Site Policy PS25 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that the layout, density and built form and</b>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>character of the development conforms to the Cam Neighbourhood Plan Design Code.</b>
SA 10: Air quality.	0	+	+	Parts of the site scored 57 and 66 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. <b>Development at the site was expected to be delivered to prioritise walking, cycling, and public transport in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy. These types of improvements could have benefits in terms of limiting the release of air pollutants as new development is occupied. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS25 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also prioritises walking and cycling access over the use of private vehicles and includes a strategic walking and cycling route which links up with the route along the disused railway line from Box Road and connects with Cam local centre and improves connectivity to the south. It also includes the enhancement of passenger facilities at Cam &amp; Dursley station.</b>
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	+/--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan. However, Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS25 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires the delivery of infrastructure to tackle wastewater generated by the Development.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	-	-	+/-	The site is on greenfield land and falls mostly outside of flood zone 3a and 3b. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS25 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan includes the acceptable management and disposal of surface water including sustainable drainage systems (SuDS).</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	--?	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	0	0	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	++	++	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Cam Mills, Everlands; Draycott / Middle Mill Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan. However, Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS25 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that the developer makes a contribution to education provision within the local area, although no new education facilities would be delivered onsite.</b>

## PS27: 1-25 Long Street, Dursley (town centre uses)

(Site boundary updated from that presented for PS27 in the Emerging Strategy Paper. However, site boundary for PS27 in Pre-submission Draft Plan is equivalent to PS27 in Draft Plan)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	0	0	This site is proposed for town centre uses. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 2: Health	++	++	++	There is a GP within 400m of the site. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Site options providing town centre uses will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Site options providing town centre uses will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	+	+	This site is on brownfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	++	++	This site is at a first tier settlement and would provide town centre uses. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan given that at both stages town centre uses were to be delivered.</b>
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	-/+?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address biodiversity. However, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS27 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires development to safeguard and enhance local biodiversity.</b>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	+/-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development



SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m. <b>Local Sites Allocation Policy PS27 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires development to supported by a masterplan with a high quality design to be delivered to respect the town's historic urban grain and vernacular character. Tree planting on the northern part of the site should be conserved and enhanced. These requirements may potentially limit impacts in terms of the local landscape setting, however, impacts will be dependent upon the specific design which is unknown at this stage..</b>
SA 9: Historic environment	-/+?	-/+?	-/+?	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address the historic environment. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS27 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires development to conserve and enhance heritage assets.</b>
SA 10: Air quality.	+	+	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station. It is located within 200m of a cycle route and within 400m of a number of bus stops. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not include any requirements which would have substantial implications for air quality. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS27 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires development to enhance pedestrian access, which is likely to encourage walking and reduce the use of private vehicles.</b>
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	0	0	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	+	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	+?	+?	+?	This site is on brownfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	+	+	+	This site would include town centre uses which are likely to provide some employment opportunities. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan, given that at both stages town centre uses were to be provided at the site.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	+	+	+	This site would include town centre uses which are likely allow for work based training opportunities as part of employment opportunities which come forward. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan, given that at both stages town centre uses were to be provided at the site.</b>

**PS28: The Old Dairy/ Land off Prospect Place, Dursley (dwellings, open space, and town centre uses)**

(Site in Pre-submission Draft Plan is equivalent to PS28 in the Emerging Strategy Paper and Draft Plan)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	+	This site has capacity for 10 homes. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 2: Health	++	++	++	The site is located within 400m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Trail route. <b>This site included open space uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS28 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes open space uses.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	+	+	This site is on brownfield land. <b>This site included community uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS28 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan does not include community uses, however, the development of this brownfield land will still help to promote local regeneration.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	++	++	This site is at a first tier settlement. The site would include town centre uses. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan. Town centre uses were included for deliver at the site at both stages.</b>
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	+/-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Stinchcombe Hill SSSI and within 250m of Hermitage

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				Wood KWS. It is also within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address biodiversity. However, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS28 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires development to safeguard and enhance local biodiversity.</b>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	+/-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m. <b>Local Sites Allocation Policy PS28 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires development to supported by a masterplan with a high quality design to be delivered to respect the town's historic urban grain and vernacular character. This is to include protection of long views due to major level changes through the site. These requirements may potentially limit impacts in terms of the local landscape setting, however, impacts will be dependent upon the specific design which is unknown at this stage.</b>
SA 9: Historic environment	-	-	+/-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment. <b>Local Sites Allocation Policy PS28 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires development to conserve and enhance heritage assets.</b>
SA 10: Air quality.	++	++	++	The site scored 47 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not set out any requirements which might affect the potential to improve air quality. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS28 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan includes improvements to the existing pedestrian access from Parsonage Street, which is likely to encourage walking and reduce the use of private vehicles.</b>
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	0	0	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	+	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	+?	+?	+?	This site is on brownfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	++	++	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Littlecombe Business Park) and is at a Tier 1 settlement. <b>This site included town centre uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan, would likely provide some employment opportunities. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS28 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes town centre uses.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing secondary school but is not within 800m of an existing primary school. <b>The site included town centre uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. This is likely to help create work based training opportunities as part of employment opportunities which come forward. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS28 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes town centre uses.</b>

## HAR017: Land at Sellars Road (dwellings and open space)

(Site included in Pre-submission Draft Plan is equivalent to HAR017 in the Additional Housing Options consultation)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	This site has capacity for 11 to 15 homes. <b>Local Sites Allocation Policy HAR017 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan allocates the site for 10 homes.</b>
SA 2: Health	+/-	++/-	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path. <b>Local Sites Allocation Policy HAR017 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires the delivery of open space at the site.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	+	This site is at a Tier 3a settlement. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of Gloucester and Sharpness Canal KWS. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	+/-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 36. This work identified area 36 as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small urban extension (500 to 1,500 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB. <b>Local Sites Allocation Policy HAR017 requires that development is supported by a masterplan.</b>

			<b>Development at the site is to conserve the setting of the Gloucester Sharpness Canal and to retain a sense of transition between countryside and urban edge. These requirements may potentially limit impacts in terms of the local landscape setting, however, impacts will be dependent upon the specific design which is unknown at this stage.</b>
SA 9: Historic environment	-	+/-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment. <b>Local Sites Allocation Policy HAR017 requires the undertaking of a precautionary archaeological evaluation to support proposals for the site, which may help to mitigate potential adverse impacts on the historic environment.</b>
SA 10: Air quality.	+	+	The site scored 59 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 11: Water quality	0	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	-	+/-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b. <b>Local Sites Allocation Policy HAR017 requires that proposals for the site address the need for surface water management.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	0	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	--	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>

**PS30: Hunts Grove extension, Gloucester fringe (dwellings, local centre (with local retail and community uses), primary school, greenspace and landscaping buffer)**

(Site in Pre-submission Draft Plan is equivalent to PS30 in the Emerging Strategy Paper and Draft Plan)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	++	++	This site has capacity for 750 homes. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS30 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan will require the provision of an additional 750 dwellings, including 225 affordable dwellings, as part of the Hunts Grove extension.</b>
SA 2: Health	-/++	-/++	-/++	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path. The site would include open space. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS30 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires the provision of accessible natural greenspace and outdoor playing space.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	++	This site is on greenfield land. <b>This site included community uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS30 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes community uses which are to be provided as part of new local centre to meet the day-to-day needs of the Hunts Grove new community.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	+/--	+/--	++/--	This site is not within or directly adjoining development within a Tier 1-4 settlement. However, it adjoins the committed Hunts



SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<p>Grove development area outlined in the adopted Local Plan for the Hunts Grove Extension. As set out in the adopted Local Plan and Settlement Role and Function Study Update 2018 Hunts Grove is anticipated to become a Tier 2 settlement, however, this will only be the case once development is completed. Furthermore, while the site would include community uses it is not within a town centre.</p> <p><b>This site included community uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS30 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes the delivery of new community uses. These are to be provided alongside local retail uses as part of a new local centre to meet the day-to-day needs of the Hunts Grove new community.</b></p>
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	+/-?	<p>The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.</p> <p><b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not include requirements which would help to address biodiversity issues in the area. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS30 requires that natural greenspace is incorporated at the site and that new native hedgerows and trees are also to be provided.</b></p>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	?	?	<p>This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment or the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.</p> <p><b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan required that development at the site incorporates strategic landscaping. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS30 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan includes structural landscaping buffer around the southern and western boundaries of</b></p>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>the development incorporating existing hedgerows and trees. These requirements may potentially limit impacts in terms of the local landscape setting, however, impacts will be dependent upon the specific design which is unknown at this stage.</b>
SA 9: Historic environment	0	0	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 10: Air quality.	-	-	++/-	The site scored 75 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not include requirements that would greatly impact local air quality. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS30 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan will encourage the use of public and sustainable modes of transport, including by incorporating bus stops and shelters and safeguarding land for the provision of a potential future railway station as well as contributions towards that station.</b>
SA 11: Water quality	0	0	+	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not require measures which would help to address water quality. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS30 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires the provision of infrastructure to tackle wastewater generated by development.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	-	-	+/-	The site is on greenfield land and lies mostly outside of flood zone 3a and 3b. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not require measures which would help to flood risk. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS30 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that no built development will be located in Flood Zones 2, 3a or 3b and that development supports opportunities to reduce the overall level of flood risk in the area.</b>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	--	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	0	0	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	+	+	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Quedgeley West; Quedgeley Trading Estate East; Hunts Grove / Quadrant Distribution Centre) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	+/-?	+/-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school. <b>This site included land for the development for a primary school in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan.</b> <b>The Local Sites Allocation Policy PS30 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes the development of a primary school.</b>

## PS32: Quedgeley East Extension (employment uses and landscaping buffer)

(Site in Pre-submission Draft Plan is equivalent to PS32 in the Emerging Strategy Paper and Draft Plan)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	0	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 2: Health	+	+	+	The site is within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace but not within 400m of a walking or cycle route. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	0	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	0	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	0?	0?	The site is not within 1km of any designated sites. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	--?	+/--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 40. The work identified the area as having medium-high sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500-5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a different type of development than the site is to be developed

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<p>for and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.</p> <p><b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan required that development at the site incorporates strategic landscaping. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS32 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires the provision of a strategic landscape buffer along the western, southern and eastern boundaries of the site. These requirements may potentially limit impacts in terms of the local landscape setting, however, impacts will be dependent upon the specific design which is unknown at this stage. The site allocation policy also includes the requirement for development to be of scale and bulk to protect the setting of heritage assets and their settings in Haresfield. Development should also be delivered to ensure that wider views from the AONB escarpment are protected.</b></p>
SA 9: Historic environment	0	0	0	<p>This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.</p> <p><b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b></p>
SA 10: Air quality.	+	+	+	<p>The site is not located within 1km of a railway station or within 200m of a cycle route. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops.</p> <p><b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not include requirements which might address local air quality. The Local Sites Allocation Policy PS32 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires the provision of sustainable transport measures to link the site with Gloucester City, Stonehouse and Stroud. The requirements at the site do not include more substantial measures which might otherwise strengthen the positive effect recorded in relation to air quality.</b></p>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 11: Water quality	0	0	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	-	-	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	--?	--?	The site is small in size and on greenfield land. It is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	0	0	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	+	+	+	This site is less than 10ha in size. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan set out that the site would allow for 5ha of employment land. The Local Sites Allocation Policy PS32 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also provides for 5ha of employment land, allocated for office, B2 and B8 employment uses.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	+	+	+	This site is less than 10ha in size. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan set out that the site would allow for 5ha of employment land. The Local Sites Allocation Policy PS32 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also provides for 5ha of employment land, allocated for office, B2 and B8 employment uses.</b>

### PS43: Javelin Park, Gloucester fringe (employment uses and landscape buffer)

(Site not included in the Emerging Strategy Paper. PS43 was equivalent to part of option HFD012 in Draft Plan and has been extended further to the south in Pre-submission Plan)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	0	0	A negligible effect is expected in relation to this SA objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 2: Health	+	+	+	This site is located within 800m of a green space. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Non-residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Non-residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	0	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	0	0	A negligible effect is expected on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	0?	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	--?	+/--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 40. This work identified area 40 as having medium-high sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a different type of development than that proposed for the site

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<p>and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the significant negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.</p> <p><b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not include requirements which might address impacts on landscape character. Employment Allocation Policy PS43 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires the provision of a strategic landscape buffer along the western, southern and eastern boundaries of the development. This requirement may potentially limit impacts in terms of the local landscape setting, however, impacts will be dependent upon the specific design which is unknown at this stage. The site allocation policy also requires that development is designed and incorporates landscaping to minimise any potential visual impacts upon the heritage assets and their immediate settings at adjacent Haresfield and wider views from the AONB escarpment</b></p>
SA 9: Historic environment	0	0	0	<p>This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.</p> <p><b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b></p>
SA 10: Air quality.	+	+	+	<p>The site is located within 400m of a number of bus stops but is not within 1.0km of railway station.</p> <p><b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not include requirements which might address air quality. Employment Allocation Policy PS43 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan will provide sustainable transport measures to link the site with Gloucester City, Stonehouse and Stroud. This is likely to help reduce the use of private vehicles. The requirements at the site do not include more substantial measures which might otherwise strengthen the positive effect recorded in relation to air quality.</b></p>



SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 11: Water quality	0	0	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	-	-	-	The site is on greenfield land mostly outside of flood zones 3a and 3b. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	--	--	The site is relatively large in size, on greenfield land and contains a significant portion of Grade 2 agricultural land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	0	0	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not include requirements which might address waste management at the site. Employment Allocation Policy PS43 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that development will not harm the operation of adjacent waste management infrastructure. However, these requirements are not expected to improve rates of recycling in the District.</b>
SA 16: Employment	++	+	++	The site option previous allowed for development more than 10ha of employment land. <b>The site allowed for the development of 9ha of employment land in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Employment Allocation Policy PS43 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan allocates the site for 27ha of office, B2 and B8 employment uses.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	++	+	++	The site option previous allowed for development more than 10ha of employment land. <b>The site allowed for the development of 9ha of employment land in line with the</b>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<p><b>Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Employment Allocation Policy PS43 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan allocates the site for 27ha of office, B2 and B8 employment uses.</b></p>

**G1: South of Hardwicke (dwellings, local centre (including local retail and community uses), primary school, natural green space and landscaping buffer)**

*(Site in Pre-submission Plan is equivalent to G1 in the Emerging Strategy Paper and Draft Plan)*

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent site option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	++	++	This site has been identified as having potential to contribute towards future housing needs. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan set out that the site may have potential to contribute towards future housing needs (approximately 1,200 dwellings) and so was included within the Draft Local Plan for the purposes of public consultation. Strategic Site Allocation G1 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan makes provision for approximately 1,350 homes, including 30% affordable dwellings.</b>
SA 2: Health	+/--?	++/--?	++/--?	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains a green space and a protected outdoor playspace which could be lost to development. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path. <b>This site included open space uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Strategic Site Allocation Policy G1 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan includes the provision of accessible natural green space and public outdoor playing space. It also requires land for a new surgery or contribution to the extension of existing health facilities at Kingsway.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	++	This site is on greenfield land. <b>This site included community uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan.</b>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent site option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>Local Sites Allocation Policy G1 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes community uses. These are to be provided as part of new local centre which will also incorporate local retail.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	+	++	This site is at a tier 3a settlement. <b>This site included community uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy G1 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes community uses to be provided as part of a local centre, as well as a new surgery or contribution to the extension of existing health facilities at Kingsway.</b>
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	--/+?	--/+?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of Gloucester and Sharpness Canal KWS. There are existing GI assets (a green space and a protected outdoor playspace) within the site that could be lost to development. <b>This site required the provision of green infrastructure in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy. The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address biodiversity. However, Strategic Site Allocation Policy G1 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires development to include natural green space to provide a net gain to local biodiversity and work to mitigate against the identified impacts of development upon the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar and Cotswold Beechwoods SAC sites.</b>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	+/-?	The majority of the site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium/low – medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan required that development at the site incorporates strategic landscaping. Strategic Site Allocation Policy G1 in the Pre-</b>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent site option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				submission Draft Plan requires development to include a structural landscaping buffer along the western, southern and eastern boundaries and to incorporate existing and new native hedgerows and trees. The more stringent policy requirement may potentially limit impacts in terms of the local landscape setting, however, impacts will be dependent upon the specific design which is unknown at this stage.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	--	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 10: Air quality.	-	+/-	+/-	The majority of the site scored between 70 and 78 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan required development to be delivered to prioritise walking, cycling, and public transport over the use of private car. In line with the Strategic Site Allocation Policy G1 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan, the site is to be developed to make provision for walking and cycling routes to key destinations and access to public transport. Contributions will also be required to sustainable transport measures. These requirements are likely to contribute to reducing the use of private vehicles.</b>
SA 11: Water quality	0	0	+	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not include measures which address water quality. Local Sites Allocation Policy G1 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires the incorporation of infrastructure to tackle wastewater generated by the development.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	--	--	+/--	The site is on greenfield land and contains an area of land within flood zone 3a or 3b. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not include</b>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent site option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>measures which address water quality. Strategic Site Allocation Policy G1 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires development to include measures for the management and disposal of surface water including sustainable drainage systems (SuDS).</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	--	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	0	0	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	+	+	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Quedgeley West; Hunts Grove / Quadrant Distribution Centre) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	++?	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school. <b>The site provided for a new primary school as part of the mixed use development in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy G1 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan will include provision for a new primary school, as well as contributions towards secondary school and further education provision.</b>

**G2: Land at Whaddon, Gloucester fringe (dwellings, local centre (including retail and community uses), employment, primary schools, multi-modal travel interchange hub, natural green space and landscaping buffer)**

*(Site in Pre-submission Plan is equivalent to G2 in the Emerging Strategy Paper and Draft Plan)*

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent site option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	++	++	<p>This site has been identified as having potential to meet Gloucester’s unmet housing needs.</p> <p><b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan set out that approximately 2,500 dwellings could be provided at the site. The delivery would be subject to the allocation being consistent with the approved strategy of the Joint Core Strategy Review being prepared by Gloucester City Council, Cheltenham Borough Council and Tewkesbury Borough Council. Strategic Site Allocation Policy G2 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan safeguards the site to help address the future housing needs of Gloucester City, if required. If required at least 3,000 dwellings (30% affordable) are to be provided at the site to address tenure, type and size of dwellings needed within the Gloucester City area. Land within the site is also safeguarded for 8 plots for travelling showpeople.</b></p>
SA 2: Health	+/-	++/-	++/-	<p>The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Country Park, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.</p> <p><b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan required the incorporation of open space uses. Strategic Site Allocation Policy G2 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan includes a site for a new healthcare surgery or contributions to the extension of existing healthcare facilities, the incorporation of natural green space and contributions to off-site indoor sports facilities</b></p>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	<p>Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.</p>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent site option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	++	This site is on greenfield land. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan required the delivery of community uses at the site. Strategic Site Allocation Policy G2 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes the delivery of community uses. These are to be provided as part of new local centre which will also incorporate local retail and employment.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	++	++	Although this site is at a fourth tier settlement it is adjacent to Quedgeley and Gloucester across the local authority boundary. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan. However, Strategic Site Allocation Policy G2 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan includes the requirement to provide a 3FE primary school and a 2FE primary school and contributions to provision of a 3.5FE secondary school. The policy also includes delivery of a local centre, which will contain employment, retail and community uses.</b>
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	+/-?	+/-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Robin's Wood Hill Quarry SSSI. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan required the provision of green infrastructure. Strategic Site Allocation Policy G2 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires delivery of natural green space to provide biodiversity net gain, as well as on site (and off site if appropriate) mitigation of impacts of the development on the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar and Cotswold Beechwoods SAC sites.</b>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	--?	+/--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development



SA Objective	Score: Equivalent site option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<p>Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 32. This work identified area 32 as having medium-high sensitivity to accommodate an urban extension (1,500 to 3,500 dwellings). It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.</p> <p><b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan required that development at the site incorporates strategic landscaping. Strategic Site Allocation Policy G2 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires the delivery of a structural landscaping buffer along the western, southern and eastern boundaries with incorporation of existing and new native hedgerows and trees. Furthermore, the policy also requires that the development layout, density and built form and character reflect the landscape context of the Cotswolds AONB. The more stringent policy requirement may potentially limit impacts in terms of the local landscape setting, however, impacts will be dependent upon the specific design which is unknown at this stage.</b></p>
SA 9: Historic environment	-	-	-/+	<p>This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.</p> <p><b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan. However, Strategic Site Allocation Policy G2 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that the layout, density and built form and character reflects the heritage context provided by local heritage assets including Whaddon Church.</b></p>
SA 10: Air quality.	--	++/--	++/--	<p>The site scored 98 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.</p> <p><b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan safeguarded land for a rail halt and bus terminal and prioritisation of walking, cycling, and public transport over the use of private car. Strategic Site Allocation Policy G2 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires the delivery of a multi-modal travel interchange hub, new bus stops and contributions to enhance bus services. The layout of development is to prioritise walking and cycling. These</b></p>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent site option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>types of improvements could have benefits in terms of limiting the release of air pollutants as new development is occupied.</b>
SA 11: Water quality	0	0	+	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan. However, Strategic Site Allocation Policy G2 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires the delivery of infrastructure to tackle wastewater generated by the development.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	--	--	+/--	The site is on greenfield land and a large portion of this land falls within flood zone 3a or 3b. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan. However, Strategic Site Allocation Policy G2 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires the acceptable management and disposal of surface water, including through the incorporation of SuDS.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	--	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 and 3 agricultural land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	0	0	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	+	+	+	This site will provide more than 600 homes, but is likely to provide less than 10ha of employment land. It is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement and is not within 600m of a key employment site. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan included the incorporation of retail uses which could provide some limited employment opportunities. Strategic Site Allocation Policy G2 in the Pre-submission Draft</b>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent site option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre- submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>Plan includes a local centre incorporating employment and local retail uses, however, the amount of employment land to be provided is not set out.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	+/-?	++/-?	++/-?	<p>The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school. It is also likely to provide less than 10ha of employment land.</p> <p><b>The Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy provided for the development for both primary and secondary schools. Strategic Site Allocation Policy G2 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan includes a 3FE primary school and a 2FE primary school and contributions to provision of a 3.5FE secondary school. The local centre to be incorporated at the site is to include employment and retail uses.</b></p>

**PS33: North-west of Berkeley, Berkeley (dwellings, open space uses and strategic landscaping)**

*(Site in Pre-submission Plan is equivalent to PS33 in the Emerging Strategy Paper and Draft Plan)*

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	+	This site has capacity for 120 homes. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan. However, in line with the Local Sites Allocation Policy PS35 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan, the site is expected to deliver 110 homes.</b>
SA 2: Health	++	++	++	This site is located between 400m and 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is located within 400m of cycle route and a National Cycle Network route. The site would include open space. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan set out that the site would incorporate open space. In line with the Local Sites Allocation Policy PS35 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan, the site will incorporate open space uses (including a landscape park).</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>This site included community space uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS33 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan does not include community space uses.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	+	+	This site is at a second tier settlement. <b>This site included community space uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS33 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan does not include community space uses.</b>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	+/-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address biodiversity. However, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS35 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan, the site will be delivered to safeguard and enhance local biodiversity.</b>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	+/-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB. <b>In line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan development at the site was required to incorporate strategic landscaping. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS35 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan, requires the site to incorporate strategic landscaping and a landscaped park and specifically sets out that development should minimise landscape impacts. These more stringent requirements may potentially limit impacts in terms of the local landscape setting, however, impacts will be dependent upon the specific design which is unknown at this stage.</b>
SA 9: Historic environment	0	0	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 10: Air quality.	+	+	+	The site scored 57 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not include measures which could benefit air quality. However, in line with Local Sites Allocation Policy PS35 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan, the site will make provision for new and enhanced footpath and cycle links to Berkeley. This could help promote the use of active modes of transport in the area</b>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>but would not encourage the use of public transport.</b>
SA 11: Water quality	0	0	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	--	--	+/--	The site is on greenfield land and a portion of this land falls within flood zone 3a or 3b. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not include measures which would address flood risk. In line with the Local Sites Allocation Policy PS33 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan, the site is to be developed so as not increase flood risk either on or off site.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	--?	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	0	0	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	++	++	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Rigestate, Station Road) and is at a Tier 2 settlement. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>

## BER016/017: Lynch Road, Berkeley (dwellings, open space uses and structural landscaping)

(Site included in Pre-submission Draft Plan is a combination of sites BER016 and BER017 in the Additional Housing Options consultation. A portion of the south western area of this combination of sites has been removed)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	Both site BER016 and BER017 had capacity for less than 600 homes. <b>Local Sites Allocation Policy BER016/017 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan sets out the delivery of 60 dwellings.</b>
SA 2: Health	+	++	Given the alterations to the site boundary it is now located between 400-800m of a GP surgery. The site is still located within 800m of a protected outdoor playspace and a greenspace, but is now not located within 400m of a council play area or a National Cycle Network route. <b>Local Sites Allocation Policy BER016/017 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan includes open space provision.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	+	This site is at a second tier settlement. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	+/-?	The majority of this site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB. <b>The Local Sites Allocation Policy BER016/017 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan includes the provision of structural landscaping to integrate the development into the landscape. Furthermore, development should enhance existing trees and hedgerows to soften any potential local landscape</b>

			<b>impacts. This may potentially limit impacts in terms of local landscape setting; however, impacts will be dependent upon the specific design of development, which is unknown at this stage.</b>
SA 9: Historic environment	0	0	Site BER016/017 was screened out as having potential for development without adverse impact on any heritage asset. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 10: Air quality.	0	0	Site BER016/017 scores 60 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 11: Water quality	0	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	-	+/-	The site is now mostly on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b. <b>The Local Sites Allocation Policy BER016/017 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan will seek to ensure that flood risk is not increased, either on or off site.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	--?	The site is mostly on greenfield land. The site is mostly within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	0	0	This site is mostly on greenfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	+	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Rigestate, Station Road). <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school. <b>No change considering policy text included in Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>



**PS34: Sharpness Docks, Newtown and Sharpness (dock related industrial & distribution uses, dwellings, tourism, leisure, recreational uses and landscaping)**

(Site in Pre-submission Draft Plan is equivalent to PS34 in the Emerging Strategy Paper and Draft Plan)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	+	This site has capacity for 300 homes. <b>No change considering Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS34 in the Pre-submission Plan sets out the delivery of 300 homes to include 90 affordable homes.</b>
SA 2: Health	++/--?	++/--?	++/--?	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace, but includes a Council play area and protected outdoor playspace within the boundary of the site which could be lost to development. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m. The site would include recreation uses. <b>No change considering Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS34 in the Pre-submission Plan sets out that the site will include the delivery of community football pitch, community gardens and informal green space.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	+	+	This site is on brownfield land. <b>No change considering Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. However, Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS34 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan includes community gardens.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	+	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement. <b>No change considering Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. However, Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS34 in the Pre-submission Draft</b>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>Plan includes contributions towards education provision.</b>
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	--?	+/--?	<p>The site is within 250m of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site and SSSI. It is also within 250m of Sharpness Docks Key Wildlife Site and Sharpness Cliffs Local Geological Site. The site also contains a GI asset (Council play area and protected outdoor playspace) which could be lost to development.</p> <p><b>No change considering Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. However, Strategic Site Policy PS34 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan includes the delivery of community gardens and informal green space, while existing hedgerows and trees are to form part of the landscaping for the site. Development is to be laid out and designed in order to avoid adverse effects on the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA.</b></p>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	+/-?	<p>This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium/low sensitivity to residential development and medium sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.</p> <p><b>No change considering Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. However, Strategic Site Policy PS34 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires the delivery of landscaping with incorporation of existing trees and hedgerows. These more stringent requirements may potentially limit impacts in terms of the local landscape setting; however, impacts will be dependent on the specific design of development, which is unknown at this stage.</b></p>
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	--/+?	--/+?	<p>This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.</p> <p><b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b></p>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 10: Air quality.	--	--	+/--	The site scored 97 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. <b>No change considering Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. However, Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS34 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan includes the delivery of cycle and pedestrian routes, traffic calming measures, new bus shelters and stops, contributions to bus services and safeguarding of the disused rail line so that it might be reinstated.</b>
SA 11: Water quality	0	0	+	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone. <b>No change considering Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. However, Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS34 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires contributions towards improvements to the wastewater and sewerage network.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	--	--	+/--	The site is on brownfield land and a large portion of this land falls within flood zone 3a or 3b. <b>No change considering Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. However, Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS34 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires the acceptable management and disposal of surface water, including incorporation of SuDS.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	+	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	+?	+?	+?	This site is on brownfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	+?	+?	+?	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land (dock related industrial and distribution uses) as part of mixed use development. It

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<p>is adjacent to key employment site Severn Distribution Park but it not close to a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement. The site is currently in employment use.</p> <p><b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan set out that the site would include 7ha expansion land for development of dock related industrial and distribution uses. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS34 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes 7ha expansion land for development of dock related industrial and distribution uses.</b></p>
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	+?	<p>The site is within 800m of Sharpness Primary school. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land (dock related industrial and distribution uses) as part of mixed use development.</p> <p><b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan set out that the site would include 7ha expansion land for development of dock related industrial and distribution uses. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS34 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes 7ha expansion land for development of dock related industrial and distribution uses. Contributions towards education provision are also required by the policy but not education provision is to be made onsite.</b></p>

**PS35: Land at Focus School, Wanswell, Newtown and Sharpness (dwellings, community uses and open space)**

*(Site in Pre-submission Draft Plan is equivalent to PS35 in the Emerging Strategy Paper and Draft Plan)*

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	+	This site has capacity for 70 homes. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 2: Health	++/--	++/-	++/-	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains a protected outdoor play space which could be lost to development. There are cycle routes within 400m. This site would include an area of open space. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan required that the site is developed as to ensure the retention and enhancement of existing open space within its boundaries. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS35 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes the retention and enhancement of the existing open space and playing pitches.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	+	+	This site is on brownfield land. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan required that the site provides community uses. The Local Sites Allocation Policy PS35 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes the provision of community uses.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	--/+	--/+	--/+	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Newtown and Sharpness). This site would include community uses but is not located within a town centre.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan given that the Site Allocation policy text in both include the provision of community uses.</b>
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	-?	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of Tintock Wood (including Pitbrook Brake & Penny Grove) KWS. There is an existing GI asset (protected outdoor playspace) within the site that could be lost to development. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan required that the site is developed as to ensure the retention and enhancement of existing open space within its boundaries. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS35 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes the retention and enhancement of the existing open space and playing pitches.</b>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 52. The work identified the area as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500-5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity assessment is based on a higher level of growth than the capacity of the site at 70 homes and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the minor negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 9: Historic environment	0	0	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 10: Air quality.	-	-	-	The site scored 70 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 11: Water quality	0	0	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	0	0	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	+	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	+?	+?	+?	This site is on brownfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	--	--	--	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Rigestate, Station Road) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement. The site is currently in employment use. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>

**PS36: Sharpness new settlement, Newtown and Sharpness (dwellings, local centre (including employment, retail, community uses and surgery) primary school, open space uses, new rail station, express bus services, strategic green infrastructure and landscaping)**

Site PS36 includes two phases. Phase 1 will involve the delivery of 2,400 dwellings by 2040. The delivery of phase 2 will allow for the development of a total of approximately 5,000 dwellings and associated uses by 2050, inclusive of both phases. Policy requirements relating to phase 2 will be developed during the future review of the Local Plan. The appraisal of PS36 below highlights where effects relate to specific parts of the site (i.e. phase 1 or phase 2). The effect recorded for the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan relates to phase 1 given that it is set out in that document that phase 2 will be developed in the longer term. Therefore, any effects for phase 2 are expected beyond the plan period.

*(Site boundary has been updated from that presented for PS36 in the Emerging Strategy Paper. The site boundary that was included in the Draft Local Plan is equivalent to that included in the Pre-submission Draft Plan.)*

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	++	++	The site has capacity for up to 2,400 homes by 2040. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan set out the delivery of 2,400 dwellings within the plan period (5,000 dwellings by 2050). Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS36 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also provides for the delivery of 2,400 dwellings within the plan period (5,000 dwellings by 2050 subject to Local Plan Review as part of phase 2 of the development). The policy also details that 720 affordable dwellings are to be delivered. The delivery of development at the site in line with the Garden City Principles is likely to help deliver a mix of housing types.</b>
SA 2: Health	++/--?	++/--?	++/--?	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace but includes a Council play area and a protected outdoor playspace within the boundary of the site (across both phase 1 and phase 2 of development) meaning that they could be lost to development. There are cycle routes within 400m. This site would include an area of open space. <b>The site included community and open space uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS36 in the Pre-submission Draft</b>



SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>Plan also includes community and open space uses, as well as sports built provision and a local centre incorporating local retail, surgery and other community uses.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	++	This site is on greenfield land. <b>This site included community uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS36 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes community uses. These are to be provided as part of a new local centre which would also include local retail and a surgery.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	+	++	This site is at a tier 3a settlement. Both phase 1 and phase 2 lie in very close proximity of the existing settlement boundary. This site would include community uses but is not located within a town centre. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan required the delivery of development at the site in line with the Garden City Principles which is likely to help promote access to these new facilities as part of the creation of vibrant, sociable neighbourhoods. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS36 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also requires the incorporation of a new local centre to include the provision of local retail, surgery and other community uses. The development is also to incorporate ultrafast broadband which will also improve access to services and facilities.</b>
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	+/--?	++/--?	Phase 1 of the site is within 250m of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site and SSSI as well as a Key Wildlife Site. Phase 2

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<p>of the site is between 250m and 1km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site and SSSI and is within 250m of a number of Key Wildlife Sites. Both phases of the site also contain a GI asset (a Council play area and a protected outdoor playspace) which could be lost to development.</p> <p><b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan required the site to be developed to incorporate green infrastructure. The requirement to deliver development at the site in line with the Garden City Principles is likely to help promote the achievement of net biodiversity gains. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS36 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes the incorporation of green infrastructure, including SANG, as well as the requirement to deliver a net gain to local biodiversity. Impacts upon the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site are to be mitigated on and off site. Furthermore, a new managed new nature reserve is to be provided.</b></p>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	--?	+/--?	<p>Part of phase 1 of the site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium – high sensitivity to residential development and high sensitivity to employment development. Phase 2 of the site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium - high/medium sensitivity to residential development and high sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.</p> <p><b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan required the incorporation of strategic landscaping. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS36 requires the incorporation of landscaping buffers to incorporate new native hedgerows and trees. The development is to respond to the landscape context within the Berkeley Vale. These more stringent requirements may potentially limit impacts in terms of the local landscape</b></p>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>setting; however, impacts will be dependent on the specific design of development, which is unknown at this stage.</b>
SA 9: Historic environment	-	-	+/-	The majority of the site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not include requirements which might address impact on the historic environment. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS36 requires development achieve a layout, density and built form which responds to the heritage context within the Berkeley Vale.</b>
SA 10: Air quality.	--	++/--	++/-	Portions of phase 1 of the site scored between 67 and 70 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. Portions of phase 2 of the site scored between 67 and 82 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. <b>Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan required the site to be developed to allow for a new rail station and rapid bus services. The site was to be delivered to prioritise walking, cycling, and public transport over the use of private car in line with this draft policy. Furthermore, the provision of new services and facilities at the site in line with the Garden Village Principles could help to reduce the need for residents to travel from the area on a regular basis. In line with Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS36 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan, the site is expected to be developed to support sustainable transport measures, reduce car ownership and car usage, improve public transport permeability and enhance bus connections to key destinations including Bristol and Gloucester, as well as a new railway station and enhancements to the Sharpness branch line.</b>
SA 11: Water quality	0	0	+	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone. <b>No change considering Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan.</b>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>However, Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS36 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires the incorporation of infrastructure (such as constructed wetlands) to tackle wastewater generated by the development.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	--	--	+/--	The site is on greenfield land and a large portion of this land falls within flood zone 3a or 3b. This land is mostly within phase 1 of the site. <b>No change considering Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. However, Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS36 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires a strategy for mitigating flood risk including attenuating and disposing of surface water through SuDS.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	--	--	The site is relatively large in size and on mostly greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	+?	+	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>The Site Allocation policy text in the Draft Plan required delivery of development at the site in line with the Garden City Principles which is likely to help promote the use of zero-carbon and energy-positive technology to ensure climate resilience. The Local Sites Allocation Policy PS36 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan will also address zero carbon energy generation and carbon capture onsite.</b>
SA 15: Waste	0	0	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	++	++	++	This site could deliver 2,400 homes and 10ha of employment land. Both phases of the site are located within 600m of key employment sites Severn Distribution Park and Rigestate, Station Road but neither site is at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement. <b>The Site Allocation policy text in the Draft Plan required delivery of development in line with the Garden</b>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<p><b>City principles which is likely to help ensure that a wide range of local jobs are provided within easy commuting distance of homes. The Local Sites Allocation Policy PS36 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also sets out that 10ha of B1, B2 and B8 employment land would be provided at the site. A new local centre is to be provided to incorporate employment uses.</b></p>
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	++?	++?	<p>This both phases of the site are within 800m of Berkeley Primary School and Sharpness Primary School. The site could deliver 10ha of employment land.</p> <p><b>The Site Allocation policy text in the Draft Plan required the delivery of land for the development of primary and secondary schools. The delivery of development in line with the Garden City principles is likely to help ensure that a wide range of local jobs are provided within easy commuting distance of homes. The Local Sites Allocation Policy PS36 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes the development of a primary school, as well as contributions towards the provision of a secondary school. The Local Sites Allocation Policy PS36 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also sets out that 10ha of B1, B2 and B8 employment land would be provided at the site.</b></p>

**PS37: New settlement at Wisloe, Wisloe (Slimbridge) (dwellings, employment, local centre (including retail, surgery and community uses), primary school, community, open space uses, improved bus services, strategic green infrastructure and landscaping)**

*(Site boundary has been updated from that presented for PS37 in the Emerging Strategy Paper. The site boundary that was included in the Draft Local Plan is equivalent to that included in the Pre-submission Draft Plan.)*

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	++	++	This site has capacity for up to 1,500 dwellings by 2040. <b>The Site Allocation policy text in the Draft Plan required the delivery of development at the site in line with the Garden City Principles which is likely to help deliver affordable mixed-tenure homes and housing types. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS37 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also included this requirement and makes provision for 30% affordable dwellings.</b>
SA 2: Health	-/++	-/++	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m. This site would include an area of open space. <b>The site included community and open space uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text in the Draft Plan. The delivery of development at the site in line with the Garden City Principles is likely to help create healthy communities. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS37 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes community and open space uses, as well as sports provision and a surgery.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	++	This site is on greenfield land.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Plan	Justification
				<b>This site included community uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy. The site is to be delivery in line with the Garden City Principles which are expected to help promote access to these new facilities as part of the creation of vibrant, sociable neighbourhoods. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS37 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes community uses. These are to be provided as part of a new local centre which would also include local retail and a surgery.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	++	++	This site lies between a tier 1 and tier 3b settlement. This site would include community uses but is not within a town centre. <b>The delivery of development at the site in line with the Garden City Principles is likely to help promote access to these new facilities as part of the creation of vibrant, sociable neighbourhoods. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS37 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also requires the incorporation of a new local centre incorporating local retail, surgery and community uses. The provision of ultrafast broadband is to also be made at the site which will improve access to services and facilities.</b>
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-/+?	-/+?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. <b>The Site Allocation policy text in the Draft Plan required the site to be developed as to incorporate green infrastructure. The delivery of development at the site in line with the Garden City Principles is likely to help promote the achievement of net biodiversity gains. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS37 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes the incorporation of green infrastructure, as well as the requirement to deliver a net gain to local biodiversity.</b>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	+/-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Plan	Justification
				<p>the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 49. This work identified the area as having medium sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 to 5,000 dwellings). It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.</p> <p><b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan required the incorporation of strategic landscaping. Strategic Policy PS27 includes the requirement for landscaping buffers to prevent physical and visual coalescence with neighbouring villages and the development layout, density, built form and character to respond to the context of the Berkeley Vale. These more stringent requirements may potentially limit impacts in terms of the local landscape setting; however, impacts will be dependent on the specific design of development, which is unknown at this stage.</b></p>
SA 9: Historic environment	0	0	+	<p>This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.</p> <p><b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not include requirements which might address impacts on the historic environment. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS37 requires development achieve a layout, density and built form which responds to the heritage context within the Berkeley Vale.</b></p>
SA 10: Air quality.	--	+/--	++/--	<p>The portions of the site scored 67, 76, 79 and 81 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.</p> <p><b>In line with the Site Allocation policy text in the Draft Plan the site was to be developed to allow for connections to Cam and Dursley rail station and rapid bus services to the nearest main settlements. It was expected that development would promote walking, cycling, and public transport over the use of private car in line with this draft policy. Furthermore, the provision of new services and facilities at the site in</b></p>



SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Plan	Justification
				line with the Garden Village Principles could help to reduce the need for residents to travel from the area on a regular basis. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS37 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan, requires the site to be developed to support sustainable transport measures including the incorporation of new bus stops and shelters, improved bus services to key destinations and measures to reduce car ownership such as Mobility-as-a-Service. These types of improvements could have benefits in terms of limiting the release of air pollutants as new development is occupied.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	+/--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not include requirements which might address issues of water quality. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS37 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires the delivery of infrastructure to tackle wastewater generated by the development</b>
SA 12: Flooding	-	-	+/-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not include requirements which might address issues of flood risk. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS37 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires the enactment of a strategy for attenuating and disposing of surface water through SuDS.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	--	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3b agricultural land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	+?	+	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>The delivery of development at the site in line with the Garden City Principles, as required through the Site Allocation policy text in the Draft Plan, is likely to</b>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Plan	Justification
				<b>help promote the use of zero-carbon and energy-positive technology to ensure climate resilience. Strategic Sites Allocation Policy PS37 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also requires onsite zero carbon energy generation and carbon capture achieved through tree planting.</b>
SA 15: Waste	0	0	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	+	+	+	This site would deliver less than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development. The site is located at a Tier 1 settlement but is not within 600m of a key employment site. <b>The Site Allocation policy text in the Draft Plan set out that 5ha employment land would be provided at the site. The delivery of development in line with the Garden City principles is likely to help ensure that a wide range of local jobs are provided within easy commuting distance of homes. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS37 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also sets out that 5ha of office, B2 and B8 employment land would be provided at the site. The site would also deliver a local centre incorporating employment.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	++	++	The site is within 800m of Slimbridge Primary school. This site would deliver less than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development. <b>This site would include land for the development of primary school in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy. The Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy also sets out that 5ha employment land would be provided at the site. The delivery of development in line with the Garden City principles is likely to help ensure that a wide range of local jobs are provided within easy commuting distance of homes. Strategic Site Allocation Policy PS37 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes the development of a primary school, as well as contributions towards</b>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre- submission Draft Plan	Justification
				<p><b>secondary school and further education provision. Policy PS37 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also sets out that 5ha of office, B2 and B8 employment land would be provided at the site.</b></p>

## PS44: North west of Whitminster Lane, Frampton (residential and open space uses)

(Site not included in the Emerging Strategy Paper but is equivalent to option FRA004. Site boundary for PS44 in Pre-submission Plan is reduced from that included in Draft Plan.)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	+	This site previously had capacity for 80 homes. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan provided for the development of 30 homes. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS44 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also provides for the development of 30 homes.</b>
SA 2: Health	++	++	++	The site is located within 400m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Cycle Network route and a cycle route. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan set out the incorporation of open space uses. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS44 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes open space uses, as well as requiring the incorporation of the existing Public Right of Way into the development.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan included the delivery of community space uses. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS44 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan does not include community space uses.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	+	+	This site is at a third tier settlement. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan included the delivery of community space uses. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS44 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan does not include community space uses.</b>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Frampton Pools SSSI and within 250m of Saul Gravel Pits KWS and Gloucester and Sharpness Canal KWS. It is also within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	+/-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB. <b>Local Sites Allocation Policy PS44 requires that the form and scale of the development at the site should respect the setting of the adjacent Canal and enhance the edge of settlement location, whilst retaining a visual gap between the village and outlying houses at Oatfield. The policy requirements may potentially limit impacts in terms of the local landscape setting; however, impacts will be dependent on the specific design of development, which is unknown at this stage.</b>
SA 9: Historic environment	-	-	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 10: Air quality.	-	-	-	The site scored 74 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 11: Water quality	0	0	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	-	-	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	--	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 agricultural land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	0	0	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	+	+	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Frampton Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>

## PS45: Land west of Upton's Garden, Whitminster (residential, strategic landscaping and open spaces uses)

(Site not included in the Emerging Strategy Paper but is equivalent to option WHI004. Site boundary for PS45 in Pre-submission Plan is reduced from that included in Draft Plan.)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	+	This site previously had capacity for 10 homes <sup>135</sup> . <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan provided for the development of 10 homes. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS45 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also provides for the development of 10 homes.</b>
SA 2: Health	-/++	-/++	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle path within 400m. <b>This site included open space uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS45 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes open spaces.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>This site included community space uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS45 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan does not include community space uses.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	+	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement. <b>This site included community space uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS45 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan does not include community space uses.</b>

<sup>135</sup> Please note that the total number of homes for site WHI004 was incorrectly reported as 30 in the SA Report for the Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018).

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	+/-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address biodiversity. However, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS45 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that local biodiversity is safeguarded and enhanced.</b>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	+/-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan required that development at the site incorporates strategic landscaping. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS45 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes the requirement for strategic landscaping, as well as including particular reference to the minimisation of landscape impacts. The western half of the site is to be retained as open space. These more stringent requirements may potentially limit impacts in terms of the local landscape setting, however, impacts will be dependent upon the specific design which is unknown at this stage.</b>
SA 9: Historic environment	-	-	+/-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address heritage assets. However, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS45 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that heritage assets are conserved and enhanced through high quality design and retaining the western half of the site in open space uses.</b>
SA 10: Air quality.	-	-	-	The site scored 76 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>



SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	-	-	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	--?	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	0	0	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	--	--	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>

**PS46: Land west of School Lane, Whitminster (dwellings, strategic landscaping and open space uses)**

*(Site not included in the Emerging Strategy Paper but is equivalent to part of option WHI005. Site boundary for PS46 in Pre-submission Plan is equivalent to that included in Draft Plan.)*

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	+	This site previously had capacity for 40 homes. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan provided for the development of 30 homes. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS46 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan now provides for the development of up to 40 dwellings.</b>
SA 2: Health	-/++	-/++	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle path within 400m. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan provided for the incorporation of open space uses. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS46 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also requires the incorporation of open spaces, as well as particular reference to the integration of the Public Right of Way along the northern site boundary.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan included the provision of community uses. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS46 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan does not require the provision of community uses.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	+	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement. <b>This site included community uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS46 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan does not require the delivery of community uses.</b>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	+/-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB. <b>This site included strategic landscaping in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS46 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes strategic landscaping, as well as particular reference to addressing the minimisation of landscape impacts. These more stringent requirements may potentially limit impacts in terms of the local landscape setting, however, impacts will be dependent upon the specific design which is unknown at this stage.</b>
SA 9: Historic environment	0	0	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 10: Air quality.	-	-	-	The site scored 77 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	-	-	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	--?	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	0	0	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	--	--	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>

**PS38: South of Wickwar Road, Kingswood (dwellings, strategic landscaping and open space uses)**

*(Site in Pre-submission Draft Plan is equivalent to PS38 in the Emerging Strategy Paper and Draft Plan)*

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	+	This site has capacity for 50 homes. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan (given that 50 dwellings were set out for allocation in both documents).</b>
SA 2: Health	-/++	-/++	-/++	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path. This site would include an area of open space. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan (given that open space uses were required through both documents).</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>This site included community space uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS38 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan does not require the delivery of community uses.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	+	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement. <b>This site included community space uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS38 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan does not include the requirement for community space uses. However, it includes reference to delivering highway safety improvements to access services within the village of Kingswood.</b>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	0?	+/-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not address biodiversity. However, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS38 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that local biodiversity is conserved and enhanced.</b>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	+/-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB. <b>This site included strategic landscaping in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS38 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan also includes strategic landscaping, as well as particular reference to integrating development into the landscape setting. These more stringent requirements may help to address impacts relating to landscape character, however, impacts will be dependent upon the specific design which is unknown at this stage.</b>
SA 9: Historic environment	0	0	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 10: Air quality.	-	-	-	The site scored 64 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 11: Water quality	0	0	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	-	-	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	--?	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	0	0	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	+	+	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Abbey Mill Industrial Area; Orchestra Works) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>

## PS47: Renishaw New Mills, Kingswood (employment uses and strategic landscaping)

(Site not included in the Emerging Strategy Paper but is equivalent to option KIN005. Site boundary for PS47 in Pre-submission Plan is equivalent to that included in Draft Plan.)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	0	0	A negligible effect is expected in relation to this SA objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 2: Health	+	+	+	This site is located within 800m of three green spaces. The site is located further than 400m of cycle route or PRow. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Non-Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Non-Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	0	0	This site contains a significant portion of greenfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	0	0	A negligible effect is expected on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	0?	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	--?	+/--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. The landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken as part of the Gloucestershire Strategic Development Opportunities work includes the site within assessment area 55. This work identified area 55 as having medium-high sensitivity to accommodate a small village (1,500 – 5,000 dwellings). The landscape sensitivity



SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<p>assessment is based on a different type of development than the site would provide and therefore additional uncertainty exists in the significant negative effect identified. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.</p> <p><b>This site included strategic landscaping in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Employment Allocation Policy PS47 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan will incorporate structural landscaping buffers.</b></p> <p><b>Development at the site is to retain key open spaces with buildings sited as to minimise impacts on key views of and from the AONB. These more stringent requirements may help to address impacts relating to landscape character, however, impacts will be dependent upon the specific design which is unknown at this stage.</b></p>
SA 9: Historic environment	--	--	+/--	<p>This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.</p> <p><b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not include requirements which might help to address impacts on the historic environment. Employment Allocation Policy PS47 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires the re-use the farm buildings of heritage value and siting of buildings to minimise impacts on heritage assets.</b></p>
SA 10: Air quality.	+	+	+	<p>The site is located within 400m of a number of bus stops but is not within 1.0km of railway station.</p> <p><b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not include requirements which might help to limit impacts on air quality. Employment Allocation Policy PS47 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires sustainable transport measures including contributions towards the completion of the Wotton – Charfield – Kingswood Greenway, the enhancement of local bus services and reopening of Charfield rail station.</b></p>
SA 11: Water quality	0	0	0	<p>The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.</p>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	-	-	+/-	The site is on greenfield land and mostly outside of flood zones 3a and 3b. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not include requirements which might address impacts on flood risk. Employment Allocation Policy PS47 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires development to include positive strategy for attenuating and disposing of surface water through SuDS.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	--	--	The site is relatively large in size, on greenfield land and contains a significant portion of Grade 2 agricultural land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	0	0	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	++	+	++	The site previously had capacity for more than 10ha of employment land. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan set out that the site was to deliver 9ha of employment land. Employment Allocation Policy PS47 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan now provides for the development for 10ha of land for office, B2 and B8 employment uses.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	++	+	++	The site previously had capacity for more than 10ha of employment land. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan set out that the site was to deliver 9ha of employment land. Employment Allocation Policy PS47 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan now provides for the development for 10ha of land for office, B2 and B8 employment uses.</b>

## PS41: Washwell Fields, Painswick (dwellings and open space uses)

(Site in Pre-submission Plan is equivalent to PS41 in the Emerging Strategy Paper and Draft Plan)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	+	This site has capacity for 20 homes. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan (given that 20 dwellings were set out for allocation in both documents). Local Sites Allocation Policy PS41 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that affordable housing at the site is provided for those with a local connection to address local housing needs within the AONB.</b>
SA 2: Health	++	++	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m. This site would include an area of open space. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan (given that open space uses were required through both documents).</b>
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>The site included community uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS41 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan does not include community uses.</b>
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	+	+	This site is at a tier 2 settlement. <b>This site included community uses in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS41 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan does not include community uses.</b>

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	+/-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Cotswold Commons and Beechwoods SSSI. <b>The Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan did not include requirements which might address impacts on biodiversity. However, Local Sites Allocation Policy PS41 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that development should address potential ecological impacts.</b>
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	--?	+/--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB. <b>This site included strategic landscaping in line with the Site Allocation policy text included in the Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS41 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan does not require strategic landscaping but requires that landscape impacts should be addressed. Mature landscape features at the site should be retained on-site and additional planting should also be provided to address potential landscape impacts. This requirement may help to address impacts relating to landscape character, however, impacts will be dependent upon the specific design which is unknown at this stage.</b>
SA 9: Historic environment	0	0	+	This site scored 1 in the SALA heritage assessment. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan. Local Sites Allocation Policy PS41 in the Pre-submission Draft Plan requires that development would conserve heritage interests and their setting.</b>
SA 10: Air quality.	0	0	0	The site scored 64 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 12: Flooding	-	-	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Score: Pre-submission Draft Local Plan	Justification
				<b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	--?	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 15: Waste	0	0	0	This site is on greenfield land. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 16: Employment	+	+	+	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site but is located in a Tier 2 settlement. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school. <b>No change considering policy text included in Draft Plan or Pre-submission Draft Plan.</b>

# Appendix 8

## Summary of SA Findings for the Strategic Growth Options

## Summary of growth options appraised

- A8.1 Initially four potential growth options were set out in the Issues and Options consultation paper (October 2017), which covered a concentrated development approach, wider distribution, dispersal and a new growth point.
- A8.2 In summary, the four strategic growth options initially considered for the Stroud Local Plan Review and appraised in August 2018 comprised:
- Option 1: Concentrated development - 5,550 dwellings and 30ha B class employment.
  - Option 2: Wider distribution - 5,520 dwellings and 30ha B class employment.
  - Option 3: Dispersal - 5,695 dwellings and 40ha B class employment.
  - Option 4: Growth point - 6,010 dwellings and 40ha B class employment.
- A8.3 The Council's paper Local Plan Review: Developing a preferred strategy (revised March 2018) describes the options in more detail (including how much housing would be delivered in the broad locations making up the option) and was taken into account during the appraisal. The SA of these four options was presented to the Council in an internal summary note in August 2018. This work was considered by the Council when making decisions on what options to take forward in the Preferred Options ("Emerging Strategy") Local Plan (November 2018). The appraisal findings were then presented in the SA Report (November 2018)<sup>136</sup> which was published alongside that version of the Local Plan.
- A8.4 This work recommended that the Council consider a hybrid option of the options put forward at that time which would most resemble a 'concentrated development' approach (Option 1) but also including growth at one or two growth points and/or one or two of the smaller towns and larger villages as well. The hybrid option taken forward by the Council was subsequently appraised in the November 2019 SA Report<sup>137</sup> (through the appraisal of Policy CP2) which was published for consultation alongside the Draft Local Plan (November 2019).
- A8.5 The hybrid option is as follows:
- Option 5: Draft Local Plan hybrid option - 8,725 dwellings and 61ha B class employment.
- A8.6 The level of housing development set out by the Council through the initial strategic growth options and the hybrid option differs for two main reasons.
- A8.7 Firstly, in autumn 2017, when the initial options were considered the Government had recently consulted on a new housing requirement methodology and indicative housing figures for each district. At that stage the Government had not yet published its final proposals with regard these matters and it was therefore assumed that the housing requirement for Stroud District would be the Government figure of 635 houses per annum (or 12,700 dwellings for the 20 year period). Since that time the Council has worked with adjoining authorities in Gloucestershire to prepare a Local Housing Needs Assessment<sup>138</sup>. This document identified the amount and types of housing that are likely to be needed during the Plan and confirmed the Government's updated requirement to provide for at least 638 new homes per annum (or 12,800 dwellings for the 20 year period).
- A8.8 Secondly, the plan period was updated from 2016-2036 to 2019-2040. This has implications for the total commitments which were considered when determining the residual housing requirement over the plan period. The total commitments with planning permission at April 2017, excluding undeliverable sites, was 5,847 against a housing requirement of 12,700 dwellings resulting in a residual housing requirement for the plan period 2016 -2036 of approximately 6,800 dwellings. Total commitments at April 2019, shown in the Draft Plan, were 5,223 with an increased housing requirement of 13,216 dwellings for the period 2019-2040. This results in an

---

<sup>136</sup> LUC (November 2018) Sustainability Appraisal Report for the Stroud Local Plan Review: Emerging Strategy Paper

<sup>137</sup> LUC (November 2019) Sustainability Appraisal Report for the Stroud District Local Plan Review: Draft Plan

<sup>138</sup> Opinion Research Services on behalf of the six local planning authorities in Gloucestershire (October 2019) Gloucestershire Local Housing Needs Assessment 2019

increased residual housing requirement for the plan period of at least 8,000 dwellings provided for in the Draft Plan hybrid option.

- A8.9 The overall change in residual housing to be delivered in the district has been accommodated through the hybrid option by including all three of the large scale growth points considered, as well as requiring high or relatively high levels of development at Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlements including Cam (880 homes in total), Stonehouse (650 homes), Stroud (265 homes), Nailsworth (105 homes) and Berkeley (120 homes). One of the Tier 3 settlements (Brimscombe and Thrupp) is also set out to accommodate a relatively high level of housing (190 homes) through the hybrid option. The remaining settlements (including Dursley, as well as the majority of Tier 2 and Tier 3 settlements) would accommodate lower levels of development to meet local needs.
- A8.10 It should be noted that all strategic growth options were assumed to provide the required number of homes to meet local needs over the relevant plan period. The SA findings for the hybrid option consider the higher numbers of homes to be provided at the settlements identified above, however, the findings for all options are considered to be comparable given that the distribution of development is what has the most bearing on the effects identified.
- A8.11 For completeness, and to ensure that the SA Report has appraised all reasonable alternative growth strategy options consistently and in the same level of detail, the SA work for the Additional Housing Options Paper (October 2020) also included the appraisal of the hybrid option presented in the Draft Local Plan (2019) without consideration for mitigation which might result from any of the policy requirements set out in the Draft Local Plan.

### Summary of SA findings for the initial spatial options (including hybrid option)

- A8.12 **Table A8.1**, below, summarises the sustainability effects identified for the four future growth strategy options initially considered for the Stroud Local Plan as well as the sustainability effects for the hybrid option, as initially reported in the SA work for the Additional Housing Options Paper. The detailed justification for the sustainability effects identified is provided in the detailed SA matrix in Appendix 4 of the SA work for the Additional Housing Options Paper.

**Table A8.1: Summary of sustainability effects for the initial Future Growth Strategy Options for the Stroud Local Plan (including the hybrid option)**

SA Objective	Option 1: Concentrated development	Option 2: Wider distribution	Option 3: Dispersal	Option 4: Focus on a single growth point	Option 5: Hybrid approach
SA 1: Housing	++	++/-	++/-	++	++
SA 2: Health	++/-	+/-	+/--	++/--?	++/--?
SA 3: Social inclusion	++/-	+/-	+/--	++/--?	+/--?
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0	0	0
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-
SA 6: Services and facilities	++/-	++/-	+/--	++/-	++/-
SA 7: Biodiversity and geodiversity	-?	--?	--?	--?	--?
SA 8: Landscapes and townscapes	-?	--?	--?	--?	--?
SA 9: Historic environment	+?/--?	+?/--?	+?/--?	+/--?	+?/--?
SA 10: Air quality	+	+/-	-	+/-	+/-
SA 11: Water quality	-	--	--	0	-
SA 12: Flooding	+/-	-	--	-	+/--



SA Objective	Option 1: Concentrated development	Option 2: Wider distribution	Option 3: Dispersal	Option 4: Focus on a single growth point	Option 5: Hybrid approach
SA 13: Efficient land use	+/--	--	--	--	+/--
SA 14: Climate change	+	+/-?	-	+/-?	+/-
SA 15: Waste	+?	0	0	+?	+?
SA 16: Employment	++/-	++/-	+/--	++?/-	++?/-
SA 17: Economic growth	+/-	+/-	+/-	++?/-	++?/-

- A8.13 It is expected that **Option 1 (Concentrated development)** would provide new housing and economic growth at locations to achieve the most positive effects as well as having the lowest number of outright significant negative effects. These effects are likely given that this approach would provide the majority of housing and employment development adjacent to the main towns in the district and would be concentrated at a few larger sites. Option 1 would provide enough housing to ensure the housing stock meets the needs of local people, and the provision of much of this development at a smaller number of larger sites is likely to mean that high levels of affordable housing could be provided without significant impacts on viability. This approach may also provide more opportunities for the incorporation of new infrastructure to support low carbon and renewable energies as well as sustainable waste management practices. It is likely that through Option 1 new employment land would be delivered in relatively accessible locations. The concentration of new development across a smaller number of larger sites is also likely to mean that transport connectivity issues which might otherwise adversely affect the accessibility of employment opportunities in the district might be addressed by securing government funding for new infrastructure provision.
- A8.14 It is expected that providing new housing by the larger towns of the district through Option 1 would mean that new residents would be located in close proximity to a range of existing services and facilities which would be to the benefit of promoting modal shift and health and wellbeing as well as social inclusion. Furthermore, it is likely that this approach would help to improve the vitality and viability of the town centres at the settlements in question, although it recognised that this approach would not directly support the growth of the more rural villages of the district.
- A8.15 Considering the high level of growth required over the plan period it is expected that all options would require development to proceed at large areas of greenfield land. Option 1 may however present increased opportunities to make use of brownfield sites which are more likely to be available at the larger settlements in the district. Option 1 would also provide the majority of new growth away from the more sensitive biodiversity and geodiversity sites (particularly the Severn Estuary SPA, SAC and Ramsar site) and landscape designations (including the Cotswolds AONB) in the district. Providing development near the large settlements of the district will also help to avoid the areas at most risk of flooding and areas which have been designated as having potential to adversely impact water quality if development was to proceed.
- A8.16 Conversely **Option 2 (Wider distribution)** and **Option 3 (Dispersal)** would result in a greater spread of development throughout the district at the smaller towns and more rural villages. Both of these options are likely to perform less favourably than Option 1, although it is noted that Option 3 is expected to have a higher number of significant negative effects than Option 2. Option 2 also has a slightly higher number of significant positive effects than Option 3 given that Option 3 would require a higher number of homes at the smaller settlements in the plan area. The exception to this is the historic environment where Option 3 would not result in a significant negative effect given that it would direct much of the new development away from the larger settlements where there are high concentrations of heritage assets.
- A8.17 These locations, however, are currently less accessible and provide access to a lower number of key services and facilities. Furthermore, the wider dispersal of development through the district would place a higher level of development in close proximity to potentially sensitivity biodiversity and geodiversity designations while also resulting in adverse impacts on the established character

of the more rural villages and the AONB. Both of these options would make use of a higher number of smaller development sites meaning that issues relating to viability may be more likely to result in relation to the delivery of affordable housing. It is also considered government funding which might otherwise be used to help to address connectivity issues and the delivery of new services and facilities in the district would be less likely to be secured at the smaller sites which these options would put forward.

- A8.18 **Option 4 (Growth point)** would provide the majority of new development at large scale sites at just three locations in the district; including at the new growth point to the south of Sharpness. This option is expected to have significant positive effects in relation to a high number of SA objectives, including in relation to housing, employment and economic growth. The large scale of development concentrated at only three locations is likely to support the incorporation of new services and facilities at these growth points as well as supporting higher levels of affordable housing and the securing of government funding for infrastructure improvements. The latter in particular could be of particular benefit in terms of securing future inward economic investment. However, where the positive effects are expected in relation to the potential to access services and facilities, promote active travel and community cohesion negative effects have also been identified. It is expected that the new growth point at Sharpness in particular would not provide immediate access to existing services and facilities, meaning that new residents may be required to travel longer distances on a day to day basis.
- A8.19 Large scale development at the three growth point locations in Option 4 is likely to have some additional significant negative effects as it would result in the loss of a large amount of greenfield land with reduced focus on the use of brownfield sites. The development to be provided at the Sharpness growth point would be provided at a location which could adversely impact upon the integrity of the Severn Estuary SPA, SAC and Ramsar site in particular. This location by the Severn Estuary also contains areas of Flood Zone 2 and Flood Zone 3 although it is noted that flood defences are in place which would help mitigate the potential for adverse flood risk.
- A8.20 **Option 5 (Draft Local Plan Hybrid)** would secure many of the benefits and significant positive effects associated with Option 1 by providing a relatively high level of development at the larger settlements in the plan area. This could help ensure residents have access to a wide range of services and facilities and jobs and reduce the need for residents to travel longer distances. This option would also distribute some development to lower order settlements which would allow for local needs to be met at these locations. The inclusion of a number of larger development sites, most notably by Sharpness, the Gloucester south fringe area (Hardwicke) and Cam (Wisloe) could help to support new service provision and delivery of affordable homes in the plan area. Large scale new development sites could also help to promote economic growth in the plan area.
- A8.21 However, there are still some significant negative effects identified for this option, in particular on landscape and biodiversity. This option would include the new growth point by Sharpness, where potential impacts on the Severn Estuary international designations may result and also development within a number of settlements in the east of the district which lie within or in close proximity to the Cotswolds AONB including Minchinhampton, Nailsworth, Painswick and Brimscombe and Thrupp. There is also potential for higher numbers of residents to potentially be affected by flood risk and impacts on water quality relating to the Severn Estuary designations.

### Additional strategic growth options considered

- A8.22 Following the Draft Local Plan consultation, as part of the Additional Housing Options consultation in October 2020, the Council considered additional options for the growth strategy to be included in the Pre-submission Local Plan document. The new options built on the hybrid option presented in the Draft Local Plan (2019) and consider options for meeting the increased housing requirement over the plan period. There was potential for the housing need for the District to increase from 638 homes per annum as set out in the Draft Local Plan, to 786 homes per annum. The options considered also reflected the potential need to identify additional reserve sites, to ensure a suitable portfolio of sites is identified, thereby guaranteeing the delivery of housing at the rates required up to 2040.
- A8.23 The four additional strategic growth options set out by the Council in the Additional Housing Options consultation paper (October 2020) considered how the increased housing requirement of 786 dwellings per annum (or 15,720 dwellings for the 20 year period) might be met. They comprise:
- Option A: Intensify existing allocated sites - increase densities.
  - Option B: Dispersal to towns and villages - consider additional sites at Tier 2 and Tier 3 settlements.
  - Option C: Additional growth point - consider site options along the major transport routes in the plan area for a new/extended settlement.
    - Option C has been subdivided into three options (Options C1 to C3) to give appropriate consideration for the major transport routes (A38, A419 and A4135) through the district at which new strategic scale development might result.
  - Option D: Wider dispersal of new housing sites to include sites not just at Tier 2 and 3 settlements but extending to small sites at Tier 4 villages.
- A8.24 These options and their appraisal reflect the additional detail in the Council's Draft Local Plan – Additional housing options consultation paper (October 2020). The Council's reasons for identifying these options as reasonable alternatives is also contained in the consultation paper but are summarised as follows in **Table A8.2**.

**Table A8.2: Stroud District Council's reasons for identifying the additional strategic growth options**

**Option A:** Some of the current urban extension sites in the Draft Local Plan have planned average densities of less than 30 dwellings per hectare. There may therefore be potential to increase densities in some locations whilst delivering well designed places reflecting the existing local character. The Council has considered whether there is any potential to extend existing allocated sites onto adjacent land, but there are limited opportunities to do this, therefore extending sites was not considered to be a reasonable alternative spatial option.

**Option B:** Whilst the Draft Local Plan does allocate some smaller housing sites at Tier 2 and Tier 3a settlements, there were a number of sites considered at the previous Issues and Options stage that were not taken forward, which could be reconsidered, together with additional sites promoted at the Draft Local Plan stage. In addition, making allocations at Tier 3b settlements could be reconsidered. Any further sites promoted at this stage could be added to the mix. Combined, this would deliver a greater proportion of the housing required to Tier 2 and Tier 3 settlements, thereby increasing, albeit modestly, a dispersal focus.

**Option C:** This could be an entirely new settlement or a very large addition to an existing smaller settlement with a full range of land uses including employment as well as housing. We have re-examined all of the land assessed to date to identify potential locations. Major movement corridors (A38, A419, A4135, which are all identified for transport infrastructure improvements in the Local Transport Plan) offer locations with good accessibility to the wider area – but much of the land within the A419 and A4135 corridors is either already built upon, is subject to environmental constraints or has already been assessed as potential urban extensions to our towns. However, there is more

potential along the A38 corridor and this consultation identifies two particular locations which may have potential (i.e. Potential Growth Points PGP1 and PGP2).

**Option D:** To achieve a wider dispersal option, the Council would need to reconsider not only those additional sites that have already been assessed at Tier 2 and Tier 3 settlements, but to assess a number of other sites that have previously been put forward at smaller Tier 4 settlements. These are sites that have not been assessed before now, as the current Draft Local Plan includes a strategy that does not promote wider dispersal to the district's smaller and/or more remote settlements. However, if this option were to be selected at this stage, these sites would need to be assessed (including through the SA) and further consultation may be necessary.

A8.25 As part of the consultation of the Additional Housing Options, the Council considered additional sites which might be allocated to meet the potential increased housing requirement. All additional sites have been appraised in **Appendix 5** of this SA Report.

### Summary of SA findings for the additional spatial options

A8.26 **Table A8.3** below summarises the sustainability effects identified for the four additional spatial options considered for the Stroud Local Plan as part of the Additional Housing Options consultation. The detailed justification for the sustainability effects identified is provided in the detailed SA matrix in Appendix 1 of the SA work for the Additional Housing Options Paper.

**Table A8.3: Summary of sustainability effects for the additional spatial options for the Stroud Local Plan**

SA Objective	Option A: Intensify remaining sites	Option B: Dispersal to Tier 2 and 3 settlements	Option C: New growth along one of the main transport routes			Option D: Wider dispersal
			C1: A38	C2: A419	C3: A4135	
SA 1: Housing	++/-?	++?/-	++	++	++	++/-
SA 2: Health	++/-?	++/--?	++/--?	++/-?	++/-?	+/--
SA 3: Social inclusion	++/-?	++/--?	++/--?	++/-?	++/-?	+/--
SA 4: Crime	0	0	0			0
SA 5: Vibrant communities	++/-	+/--	+/-	++/-	++/-	--
SA 6: Services and facilities	++/-	+/--	+/--?	++	++	+/--
SA 7: Biodiversity and geodiversity	--?	--?	--?	--?	--?	--?
SA 8: Landscapes and townscapes	--?	--?	--?	--?	--?	--?
SA 9: Historic environment	+?/--?	+?/--?	+?/-?	+?/-?	+?/-?	--?
SA 10: Air quality	+/-	+/--	+/--?	+/-?	+/-?	+/--
SA 11: Water quality	-	--	-	--	--	--
SA 12: Flooding	+/-	+/--	+/--	+/--	+/--	--
SA 13: Efficient land use	+/--	+/--	--	+/--	--	--
SA 14: Climate change	++/-	++/--	++/--	++/-?	++/-?	+/--

SA Objective	Option A: Intensify remaining sites	Option B: Dispersal to Tier 2 and 3 settlements	Option C: New growth along one of the main transport routes			Option D: Wider dispersal
			C1: A38	C2: A419	C3: A4135	
SA 15: Waste	+?	+/-?	+	+	+	+/-?
SA 16: Employment	++?	+/--	++? /-	++?	++/ -	+/--
SA 17: Economic growth	++/-	++/-	++	++?	++/ -	+/--

- A8.27 **Option A (Intensify existing sites)** is expected to achieve a number of significant positive effects in terms of promoting a more compact and potentially efficient use of land in the plan area given that higher densities of development would result. Through this option the intensification of existing strategic urban extension sites at Tier 1 to 3 settlements (including Hardwicke in the Gloucester fringe, Cam and Stonehouse) would occur. These settlements benefit from good access to existing services and jobs (for Hardwicke within the Gloucester fringe). Furthermore, additional services, facilities and jobs are likely to be supported by this option which would enable more development at larger strategic sites. It is noted that this approach may be less supportive in terms of securing a high level of additional service provision than incorporating an additional new growth point might in the longer term.
- A8.28 In all it is expected that the approach of intensification could help to limit the need to travel by private vehicle and associated impacts relating to air quality and climate change. The promotion of more compact forms of development in the plan area could also help increase the uptake of active travel which would benefit local health and wellbeing. It is likely that allowing for some lower levels of development in the plan area at the lower order settlements could also help to prevent the stagnation of rural services. While the inclusion of the new growth points would support substantial new service provision and affordable housing in the plan area, incremental increases to existing sites would be less supportive of achieving these types of benefits. Option A may also present challenges in terms of meeting the residual housing need of the district, when compared to options which incorporate additional large scale development sites.
- A8.29 The more incremental increases of population of these smaller settlements in Stroud District would, however, help to prevent potential significant negative effects in terms of local character, the historic environment and community cohesion as well as overburdening of existing services and facilities. While intensification of the existing strategic urban extension sites could limit the need for increased greenfield land take to accommodate the residual development needs of the district and therefore limit impacts relating to biodiversity, landscape character and the historic environment, this option would still result in some higher levels of development in close proximity to international and national biodiversity designations. Development would also occur at settlements which have been identified as having sensitivities in terms of their landscape character and those which contain numerous heritage assets. It would also result in some development within the Cotswolds AONB.
- A8.30 Through **Option B (Dispersal to smaller settlements)**, additional sites at the smaller Tier 2 and Tier 3 settlements would be included for development. There could be a particular focus at Whitminster as a number of sites have been identified as having capacity to accommodate a relatively high level of development. While this option would also allow for some growth at the larger settlements as well as at new growth points, dispersal of a higher level of development to numerous sites at Tier 2 and 3 settlements is likely to perform less favourably than Option A in terms of access to existing and support for new services and facilities. A high number of residents are expected to live further from the majority of job opportunities in larger settlements and therefore will need to travel further to work. The lack of infrastructure at smaller settlements (such as access to the strategic road network) may mean that these locations prove less capable to support investment and economic growth in the plan area. Therefore, more adverse impacts in terms of health and wellbeing as well as air quality and climate change may result. It is also

likely that requiring a higher number of sites at smaller settlements could impact the existing character and community networks at these locations.

- A8.31 Many of the Tier 2 and Tier 3 settlements (including Minchinhampton, Painswick, Wotton-under-Edge and Nailsworth) fall within the AONB or contain land which has been identified as having higher sensitivity to development. While the higher concentrations of heritage assets in the plan area are to be found in the larger settlements, some smaller settlements are constrained by these types of features as well and their less developed nature may mean the settings they provide for heritage assets are more sensitive to change. There are also a number of Tier 2 and Tier 3 settlements which are in close proximity to national or international biodiversity designations. This would include development at Frampton on Severn which has the potential to impact on the Severn Estuary international biodiversity sites.
- A8.32 Of the additional growth options considered, **Options C1 to C3 (New growth points along transport corridors)** are expected to perform most favourably against many of the SA objectives. These options would result in new large scale development at a growth point along one of the main transport routes in the plan area (A38, A419 and A4135). A new growth point would support new service and infrastructure provision which could help to reduce the need to travel by private vehicle in the plan area as well as potentially supporting infrastructure which could support the use of energy from more sustainable sources. Importantly, it would also ensure that housing need in the plan area is met by delivering a high level of development at a single location. This approach could also support a large amount of affordable housing delivery in Stroud District.
- A8.33 The area along the A419 (Option C2) which includes two of the largest settlements in the plan area at Stroud and Stonehouse is considered to be one of the most sustainable in the district in terms of a high level of self-containment at this location and the good level of access to services and facilities and jobs<sup>139</sup>. While this route accommodates some higher volumes of traffic, Option C2 performs marginally better than the other sub options considered for Option C. This route provides access to a higher number of job opportunities than Option C3 (A4135, which contains Cam and Dursley) which performs similarly to Option C2 in many other regards. It should be noted that the A419 is presently constrained along much of its length by existing development and any benefits identified for this option will be dependent upon the potential to identify a suitable site for development along the corridor. The consideration of the viability of this option in this regard will be informed by evidence bases which are beyond sustainability issues alone. The potential for high levels of congestion to result along this route means some positive effects are uncertain. However, it is considered likely that the large scale of development through this option at the A419 could help secure mitigation to limit the potential for any associated adverse impacts.
- A8.34 Option C1 (A38) performs slightly less favourably than the other two sub options considering that many of the settlements along this route (including Stone, Cambridge, Newport and Whitminster) are presently less developed and provide access to a lower number of services and facilities and jobs. These effects also reflect the strategic road access (including the M5) from this area towards Gloucester and Bristol which, when considered in combination with the lower existing job provision in the area, could promote some out commuting. However, the existing strategic road access could also help make the area more attractive to inward economic investment and therefore this option performs strongly in this regard.
- A8.35 These three options are expected to have the same or similar negative effects as the other options considered with regard to biodiversity, landscape character and the historic environment. These options would require a high level of greenfield land take, and also include areas of land which have higher sensitivity in terms of landscape character and nearby biodiversity assets. Option C1 would limit the potential for development which would occur near the AONB, however, it could result in the extension of one of the smaller settlements which lie along the A38. This could have particular impacts on the existing character of one of these smaller settlements. While more of the areas which fall within the scope of Options C2 and C3 are already developed (i.e. along the A419 and A4135 corridors respectively), additional development in these areas could have adverse impacts on the character of the Cotswolds AONB. Of these three options,

---

<sup>139</sup> Stroud District Council (June 2018) Stroud Local Plan Review Transport Discussion Paper

Option C1 could present increased opportunities to deliver a high level of development in the plan area in a manner which avoids locations at which there are high concentrations of designated heritage assets. Many of the settlements along the A38 are relatively unconstrained by heritage assets in comparison to those along the A419 and A4135.

- A8.36 The dispersal of the development across much of the district to allow for some growth at settlements lower than Tier 3 under **Option D (Wider dispersal)** is likely to result in a high number of residents having limited access to nearby services and facilities and jobs. While Option D could support the viability of some smaller centres in the plan area as well as limiting the stagnation of rural service provision, a highly dispersed distribution of development is also likely to result in some overburdening of services in many locations. The inclusion of the large scale growth points (at Hardwicke, Sharpness and Wisloe) could support new service provision and help to drive a level of economic growth, however, the benefits relating to these issues as well as health and wellbeing, air quality and climate change are likely to be outweighed by the increased need to travel in the plan area. Limited support for infrastructure growth is also likely at locations which would accommodate moderate levels of new development.
- A8.37 Option D is likely to increase greenfield land take at more rural locations, considering that these areas are likely to provide more limited opportunities for re-use of brownfield land when compared to urban areas. As well as potentially affecting the existing character of a high number of more rural settlements, this option could therefore increase the potential for flood risk as the area of impermeable surfaces in the district is greatly increased. This could include areas near the Severn Estuary where some lower order settlements are located and could result in residents being at risk from flooding from this water body as well as increasing the potential for detrimental impacts on water quality at the international biodiversity sites here.
- A8.38 In addition to potentially affecting the Severn Estuary international biodiversity sites, this option could have impacts on the Woodchester Park SSSI, Wotton Hill SSSI, Minchinhampton Common SSSI, and Cotswold Commons and Beechwoods SSSI which are in close proximity to Tier 2 and 3 settlements at Nailsworth, Wotton Under Edge, Minchinhampton and Painswick.

# Appendix 9

## Audit Trail of Policy and Site Options



**Table A9.1 Stroud District Council's Reasons for including policy approach in the Local Plan Review Emerging Strategy Paper and evolution of policy approach in Draft Local Plan and Pre-submission Draft Local Plan**

Policy approach in the Local Plan Review: Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018)	Policy approach in Draft Local Plan (November 2019)	Policy approach in Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (May 2021)	Reasons for following the approach in the Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018) Draft Local Plan (November 2019) and Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (May 2021)
N/A	New issue identified in the Draft Local Plan: Moving the District towards becoming Carbon Neutral by 2030, whilst adapting to the impacts of climate change and providing resilience for the future. New Core Policy DCP1 Delivering Carbon Neutral by 2030.	Approach remains the same as in the Draft Local Plan.	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper:</b> N/A <b>Draft Local Plan:</b> The Council has declared a climate emergency and its commitment to Carbon Neutral by 2030, ahead of the Government Target of net Zero Carbon 2050. The Draft Local Plan identifies this as an overarching priority issue for the District. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan:</b> As above
Key Issue 1: Ensuring that new housing development is located in the right place, supported by the right services and infrastructure to create sustainable development.	Carried forward to the Draft Local Plan: Ensuring new housing development is located in the right place, supported by the right services and infrastructure to create sustainable development.	Approach remains mostly the same as in the Draft Local Plan. Change to priority issue wording: <i>Ensuring new development</i> is located in the right place, supported by the right services and infrastructure to create sustainable development.	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper:</b> Identified by public consultation as the most significant key issue facing Stroud District, to deliver sustainable development linked to existing infrastructure or where there is potential to improve infrastructure and public transport links. <b>Draft Local Plan:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan:</b> In response to public consultation, the results of Sustainability Appraisal and further evidence gathering the wording has been amended to ensure that all new development is located in the right place.
Key Issue 2: Conserving and enhancing Stroud District's countryside and biodiversity, including maximising the potential for a green infrastructure network across the District.	Carried forward to the Draft Local Plan: Conserving and enhancing Stroud District's countryside and biodiversity, including maximising the potential for a green infrastructure network across the District.	Approach remains the same as in the Draft Local Plan.	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper:</b> Identified by public consultation as one of the top 5 key issues facing Stroud District, to continue to protect and enhance the natural environment and countryside within the District. <b>Draft Local Plan:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan:</b> As above
Key Issue 3: Maximising the potential of brownfield and underused sites to contribute to housing supply.	Carried forward to the Draft Local Plan: Maximising the potential of brownfield and underused sites to contribute to housing supply.	Approach remains the same as in the Draft Local Plan.	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper:</b> Identified by public consultation as one of the top 5 key issues facing Stroud District, to deliver sustainable development through maximising the use of brownfield land. <b>Draft Local Plan:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan:</b> As above

Policy approach in the Local Plan Review: Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018)	Policy approach in Draft Local Plan (November 2019)	Policy approach in Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (May 2021)	Reasons for following the approach in the Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018) Draft Local Plan (November 2019) and Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (May 2021)
<p>Key Issue 4: Developing strategies to avoid, reduce and mitigate the indirect impacts of development on the natural environment.</p>	<p>Carried forward to the Draft Local Plan: Developing strategies to avoid, reduce and mitigate the indirect impacts of development on the natural environment.</p>	<p>Approach remains mostly the same as in the Draft Local Plan. Change to priority issue wording: <i>Developing strategies to enhance the natural environment and to avoid and mitigate</i> the indirect impacts of development on the natural environment.</p>	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper:</b> Identified by public consultation as one of the top 5 key issues facing Stroud District, to continue to protect the natural environment and biodiversity of the District from the direct and indirect impacts of development. <b>Draft Local Plan:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan:</b> In response to public consultation, the results of Sustainability Appraisal and further evidence gathering the wording has been strengthened to refer to enhancement of the natural environment and avoiding and mitigating the indirect impacts of development on the natural environment.</p>
<p>Key Issue 5: Tackling the acute lack of affordable housing in the District.</p>	<p>Carried forward to the Draft Local Plan: Tackling the acute lack of affordable housing in the District.</p>	<p>Approach remains the same as in the Draft Local Plan.</p>	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper:</b> Identified by public consultation as one of the top 5 key issues facing Stroud District, to address long term housing affordability issues and deliver development to meet local housing needs. <b>Draft Local Plan:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan:</b> As above</p>
<p>Section 2.1 of the Emerging Strategy Paper presents the approach to the local economy and jobs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Growth and additional jobs on and adjacent to existing high value employment sites and within the M5/A38 growth corridor;</li> <li>• Opportunities to foster on-going employment-education links;</li> <li>• New employment sites of varying sizes and locations to meet the specific locational requirements of different sectors</li> </ul>	<p>Draft policies to emerge in Draft Local Plan from consideration of policy approach:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Core Policy CP2: Strategic growth and development locations</li> <li>• Core Policy CP5: Environmental development principles for strategic sites</li> <li>• New Policy DHC3: Live-work development</li> <li>• Core Policy CP11: New employment development</li> <li>• Policy EI2a: Former Berkeley Power Station</li> <li>• New Policy DEI1: District-wide mode-specific strategies</li> </ul>	<p>Approach remains mostly the same as in the Draft Local Plan updated to reflect Pre-Submission Plan employment requirements and refer to wider evidence base issues raised.</p>	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper:</b> In accordance with SA findings to make use of the M5 corridor to facilitate economic growth and reflect public consultation support for the M5 corridor as the most popular locational choice for employment growth alongside continued expansion of employment land at existing sites and settlements. <b>Draft Local Plan:</b> The Local Plan now proposes a significant increase in employment land at a variety of locations to reflect the needs of our local communities and the market. Proposed changes reflect the need for decision takers to consider the specific locational requirements of different sectors and that rural business needs cannot always be met in locations currently well served by public transport. Proposed policy changes emphasise the importance of prioritising sustainable transport options and implementing the Sustainable Transport Strategy. This includes new policy DEI1 District-wide mode-specific strategies designed to underpin the objectives of the Sustainable Transport Strategy to achieve modal shift away from the private car and to give</p>

Policy approach in the Local Plan Review: Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018)	Policy approach in Draft Local Plan (November 2019)	Policy approach in Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (May 2021)	Reasons for following the approach in the Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018) Draft Local Plan (November 2019) and Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (May 2021)
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Policy EI14: Provision and protection of rail stations and halts</li> <li>Policy EI15: Protection of freight facilities at Sharpness Docks</li> </ul>		<p>priority to sustainable transport improvements above additional road infrastructure.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan:</b> In response to public consultation, the results of Sustainability Appraisal and further evidence gathering the following changes are proposed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Reference to the draft Local Industrial Strategy (LIS) focus on attracting and retaining talent and the particular strengths of Stroud District</li> <li>A need to plan for an increased number of net new jobs of between 7,740 and 10,440 jobs (2020 – 2040)</li> <li>A need to provide an increased supply of employment land (offices, industrial and storage and distribution) of between 62 and 72 hectares (2020 – 2040)</li> <li>Recognition of the need to review employment land requirements once the full impact of Covid-19 and Brexit has become apparent</li> <li>Reference to the six key sectors of market demand for employment land in Stroud District</li> <li>Include reference to Stonehouse, Cam/ Dursley and Berkeley/ Sharpness as key employment property market areas</li> <li>Include reference to specific employment opportunities at Javelin Park and Stonehouse</li> </ul>
<p>Section 2.1 of the Emerging Strategy Paper presents the approach to the local economy and jobs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Regeneration of under-utilised or low value employment sites for other uses provided this does not undermine key employment sectors</li> </ul>	<p>Draft policies to emerge in Draft Local Plan from consideration of policy approach:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Policy EI1: Key employment sites</li> <li>Policy EI2: Regenerating existing employment sites</li> </ul>	<p>Approach remains mostly the same as in the Draft Local Plan with minor changes to listed Key Employment Sites and Employment Regeneration Sites.</p>	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper:</b> To boost local economic productivity, in the light of SA findings, and reflect public consultation support for increased flexibility in job generating uses on employment sites on a case by case basis, prioritising the re-use or redevelopment of derelict buildings, where the employment needs of B Class uses can be safeguarded. To reflect the NPPF focus on supporting key employment sectors.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan:</b> Proposed changes recognise that key employment areas may require the provision of ancillary uses to support the primary uses. Regarding regenerating existing employment sites, proposed changes recognise that housing can form part of an acceptable mix for such sites and that some existing employment sites listed in the current Local Plan have now been regenerated, are allocated or have permission for</p>

Policy approach in the Local Plan Review: Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018)	Policy approach in Draft Local Plan (November 2019)	Policy approach in Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (May 2021)	Reasons for following the approach in the Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018) Draft Local Plan (November 2019) and Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (May 2021)
			<p>alternative uses. Further changes may be required following the completion of an Employment Land Study in 2020.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan:</b> In response to public consultation, the results of Sustainability Appraisal and further evidence gathering the following changes are proposed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• amend boundary of Key Employment Site EK17 Renishaw New Mills, Kingswood on the Policies Map to reflect completed development.</li> <li>• Remove EK20 and identify as ER10 Orchestra Works, Kingswood to reflect Employment Land Review evidence</li> <li>• Remove previously listed sites ER4 Dockyard Works, Minchinhampton and ER5 Dudbridge Industrial Estate, Cainscross to reflect implemented planning permissions</li> <li>• Include ER3 Ham Mills, a current adopted Local Plan allocation site where planning permission has now lapsed, in Delivery Policy EI2 to promote regeneration and investment through mixed use redevelopment.</li> <li>• Amend boundary of ER9 Lodgemore and Fromehall Mills on the Policies Map to correspond with Draft Plan site PS13 Central River/ canal corridor, no longer proposed for allocation, to promote regeneration of the wider site through mixed use redevelopment and investment.</li> </ul>
<p>Section 2.1 of the Emerging Strategy Paper presents the approach to the local economy and jobs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Support for co-working facilities particularly at town centres;</li> <li>• Support for the faster roll out of broadband.</li> </ul>	<p>Draft policies to emerge in Draft Local Plan from consideration of policy approach:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Policy HC2: Providing new homes above shops in our town centres</li> <li>• New Policy DHC3 Live-work development</li> <li>• Policy CP12: Town centres and retailing</li> <li>• Policy EI7: Non-retail uses in primary frontages</li> <li>• Policy EI8: Non-retail uses in secondary frontages</li> </ul>	<p>Approach remains mostly the same as in the Draft Local Plan with some wording changes to reflect the NPPF and changes to the Use Classes Order.</p>	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper:</b> To boost local economic productivity, in the light of SA findings, and reflect public consultation support for facilitating home working alongside tackling broadband provision as the major limiting factor.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan:</b> The new and amended policies seek to deliver broadly on the Emerging Strategy policy approach towards more flexible working. In particular, new policy DHC3 supports live-work development; amended policy EI12 seeks to reduce the need to travel by supporting the roll out of high speed broadband; and amended policy EI8 introduces more flexibility to support office and residential uses within secondary frontages.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan:</b> In response to public consultation, the results of Sustainability Appraisal and further evidence gathering the following changes are proposed:</p>

Policy approach in the Local Plan Review: Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018)	Policy approach in Draft Local Plan (November 2019)	Policy approach in Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (May 2021)	Reasons for following the approach in the Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018) Draft Local Plan (November 2019) and Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (May 2021)
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Policy EI12: Promoting transport choice and accessibility</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Recognise the changing role of town centres and impact of the COVID-19 pandemic</li> <li>Provide increased flexibility in the range of town centre uses outside primary shopping areas.</li> <li>Refer to support for local independent retailer investment.</li> <li>Expand CP12 to list all planned local centres.</li> <li>Amend CP12, in accordance with the NPPF, to define neighbourhood shopping as Lower-order Local Centres, include retail and leisure uses in town centres and amend sequential test to refer to out-of-centre accessible locations.</li> <li>Amend policies EI7 Primary Shopping Areas and EI8 Town Centres to reflect NPPF changes and changes to the Use Classes Order relating to E Class uses.</li> <li>Clarify the role of local centres at new garden village settlements</li> </ul>
<p>Section 2.1 of the Emerging Strategy Paper presents the approach to the local economy and jobs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Continued support for appropriate farm diversification proposals subject to environmental criteria.</li> <li>New employment together with new housing to create sustainable communities and to reduce the potential for further out commuting.</li> </ul>	<p>Draft policies to emerge in Draft Local Plan from consideration of policy approach:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Policy EI4: Development at existing employment sites in the countryside</li> <li>Policy EI5: Farm and forestry enterprise diversification</li> <li>Policy EI6: Protecting individual and village shops, public houses and other community uses</li> <li>Policy EI10: Provision of new tourism opportunities</li> <li>New Policy DES1: Conversion of redundant agricultural or forestry buildings</li> <li>Policy ES9: Equestrian development</li> </ul>	<p>Approach remains mostly the same as in the Draft Local Plan with some wording changes to reflect the NPPF.</p>	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper:</b> To reduce the net outflow of commuters and enable the tourism industry to contribute fully to economic growth, as identified in the SA, and reflect strong public consultation support for protecting rural areas and safeguarding agricultural land.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan:</b> Proposed changes to policies seek to clarify and strengthen existing policy provision, better reflect the NPPF and accompanying guidance and protect current community uses. Proposed changes to Delivery Policy EI10 recognise the need to capitalise on the benefits that tourism can bring by taking a more flexible approach towards some forms of rural tourism, particularly where proposals provide opportunities to make a location more sustainable.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan:</b> In response to public consultation, the results of Sustainability Appraisal and further evidence gathering the following changes are proposed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Renamed Delivery Policy DES1 Conversion of redundant agricultural, forestry <i>and rural buildings</i>.</li> </ul>

Policy approach in the Local Plan Review: Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018)	Policy approach in Draft Local Plan (November 2019)	Policy approach in Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (May 2021)	Reasons for following the approach in the Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018) Draft Local Plan (November 2019) and Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (May 2021)
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Policy ES12: Better design of places</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Expand ES12 to require new development to reference measures contributing to sustainable living and carbon neutrality as part of thorough site appraisal.</li> </ul>
<p>Section 2.2 in the Emerging Strategy Paper presents the approach to town centres in the District. This is to include the provision of retail floorspace needed for at least a ten year period; prioritising retail uses within primary frontages; and town centres being used to provide main retail and leisure uses as well as office uses with flexible rental arrangements. The following specific approaches are set out for the town centre locations:</p> <p><b>Stroud:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Improvement to Merrywalks shopping centre</li> <li>Redevelopment of brownfield sites</li> <li>Improvements to Stroud station</li> <li>Increased walking and cycling links to and from Stroudwater canal and the wider Stroud valleys network</li> </ul> <p><b>Nailsworth:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Pedestrian improvements to Market Street</li> </ul>	<p>Draft policies to emerge in Draft Local Plan from consideration of policy approach:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Policy HC2: Providing new homes above shops in our town centres</li> <li>Policy CP12: Town centres and retailing</li> <li>Policy EI7: Non-retail uses in primary frontages</li> <li>Policy EI8: Non-retail uses in secondary frontages</li> <li>Policy EI9: Floorspace thresholds for Retail Impact Assessments</li> <li>Policy EI11: Providing sport, leisure, recreation and cultural facilities</li> <li>Policy EI16: Provision of public transport facilities</li> <li>Policy ES11: Maintaining, restoring and regenerating the District's canals</li> <li>Policy ES16: Public art contributions</li> </ul>	<p>Approach remains mostly the same as in the Draft Local Plan with some wording changes to reflect the NPPF and changes to the Use Classes Order.</p>	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper:</b> To protect the changing economic and employment role of town centres, including tourism and leisure uses, as identified in the SA, and reflect public consultation support for an overall strategy alongside town centre specific proposals reflecting the character and function of each main town.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan:</b> The Local Plan recognises recent retail trends and puts in place a more flexible policy approach to support complimentary uses within the town centre, whilst continuing to protect the primary retail frontages for shopping. The Draft Plan mini visions, key issues and top priorities for the future identify priorities for specific town centres to promote local distinctiveness and vitality.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan:</b> In response to public consultation, the results of Sustainability Appraisal and further evidence gathering the following changes are proposed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Expand CP12 to list all planned local centres.</li> <li>Amend CP12, in accordance with the NPPF, to define neighbourhood shopping as Lower-order Local Centres, include retail and leisure uses in town centres and amend sequential test to refer to out-of-centre accessible locations.</li> <li>Amend policies EI7 Primary Shopping Areas and EI8 Town Centres to reflect NPPF changes and changes to the Use Classes Order relating to E Class uses.</li> <li>Expand EI9 to refer to retail and leisure impact assessment in accordance with the NPPF and include types of leisure development requiring Impact Assessment.</li> </ul>

Policy approach in the Local Plan Review: Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018)	Policy approach in Draft Local Plan (November 2019)	Policy approach in Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (May 2021)	Reasons for following the approach in the Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018) Draft Local Plan (November 2019) and Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (May 2021)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Redevelopment of the town square</li> <li>Improved and centrally located town council, library and tourism facility</li> <li>Improved walking and cycling links</li> </ul> <p>Dursley:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Redevelop brownfield sites</li> <li>Improve access to Market Hall</li> <li>Environmental improvements to Parsonage Street</li> <li>Increased walking and cycling links connecting Cam and Uley</li> </ul> <p>Wotton-under-Edge:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Town centre improvements facilitated by the provision of additional parking</li> <li>Greenway cycle and walking route</li> <li>Priorities set out in Community Plan Update 2016</li> </ul> <p>Stonehouse:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Improved cycling and walking links from canal to the wider Stroud valleys network</li> <li>Proposals set out in the Stonehouse Neighbourhood Plan</li> </ul>			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Amend EI9 to list all planned local centres and reflect the NPPF with regard to Lower-order Local Centres and requirements for Impact Assessment.</li> <li>Amend ES11 to refer to <i>any relevant adopted guidance</i> and include reference to the Council’s Canal Strategy and objectives.</li> </ul>
<p>As set out in Section 2.3 the Emerging Strategy will seek to deliver:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>At least 638 new homes per year for a 20 year period;</li> </ul>	<p>Draft policies to emerge in Draft Local Plan from consideration of policy approach:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Core Policy CP8: New housing development</li> </ul>	<p>Approach remains mostly the same as in the Draft Local Plan updated to reflect Pre-Submission Plan housing requirements and refer to wider evidence base issues raised.</p>	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper:</b> To deliver sufficient housing in accordance with Central Government requirements; reflect the findings of the SA report highlighting affordability and housing stock issues; and respond to public consultation support for affordable housing in its widest sense across the District to meet a range of local housing needs.</p>

Policy approach in the Local Plan Review: Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018)	Policy approach in Draft Local Plan (November 2019)	Policy approach in Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (May 2021)	Reasons for following the approach in the Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018) Draft Local Plan (November 2019) and Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (May 2021)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A mix of brownfield and greenfield allocated housing sites of varying sizes to ensure delivery is maintained throughout the plan period;</li> <li>• A proportion of affordable homes on all sites of 10 dwellings or above in urban areas and on all sites of above 5 dwellings in designated rural areas;</li> <li>• A mix of dwelling types (1 bed, 2 bed, 3 bed, 4+ bed, flats, houses, bungalows, etc.) on Local Plan housing sites in proportion to identified local needs;</li> <li>• Rural exception sites to meet local affordable needs;</li> <li>• Small scale housing in rural areas in the interests of social sustainability, subject to local community support through the preparation of neighbourhood plans.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Core Policy CP9: Affordable housing</li> <li>• Core Policy CP10: Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople Sites</li> <li>• New Policy DHC1: Meeting housing need within defined settlements</li> <li>• New Policy DHC2: Sustainable rural communities</li> <li>• Policy HC3: Self-build and custom build housing provision</li> <li>• Policy HC4: Local housing need (exception sites)</li> <li>• Policy HC1: Detailed criteria for new housing developments</li> <li>• New Policy DHC4: Community-led housing</li> <li>• Policy HC5: Replacement dwellings</li> <li>• Policy HC6: Residential sub-division of dwellings</li> <li>• Policy HC7: Annexes for dependents or carers</li> <li>• Policy HC8: Extensions to dwellings</li> </ul>		<p><b>Draft Local Plan:</b> The amended and new policies seek to deliver broadly on the Emerging Strategy policy approach to homes and communities. The policies reflect findings from the Gloucestershire Local Housing Needs Assessment, reflect changes to the NPPF, in particular relating to support for entry level homes, self build and custom build homes and the local support in Stroud District for community led housing.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan:</b> In response to public consultation, the results of Sustainability Appraisal and further evidence gathering the following changes are proposed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A need to plan for at least 630 new homes per year or 12,600 new homes over the Plan period.</li> <li>• Clarify wording relating to the housing standard method and update housing need and housing supply figures.</li> <li>• Make reference to addressing unmet housing needs from neighbouring Gloucester City.</li> <li>• Refer to adaptable and accessible homes rather than lifetime homes.</li> <li>• Refer to Council support for affordable housing providers</li> <li>• Refer to the provision of supporting infrastructure for those living within the District</li> <li>• Update glossary to reflect changes to the definition of affordable housing and independent living.</li> <li>• Update and amend CP9 to refer to the updated LHNA target requirement to meet unadjusted need for affordable housing of 424 and refer to designated rural <i>areas</i>.</li> <li>• Extend Policy DHC2 to cover Tier 3b settlements.</li> <li>• Extend Policy HC2 to support the provision of self-build and custom-build dwellings within <i>and adjacent to settlement development limits</i> and include a requirement for development briefs at strategic sites regarding the delivery and integration of self-build and custom-build plots into the wider scheme.</li> <li>• Amend HC4 to include a requirement for occupants to evidence their affordable housing need and relevant parish connection, a requirement to remain available as affordable housing for local</li> </ul>



Policy approach in the Local Plan Review: Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018)	Policy approach in Draft Local Plan (November 2019)	Policy approach in Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (May 2021)	Reasons for following the approach in the Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018) Draft Local Plan (November 2019) and Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (May 2021)
			<p>need in perpetuity in accordance with the NPPF, clarification of the maximum size for self-build and custom-build affordable dwelling size and clarification relating to market housing cross subsidy.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Amend HC1 to include consideration of the impact on Public Rights of Way, a requirement to avoid degradation to locally valued habitat and deliver biodiversity net gain and, support residential redevelopment of brownfield sites within settlement limits.</li> <li>• Amend HC5 to clarify that the policy does <i>not</i> apply to the replacement of a designated or non-designated heritage asset and to allow limited flexibility for relocation where it would have positive flood management, landscape or biodiversity benefits.</li> <li>• Amend HC6 to include provision of facilities for <i>cycle and</i> refuse storage.</li> <li>• Amend HC8 to require development to be subservient to the original dwelling and takes appropriate opportunities to enhance the <i>sustainability and</i> energy efficiency of the existing development, <i>including the use of permeable paving</i>.</li> </ul>
<p>As set out in Section 2.4 the Emerging Strategy will seek to deliver:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Policy protection for important open spaces and facilities within settlements;</li> <li>• A mapped GI network linking urban areas to wider countryside, identifying important ecological and landscape features;</li> <li>• A set of standards for local open space and facilities to guide future development;</li> <li>• Site opportunities to address shortfalls in local open space,</li> </ul>	<p>Draft policies to emerge in Draft Local Plan from consideration of policy approach:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• New Core Policy DCP1: Delivering Carbon Neutral by 2030</li> <li>• Core Policy CP6: Infrastructure and developer contributions</li> <li>• New Core Policy DCP2: Supporting Older People</li> <li>• Core Policy CP7: Lifetime communities</li> <li>• Core Policy CP13: Demand management and sustainable travel measures</li> </ul>	<p>Approach remains mostly the same as in the Draft Local Plan with the inclusion of an additional policy EI13 Protecting and extending our walking and cycling routes and some wording changes to refer to wider evidence base issues raised.</p>	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper:</b> To respond to the range of issues identified in the SA relating to the wider environment and the role of local green spaces and community facilities, and reflect strong public consultation support for their protection as well as opportunities for maximising their use and accessibility.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan:</b> The amended and new policies seek to deliver broadly on the Emerging Strategy policy approach to environmental and health and wellbeing issues. The policies reflect findings from the Local Housing Needs Assessment, the Green Infrastructure, Sport and Recreation Study, the Sustainable Transport Strategy, the Renewable Energy Study, changes to the NPPF, particularly relating to biodiversity net gain, and the Council’s recognition of Climate Emergency. The policies also reflect ongoing Council projects, including the restoration of the Cotswold canals and the development of the cycling and walking network.</p>

Policy approach in the Local Plan Review: Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018)	Policy approach in Draft Local Plan (November 2019)	Policy approach in Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (May 2021)	Reasons for following the approach in the Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018) Draft Local Plan (November 2019) and Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (May 2021)
<p>sport, recreation and community facility provision and to address shortfalls in local open space and gaps in the GI network;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Restoration of the derelict canal between Stonehouse and Saul Junction;</li> <li>• Improvements to Stonehouse to Nailsworth cycleway and creation of Cam,</li> <li>• Dursley and Uley Greenway;</li> <li>• Opportunities to address community needs in association with new development through the Community Infrastructure Levy and s106 agreements;</li> <li>• Support for the planned provision of community facilities alongside housing growth, through masterplanning of strategic and other major developments;</li> <li>• Continued protection of biodiversity, landscape and heritage areas of importance;</li> <li>• Mitigation strategy for the Cotswold Beechwoods SAC to assess and address recreational pressures.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Core Policy CP14: High quality sustainable development</li> <li>• Core Policy CP15: A quality living and working countryside</li> <li>• New Policy DHC5: Wellbeing and healthy communities</li> <li>• New Policy DHC6: Protection of existing open spaces and built and indoor sports facilities</li> <li>• New Policy DHC7: Provision of new open space and built and indoor sports facilities</li> <li>• Policy ES1: Sustainable construction and design</li> <li>• Policy ES2: Renewable or low carbon energy generation</li> <li>• New Policy DES3: Heat supply</li> <li>• Policy ES3: Maintaining quality of life within our environmental limits</li> <li>• Policy ES4: Water resources, quality and flood risk</li> <li>• Policy ES5: Air quality</li> <li>• Policy ES6: Providing for biodiversity and geodiversity</li> <li>• Policy ES7: Landscape character</li> <li>• Policy ES8: Trees, hedgerows and woodlands</li> <li>• Policy ES10: Valuing our historic environment and assets</li> <li>• New Policy DES2: Green Infrastructure</li> </ul>		<p>The approach of Policy ES2 and Policy DES3 reflect changes in the NPPF and have been recommended as part of the Renewable Energy Assessment of the District to strengthen the Local Plan's policies for achieving Carbon Neutral 2030.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan:</b> In response to public consultation, the results of Sustainability Appraisal and further evidence gathering the following changes are proposed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• New Delivery Policy EI13 Protecting and extending our walking and cycling routes to provide policy support for the development and extension of the walking and cycling network and associated infrastructure, connecting new and existing development through active travel and safeguarding existing and identified proposed walking and cycling routes.</li> <li>• Amend DCP1 to include role of GI in nature recovery, require development to be designed to reduce waste and promote a circular economy and, locate development to reduce the risk of flooding and establish resilient ecological networks.</li> <li>• Amend CP6 to require the delivery of a Community Infrastructure Levy towards the provision of infrastructure to support the development strategy and include additional clarification of the mechanisms and approach in the supporting text.</li> <li>• Extend the scope of Delivery Policy DCP2 to Supporting Older People <i>and People with Mobility Issues</i> and include LHNA modelled demand for older persons, independent living and extra care accommodation and, LHNA requirements for market and affordable, accessible and adaptable homes.</li> <li>• Revise Core Policy CP7 <i>Inclusive Communities</i></li> <li>• Amend CP14 to avoid development in areas of floodrisk and include reference to the resilience of ecological networks.</li> <li>• Extend CP15 to allow for exceptional residential development of up to 9 dwellings at a designated Tier 3b,4a or 4b settlement, <i>to meet identified needs or address demographic imbalances</i> and where it is supported by the local community, and amend criteria v) in line with NPPF requirements for the reuse of rural buildings.</li> </ul>

Policy approach in the Local Plan Review: Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018)	Policy approach in Draft Local Plan (November 2019)	Policy approach in Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (May 2021)	Reasons for following the approach in the Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018) Draft Local Plan (November 2019) and Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (May 2021)
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Amend DHC5 to refer to specific requirements for food and active travel measures.</li> <li>• Strengthen DHC6 to include protection from the <i>devaluation of or loss of accessibility</i> to open space, outdoor recreation and sports facilities.</li> <li>• Amend DHC7 to reflect correct Total open space for new provision standard of 3.92ha/1,000 population and clarify alternative financial contribution measures in relation to all development.</li> <li>• Amend ES1 to refer to <i>incorporating water efficiency and water reuse measures</i> as part of conserving water resources.</li> <li>• Amend ES2 to clarify <i>Ground-mounted solar developments are more likely to be supported if they fall within Landscape character areas of lower sensitivity to the relevant development scale.</i></li> <li>• Strengthen ES6 to include impact on highway safety <i>including Public Rights of Way</i> and an additional criteria <i>8.loss of healthy soils and in particular to the best and most versatile agricultural land and the economic and other benefits it offers.</i></li> <li>• Amend ES4 to support the consideration of flood risk at an early stage in deciding the design and layout of a site, to maximise opportunity to reduce flood risk, and include additional criteria relating to opening up culverted watercourses to create an asset of <i>ecological and community value</i> and <i>7. Consider measures to help bring the waterbody to a good ecological status</i>, in accordance with the Water Framework Directive.</li> <li>• Amend ES6 to specify the requirement that development proposals shall provide a <i>minimum of 10%</i> net gain in biodiversity</li> <li>• Strengthen ES7 to include reference to <i>the conservation and enhancement of the natural and special qualities of the AONB, including landscape quality / landscape beauty, tranquillity; dark skies; natural heritage and cultural heritage. Proposals that are likely to impact on, or create change in, the landscape of the Cotswolds AONB should have regard to, be compatible with and reinforce the landscape character of the</i></li> </ul>

Policy approach in the Local Plan Review: Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018)	Policy approach in Draft Local Plan (November 2019)	Policy approach in Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (May 2021)	Reasons for following the approach in the Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018) Draft Local Plan (November 2019) and Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (May 2021)
			<p><i>location</i>, in accordance with the Cotswolds AONB Management Plan, policies, guidance and advice.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Strengthen ES7 in relation to all locations for protection of the quality and diversity of the landscape character <i>for its own intrinsic beauty and for its benefit to economic, environmental and social wellbeing.</i></li> <li>• Amend ES8 to reflect the aims of the emerging Local Nature Partnership Tree Strategy and explicitly require that there <i>should be no net loss of hedgerows as they form a key component of local ecological networks and ecosystem services.</i></li> <li>• Strengthen ES10 in relation to deliberate neglect or damage to a heritage asset.</li> </ul>
<p>The Vision to 2040 is set out in Section 3.1 and this is supported by the 'Mini Visions' for the Stroud Valleys, The Stroud Valleys, Cam &amp; Dursley, Gloucester's rural fringe, Berkeley Cluster, Severn Cluster, Wotton Cluster and Cotswold Cluster all of which are contained in Section 5 Making Places.</p>	<p>Draft policies to emerge in Draft Local Plan from consideration of policy approach:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Draft vision to 2040: "Regeneration, creativity and cultural blossoming in the green valleys..."</li> <li>• Draft site allocations for individual settlements (reasons for selection of specific site options is addressed in Table A9.2, below)</li> <li>• Mini-Visions for the Stroud Valleys, The Stroud Valleys, Cam &amp; Dursley, Gloucester's rural fringe, Berkeley Cluster, Severn Cluster, Wotton Cluster and Cotswold Cluster</li> <li>• Core Policy CP4: Place Making</li> </ul>	<p>Approach remains the same as in the Draft Local Plan.</p>	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper:</b> The Vision has been updated to reflect SA objectives identified in the SA scoping report and the revised Mini Visions for each cluster area (see Section 5) incorporating the views of Parish Council representatives in each Parish Cluster group.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan:</b> The Vision has been amended since the Emerging Strategy to reflect issues raised during the Emerging Strategy public consultation process. These include: making references to Carbon Neutral 2030; travelling in sustainable ways; reflecting on employment growth sectors, supporting older people and providing opportunities for young people and the needs of villages and rural communities.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan:</b> In response to public consultation, the results of Sustainability Appraisal and further evidence gathering the following changes are proposed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• factual changes relating to the provision of facilities and services referred to in the mini-visions.</li> <li>• Additional wording added to the mini-vision for the Severn Vale to highlight the opening of the Cotswold Canal and the enhanced role of the Gloucester and Sharpness Canal as a multifunctional GI asset, linking settlements, attracting tourism and providing health and well-being benefits.</li> </ul>

Policy approach in the Local Plan Review: Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018)	Policy approach in Draft Local Plan (November 2019)	Policy approach in Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (May 2021)	Reasons for following the approach in the Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018) Draft Local Plan (November 2019) and Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (May 2021)
<p>Strategic Objective SO1: Accessible communities</p> <p>Maintaining and improving accessibility to services and amenities, with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Healthcare for all residents</li> <li>• Affordable and decent housing for local needs</li> <li>• Active social, leisure and recreation opportunities</li> <li>• Youth and adult learning opportunities</li> </ul>	<p>Amended from Emerging Strategy Paper as follows:</p> <p>SO1: Accessible communities</p> <p>Maintaining and improving accessibility to services and amenities, with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Affordable and quality housing for local needs</li> <li>• Healthcare for all residents</li> <li>• Active social, leisure and recreation opportunities</li> <li>• Youth and adult learning opportunities</li> </ul>	<p>Approach remains the same as in the Draft Local Plan.</p>	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper:</b> To reflect SA framework objectives and address the key issues identified through public consultation to deliver sustainable development.</p> <p>The Emerging Strategy includes new Strategic Objective SO1a promoting healthy and safe communities, in line with the NPPF, to deliver wider health and well being objectives as part of planned development.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan:</b> The Strategic objectives have been refined to better reflect Draft Plan key issues and priorities and to reflect the results of public consultation. Specific changes to the strategic objectives include, for homes and communities, promoting quality homes and supporting the elderly and vulnerable. For economy and infrastructure, supporting and recognising existing businesses and encouraging new opportunities and prioritising rather than simply promoting healthy alternatives to the use of the private car. For environment and surroundings, reducing our carbon footprint rather than simply mitigating the effects of climate change and prioritising rather than facilitating the use of sustainable modes of transport.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan:</b> In response to public consultation, the results of Sustainability Appraisal and further evidence gathering the following changes are proposed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Include the role of the development strategy in maintaining and enhancing the green infrastructure network as part of Strategic Objective SO5: Climate change and environmental limits</li> </ul>
<p>Strategic Objective SO1a: Healthy, inclusive and safe communities</p> <p>Developing communities that support healthy lifestyles, promote social interaction, ensure public safety and reduce the fear of crime.</p>	<p>Amended from Emerging Strategy Paper as follows:</p> <p>SO1a: Healthy, inclusive and safe communities</p> <p>Developing communities that enable healthy lifestyles; promote social interaction; support the elderly, the young and the vulnerable; ensure public safety and reduce the fear of crime.</p>	<p>Approach remains the same as in the Draft Local Plan.</p>	
<p>Strategic Objective SO2: Local economy and jobs</p> <p>Providing for a strong, diverse, vibrant local economy that enables balanced economic growth, coupled with enhancing skills and job opportunities across the District.</p>	<p>Amended from Emerging Strategy Paper as follows:</p> <p>SO2: Local economy and jobs</p> <p>Providing for a strong, diverse, vibrant local economy that supports existing businesses and encourages new enterprise – enabling balanced economic growth, coupled with enhancing skills and job opportunities across the District.</p>	<p>Approach remains the same as in the Draft Local Plan.</p>	
<p>Strategic Objective SO3: Town centres and rural hinterlands</p> <p>Improving the safety, vitality and viability of our town centres, which</p>	<p>Carried forward to the Draft Local Plan: Town centres and rural hinterlands</p>	<p>Approach remains the same as in the Draft Local Plan.</p>	

Policy approach in the Local Plan Review: Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018)	Policy approach in Draft Local Plan (November 2019)	Policy approach in Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (May 2021)	Reasons for following the approach in the Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018) Draft Local Plan (November 2019) and Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (May 2021)
link to and support the needs of their rural hinterlands.			
<p>Strategic Objective SO4: Transport and travel</p> <p>Promoting healthier alternatives to the use of the private car and seeking to reduce CO2 emissions by using new technologies, active travel and/or smarter choices, working towards a more integrated transport system to improve access to local goods and services.</p>	<p>Carried forward to the Draft Local Plan: Transport and travel</p>	<p>Approach remains the same as in the Draft Local Plan.</p>	
<p>Strategic Objective SO5: Climate Change and environmental limits</p> <p>Promoting a development strategy that mitigates global warming, adapts to climate change and respects our environmental limits by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Securing energy efficiency through building design</li> <li>• Maximising the re-use of buildings and recycling of building materials</li> <li>• Minimising the amount of waste produced and seeking to recover energy</li> <li>• Promoting the use of appropriately located brownfield land</li> <li>• Supporting a pattern of development that facilitates the use of sustainable modes of transport</li> </ul>	<p>Amended from Emerging Strategy Paper as follows:</p> <p>SO5: Climate Change and environmental limits</p> <p>Promoting a development strategy that reduces our District’s carbon footprint, adapts to climate change and respects our environmental limits by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Securing zero carbon development through building design</li> <li>• Maximising the re-use of buildings and recycling of building materials</li> <li>• Minimising the amount of waste produced and seeking to recover energy</li> <li>• Promoting the use of appropriately located brownfield land</li> <li>• Supporting a pattern of development that prioritises</li> </ul>	<p>Approach remains mostly the same as in the Draft Local Plan. Change to priority issue wording to include additional bullet point:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Maintaining and enhancing the green infrastructure network</i></li> </ul>	

Policy approach in the Local Plan Review: Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018)	Policy approach in Draft Local Plan (November 2019)	Policy approach in Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (May 2021)	Reasons for following the approach in the Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018) Draft Local Plan (November 2019) and Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (May 2021)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Minimising and mitigating against future flood risks, recycling water resources and protecting and enhancing the quality of our District's surface and groundwater resources</li> </ul>	<p>the use of sustainable modes of transport</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Minimising and mitigating against future flood risks, recycling water resources and protecting and enhancing the quality of our District's surface and groundwater resources</li> </ul>		
Strategic Objective SO6: Our District's distinctive qualities Conserving and enhancing Stroud District's distinctive qualities, based on landscape, heritage, townscape and biodiversity	Carried forward to the Draft Local Plan: Our District's distinctive qualities	Approach remains the same as in the Draft Local Plan.	

Policy approach in the Local Plan Review: Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018)	Policy approach in Draft Local Plan (November 2019)	Policy approach in Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (May 2021)	Reasons for following the approach in the Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018) Draft Local Plan (November 2019) and Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (May 2021)
<p>As set out in Section 4.1 a hybrid approach to the Emerging Growth Strategy is proposed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Distribution of at least 12,800 additional dwellings and sufficient new employment land to meet needs for the next 20 years.</li> <li>• Development concentrated mostly at main towns of Cam and Dursley, Stonehouse and Stroud.</li> <li>• Housing and employment growth will also be centred at two new settlements at Sharpness and at Wisloe within the Severn Vale (A38/M5 corridor) where there is the potential to create new sustainable communities.</li> <li>• Further strategic employment growth will be concentrated at accessible locations within the A38/M5 corridor.</li> <li>• Modest levels of growth will be delivered at the local service centres of Berkeley, Minchinhampton, Nailsworth and Painswick.</li> <li>• Small amounts of growth will be delivered at the villages of Brimscombe, Kings Stanley, Kingswood, Leonard Stanley, North Woodchester and Thrupp.</li> </ul>	<p>Draft policies to emerge in Draft Local Plan from consideration of policy approach:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Core Policy CP2: Strategic growth and development locations</li> <li>• Core Policy CP3: Settlement hierarchy</li> <li>• Core Policy CP5: Environmental development principles for strategic sites</li> <li>• CP11: New employment development</li> <li>• Policy EI2a: Former Berkeley Power Station</li> <li>• New Policy DEI1: District-wide mode-specific strategies</li> <li>• Policy EI14: Provision and protection of rail stations and halts</li> <li>• Policy EI15: Protection of freight facilities at Sharpness Docks</li> </ul>	<p>Approach remains mostly the same as in the Draft Local Plan updated to reflect Pre-Submission Plan housing and employment requirements, increased capacity at strategic development sites to make most efficient use of land in accordance with the NPPF and refer to wider evidence base issues raised.</p>	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper:</b> In accordance with SA recommendations for a hybrid strategy capitalising on the overall sustainability benefits of Option 1, the environmental benefits of Option 4 and potential social benefits of Option 2. The hybrid approach reflects the results of the high level transport assessment which identified both Options 1 and 2 as highly sustainable, with Option 1 the most sustainable and most likely to benefit from the existing passenger network. Option 4 was identified as offering opportunities to provide non car based alternatives. Option 3 was found to be the least sustainable in terms of the location of planned growth. The hybrid growth strategy also reflects strong public support for Option 1 whilst incorporating elements of Options 2, 3 and 4 and providing future strategic employment growth along the M5 corridor.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan:</b> In response to additional evidence and public comments, the Draft Local Plan includes changes to: confirm our approach to helping to address Gloucester’s unmet needs; include additional allocations at Tier 3a settlements; include more opportunities for organic growth at some of our smaller tier 4a and 4b settlements; and remove potential sites that may have an adverse impact upon the AONB and its setting. However, the broad Emerging Strategy hybrid approach of concentrating growth at the main towns and larger villages and realising the potential for one or two new settlements is maintained as the most sustainable approach given the scale of growth required by the Government. An Economic Needs Assessment has been commissioned to look further at employment levels of growth required and locations to address the needs of key sectors. Detailed transport modelling of strategic growth locations is underway and whilst sustainable forms of transport will be prioritised, the impacts on the road network will need to be taken into consideration before the Local Plan development strategy can be finalised in 2020.</p>



			<p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan:</b> In response to public consultation, the results of Sustainability Appraisal and further evidence gathering the following changes are proposed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A refined hybrid strategy in accordance with sustainability appraisal and transport assessment work which includes support for the regeneration of the canal corridor through the Stroud Valleys and at Sharpness and builds upon the adopted Local Plan development strategy.</li> <li>• Amended CP2 concentrating most growth at strategic locations maximising the use of infrastructure and minimising the need to travel with increased capacity at strategic sites with a good fit to the development strategy to make most efficient use of land and maximise sustainability and infrastructure benefits.</li> <li>• Amended local development sites to reduce the amount of development proposed within the AONB, ensuring that development is justified by local needs, constitutes minor development and is located on land of less than the highest sensitivity to development and supplemented by three new sites, at Berkeley, Hardwicke and Stroud included in the Additional Housing Options Consultation October 2020.</li> <li>• Additional opportunities for dispersed small scale growth at smaller towns and larger villages to address local needs, through other policies in the Plan including settlement development limit changes, regeneration of previously used sites to maximise brownfield land opportunities, further opportunities for small scale development on the edge of Tier 3b settlements and affordable housing to meet local needs and ensure a good mix of sites to meet the housing requirement in accordance with the NPPF.</li> <li>• Amended CP2 safeguards G2 Land at Whaddon for 3,000 homes as the most appropriate site within Stroud District to help meet Gloucester’s unmet needs should the site be required and provided it is in accordance with the Joint Core Strategy Review strategy.</li> <li>• Amended CP2 to provide 12,600 additional dwellings and at least 72 hectares of additional employment land to meet and exceed minimum requirements for the period 2020 – 2040.</li> <li>• Amended CP2 to include additional strategic level growth at Javelin Park (27ha) to meet identified need for the logistics/ distribution sector together with other policies in the Plan</li> </ul>
--	--	--	--

Policy approach in the Local Plan Review: Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018)	Policy approach in Draft Local Plan (November 2019)	Policy approach in Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (May 2021)	Reasons for following the approach in the Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018) Draft Local Plan (November 2019) and Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (May 2021)
			<p>supporting additional employment growth at accessible locations within A38/ M5 corridor, mixed use redevelopment investment, increased flexibility for town centre uses, rural diversification and tourism opportunities.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Strengthen support within the strategy for sustainable transport infrastructure improvements, including new interchanges at growth locations, new rail stations at Stonehouse and Sharpness and improvements to existing stations.</li> <li>• Strengthen support for enhancing the beauty of the AONB landscape, but reflect the need to support the social well-being of communities through housing where justified to meet local needs.</li> </ul>
<p>Section 4.4 contains the approach to managing growth in relation to settlement boundaries in the District:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Housing, employment and community uses within existing settlement development limits, updated, where appropriate, to reflect physical changes since they were last reviewed and to better reflect their intended function</li> <li>• Some limited development beyond settlement development limits as set out in the Emerging Strategy, subject to satisfying detailed environmental and design criteria.</li> </ul>	<p>Draft policies to emerge in Draft Local Plan from consideration of policy approach:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Core Policy CP3: Settlement Hierarchy</li> <li>• New Policy DHC1: Meeting housing need within defined settlements</li> <li>• New Policy DHC2: Sustainable rural communities</li> <li>• Policy HC3: Self build and custom build housing provision</li> <li>• Policy HC4: Local housing need (exception sites)</li> <li>• New Policy DHC3: Live-work development</li> <li>• Policy HC1: Detailed criteria for new housing development</li> <li>• New Policy DHC4: Community-led housing</li> <li>• Policy HC5: Replacement dwellings</li> <li>• Policy HC7: Annexes for dependents and carers</li> </ul>	<p>Approach remains mostly the same as in the Draft Local Plan updated to reflect changes to clarify level of growth appropriate to Tier 3a settlements, changes to DHC2 to support additional dispersal at Tier 3b settlements to meet local needs, Pre-Submission Plan mapped changes to settlement development limits and reference to wider evidence base issues raised.</p>	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper:</b> The SA considered that continuing with the current Local Plan approach offers the best environmental protection but may have minor negative effects on housing and economy objectives if proposals for development outside of settlement development limits are prevented from coming forward.</p> <p>Public consultation indicated strongest support for the assessment of development proposals on a case by case basis.</p> <p>The Emerging Strategy proposes a hybrid approach to update settlement development limits where required and to allow increased flexibility in the type of development allowed beyond settlement development limits whilst seeking to minimise potential negative environmental impacts through tighter criteria (to be developed at policy drafting stage).</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan:</b></p> <p>The Draft Plan includes further revisions to the settlement hierarchy. to settlement development limits and to the suite of housing policies that support and manage growth at the settlements. This revised approach responds to NPPF support for entry level homes, self build and custom build homes and local support in Stroud District for community led housing and consultation responses for more flexibility but recognises that settlement development limits are a well established planning tool with broad support and environmental and sustainability benefits.</p>

Policy approach in the Local Plan Review: Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018)	Policy approach in Draft Local Plan (November 2019)	Policy approach in Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (May 2021)	Reasons for following the approach in the Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018) Draft Local Plan (November 2019) and Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (May 2021)
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Policy HC8: Extension to dwellings</li> <li>• Policy EI12: Promoting transport choice and accessibility</li> </ul>		<p>In response to public consultation, the Draft Local Plan proposes to keep lower tier settlements (described as “Tier 4” and “Tier 5” in the current Local Plan) within the hierarchy and to retain settlement development limits for all settlements. However, it is proposed to widen the type of development that can be developed outside but adjacent to settlement development limits to meet specific needs and for these developments, to define detailed criteria to manage development and to maintain accessibility to services. For example, responding to the SA of the Emerging Strategy and to local communities’ feedback, the Draft Local Plan recognises that some very limited housing development may be justifiable at some lower tier settlements, to ensure they remain viable and accessible communities, and to combat social exclusion. However, criteria seek to limit the impacts of cumulative growth at small settlements by restricting the number of new dwellings to no more than 10% of the total dwellings existing within the settlement at the start of the Plan period.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan:</b> The Council considers the settlement hierarchy will help to deliver sustainable development and is in conformity with national policy. The evidence base for the settlement hierarchy is considered to be sufficiently broad to assess the roles and functionality of the District’s settlements, relative to each other, and no changes to individual settlements’ classification is proposed. However, in response to public consultation, the results of Sustainability Appraisal and further evidence gathering, the following changes are proposed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Amend CP3 to clarify role and level of growth at Tier 3a and Tier 3b settlements, in association with amended DHC2 to extend the principle of allowing small schemes of up to 9 dwellings outside SDLs to Tier 3b settlements as well as Tier 4 providing a more balanced development strategy for Tier 3b settlements, and refer to appropriate Tier 3a or Tier 3b classification throughout the Plan.</li> </ul>

Policy approach in the Local Plan Review: Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018)	Policy approach in Draft Local Plan (November 2019)	Policy approach in Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (May 2021)	Reasons for following the approach in the Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018) Draft Local Plan (November 2019) and Pre-submission Draft Local Plan (May 2021)
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Amend CP3 to delete reference to some Tier 3a settlements outside the AONB potentially having scope to help meet the housing needs of more constrained Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlements.</li> <li>• In supporting text, clarify relationship between the settlement hierarchy and the development strategy's distribution of growth to sustainable locations.</li> <li>• Add supporting text to clarify the envisaged role and function of Hunts Grove 'anticipated' Tier 2 Local Service Centre and in Chapter 3 Making Places clarify the envisaged character, role and function of the planned new settlements and how they will sit within the Local Plan settlement hierarchy, once complete, as a new Tier 2 settlement at Sharpness and Tier 3a settlement at Wisloe.</li> <li>• Additional settlement development limits at Whitminster, Kingswood, South Woodchester and Coaley to reflect the extent of built development.</li> </ul>

**Table A9.2 Stroud District Council's audit trail of site options and reasons for including sites in Local Plan Review Emerging Strategy Paper, Draft Local Plan and Pre-submission Draft Local Plan**

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
<b>Residential sites</b>							
<i>Berkeley</i>							
Garage court r/o Marybrook Street car park	BER002		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be appropriate subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available. Should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. It is not proposed at this stage to allocate small sites with a net capacity of less than 10 dwellings.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is below the threshold for allocation in the Draft Plan. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Former Berkeley hospital site	BER003		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has received planning permission for residential development.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site has now been developed.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above.</p>
Land to the rear of Canonbury Street	BER004		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has received planning permission for residential development.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is now being developed.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above.</p>
Land off Fitzhardinge Way	BER005	BER A	Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above.
Land north of Berkeley and south west of bypass	BER006	BER B	Yes	Yes	Yes – as mixed use	Yes – as mixed use (PS33)	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 2 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for modest growth at Local Service Centres. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The site is located at Berkeley, a Tier 2 settlement, and would be in accordance with the development strategy. The site performs better than alternative locations around the settlement edge in terms of landscape sensitivity. Whilst there are constraints, including flood risk, development will provide a landscaped park to include flood risk attenuation and enhancements to support local biodiversity particularly connections to The Fishers woodland north of the site. The site will provide walking and cycling routes connecting to the local network and is close to main bus routes into Berkeley centre. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.
Land between B4066 and Station Road	BER011	BER C	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<p>strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above.</p>
Land East of Berkeley Heath Motors filling station	BER014		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site did not meet the SALA requirement for the location of sites no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above.</p>
Land attached to Foxfield House	BER015		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of housing growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above.</p>
Hook Street Farm, Lynch Road, Berkeley	BER016		Yes	No	No	Yes – as mixed use (BER016/17 Land at Lynch Road)  (site identified through the Additional Housing Options consultation (October 2020))	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. The site was identified as a potential site in the Additional Housing Options Consultation Paper October 2020.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the combined site BER016/17 Land at Lynch Road, Berkeley is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The site is located at Berkeley, a Tier 2 settlement, and would be in accordance with the development strategy. Whilst there are constraints, including potential flood risk and the</p>

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							need to integrate the development into the landscape which will need to be addressed at the planning application stage, there are no overriding constraints preventing allocation. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.
Bevans Hill Farm, Lynch Road, Berkeley	BER017		Yes	No	No	Yes – as mixed use (BER016/17 Land at Lynch Road)  (site identified through the Additional Housing Options consultation (October 2020))	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. The site was identified as a potential site in the Additional Housing Options Consultation Paper October 2020.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the combined site BER016/17 Land at Lynch Road, Berkeley is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The site is located at Berkeley, a Tier 2 settlement, and would be in accordance with the development strategy. Whilst there are constraints, including potential flood risk and the need to integrate the development into the landscape which will need to be addressed at the planning application stage, there are no overriding constraints preventing allocation. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.</p>
<i>Bisley</i>							
Graduate Gardeners (shown Graduate)	BIS001	BIS A	Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> Although the SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, the site is actively used by a thriving business and there is no evidence to suggest that any suitable land will be made available for re-development in the future. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site has been promoted as available for development. However, the site is located at a Tier 3b settlement and is not in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's Tier 1</p>



Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							main towns and two new sustainable settlements together with modest growth at Tier 2 local service centres and lesser levels of growth at Tier 3a accessible settlements with local facilities. It is not therefore proposed to allocate the site for development at this stage. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above.
Land off Calfway Lane	BIS002	BIS A	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of concentrating most development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest levels of growth at tier 2 settlements and lesser levels of development at tier 3a settlements. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 3b settlement and is not in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's Tier 1 main towns and two new sustainable settlements together with modest growth at Tier 2 local service centres and lesser levels of growth at Tier 3a accessible settlements with local facilities. It is not therefore proposed to allocate the site for development at this stage. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site has not been taken forward in the Pre-submission Plan for the reasons stated above.
<i>Brimscombe</i>							
Land off Dalloway	BRI004		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, narrowing the gap between Thrupp and Brimscombe and having a detrimental impact on the landcover pattern of the valley slopes. The site also slopes steeply making it physically difficult to develop. There are therefore potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land north-east of	BRI005		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
London Road							<p>landscape impact, narrowing the gap between Thrupp and Brimscombe and having a detrimental impact on the landcover pattern of the valley slopes. The site also slopes steeply making it physically difficult to develop. There are therefore potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above  <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land south of Bourne Lane	BRI007		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, having a detrimental impact on the landcover pattern of the valley slopes, the character and appearance of the area and the natural landscape beauty of the AONB. The site also slopes steeply making it physically difficult to develop. There are therefore potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above  <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Brimscombe Mills & Mill Pond	BRI008	BRI A	Yes	Yes – as mixed use	Yes – as mixed used	Yes – as mixed use (PS01)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is an existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site is located at a Tier 3a settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for lesser levels of growth at accessible settlements with local facilities. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> : Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The site is allocated in the current adopted Local Plan and is located within the Stroud Valleys canal corridor where regeneration of this brownfield site would be in accordance with the development strategy. Whilst there are constraints such as heritage assets and potential flood risk which will need to be addressed at the planning application</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							stage, there are no overriding constraints preventing allocation. The Council is actively progressing the regeneration of the adjacent site at Brimscombe Port and the restoration of the adjacent Thames and Severn Canal. The site is for sale and there is a reasonable expectation that the site will be taken forward during the lifetime of the Plan.
Brimscombe Farm	BRI010	BRI D	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Dockyard Works	BRI011	BRI C	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is allocated in the Local Plan for mixed use redevelopment. The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be appropriate subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether the site remains available for redevelopment. Should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Val D'Or Works	BRI012	BRI C	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is allocated in the Local Plan for mixed use redevelopment. The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be appropriate subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether the site remains available for redevelopment. Should the site

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Queens Court	BRI013		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may be suitable and available for development in the future subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land North of Queens Court	BRI014		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because the western play area / amenity land is important to the character of the settlement and the eastern part is identified as an asset of community value for its use as allotment gardens. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land east of Toadsmoor Road	BRI015		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact and impact on the conservation area. The steep slopes and lack of a suitable access also make development unfeasible without significant works. There are therefore potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Land at Gussage Mill	BRI016		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact and impact on the conservation area. The watercourse and adjacent land is within flood zone 3. There are therefore potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land at Bowbridge Lock	BRI017		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because it constitutes a valuable wooded area with amenity and heritage value. The site also has physical constraints relating to vehicular access and flood risk. There are therefore constraints/potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Quarry Hill Farm Fields	BRI019		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, eroding the green gap between Stroud and Thrupp and adversely impacting upon the setting of the Cotswold AONB. The majority of the site slopes steeply and there are no obvious highway access points. There are therefore potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Field on the east side of London Road	BRI020		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, eroding the green gap between Stroud and Thrupp and adversely impacting upon the setting of the Cotswold AONB. The site slopes steeply making development and access difficult. There are therefore potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Lakeside Depot	BRI022	BRI A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes – as mixed use (PS01)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is an existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site is located at a Tier 3a settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for lesser levels of growth at accessible settlements with local facilities. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The site is allocated in the current adopted Local Plan and is located within the Stroud Valleys canal corridor where regeneration of this brownfield site would be in accordance with the development strategy. Whilst there are constraints such as heritage assets and potential flood risk which will need to be addressed at the planning application stage, there are no overriding constraints preventing allocation. The Council is actively progressing the regeneration of the adjacent site at Brimscombe Port and the restoration of the adjacent Thames and Severn Canal. The site is for sale and there is a reasonable expectation that the site will be taken forward during the lifetime of the Plan.</p>
<i>Brookthorpe</i>							
Land south east of Pear Tree Cottage	BRO001		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of strategic growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
<i>Cam</i>							
Cam Sports Club, Everlands	CAM001		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because the site is identified in the Local Plan as Protected Open Space, provides</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							important, well used recreational and sporting facilities and makes a significant contribution to local amenity. Development is likely to result in harm or loss to that interest. There are therefore constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Upthorpe Farm	CAM003		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact; highly visible rising up the slopes, would erode the separation between Cam and Upthorpe, and be visible in key views to and from Peaked Down and the AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
14 St. George's Close	CAM004		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. Development would have adverse effects due to visibility, setting and key views to and from Peaked Down, Cam Long Down and the wider AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land east of Old Court	CAM005		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact; encroaching into the green buffer, including well used public footpaths, between the AONB and the main settlement of Cam. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land south of Old Court	CAM006		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact; encroaching into the green buffer, including well used public footpaths, between the AONB and the main settlement of Cam. Development would adversely change the character of the valley sides and would be inappropriate. There are therefore potential

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre- submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at Norman Hill playing fields	CAM007		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because it provides important, well used recreational and sporting facilities, constitutes an important open space within the wider built up area and contributes to breaking up the built up massing of Cam and Dursley. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land adjacent to Tiltdown House	CAM008		Yes	Yes	Yes (part) – as mixed use	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 1 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site has received outline planning permission for residential development and will now deliver local housing growth as a residential commitment not requiring allocation.
4 Tiltdown/ Indoor & Outdoor, Tiltdown	CAM009		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be acceptable however there is no evidence that the site is currently available or likely to become available in the future. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land off Elstub Lane	CAM010		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, highly visible as part of the escarpment footslopes, helping to separate Cam from the M5, and fulfilling a recreational role on the edge of the settlement. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.



Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land parcel to south west of Manor Close	CAM011	CAM A	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land west of Draycott Crescent	CAM013	CAM B	Yes	Yes	Yes – as mixed use	Yes – as mixed use (PS24)	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 1 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating strategic growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that this site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan with increased capacity to make most efficient use of land. This urban extension to Cam, a Tier 1 settlement, is a good fit with the development strategy and will contribute to the District's housing needs at a location which maximises the potential for residents to use active travel and public transport to access jobs, services and facilities. The site performs relatively well in the Sustainability Appraisal against reasonable alternatives, using a range of sustainability objectives. The Habitats Regulation

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							Assessment has not identified any issues or impacts on biodiversity sites which are not capable of mitigation. Whilst there are constraints, including proximity to the M5 motorway and visibility from the Cotswolds escarpment, these are capable of mitigation through layout and design measures. The location of the railway and M5, together with on-site strategic landscaping will ensure there is no physical or visual threat to coalescence with Wisloe or nearby settlements. Conformity with the Cam NDP design code will ensure integration with the rest of Cam. There are positive benefits related to proximity to Cam & Dursley station, employment at Draycott and local services and facilities at Cam local centre, with the development providing opportunities to improve walking and cycling links within the local area and to connect to bus services along the A4135 and A38. The transport assessment work has identified no major issues and opportunities for sustainable transport measures and modest highway mitigation works to address traffic and safety issues. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan has identified no major issues which cannot be addressed. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.
Land west of Cam and Dursley Train Station	CAM015	CAM C	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land north east of Box	CAM016	CAM C	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Road Avenue							development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site has received planning permission for residential development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land east of Court House Gardens	CAM021	CAM E	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. Part of the site is assessed separately as CAM E below. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Street Farm	CAM022		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact; encroaching into the green buffer, including well used public footpaths, between the AONB and the main settlement of Cam. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land adjacent to Orchard Leaze	CAM023		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact; open to view and helping to separate Cam from the M5, including well used public footpaths. Access to the site, from Orchard Leaze, is off a single width access lane. There are therefore physical impacts

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							and potential constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at Bowlers Lea	CAM024		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. Development on the slopes would impinge on their function as backcloth to the settlement as well as potentially being highly visible to the wider countryside and the M5 to the north. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land NW Cam	CAM025	CAM B	Yes	Yes	Yes – as mixed use	Yes – as mixed use (PS24)	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 1 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating strategic growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that this site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan with increased capacity to make most efficient use of land. This urban extension to Cam, a Tier 1 settlement, is a good fit with the development strategy and will contribute to the District's housing needs at a location which maximises the potential for residents to use active travel and public transport to access jobs, services and facilities. The site performs relatively well in the Sustainability Appraisal against reasonable alternatives, using a range of sustainability objectives. The Habitats Regulation Assessment has not identified any issues or impacts on biodiversity sites which are not capable of mitigation. Whilst there are constraints, including proximity to the

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							M5 motorway and visibility from the Cotswolds escarpment, these are capable of mitigation through layout and design measures. The location of the railway and M5, together with on-site strategic landscaping will ensure there is no physical or visual threat to coalescence with Wisloe or nearby settlements. Conformity with the Cam NDP design code will ensure integration with the rest of Cam. There are positive benefits related to proximity to Cam & Dursley station, employment at Draycott and local services and facilities at Cam local centre, with the development providing opportunities to improve walking and cycling links within the local area and to connect to bus services along the A4135 and A38. The transport assessment work has identified no major issues and opportunities for sustainable transport measures and modest highway mitigation works to address traffic and safety issues. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan has identified no major issues which cannot be addressed. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.
Land west of A4135 Draycott	CAM026	CAM B	Yes	Yes	Yes (part) – as mixed use	Yes – as mixed use (PS24)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 1 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating strategic growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that this site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan with increased capacity to make most efficient use of land. This urban extension to Cam, a Tier 1 settlement, is a good fit with the development strategy and will contribute to the District's housing needs at a location which maximises the</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							potential for residents to use active travel and public transport to access jobs, services and facilities. The site performs relatively well in the Sustainability Appraisal against reasonable alternatives, using a range of sustainability objectives. The Habitats Regulation Assessment has not identified any issues or impacts on biodiversity sites which are not capable of mitigation. Whilst there are constraints, including proximity to the M5 motorway and visibility from the Cotswolds escarpment, these are capable of mitigation through layout and design measures. The location of the railway and M5, together with on-site strategic landscaping will ensure there is no physical or visual threat to coalescence with Wisloe or nearby settlements. Conformity with the Cam NDP design code will ensure integration with the rest of Cam. There are positive benefits related to proximity to Cam & Dursley station, employment at Draycott and local services and facilities at Cam local centre, with the development providing opportunities to improve walking and cycling links within the local area and to connect to bus services along the A4135 and A38. The transport assessment work has identified no major issues and opportunities for sustainable transport measures and modest highway mitigation works to address traffic and safety issues. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan has identified no major issues which cannot be addressed. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.
Land east of Tait's Hill Road	CAM028		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. Development would significantly extend the main settlement westwards, but would feel divorced from it due to the steep valley slopes, and would be further detrimental to the function of the area as a green/wildlife corridor. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
East of River Cam		CAM E	Yes	Yes (N boundary of polygon amended)	Yes – as mixed use	Yes – as mixed use (PS25)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is a modest extension to the existing strategic allocation at NE Cam. The site is located at a Tier 1 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that this site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The site will complete a strategic allocation planned for through the current adopted Local Plan. This extension to planned growth at Cam, a Tier 1 settlement, is a good fit with the development strategy will contribute to the District's housing needs at a location which maximises the potential for residents to use active travel and public transport to access jobs, services and facilities. The site performs relatively well in the Sustainability Appraisal against reasonable alternatives, using a range of sustainability objectives. The Habitats Regulation Assessment has not identified any issues or impacts on biodiversity sites which are not capable of mitigation. There are positive benefits related to connecting the existing strategic development North East Cam back to Cam local centre and delivering an important section of the Uley-Dursley- Cam Greenway, connecting Cam local centre, via the disused railway cycle and walking route to Box Road, Cam &amp; Dursley station. The design will ensure no adverse impact on the Rackleaze wildlife area. The transport assessment work has identified no major issues and opportunities for sustainable transport measures and modest highway mitigation works to address traffic and safety issues. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan has identified no major issues which cannot be addressed. The site is being actively promoted and</p>

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.
			<i>Cambridge</i>				
Land west of Greenacre	CBR002		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land rear of Caterham House	CBR003		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
			<i>Chalford</i>				
Land west of Middle Hill	CHA001	CHA A	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is being taken forward as a rural exception site for the development of 31 new homes. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site has received planning permission as a rural exception site for residential development.
			<i>Coaley</i>				
Betworthy Farm	COA001	COA A	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The northern half of the site has received planning permission for residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The northern part of the site is now being developed. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The northern part of the site has been developed.



Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Land at Coaley	COA002		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> Large housing or employment development in this location would be completely out of character with the rural nature of the village with significant landscape and heritage impacts. The SALA identified that the site may have limited future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of concentrating most development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest levels of growth at tier 2 settlements and lesser levels of development at tier 3a settlements. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 3b settlement and is not in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's Tier 1 main towns and two new sustainable settlements together with modest growth at Tier 2 local service centres and lesser levels of growth at Tier 3a accessible settlements with local facilities. It is not therefore proposed to allocate the site for development at this stage.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land between Betworthy Farm and The Close		COA A	Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> Although the site has been previously assessed as having future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of concentrating most development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest levels of growth at tier 2 settlements and lesser levels of development at tier 3a settlements.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 3b settlement and is not in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's Tier 1 main towns and two new sustainable settlements together with modest growth at Tier 2 local service centres and lesser levels of growth at Tier 3a accessible settlements with local facilities. It is not therefore proposed to allocate the site for development at this stage.</p>

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Cranham</i>							
Simmonds Hall Farm Field	CRA001		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Dursley</i>							
Land behind Kingshill House	DUR001		yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is identified in the Local Plan as Protected Open Space and makes a significant contribution to the setting of Kingshill House. Development is likely to result in harm or loss to these interests. There are therefore constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land off Acacia Drive/ Oak Drive	DUR002		Yes	Yes	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have some potential for limited development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, this is likely to be below the threshold for allocation in the Draft Plan and it has therefore been removed as a potential allocation. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land north of Brownings Lane	DUR005		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, eroding and changing the character of an important pastoral corridor along the edge of the settlement and adjacent to the Cotswolds AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
13 and 23 Bull Pitch	DUR006		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be acceptable however there is no evidence that the site is currently available or likely to become available in the future. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Henlow House/ 54 - 60 Silver Street	DUR007		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be appropriate subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available for intensification, and if it is, whether there is capacity for an additional 10 dwellings. Should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. It is not proposed at this stage to allocate small sites with a net capacity of less than 10 dwellings. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> There remains uncertainty whether this site is available for intensification. The development potential of the site is likely to be below the threshold for allocation in the Draft Plan. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
18 Woodmanco te	DUR008		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has received planning permission for residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is now being developed for housing. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site has now been developed.
Land at 27 - 29 Uley Road	DUR009		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, eroding and changing the character of an important pastoral corridor along the edge of the settlement and adjacent to the Cotswolds AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Land south and east of Downham View	DUR010	DUR A	Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Whilst the SALA previously identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, concerns remain that development may be apparent from locations within the Cotswolds AONB and it is considered that there would not be sufficient positive benefit to outweigh the potential harm from development close to the edge of the AONB. Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites for strategic growth at Cam, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land at Castle Stream Farm	DUR011		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Whilst the SALA previously identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, concerns remain that development may be apparent from locations within the Cotswolds AONB and it is considered that there would not be sufficient positive benefit to outweigh the potential harm from development close to the edge of the AONB. Having considered the scale of growth</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites for strategic growth at Cam, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Castle Stream Farm	DUR012	DUR A	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Whilst the SALA previously identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, concerns remain that development may be apparent from locations within the Cotswolds AONB and it is considered that there would not be sufficient positive benefit to outweigh the potential harm from development close to the edge of the AONB. Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites for strategic growth at Cam, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land east of Shakespeare Road	DUR013	DUR A	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Whilst the SALA previously identified that the site may have future potential for

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<p>some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, concerns remain that development may be apparent from locations within the Cotswolds AONB and it is considered that there would not be sufficient positive benefit to outweigh the potential harm from development close to the edge of the AONB. Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites for strategic growth at Cam, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Recreation ground east of School Road	DUR014		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is identified in the Local Plan as Protected Open Space, provides important, well used recreational and sporting facilities and makes a significant contribution to local amenity. Development is likely to result in harm or loss to that interest. There are therefore constraints preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
The Old Dairy/ Land off Prospect Place	DUR017		Yes	Yes – as mixed use	Yes – as mixed use	Yes – as mixed use (PS28)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is identified in the Dursley Neighbourhood Plan as a potential area for enhancement through redevelopment. The site is located at a Tier 1 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements. The site is considered suitable and is being actively considered for partial redevelopment for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The location of the site within</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							Dursley, a Tier 1 settlement, is in accordance with the development strategy. Whilst there are specific site issues, including impacts on designated heritage assets and local biodiversity, access and multiple ownership, which will need to be addressed at the planning application stage, there are no overriding constraints preventing allocation. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.
Blackboys Farm	DUR020		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, extending the suburban character into the Cotswold AONB and adversely affecting the setting of the wooded slopes. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land to the rear of the police station	DUR021		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be appropriate subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available and if it is, whether there is capacity for 10 dwellings. Should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. It is not proposed at this stage to allocate small sites with a net capacity of less than 10 dwellings. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> There remains uncertainty whether this site is available for intensification. The development potential of the site is likely to be below the threshold for allocation in the Draft Plan. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
11/11a May Lane	DUR022		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be appropriate, subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, and development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							policy. However, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate small sites with a net capacity of less than 10 dwellings. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is below the threshold for allocation in the Draft Plan. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land to the south of Hawthorn Villa	DUR024		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site was promoted in response to public consultation on the Draft Local Plan. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape and visual impacts on the Cotswolds AONB and heritage assets within the adjacent Woodmancote Conservation Area. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
North of Ganzell Lane		DUR A	Yes	Yes (SE boundary of polygon amended)	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The Issues and Options paper identified that the site may have some development potential subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, concerns remain that development may be apparent from locations within the Cotswolds AONB and it is considered that the provision of 80 new homes would not be of sufficient positive benefit to outweigh the potential harm from development close to the edge of the AONB. Given the suitability and availability of other locations at Cam, away from the AONB, to meet the needs of the local area and the District, in accordance with the development strategy for Tier 1 settlements, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate the site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Eastington</i>							
Land to rear of Alkerton garage	EAS001		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact on the north eastern part of the site and because of the likely significant heritage impact on



Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							listed buildings adjacent to the south western part of the site. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Alkerton Farm	EAS003	EAS B1	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> This large area is generally not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, separating the core of the village from the countryside which is a feature of the settlement. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land south east of Swallowcroft	EAS004	EAS A	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is generally not suitable for development because of the likely landscape impact, separating Alkerton from Millend to the north and protecting the separate identity of Middle Street to the east. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land to north of Millend Land	EAS005		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape and heritage impact, filling in the gap between

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							the historic cluster of buildings at Millend and the 20c village and would adversely affect the setting of the listed buildings and character of the Conservation Area. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at Junction 13 of the M5	EAS007	STO D1, STO D2	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for residential development, removed from the existing urban area of Stonehouse and its local services and facilities and because of the landscape impact. Housing would extend the settlement form and development corridor towards the Severn Vale and M5, closing the gap between Stonehouse and Eastington, and would have an adverse impact on the setting of the canal, the Industrial Heritage Conservation Area and the Thames and Severn Way. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at Chipmans Platt	EAS008	STO C	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not currently suitable for housing development because of the relatively remote location of Chipmans Platt relative to existing established settlements with services and facilities. The lane is also narrow and rural in character. There are therefore physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land west of Nupend	EAS009		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the remoteness of

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<p>the area from local services and facilities and because of the likely high landscape impact. Development would impact on the character and setting of the listed buildings in Nupend and the hamlets' ancient settlement pattern. There are therefore physical constraints and potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above  <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land at Nupend (Parcel A)	EAS011		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely detrimental impact upon the setting of Nupend. The site currently functions as a valuable amenity space crossed by public rights of way.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above  <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land at South View	EAS012		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not currently suitable for housing development because of the relatively remote location relative to existing established settlements with services and facilities. There are therefore physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land at Westend Farm	EAS013		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely detrimental impact upon the character and landscape setting of the listed buildings and the landscape of Westend and the erosion of the countryside buffer between Stonehouse and the M5 and vale to the west.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above  <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Land north of Westend Farm	EAS014		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely detrimental impact upon the setting of Westend and the erosion of the countryside buffer between Stonehouse and the M5 and vale to the west. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at Claypits	EAS015		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely detrimental impact upon the local landscape. Development would materially extend built development into the countryside and impact on the adjacent listed Claypits farmhouse and historic character of Eastington's hamlet based pattern. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
D E Spencer and Sons, Eastington	EAS016		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is in employment use and such uses are protected from redevelopment for alternative uses unless wholly exceptional circumstances can be demonstrated. The redevelopment of the site for housing would therefore be contrary to the development strategy for the protection of existing employment sites. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land adjoining Oldbury Lodge	EAS017		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely landscape and heritage impacts. Development of this site would be detrimental to this green corridor, visibly extending sporadic development into the rural fringe and urbanising the area between Chipman's Platt, Church End, Nastend and Newtown. A heritage assessment has indicated that the impact on the character, setting and significance of the Conservation Area could prohibit development on this site. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Land south-east of Chipmans Platt roundabout	EAS018		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely landscape and heritage impacts. Development of this site would be detrimental to this green corridor, visibly extending sporadic development into the rural fringe and urbanising the area between Chipman's Platt, Church End, Nastend and Newtown. A heritage assessment has indicated that the impact on the character and significance of The Grange Grade II listed building could prohibit development in order to conserve this key view and to retain a sense of the house's historic status and position within the local landscape. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Field north of Travelodge at Chipmans Platt	EAS019		Yes	No	Yes – as mixed use	Yes – as mixed use (PS20)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site forms part of a wider land parcel located at the Junction of the M5 motorway and the A419. The wider site is in accordance with the development strategy for strategic employment growth at accessible locations within the A38/ M5 corridor. The wider site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan as part of a wider land parcel located at the Junction of the M5 motorway and the A419. The wider site is in accordance with the development strategy for new employment land located at the key employment property market areas identified in the ELR. The overall quantum of employment land is intended to meet labour supply requirements and to deliver the aspirations of GFirst Local Industrial Strategy. The site performs relatively well in the Sustainability Appraisal against reasonable alternatives, using a range of sustainability objectives. The Habitats Regulation</p>

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							Assessment has not identified any issues or impacts on biodiversity sites which are not capable of mitigation. Whilst there are constraints, including impacts upon the Industrial Heritage Conservation Area (IHCA) and flood risk, these are capable of mitigation through layout and design measures. A comprehensive mitigation package for impacts upon the IHCA will require a Canal cut, towpath and operational uses as part of the restoration of the Stroudwater Canal to navigable uses from Saul Junction to Stroud. The location of primarily grass training pitches within the IHCA will minimise flood risk, minimise the impact of built development on the IHCA and ensure uses are compatible with sensitive neighbouring uses including William Morris House. There are positive benefits related to significantly improved facilities for Forest Green Rovers Football Club, a high quality business park focussed on green technologies in an accessible location and the potential to significantly improve sustainable transport services along the A419 corridor including multi-modal transport interchange facilities, enhancing local walking and cycling routes and contributions to the reopening of Stonehouse railway station. The transport assessment work has identified no major issues and opportunities for sustainable transport measures and modest highway mitigation works to address traffic and safety issues. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan has identified no major issues which cannot be addressed. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.
Land south and east of Cressington Cottages	EAS020		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because infilling would be out of character with the form and character of the existing hamlet and because of the erosion of the countryside buffer between Stonehouse and the M5 and vale to the west. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Claypits Farm	EAS021		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site was promoted in response to public consultation on the Draft Local Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of housing growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.</p>
Land south east of Nupend	EAS022		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site was promoted in response to public consultation on the Draft Local Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> At Eastington there is a programme of community led housing developments to be delivered as rural exception sites as set out in the Neighbourhood Development Plan. It is understood that broad locations identified through the Local Plan process may have potential for this form of development and therefore at this stage it is expected that further growth at Eastington (Alkerton) will be developed through this approach.</p>
South east of swallowcroft		EAS A	Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> Although the site has been previously assessed as having future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> At Eastington there is a programme of community led housing developments to be delivered as rural exception sites as set out in the Neighbourhood Development Plan. It is understood that broad locations identified through the Local Plan process may have potential for this form of development and therefore at this stage it is expected that further growth</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							at Eastington (Alkerton) will be developed through this approach. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
South of Alkerton: South of Bath Road		EAS B1	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> Although the site has been previously assessed as having future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> At Eastington there is a programme of community led housing developments to be delivered as rural exception sites as set out in the Neighbourhood Development Plan. It is understood that broad locations identified through the Local Plan process may have potential for this form of development and therefore at this stage it is expected that further growth at Eastington (Alkerton) will be developed through this approach. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Frampton</i>							
Old Dairy site	FRA001		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be appropriate subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available. Should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Fields northwest of Whitminster Lane	FRA004	FRA B	Yes	No	Yes – as mixed use	Yes – as mixed use (PS44)	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with



Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<p>modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site was not promoted as available at the emerging strategy stage. The site is located at a Tier 3a settlement, outside the Cotswolds AONB and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for growth at accessible settlements with a range of local facilities. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that part of the site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The site area has been reduced to retain appropriate separation between Frampton and Oatfield, protect the rural setting of the adjacent Industrial Heritage Conservation Area and minimise the loss of agricultural land. The location of the site as a sustainable extension to Frampton on Severn, a Tier 3a settlement, is in accordance with the development strategy. Whilst there are constraints, including overhead power lines, public footpaths and the relationship with adjacent development, which will need to be addressed at the planning application stage, there are no overriding constraints preventing allocation. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.</p>
<i>France Lynch</i>							
Land south of Bakers Farm	FRL001		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
<i>FrocesterNo</i>							
Frocester Manor	FRO001		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage.</p>

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is generally not suitable for housing development because of the remoteness of the site from a sustainable settlement and the likely significant heritage impact. Sensitive re-use and partial redevelopment for mixed use may be acceptable to secure the long term future of this important heritage asset. A comprehensive masterplan and supporting heritage justification would be required and an allocation is considered inappropriate at this time.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
<i>Oakridge Lynch</i>							
Birds Frith Farm	OAK002		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land north of the Crescent	OAK001	OAK A	Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of concentrating most development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest levels of growth at tier 2 settlements and lesser levels of development at tier 3a settlements. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 3b settlement and is not in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's Tier 1 main towns and two new sustainable settlements together with modest growth at Tier 2 local service centres and lesser levels of growth at Tier 3a accessible settlements with local facilities. It is not therefore proposed to allocate the site for development at this stage.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
<i>Hardwicke</i>							
Land at Hardwicke	HAR001	HAR A1, HAR A2,	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes – as mixed use (G1)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
		HAR A3, HAR A4					<p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located on the Gloucester Fringe and has been identified as having potential to contribute towards future housing needs. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that this site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan with increased capacity to make most efficient use of land. This urban extension to Hardwicke, a Tier 3a settlement on the edge of Gloucester, is in accordance with the development strategy and will contribute to the District's housing needs at a location which maximises the potential for residents to use active travel and public transport to access jobs, services and facilities. The site performs relatively well in the Sustainability Appraisal against reasonable alternatives, using a range of sustainability objectives. The Habitats Regulation Assessment has not identified any issues or impacts on biodiversity sites which are not capable of mitigation. Whilst there are constraints, including impacts on local heritage, flood risk and gas mains on- site, these are capable of mitigation through layout and design measures. Strategic landscaping buffers around the site and a strong design code will prevent adverse impacts on the countryside and Sharpness &amp; Gloucester Canal. Whilst the site is close to the edge of Gloucester, the site is directly related to the Tier 3a village of Hardwicke and development will provide a new local centre for the village and enhance other community services and facilities identified within the Neighbourhood Plan. There are also positive benefits related to opportunities to remove through traffic from local rural lanes, proximity to the A38 and the ability to connect to strategic bus services and proximity to jobs, services and facilities within the local area. The transport assessment work has identified no major issues and opportunities for sustainable transport measures and contribution to highway mitigation works to address traffic and safety issues. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan has identified no</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							major issues which cannot be addressed. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.
Land at Church Lane	HAR002	HAR A4	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes – as mixed use (G1)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located on the Gloucester Fringe and has been identified as having potential to contribute towards future housing needs. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that this site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan with increased capacity to make most efficient use of land. This urban extension to Hardwicke, a Tier 3a settlement on the edge of Gloucester, is in accordance with the development strategy and will contribute to the District's housing needs at a location which maximises the potential for residents to use active travel and public transport to access jobs, services and facilities. The site performs relatively well in the Sustainability Appraisal against reasonable alternatives, using a range of sustainability objectives. The Habitats Regulation Assessment has not identified any issues or impacts on biodiversity sites which are not capable of mitigation. Whilst there are constraints, including impacts on local heritage, flood risk and gas mains on-site, these are capable of mitigation through layout and design measures. Strategic landscaping buffers around the site and a strong design code will prevent adverse impacts on the countryside and Sharpness &amp; Gloucester Canal. Whilst the site is close to the edge of Gloucester, the site is directly related to the Tier 3a village of Hardwicke and development will provide a new local centre for the village and enhance other community services and facilities identified within the Neighbourhood Plan. There are also positive benefits related to opportunities to</p>

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							remove through traffic from local rural lanes, proximity to the A38 and the ability to connect to strategic bus services and proximity to jobs, services and facilities within the local area. The transport assessment work has identified no major issues and opportunities for sustainable transport measures and contribution to highway mitigation works to address traffic and safety issues. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan has identified no major issues which cannot be addressed. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.
SA4 Hunts Grove Extension	HAR004		Yes	Yes – as mixed use	Yes – as mixed use	Yes – as mixed use (PS30)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is an existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site is an extension to Hunts Grove and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for strategic growth at the District's main towns and at new sustainable settlements within the Severn Vale and A38/M5 corridor, suited and capable of meeting strategic growth needs. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that this site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The site will complete a strategic allocation planned for through the current adopted Local Plan. This extension will contribute to the District's housing needs at a location which maximises the potential for residents to use active travel and public transport to access jobs, services and facilities. The site performs relatively well in the Sustainability Appraisal against reasonable alternatives, using a range of sustainability objectives. The Habitats Regulation Assessment has not identified any issues or impacts on biodiversity sites which are not capable of mitigation. Whilst there are constraints, including proximity to the M5 and flood risk, these are capable of mitigation</p>

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<p>through layout and design measures. Whilst the site is close to the edge of Gloucester, the site will complete the Hunts Grove development, which has recently been established as a separate Stroud District parish and will qualify as a Stroud Tier 2 settlement, once the planned local centre has been provided. There are also positive benefits related to supporting the local centre and range of community services to be provided at Hunts Grove, proximity to the A38 and the ability to connect to strategic bus services and proximity to jobs, services and facilities within the local area.</p> <p>The transport assessment work has identified no major issues and opportunities for sustainable transport measures and contribution to highway mitigation works to address traffic and safety issues. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan has identified no major issues which cannot be addressed. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.</p>
Land East of Waterwells Business Park	HAR005	HAR C	Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is part of a larger area that has received planning permission for residential development.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land at Hiltmead Lane	HAR007		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> This large area is generally not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, isolated from any identified settlement and within open countryside. There are therefore constraints/potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Land at Parkend Farm	HAR008		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not currently suitable for development because of the open rural character and relatively remote location relative to existing established settlements with services and facilities. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land on east side of M5 at Moreton Valence	HAR010		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of strategic growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
land on west side of M5 at Moreton Valence	HAR011		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of strategic growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at M5 Junction 12	HAR012		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because the site is surrounded by distributor roads and has other physical constraints relating to adjacent public rights of way use and flood risk. There are therefore constraints/potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location at present. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Mayos Land Phase 3	HAR014	HAR B	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at Moreton Valence	HAR015		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for housing development as this would materially and adversely alter the rural character. There is a likely high landscape impact from housing development (in a relatively remote location) adversely affecting the open rural character of the flat Severn Vale landscape and visible from the escarpment edge of the AONB. The city edge would be perceived to have moved southwards significantly as a finger into the flat open countryside. Overall, this site has significant heritage constraints. The site's sensitivity relates to the sense of Grade II* Hardwicke Court's place in the landscape, the character and identity of Hardwicke as a distinct historic settlement and the perception of a social and economic hinterland comprised of a network of farmsteads and clustered cottages - including the two Grade II listed farmhouses within the site boundary, and Grade II Hiltmead Farm, just outside the site. Their character and historic significance is drawn from the rural context and landscape setting. Any development would have to address potential flood risks. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land to east of A38 at Moreton Valence	HAR016		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Site forms part of larger site assessed as HAR015. The site is not suitable for housing development of this scale as this would materially alter the rural character detrimentally. There is a likely high landscape impact from housing development (in a relatively remote location) adversely affecting the open



Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							rural character of the flat Severn Vale landscape and visible from the escarpment edge of the AONB. The city edge would be perceived to have moved southwards significantly as a finger into the flat open countryside. Any potential development would have to address high water table and flood risks. There are therefore physical constraints and potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at Sellars Road	HAR017		Yes	No	No	Yes – as mixed use (HAR017) (site identified through the Additional Housing Options consultation (October 2020))	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site was promoted in response to consultation on the Draft Plan. The site was identified as a potential site in the Additional Housing Options consultation (October 2020). <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The site is located at Hardwicke, a Tier 3a settlement, and is in accordance with the development strategy. Whilst there are constraints including surface water drainage, impacts on designated heritage assets and access, which will need to be addressed at the planning application stage, there are no overriding constraints preventing allocation. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.
Land at Eric Vick Transport	HAR018		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site was promoted in response to public consultation on the Draft Local Plan. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The land is not suitable for development due to the likely landscape and heritage impacts on the setting of key listed buildings, the settlement of Hardwicke and the rural character of the Gloucester-Sharpness canal corridor. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Land South West of Rhyne Cottage	HAR020		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site was promoted in response to public consultation on the Draft Local Plan. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is below the threshold for assessment as part of the Strategic Assessment of Land Availability (SALA) and for consideration as a potential allocation site to meet future needs.
<i>Haresfield</i>							
Land west of the railway	HFD001		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land to east of Round House Farm	HFD002		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land north of Starsmead House	HFD003		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land to north of Merryfields & Upper Green Cottage	HFD004		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land south and east of	HFD005		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Haresfield Playing Field							is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Former Walled Garden to Haresfield court	HFD006		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Hillesley</i>							
Land to the west of Hawkesbury Road	HIL001		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site has received planning permission for residential development as a rural exception site. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is being developed.
<i>Horsley</i>							
Land west of St Martin's Church	HOR001	HOR A	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of concentrating most development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest levels of growth at tier 2 settlements and lesser levels of development at tier 3a settlements. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 3b settlement and is not in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's Tier 1 main towns and two new sustainable settlements together with modest growth at Tier 2 local service centres and lesser levels of growth at Tier 3a accessible settlements with local facilities. It is not therefore proposed to allocate the site for development at this stage.

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Fields south of Wormwood Hill	HOR002		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The land is not suitable for development because of the potential constraints and high landscape sensitivity of the site. The site is very steep with poor access. Development would extend the settlement beyond the natural boundary of the steep valley sides and would be out of scale with the small valley character. There are therefore physical constraints and potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
The Orchard	HOR003		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of housing growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land South of the B4058	HOR004		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site was promoted in response to public consultation on the Draft Plan. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of housing growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.
South of The Street		HOR A	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of concentrating most development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest levels of growth at tier 2 settlements and lesser levels of development at tier 3a settlements.

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 3b settlement and is not in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's Tier 1 main towns and two new sustainable settlements together with modest growth at Tier 2 local service centres and lesser levels of growth at Tier 3a accessible settlements with local facilities. It is not therefore proposed to allocate the site for development at this stage.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
<i>King Stanley</i>							
Land to the north of Kings Stanley Primary School	KST001	KST B	Yes	Yes	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have some development potential subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, further assessment work has identified significant access concerns and potential conflict with the adjacent primary school. It is considered that the role of Kings Stanley within the development strategy is better addressed through the committed scheme at Stanley Mills and it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land opposite King's Stanley Primary School	KST002		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, narrowing the gap between Kings Stanley and Middleyard and impacting on the setting of the listed buildings at Court Farm. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land to rear of Borough Close	KST003	KST C	Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is</p>

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have some development potential subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, it is considered that the role of Kings Stanley within the development strategy is better addressed through the committed scheme at Stanley Mills and it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land rear of 24-30 Borough Close	KST004	KST C	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have some development potential subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, it is considered that the role of Kings Stanley within the development strategy is better addressed through the committed scheme at Stanley Mills and it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Rear of Borough Close	KST006	KST C	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have some development potential subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, it is considered that the role of Kings Stanley within the development strategy is better addressed through the

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre- submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							committed scheme at Stanley Mills and it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Court Farm Farmyard	KST008		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the high landscape sensitivity of the site, narrowing the gap between Kings Stanley and Middleyard and impacting on the setting of local rural listed buildings. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Field 2 Court Farm	KST009		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the high landscape sensitivity of the site, narrowing the gap between Kings Stanley and Middleyard and impacting on the setting of local rural listed buildings. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Field 13 Court Farm	KST010		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the high landscape sensitivity of the site and its distance from the services and facilities of the existing established settlement of Kings Stanley. There are therefore physical constraints and potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Kingswood</i>							
Land north of Pennwood Lodge	KIN001		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The land is not suitable for development because of the high landscape sensitivity of the site, beyond the strong valley floor boundary to the settlement and Conservation Area. Development may impact on the flood zone in the valley floor. There are therefore physical constraints and potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Land south of Vineyard Lane	KIN002		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The land is not suitable for development because of the high landscape sensitivity and significant archaeological potential of the site. It is considered that development would adversely affect the historic landscape character as well as compromise the flood zone in the valley floor. There are therefore physical constraints and potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land south of 13 & 15 Hillesley Road	KIN003		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The land is not suitable for development because of the landscape sensitivity of the site. It is considered that development would detract from the open pastoral character of the area from views from local footpaths and minor roads. There are therefore potential impacts, as well as access and accessibility issues, preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land at Hillesley Road,	KIN004		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The land is not suitable for development because of the landscape sensitivity of the site. Development would detract from the open pastoral character of the area from views from local footpaths and minor roads. There are therefore potential impacts, as well as access and accessibility issues, preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land at Cloverlea Barn	KIN005	KIN A	Yes	Yes	Yes – as mixed use	Yes – as mixed use (PS38)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 3a settlement and is in accordance with the development strategy for growth at accessible settlements with local facilities. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background</p>



Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The location of the site as a sustainable extension to Kingswood, a Tier 3a settlement, is in accordance with the development strategy. The Council considers that the proposed scale of development can be justified to meet Kingswood's local housing need for the Plan period, necessary to sustain the settlement's role, function and community vitality, and that moderate, planned growth will support the delivery of sustainable accessible pedestrian and cycling improvements within Kingswood and the wider local area, including the Wotton – Charfield – Kingswood Greenway. The County Council has indicated that there is existing capacity at local schools within Wotton. Whilst there are other constraints including impacts on local biodiversity, which will need to be addressed at the planning application stage, there are no overriding constraints preventing allocation. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.
Land off Charfield Road, Kingswood	KIN007		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The land is not suitable for development because of the high landscape sensitivity of the site, extending development over onto the skyline and highly visible to the west and north west. The potential impact would therefore prevent sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land north of Charfield Road	KIN008		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The land is not suitable for development because of the high landscape sensitivity of the site. Development would significantly extend the settlement form into the open vale countryside on higher ground and is inappropriate within the wider landscape. The potential impact would therefore prevent sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Neathwood Yard	KIN009		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							strategic growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land and yard at Walk Mill Lane	KIN010	KIN B	Yes	Only part of site (new polygon KIN B) identified as emerging option. KIN010 NOT included as an emerging option site	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have some development potential subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. The site was included in the Emerging Strategy as one of two potential alternative sites at Kingswood to meet local needs. Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for a Tier 3a settlement set out in the Draft Strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate the site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land south of Westfield House	KIN011	KIN A	Yes	Yes	Yes – mixed use	Yes – as mixed use (PS38)	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 3a settlement and is in accordance with the development strategy for growth at accessible settlements with local facilities. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The location of the site as a sustainable extension to Kingswood, a Tier 3a settlement, is in accordance with the development strategy. The Council considers that the proposed scale of development can be justified to meet Kingswood's local housing need for the Plan period, necessary to sustain the settlement's role, function and community

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							vitality, and that moderate, planned growth will support the delivery of sustainable accessible pedestrian and cycling improvements within Kingswood and the wider local area, including the Wotton – Charfield – Kingswood Greenway. The County Council has indicated that there is existing capacity at local schools within Wotton. Whilst there are other constraints including impacts on local biodiversity, which will need to be addressed at the planning application stage, there are no overriding constraints preventing allocation. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.
Land north and west of 10 - 14 Charfield Road	KIN013		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site comprises part of a larger site KIN008 previously assessed as having potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the high landscape sensitivity of the site including the visual setting of the listed Langford Mill House in a key view from Wotton Road. Development would extend the settlement form into the open vale countryside on higher ground and is inappropriate within the wider landscape. There are potential impacts therefore that would prevent sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Part land off Charfield Road	KIN014		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site comprises part of a larger site KIN007 previously assessed as having potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the high landscape sensitivity of the site, extending development over onto the skyline and highly visible to the west and north west. The potential impact would therefore prevent sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land at Blueboy Cottage, Kingswood	KIN015		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site was promoted in response to public consultation on the Draft Plan.</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of housing growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.
<i>Leonard Stanley</i>							
Land at Bath Road	LEO001	LEO A	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Brimley	LEO002		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be acceptable however there is no evidence that the whole of the site is currently available or likely to become available in the future. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land to the north of Bath Road	LEO004	LEO B	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at East Grange	LEO005		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, extending the settlement onto high ground and narrowing the gap between Leonard Stanley and Stanley Downton. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at Leonard Stanley	LEO007		Yes	Yes	Yes – as mixed use	Yes – as mixed use (PS16)	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 3a settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for growth at accessible settlements with local facilities. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The location of the site within Leonard Stanley, a Tier 3a settlement, is in accordance with the development strategy and the area has the facilities and services to ensure the development is a sustainable extension to the village. The County Council has confirmed that the land is not required for education purposes. The development will be required to address drainage and sewerage matters at the planning application stage and the Infrastructure Delivery Plan has not identified this as a major constraint within this area. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Part land to the north of Bath Road	LEO008		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site comprises the eastern part of a larger site LEO004 previously assessed as having some potential for sensitively located development on the western part of the site subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the landscape sensitivity of the site, eroding the important visual gap on Bath Road. The potential impact would therefore prevent sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land off Dozule Close	LEO009		Yes	No	Yes	Yes – as mixed use (PS42)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 3a settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for growth at accessible settlements with local facilities. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The location of the site within Leonard Stanley, a Tier 3a settlement, is in accordance with the development strategy. Whilst there are constraints including incorporating existing Public Rights of Way within landscaped open space, retaining the southern part of the site in open space uses, and safeguarding and enhancing local biodiversity, which will need to be addressed at the planning application stage, there are no overriding constraints preventing allocation. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.</p>
Severn Waters		LEO B	Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> Although the site has been previously assessed as having future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of</p>

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<p>this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
<i>Manor Village</i>							
Manor Farm	MAN001		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be appropriate subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available. Should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. It is not proposed at this stage to allocate small sites with a net capacity of less than 10 dwellings.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land at Middle Hill, Eastcombe	MAN002	MAN A	Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located within the Cotswolds AONB where local road infrastructure is identified as constrained. The scale of development proposed and location within the Cotswolds AONB would not accord with the Draft Plan development strategy of allocating development at less constrained Tier 3a settlements, outside the AONB with good road infrastructure connections to strategic facilities. It is not</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							therefore proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Frith Wood House & Cottage	MAN003		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be acceptable however there is no evidence that the site is currently available or likely to become available in the future. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
East of Middle Hill		MAN A	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> Although the site has been previously assessed as having future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located within the Cotswolds AONB where local road infrastructure is identified as constrained. The scale of development proposed and location within the Cotswolds AONB would not accord with the Draft Plan development strategy of allocating development at less constrained Tier 3a settlements, outside the AONB with good road infrastructure connections to strategic facilities. It is not therefore proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Middleyard</i>							
Land north of Coldwell Close	MID001		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land to the West of	MID002		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage.



Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Walnut Tree House							<b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The land is not suitable for development because of the high landscape sensitivity of the site, narrowing the gap between Kings Stanley and Middleyard and impacting on the setting of local rural listed buildings. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Minchinhampton</i>							
Land off 17 Butt Street	MIN001		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be appropriate subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available. Should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. It is not proposed at this stage to allocate small sites with a net capacity of less than 10 dwellings. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is below the threshold for allocation in the Draft Plan. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Planning permission granted for residential development on the eastern part of the site.
Land at The Knapp	MIN002		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. Development would adversely affect the setting and character of Minchinhampton Common to the west and would impinge on the skyline on the wold edge which would be uncharacteristic of the main settlement form. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land East of Besbury Park	MIN003		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, impinging on the openness of the area, close to the wold edge, and would be inappropriate.

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Field 0013, Cirencester Road	MIN004	MIN A	Yes	Yes	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have some development potential subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, further assessment work has identified landscape and visual concerns regarding self build and custom build development at this sensitive location within the Cotswolds AONB. Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for a Tier 2 settlement set out in the Draft Strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at Glebe Farm	MIN005	MIN B	Yes	Yes – as mixed use	Yes – as mixed use	Yes – north of site (PS05) included as mixed use and south of site included as safeguarded land (PS05a) with potential to meet the future housing needs of Minchinhampton	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 2 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for modest growth at Local Service Centres. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The site is located at Minchinhampton a Tier 2 settlement and would be in accordance with the development strategy. The site will meet local housing needs arising from within the AONB and an 80 dwelling extension to Minchinhampton would not constitute major development within the AONB. The site has been assessed as being the best edge of settlement site in terms of landscape sensitivity to development and development will provide strategic landscaping to enhance the settlement edge in this

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							location. The size of the site has now been reduced to only the northern portion of the Draft Plan site and vehicular access will now be solely from the north, ensuring no impact on town centre congestion. Safe, convenient walking and cycling access to the centre will be from the west and south of the development. Whilst there are constraints such as the adjoining "Bulwarks" Scheduled Ancient Monument, the policy identifies the need to avoid direct impacts and mitigation measures can be dealt with at the planning application stage. There are no overriding constraints preventing allocation. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.
The Lemon Field	MIN006		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the impact on the character of Minchinhampton Conservation Area and the settings of multiple listed buildings that surround the site. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land off Common Road	MIN007		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, damaging to the historical character of the area and Minchinhampton Common and extending the settlement form to join up to Fitzroy. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Seymour House/ Westfield Cottage	MIN008		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be appropriate subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available. Should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. It is not proposed at this stage to allocate small sites with a net capacity of less than 10 dwellings. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Field north east of Deans Quarry	MIN009		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of strategic growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land to rear of Tranquility, Houndscroft	MIN013		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of strategic growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land north and west of The Yews	MIN014		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development, due to likely landscape and heritage impacts. The site is located within the Cotswolds Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty where the highest status is given to landscape protection. The land parcel also contributes to the setting of the Minchinhampton Common multi-period scheduled monument and adjacent listed buildings at a key gateway to the settlement. Development would adversely affect the setting and character of the Common, and would impinge on the skyline on the wold edge, which would be uncharacteristic of the main settlement form. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
The Old Builders Yard	MIN015		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development, due to likely landscape impact. The site is located within the Cotswolds Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty where the highest status is given to landscape protection. Redevelopment of the site would be in contrast to the largely unsettled character and extend urban development beyond the defined built edge into the open AONB countryside at an important gateway to the settlement. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land on north side of Woefulane Bottom	MIN016		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development, due to likely landscape impact. The site is located within the Cotswolds Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty where the highest status is given to landscape protection. Redevelopment of the site would be in contrast to the largely unsettled character and extend urban development beyond the defined built edge into the open AONB countryside at an important gateway to the settlement. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Former garage site	MIN017		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have some potential for limited development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, this is below the threshold for allocation in the Draft Plan. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Nailsworth</i>							
Garden land on the west side of Wood Lane	NAI001		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development as there is no longer any access to the site and any development is likely to impact on

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							the character and appearance of the adjacent conservation area. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Between Old Bristol Rd & Bath Rd	NAI004		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. It is located outside the settlement limits, adjacent to the conservation area and within the Cotswold AONB. Its steep wooded slope may prove a challenge to any excavation and engineering works. The site serves as an important separation of the close-knit core of the settlement from the loose-knit development beyond. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land between Worley Ridge & New Market Rd	NAI006		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development. There are inadequate pedestrian links to local facilities and the site is accessed from a sub standard track which would be unsuitable to cater for increased traffic. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land adjacent to Lower Newmarket Road	NAI007	NAI B	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
The New Lawn, Nailsworth	NAI008		Yes	Yes – as mixed use	Yes – as mixed use	Yes – as mixed use (PS06)	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 2 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for modest growth at Local Service Centres. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. Development will be subject to the satisfactory relocation of Forest Green football club. The location of the site within Nailsworth, a Tier 2 settlement, is in accordance with the development strategy. Whilst there are constraints including ensuring existing community and sporting uses are replaced elsewhere and safeguarding and enhancing landscape boundary features and local biodiversity, which will need to be addressed at the planning application stage, there are no overriding constraints preventing allocation. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.</p>
Land north of Nortonwood	NAI009		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> This site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. Housing development would be detrimental to its character, landform sensitivity and tranquillity.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land between Northfield Road & Stroud Road	NAI010		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for housing development because of the likely high landscape and heritage impact. Housing development in this area would remove the openness of the valley side, erode the distinctive character of the steep valley slopes and have a detrimental effect on all visual receptors and users of public rights of way.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land off Jubilee Road	NAI011		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							landscape and heritage impact. Housing development in this area would remove the openness of the valley side, erode the distinctive character of the steep valley slopes and have a detrimental effect on all visual receptors and users of public rights of way. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land north of Nympsfield Road/Norton wood Junction	NAI012	NAI A	Yes	Yes	Yes – as mixed use	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 2 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for modest growth at Local Service Centres. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Land North of Nympsfield Road will not be taken forward in the Local Plan process. The site is located within the Cotswolds Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB) and national planning guidance advises that such a location is unlikely to be a suitable area to accommodate the unmet needs of adjacent areas, such as Nailsworth. The Council is intending to allocate a large site outside the AONB but within close proximity of this site that is more appropriate to meet the future housing needs of Nailsworth. This site is not associated with a settlement within the AONB and therefore is not a suitable location to meet needs arising from within the AONB.
Land south of Avening Road	NAI013		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site includes NAI003 previously assessed as having potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, within the Cotswolds AONB. The site is located adjacent to a key wildlife site and is not within close distance of the town centre. There are therefore potential impacts together with the physical constraints of steep wooded slopes preventing sustainable development in this location.



Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Additional land off Nortonwood	NAI014		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site was promoted in response to public consultation on the Draft Plan as a small extension to PS07 North of Nympsfield Road. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Land North of Nympsfield Road will not be taken forward in the Local Plan process. The site is located within the Cotswolds Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB) and national planning guidance advises that such a location is unlikely to be a suitable area to accommodate the unmet needs of adjacent areas, such as Nailsworth. The Council is intending to allocate a large site outside the AONB but within close proximity of this site that is more appropriate to meet the future housing needs of Nailsworth. This site is not associated with a settlement within the AONB and therefore is not a suitable location to meet needs arising from within the AONB.
<i>Newport</i>							
Land south of Newport Towers Hotel	NPT001		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land off Church View	NPT004		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of housing growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Newtown and Sharpness</i>							
Land south of primary school	NEW003a	NEW A2	Yes	Yes - as mixed use	Yes - as mixed use	Yes - as mixed use (PS36)	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is in accordance with the development strategy for concentrating growth at

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<p>the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements at Sharpness and at Wisloe within the Severn Vale (A38/M5 corridor). The site offers the opportunity to transform local transport facilities through a new rail station with services to Gloucester and rapid bus services to main towns including Bristol. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that this site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. This new settlement, developed in accordance with Garden City principles, will contribute to the District's housing and employment needs at a location which maximises the potential for residents to use active travel and public transport to access jobs, services and facilities. The site performs relatively well in the Sustainability Appraisal against reasonable alternatives, using a range of sustainability objectives. The Habitats Regulation Assessment has identified potential impacts on Severn Estuary designations but the policy and supporting text will require substantial mitigation measures to ensure there are no significant impacts and potential benefits from allocation. Whilst there are constraints, including flood risk, landscape and biodiversity impacts, these are capable of mitigation through layout and design measures. Infrastructure improvements relating to drainage and flood risk will also benefit existing residents within the area. The new settlement is being designed with strategic landscaping buffers, a network of multifunctional Green Infrastructure and with a strong design code to protect the separate character and identify of Berkeley, Sharpness, Wanswell, Brookend and rural hamlets. The site will have no adverse impact on the operational requirements of the Nuclear Decommissioning Authority and Magnox. There are positive benefits related to the regeneration of the Berkeley/Sharpness area, with the new settlement complementing and supporting existing regeneration initiatives at Sharpness Docks and the former Berkeley</p>

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							Power Station. The development of 10 hectares of employment land will complement the growing employment opportunities at the Gloucestershire Science and Technology Park and adjacent to Sharpness Docks. Proximity to the historic market town of Berkeley will provide services and facilities for the new community in the early years and support the vitality and viability of the market town, whilst the final range of services and facilities at the new settlement will benefit existing communities, once established. There is a particular opportunity to provide passenger services on the Sharpness rail branch line. The transport assessment work has identified a range of highway mitigation measures to ensure traffic and safety issues can be addressed and also opportunities for sustainable transport measures to provide realistic options to the use of the private car. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan has identified no major issues which cannot be addressed. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.
Land at Saniger Lane	NEW003 b	NEW A1	Yes	Yes - as mixed use	Yes - as mixed use	Yes - as mixed use (PS36)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is in accordance with the development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements at Sharpness and at Wisloe within the Severn Vale (A38/M5 corridor). The site offers the opportunity to transform local transport facilities through a new rail station with services to Gloucester and rapid bus services to main towns including Bristol. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that this site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. This new settlement, developed in accordance with Garden City principles, will contribute to the District's housing and employment</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre- submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<p>needs at a location which maximises the potential for residents to use active travel and public transport to access jobs, services and facilities. The site performs relatively well in the Sustainability Appraisal against reasonable alternatives, using a range of sustainability objectives. The Habitats Regulation Assessment has identified potential impacts on Severn Estuary designations but the policy and supporting text will require substantial mitigation measures to ensure there are no significant impacts and potential benefits from allocation. Whilst there are constraints, including flood risk, landscape and biodiversity impacts, these are capable of mitigation through layout and design measures. Infrastructure improvements relating to drainage and flood risk will also benefit existing residents within the area. The new settlement is being designed with strategic landscaping buffers, a network of multifunctional Green Infrastructure and with a strong design code to protect the separate character and identify of Berkeley, Sharpness, Wanswell, Brookend and rural hamlets. The site will have no adverse impact on the operational requirements of the Nuclear Decommissioning Authority and Magnox. There are positive benefits related to the regeneration of the Berkeley/Sharpness area, with the new settlement complementing and supporting existing regeneration initiatives at Sharpness Docks and the former Berkeley Power Station. The development of 10 hectares of employment land will complement the growing employment opportunities at the Gloucestershire Science and Technology Park and adjacent to Sharpness Docks. Proximity to the historic market town of Berkeley will provide services and facilities for the new community in the early years and support the vitality and viability of the market town, whilst the final range of services and facilities at the new settlement will benefit existing communities, once established. There is a particular opportunity to provide passenger services on the Sharpness rail branch line. The transport assessment work has identified a range of highway mitigation measures to ensure traffic and safety issues can be addressed and also opportunities for sustainable</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							transport measures to provide realistic options to the use of the private car. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan has identified no major issues which cannot be addressed. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.
Land to the north of Oakfield Way	NEW005		Yes	No	Yes - as mixed use	Yes – as mixed use (PS36)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> Development would need to be considered as part of a wider development strategy for the area for it to have a coherent relationship with the existing settlement but on its own the site should not be developed as it provides a pastoral setting to Luggs Farm.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As part of a wider site, the site is in accordance with the development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements at Sharpness and at Wisloe within the Severn Vale (A38/M5 corridor). The site offers the opportunity to transform local transport facilities through a new rail station with services to Gloucester and rapid bus services to main towns including Bristol. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that this site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan as part of wider site PS36. This new settlement, developed in accordance with Garden City principles, will contribute to the District's housing and employment needs at a location which maximises the potential for residents to use active travel and public transport to access jobs, services and facilities. The site performs relatively well in the Sustainability Appraisal against reasonable alternatives, using a range of sustainability objectives. The Habitats Regulation Assessment has identified potential impacts on Severn Estuary designations but the policy and supporting text will require substantial mitigation measures to ensure there are no significant impacts and potential benefits from allocation. Whilst there are</p>

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							constraints, including flood risk, landscape and biodiversity impacts, these are capable of mitigation through layout and design measures. Infrastructure improvements relating to drainage and flood risk will also benefit existing residents within the area. The new settlement is being designed with strategic landscaping buffers, a network of multifunctional Green Infrastructure and with a strong design code to protect the separate character and identify of Berkeley, Sharpness, Wanswell, Brookend and rural hamlets. The site will have no adverse impact on the operational requirements of the Nuclear Decommissioning Authority and Magnox. There are positive benefits related to the regeneration of the Berkeley/Sharpness area, with the new settlement complementing and supporting existing regeneration initiatives at Sharpness Docks and the former Berkeley Power Station. The development of 10 hectares of employment land will complement the growing employment opportunities at the Gloucestershire Science and Technology Park and adjacent to Sharpness Docks. Proximity to the historic market town of Berkeley will provide services and facilities for the new community in the early years and support the vitality and viability of the market town, whilst the final range of services and facilities at the new settlement will benefit existing communities, once established. There is a particular opportunity to provide passenger services on the Sharpness rail branch line. The transport assessment work has identified a range of highway mitigation measures to ensure traffic and safety issues can be addressed and also opportunities for sustainable transport measures to provide realistic options to the use of the private car. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan has identified no major issues which cannot be addressed. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.
Land at Focus School-Berkeley	NEW006		Yes	Yes – as mixed use	Yes - as mixed use	Yes – as mixed use (PS35)	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Campus, Station Road							<p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 3a settlement and is in accordance with the development strategy for growth at accessible settlements with local facilities. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The site is located at Wanswell and would contribute to the provision of facilities associated with the proposed new settlement and would therefore be in accordance with the development strategy. Redevelopment of previously developed land for housing provides the opportunity to safeguard and improve existing open space on site to meet the recreational needs of the new community. Redevelopment can be accommodated without impacts on highway safety and development can connect to existing walking and cycling routes to Berkeley and the wider local area. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.</p>
Panthurst Farm	NEW007		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is distant from the nearest tier 3 settlement of Newtown and adjoins a landscape area considered to have high sensitivity to housing and employment uses. Over half of the site is within flood zones 2 and 3 and the remaining parcel of land is below the threshold for a strategic allocation. If comprehensive development of a new settlement takes place on adjoining land this site could be reconsidered for uses complementary to that development at a point in the future.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
<i>North Nibley</i>							
Land south west of 19	NIB001		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely detrimental impacts on an area of high landscape</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Highlands Drive							sensitivity and likely harmful impacts on the setting of nearby heritage assets. In addition, site access is unsatisfactory and the site's topography would make development conspicuous and difficult to integrate with the locally distinctive character of its surroundings. There are therefore physical constraints and potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land north of Warren Croft	NIB002	NIB A	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of concentrating most development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest levels of growth at tier 2 settlements and lesser levels of development at tier 3a settlements. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 3b settlement and is not in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's Tier 1 main towns and two new sustainable settlements together with modest growth at Tier 2 local service centres and lesser levels of growth at Tier 3a accessible settlements with local facilities. It is not therefore proposed to allocate the site for development at this stage. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land adjacent 38 Barrs Lane	NIB003		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of concentrating most development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest levels of growth at tier 2 settlements and lesser levels of development at tier 3a settlements. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 3b settlement and is not in accordance with the Draft Plan



Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's Tier 1 main towns and two new sustainable settlements together with modest growth at Tier 2 local service centres and lesser levels of growth at Tier 3a accessible settlements with local facilities. It is not therefore proposed to allocate the site for development at this stage. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land to west of New Road	NIB004		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. The site is located within the Cotswolds AONB where the highest status is given to landscape protection. Development here would be a conspicuous extension out into the rural landscape. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land north of Innock's Estate and east of Lowerhouse Lane	NIB005		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site comprises the southern part of NIB002 previously assessed as having potential for some development accessed from Barr's Lane. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Despite the potential for sensitive development on the western part of the site, the only viable access presented is via the B4060 through an area deemed highly sensitive in landscape terms. Notwithstanding potential highway safety, this access would detract from the landscape setting of North Nibley village, within the Cotswolds AONB, at a sensitive gateway to the settlement. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>North Woodchester</i>							
Land off Selsley Road	NWO001	NWO A	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have some development potential subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, it is considered that the role of North Woodchester within the development strategy is better addressed through the committed scheme at Rooksmoor Mill and it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land east of Rooksmoor Hill	NWO003		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. The site is very steep and housing development would impinge on the openness and rural character of the slopes and reduce the gap between settlements. There are therefore physical constraints/potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Nymsfield</i>							
Land at The Cross	NYM001		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land west of Benton Court	NYM002		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at Front Street (rear of Cleve Hill), Nymsfield	NYM003		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site was promoted in response to public consultation on the Draft Plan.

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.
<i>Oakridge Lynch</i>							
North of The Crescent		OAK A	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of concentrating most development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest levels of growth at tier 2 settlements and lesser levels of development at tier 3a settlements. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 3b settlement and is not in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's Tier 1 main towns and two new sustainable settlements together with modest growth at Tier 2 local service centres and lesser levels of growth at Tier 3a accessible settlements with local facilities. It is not therefore proposed to allocate the site for development at this stage. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Painswick</i>							
Land on east side of Gyde Road	PAI001		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape and heritage impact, impacting on the setting of the listed buildings at and surrounding Gyde House within the Conservation Area. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land south of Gyde House	PAI002		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape and heritage impact, impacting on the setting of the listed buildings at Gyde House within the Conservation Area. There are therefore potential

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Washwell Fields	PAI004	PAI A	Yes	No see PAI A	No see PAI A	No see PAI A	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land north-east of Lower Washwell Lane	PAI005		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> Housing development would result in a clear extension of the settlement up the slopes resulting in a significant adverse effect on the open, rural character of the valley within the AONB. The southwest corner is important to the setting of the Conservation Area and development would disrupt the relationship between the historic edge to the settlement and the valley landscape. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Painswick Mill	PAI006		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The impact on the setting and significance of the listed mill and on the group value of it together with the neighbouring cottages would be likely to preclude development on this site. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at Stamages	PAI007	PAI B	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<p>development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Richmond Care Village	PAI008		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact on a heritage asset and the area providing a rare space within the settlement fabric providing a contrast to the generally dense built form. Housing to the west could block the open views which would be significantly detrimental to the character of the approach to the core of the settlement and conservation area. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Lower Broadhams	PAI009		yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of strategic growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Crab Orchard	PAI010		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of strategic growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land north of Groves Close	PAI011		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land to the east of Stamages Lane	PAI012		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely impacts on heritage assets where the area provides a rare space within the settlement fabric providing a contrast to the generally dense built form. Housing could block the open views which would be significantly detrimental to the character of the approach to the core of the settlement and conservation area. There are therefore potential impacts preventing further sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at Clattergrove	PAI013		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. The site is located within the Cotswolds Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty where the highest status is given to landscape protection. Housing development would extend built development to the detriment of the rural character of the area and coalesce existing sporadic development. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
PAI014	Land to the South-east of Stroud Road		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site was promoted in response to public consultation on the Draft Plan <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> This area is generally not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, separating the core of the village from the countryside and the outlying sporadic development. As a gateway to Painswick the fields

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							provide an historic landscape interest and context contributing to the character and setting of this settlement. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Washwell Fields		PAI A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes – as mixed use (PS41)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 2 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for modest growth at Local Service Centres. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The location of the site as a small extension to Painswick, a Tier 2 settlement, is in accordance with the development strategy. The Council considers that the proposed scale of development can be justified as proportionate and specific to Painswick's local housing need and that moderate, planned growth is necessary to sustain the settlement's role, function and community vitality. Matters can be addressed at the planning application stage and through policy wording limiting the developable area to avoid part of the site subject to the restrictive deed of covenant and there are no overriding constraints preventing allocation. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.</p>
Stamages		PAI B	Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> Although the site has been previously assessed as having future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b></p>

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Randwick</i>							
Old chicken farm	RAN001		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Saul</i>							
Land at Saul Farm	SAU001		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Slimbridge</i>							
Land east of St John's Road	SLI001		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> This large area is generally not suitable for development because of the likely impact on an area of high/medium landscape sensitivity, and particularly the role this historic landscape plays in providing a setting for nearby heritage assets and in helping to define the character of the settlement as distinct from Cambridge. Furthermore, there are potential constraints which might affect the ability to create a new site access. There are therefore potential impacts and some physical constraint that would prevent sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Rear of Tynning Crescent/ South of	SLI003	SLI A	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the



Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Moorend Lane							emerging strategy of concentrating most development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest levels of growth at tier 2 settlements and lesser levels of development at tier 3a settlements. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 3b settlement and is not in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's Tier 1 main towns and two new sustainable settlements together with modest growth at Tier 2 local service centres and lesser levels of growth at Tier 3a accessible settlements with local facilities. It is not therefore proposed to allocate the site for development at this stage. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>South Woodchester</i>							
Frogmarsh Meadows, north of Frogmarsh Mill	SWO002		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Stinchcombe</i>							
Land at Townsend Farm	STI001		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Stone</i>							
Land at Damery Lane	STN002		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Green Farm, Falfield	STN003		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Stonehouse</i>							
Magpies site, Oldends Lane,	STO002		Yes	Yes	Yes – as mixed use	Yes – as mixed use (PS17)	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 1 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The site is located at Stonehouse and would be in accordance with the development strategy. The boundary of the site is proposed to be extended to make explicit the relationship between the community facilities to be improved and the enabling housing development. The housing development would enable the Town Council to enhance existing community facilities and provide for new community uses at the adjacent Oldends Lane recreation area. The site lies adjacent to the Bristol-Birmingham main railway line and future plans for a pedestrian bridge across the railway line for pedestrians and cyclists will require land on this site to be safeguarded for these purposes. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.
Land at Park Road/ Severn Road	STO003		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be appropriate subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available. Should the site become available in the future,

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. It is not proposed at this stage to allocate small sites with a net capacity of less than 10 dwellings. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> There remains uncertainty whether this site is available. The development potential of the site is likely to be below the threshold for allocation in the Draft Plan. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land to rear of Regent Street	STO004		Yes	Yes	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have some development potential subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the owners of the site have confirmed that it is not available for development and it has therefore been removed as a potential allocation. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Nutshell House	STO007		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of its location within flood zones 2 and 3 and because of the potential impact on the character and significance of the conservation area and on key views of the listed buildings of Nutshell Bridge, The Nutshell and Nutshell Cottage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at Lower Mills	STO008		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of its location within flood zones 2 and 3 and because of the potential impact on the character and significance of the conservation area and on the setting of Bridgend House. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Rear gardens on north side of	STO009		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be acceptable however there is no

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Pearcroft Road							evidence that the site is currently available or likely to become available in the future. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> There remains uncertainty whether this site is available. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land on south side of Pearcroft Road	STO010		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because the paddock with some mature specimen trees (2 subject to TPO) is important to the character of the settlement, providing a soft southern edge to the town and significantly enhances the residential amenity of the area. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land north of The Glen, Woodcock Lane	STO012		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, adversely affecting the openness of the paddock and local landscape features and the setting for the AONB beyond. Development would severely restrict views from the local footpath and would create a hard urban edge to Stonehouse and diminish its setting in views from the AONB. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at Horsemarling Farm, Standish	STO013		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. Development would significantly extend the settlement into the AONB to the north and east, would be noticeable and prominent and would adversely affect its intrinsic scenic qualities and character. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Former Standish Hospital Site	STO014		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is generally not suitable for housing development because of the remoteness of the site from Stonehouse and the sensitive location within the Cotswolds AONB. However, there may be a case for enabling development to restore the heritage buildings on site. This is subject to a

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<p>planning application to consider the specific case for enabling development and an allocation is considered inappropriate at this time.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site has received planning permission for residential development as a specific case for enabling development.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is being developed.</p>
Land at Stagholt Farm, West of B4008, Standish	STO015	STO B1	Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the southern part of the site may have some development potential subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, further assessment work has identified landscape and visual concerns regarding development at this sensitive location on the edge of the Cotswolds AONB. Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land at Nupend (Parcel B)	STO017	STO B2	Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the</p>

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Stagholt Playing Field Car park	STO018		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have potential for a small exceptional affordable housing scheme on the southern portion of the site, subject to satisfactory replacement of existing changing facilities, car parking and securing appropriate access to adjacent allotments. By their very nature, exception sites are not proposed to be allocated in the Local Plan Review. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site has received planning permission for residential development
Paper Mill, Lower Mills	STO019		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the location within flood zone 3 and because of the contribution of open land to the character of the Conservation Area, providing visual and physical separation between the mill to the south, the distinctive Grade II listed group of Nutshell Bridge, Nutshell Cottage and The Nutshell to the north, and the modern development of Crescent Road / Crescent Close to the north and east. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at Stroud Green	STO020		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the distance from local facilities at Stonehouse, the potential impact on local heritage and because of the likely high impact on the local landscape. The site contains a rising ridge where development is likely to be highly visible within the local area and incongruous as a finger of development within an otherwise rural landscape. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Stagholt Farm, Stonehouse	STO021		Yes	No	Yes – as mixed use	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site forms part of a wider land parcel located at a Tier 1 settlement and is in

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating strategic growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements. The wider site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is no longer available to form part of strategic development site PS19a Northwest of Stonehouse.
Part land south of Horsemarling Lane	STO022		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site comprises part of the larger site STO013 previously assessed as having potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. Development would significantly extend the settlement into the Cotswolds AONB to the north, would be noticeable and prominent and would adversely affect its intrinsic scenic qualities and character. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at The Glen	STO023		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site includes STO012 previously assessed as having potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact on the local landscape and wider setting of the Cotswolds AONB. Development would severely restrict views from the local footpath, would create a hard urban edge to Stonehouse and diminish its setting in views from the AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Part land north west of Stonehouse	STO024		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site comprises part of the larger site STO016 previously assessed as having potential for development as an extension to west of Stonehouse strategic development.

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is part of the larger site STO016 identified as a potential allocation in the Draft Plan. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at Reliance Works, Downtown Road, Bridgend	STO025		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site was promoted in response to public consultation on the Draft Plan. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is part of Upper Mills Industrial Estate key employment site to be retained for employment purposes. The latest Employment Land Review (2020) has confirmed the value of retaining this employment site for employment purposes. The site is not suitable for residential development.
North/ North west of Stonehouse: East of railway		STO B1	Yes	Yes	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the southern part of the site may have some development potential subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, further assessment work has identified landscape and visual concerns regarding development at this sensitive location on the edge of the Cotswolds AONB. Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Stroud</i>							
Land between Hillfield and Downfield	STR001		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be appropriate subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available. Should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. It is not proposed at this stage



Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							to allocate small sites with a net capacity of less than 10 dwellings. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> There remains uncertainty whether this site is available. The development potential of the site is likely to be below the threshold for allocation in the Draft Plan. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Avocet & Goldcrest Business Parks/ Stroud Metals	STR003		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is allocated in the Local Plan for mixed use redevelopment consisting of canal related tourism development, retail and employment uses. A large part of the site is within flood zones 2 and 3 where housing uses are not appropriate. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land adjacent to Fromehall	STR005		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be appropriate subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available. Should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. It is not proposed at this stage to allocate small sites with a net capacity of less than 10 dwellings. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> There remains uncertainty whether this site is available. The development potential of the site is likely to be below the threshold for allocation in the Draft Plan. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Lower Wharf Industrial Estate	STR007	STR A2	Yes	Yes – as mixed use	Yes – as mixed use	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 1 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<p>District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site has potential for partial redevelopment, maintaining and enhancing employment provision and enhancing the IHCA. However, there are major challenges, including flood risk, contaminated land and difficult access issues to address. Whilst landowners within the area are actively considering opportunities for redevelopment, it is premature to allocate the site for redevelopment in this Local Plan as too many issues remain unresolved. However, the area is identified within Policy EI2 as an area where there are opportunities to regenerate employment land for mixed use redevelopment and proposals which can satisfactorily address the outstanding constraints will be supported through the development management process.</p>
Wallbridge Fields	STR008		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. Development would be highly visible and would erode the rural character, remove tree cover and reduce the gap between Stroud and Rodborough Common. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Beeches Green area	STR010		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is identified in the Stroud Town Centre Neighbourhood Development Plan as part of the wider Beeches Green area suitable for the intensification or redevelopment of the education, health and social uses and for residential development on open land where no longer needed for operational purposes. The site is not currently available. However, the site is located within settlement development limits where, should it become available in the future,</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							development opportunities could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Police station/ Magistrates Court	STR018		Yes	Yes	Yes – as mixed use	Yes – as mixed use (PS12)	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is identified in the Stroud Town Centre NDP as suitable for redevelopment for town centre and mixed uses, including housing. The site is located at a Tier 1 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The site is a centrally located brownfield site where the owners are working actively with the District Council to consider redevelopment opportunities in the longer term. The potential need for a replacement Police station is referred to in the policy.
Middle Leazes, off Parliament Street,	STR019		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be acceptable however there is no evidence that the site is currently available or likely to become available in the future. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Rear gardens at Park Road	STR020		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be acceptable however there is no evidence that the site is currently available or likely to become available in the future. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land between 23	STR021		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely landscape

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
and 67 Summer Street							<p>impact, narrowing the width of the green corridor and diverging from the current settlement pattern. Part of the area's sensitivity lies in the views from the gaps along Summer Street. Development would also affect the setting of the AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above  <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land to north of Butterow West,	STR022		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. Development would be highly visible and would erode the rural character, remove tree cover and reduce the gap between Stroud and Rodborough Common. In addition, part of the site constitutes a key wildlife site and development is likely to result in harm or loss to that interest. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above  <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land at Grange Fields	STR023		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, eroding the areas role as a buffer between development areas. The site lies within Cotswolds AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above  <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land to the rear of 87 Summer Street	STR024		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. The site forms part of an incised valley landscape that is both a continuation of and indivisible from the adjacent Cotswolds Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty and a key characteristic to the landscape setting of Stroud. Development would narrow the gap between the urban footprint to the north and south sides of the Slad Valley. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above  <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Land adjacent to rear of 188 Bisley Road	STR025		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. The site is entirely within the Cotswolds AONB and development would be widely visible and an intrusion into the wider rural landscape, detrimental to the character of the local landscape and Cotswolds AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at Kilminster Farm	STR026		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. Development would be an intrusion into the wider rural landscape, would be visible from a distance in several directions, as well as close to from the existing settlement edge and a local recreational area, and would be detrimental to the character of the local landscape, especially the adjoining AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land off Summer Crescent	STR030		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> A large part of the site is a protected outdoor play space and is not considered suitable for development. There are 13 garages to the north of the site. Housing development on this part of the site could only be considered as part of a wider scheme including the improvement of the protected open space/playground. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at Slad Brook	STR031		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, eroding the areas role as a buffer between development areas. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land to the rear of	STR033		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Thrupp House							landscape impact, extending the settlement into the wider rural valley landscape above Bowbridge which would be visible from areas across the Frome valley. It is an integral part of the open countryside and within the designation of Cotswolds AONB. Part of the site adjoins Claypits Wood Key Wildlife Site. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land north of Farmhill Crescent	STR034		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, breaking into the open countryside and disrupting the development line on the ridgetop, eroding the gap between settlements. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Callowell Farm	STR035		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape and heritage impact, eroding the character and continuity of the Painswick Valley running into the settlement, adversely affecting the integrity and setting of Callowell Farm, and adversely affecting the relationship between Stratford Park and the wider countryside. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land opposite Salmon Springs	STR036		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of strategic growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Hammonds Farm	STR037		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape and heritage impact. There are therefore potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location.

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land east of Painswick Old Road	STR038	STR D	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, eroding the areas role as a buffer between development areas. The site lies within Cotswolds AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land north of Folly Lane	STR039		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, extending the existing settlement boundary into the wider upper valley AONB landscape. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land to the north east of Vatch View	STR040		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, changing an open field into a continuum of development and undermining the continuity and significance of the valley sand setting of the AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land off Gunhouse Lane	STR041		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, extending settlement into the wider rural valley landscape above Bowbridge which would be visible from areas across the Frome valley. It is an integral part of the open countryside and within the designation of Cotswolds AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Rodborough, Lightpill/Rooksmoor	STR043		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high heritage and landscape impact within the Cotswold

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							AONB. Development would impact on the setting of the IHCA and key views of neighbouring listed buildings and would impinge on the openness and rural character of the slopes, reducing the gap between settlements. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land behind The Snow Mill	STR044		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact on the setting of the listed mill buildings. The site constitutes a key wildlife site and development is likely to result in harm or loss to that interest. The site also has physical constraints relating to vehicular access and flood risk. There are therefore constraints/potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Jefferies Field	STR045		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The impact on the character and significance of the Industrial Heritage Conservation Area and the setting of the neighbouring listed buildings prohibits development on this site. The area's sensitivity lies in the role as a valuable open gap in ribbon development, allowing fine glimpse views across the valley from the Ebley Road, and as a setting to the canal and double locks and associated Thames and Severn Way to the south. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land east of Jefferies Field	STR046		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The impact on the character and significance of the conservation area and the setting of the neighbouring listed buildings could prohibit development on this site. The area's sensitivity lies in the role as a valuable open gap in ribbon development, allowing fine glimpse views across the valley from the Ebley Road, and as a setting to the canal and double locks and associated Thames and Severn Way to the south. The value of the area lies in its location within the Industrial Heritage Conservation Area. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above



Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Playing field/ Tily's Field	STR047		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because it provides important, well used recreational and sporting facilities and constitutes an important open space within the wider built up area which, together with other open spaces, contributes to a notional green corridor/ setting to the AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land off Ebley Road	STR048		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The impact on the character and significance of the conservation area and the setting of the neighbouring listed buildings could prohibit development on this site. The area's sensitivity lies in the role as a valuable open gap in ribbon development, allowing fine glimpse views across the valley from the Ebley Road, and as a setting to the canal and associated Thames and Severn Way to the south. The value of the area lies in its location within the Industrial Heritage Conservation Area. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at Rose Cottage	STR053		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the high landscape sensitivity of the site and the role it plays in the setting of local heritage assets. Development would be widely visible and would be an intrusion into the wider rural landscape of the AONB, as well as having a negative impact on the setting of local heritage assets. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land off Meadow Lane	STR054		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development due to the significant heritage constraints presented by its location within the Ebley Mills Conservation Area and the potential impact on the setting of surrounding heritage assets. This is exacerbated by its high/medium landscape sensitivity.

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land west of Devereaux Crescent	STR056	STR C	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at Woodhouse Farm	STR057		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The land is not suitable for development because of the high landscape sensitivity of the site within the Cotswold AONB. The majority of the site constitutes a key wildlife site and has significant physical constraints relating to topography. The impact on the character, setting and significance of multiple heritage assets, including Stroud's conservation areas and Rodborough Fort, would be likely to preclude development on the majority of this site. There are therefore physical constraints and potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land south of Bisley Road	STR055		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The land is not suitable for development because of the high landscape sensitivity of the site. Development within this land parcel would be widely visible and would be an intrusion into the wider rural landscape. It would be detrimental to the character of the local landscape. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Former garage site Hillcrest Road	STR058		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have some potential for limited development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, this is below the threshold for allocation in the Draft Plan. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Former garages site adj 11 Queens Drive	STR059		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have some potential for limited development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, this is below the threshold for allocation in the Draft Plan. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Garage site Orchard Road	STR060		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have some potential for limited development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, this is below the threshold for allocation in the Draft Plan. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Garages at Nouncells Cross	STR061		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have some potential for limited development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, this is below the threshold for allocation in the Draft Plan. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Part land south of Kilminster Farm	STR062		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site comprises part of the larger site STR026 previously assessed as having potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. Development would be an intrusion into the wider rural landscape, would be visible from a distance in several directions, as well as close to from the existing settlement edge and a local recreational area, and would be detrimental to the character of the local landscape, especially the adjoining AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land at Wickridge Farm	STR063		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site was not promoted at this stage.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site was promoted in response to public consultation on the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, within the Cotswolds AONB, and harm to the historic landscape setting and significance of listed buildings at Wickridge Farm and Wades Farm. Development would be further detrimental to the character and skyline of the Slad Valley landscape. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.</p>
Additional land north of Folly Lane	STR064		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site was not promoted at this stage.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site was promoted in response to public consultation on the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, within the Cotswolds AONB. Development would be an intrusion into the wider rural landscape, detrimental to the character and skyline of the local landscape. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.</p>

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
North of Grange View/ Delmont Grove		STR D	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> Although the site has been previously assessed as having future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at Beeches Green	STR065		Yes	No	No	Yes – as mixed use (STR065) (site identified through the Additional Housing Options consultation (October 2020))	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site was promoted in response to public consultation on the Draft Plan. The site was included in the Additional Housing Options Consultation Paper October 2020 as a potential site for residential development, healthcare and extra care accommodation <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The site is a centrally located brownfield site within Stroud, a Tier 1 settlement, and is in accordance with the development strategy. Whilst there are constraints including integration with surrounding land uses, enhancing the setting of adjacent listed buildings and improving sustainable access through the town centre, there are no overriding constraints preventing allocation. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.
Part STR38 Land east of	STR066		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site was not promoted at this stage.

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Painswick Old Road							<p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site was promoted in response to public consultation on the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is considered to be unsuitable for development, due to the likely landscape impacts. Development of this site, located within the Cotswolds Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty, would be an intrusion into the wider rural landscape and would be detrimental to the character and skyline of the local landscape. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.</p>
<i>Upton St Leonard</i>							
Land at Nuthill	UPT001		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered unsuitable for development because of its remote location relative to existing established settlements with services and facilities. The Lane is also narrow, sunken and rural in character. There are therefore physical constraints and potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land South of Bondend Road	UPT002		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact from development of this field identified in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment analysis.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
The Stanley	UPT003	UPT A1	Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of concentrating most development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest levels of growth at tier 2 settlements and lesser levels of development at tier 3a settlements. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 3b settlement and is not in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's Tier 1 main towns and two new</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							sustainable settlements together with modest growth at Tier 2 local service centres and lesser levels of growth at Tier 3a accessible settlements with local facilities. It is not therefore proposed to allocate the site for development at this stage.
Land east of Perry Orchard	UPT004	UPT A2	Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of concentrating most development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest levels of growth at tier 2 settlements and lesser levels of development at tier 3a settlements.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 3b settlement and is not in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's Tier 1 main towns and two new sustainable settlements together with modest growth at Tier 2 local service centres and lesser levels of growth at Tier 3a accessible settlements with local facilities. It is not therefore proposed to allocate the site for development at this stage.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land south of High Street	UPT005		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> This large area is generally not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. Development would extend the settlement into the AONB, adversely affecting the intimate character of the valley and the escarpment foot slopes, and also, potentially, adversely affecting the tree cover. The extent of the development site would detrimentally alter rural character.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land south of Hucclecote Meadows	UPT006		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of concentrating most development at</p>

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<p>the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest levels of growth at tier 2 settlements and lesser levels of development at tier 3a settlements.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 3b settlement and is not in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's Tier 1 main towns and two new sustainable settlements together with modest growth at Tier 2 local service centres and lesser levels of growth at Tier 3a accessible settlements with local facilities. It is not therefore proposed to allocate the site for development at this stage.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land at Brockworth	UPT007		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, narrowing the gap between Brockworth and Upton St Leonards. Landscape features indicate an open parkland landscape setting of a former manor estate. The area provides an immediate setting to the AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land adj to Brockworth Airfield	UPT008		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact within the AONB on rising ground on the escarpment edge, narrowing the gap between Brockworth and Upton St Leonards. Landscape features indicate an open parkland landscape setting of a former manor estate. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Hardwick Farm	UPT009		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of strategic growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>



Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Prinknash Abbey	UPT010		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of strategic growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at Birchall Lane	UPT011		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> This area is generally not suitable for built development because of the likely adverse landscape impact. Housing within the area would extend the settlement along the M5, adversely affecting the intimate character of the valley and tree cover. It would erode the separate character of Upton St Leonards settlement by coalescing sporadic development at Grove and St Leonards Court with a main access into adjoining Gloucester City suburbs and would detrimentally alter the rural character of the area. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Field south of Fieldway	UPT012		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development as this would detrimentally alter the rural character. The site is in a relatively remote location detached from the village core and within a river corridor important to the footslopes of the AONB. The area acts as a visual and physical separation between the main settlement and the scattered rural dwellings further to the south east. The Lane is also narrow and rural in character. There are therefore physical constraints and potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Part Land south of the High Street	UPT013		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site comprises part of the larger site UPT005 previously assessed as having potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. Development would extend the settlement into

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							the AONB, adversely affecting the intimate character of the valley and the escarpment foot slopes, and also, potentially, adversely affecting the tree cover. Development site would detrimentally alter rural character and have potential to coalesce sporadic development here. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at Upton Lane	UPT014		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site comprises the combined area of UPT006 and UPT007 previously assessed as having some future potential within UPT006 subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, narrowing the gap between Brockworth and Upton St Leonards. Landscape features indicate an open parkland landscape setting of a former manor estate. The area provides an immediate setting to the Cotswolds AONB and shares the same landscape characteristics. Development may impact the adjacent SSSI through isolation from the wider countryside. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Whitminster</i>							
Land East of School Lane	WHI001	WHI E	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Land north of Hyde Lane	WHI002		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The land is not suitable for development because of the high landscape sensitivity of the site, highly visible to the north and separate from the main part of the settlement in open countryside. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land west of Upton's Garden	WHI004	WHI B	Yes	No	Yes – as mixed use	Yes – as mixed use (PS45)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 3a settlement, outside the Cotswolds AONB, in reasonable proximity to strategic transport corridors. The site is in accordance with the development strategy for growth at accessible settlements with a range of local facilities and is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The location of the site as a small sustainable extension to Whitminster, a Tier 3a settlement, is in accordance with the development strategy. Whilst there are specific site issues, including impacts on designated heritage assets, wider landscape setting and local biodiversity, which will need to be addressed at the planning application stage, there are no</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							overriding constraints preventing allocation. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.
Land West of School Lane	WHI005	WHI A	Yes	No	No See WHI A	No See WHI A	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Highfield Nurseries	WHI006		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The land is not suitable for development because of the landscape sensitivity of the site, visible on the unspoilt skyline north of the settlement and viewed as part of the rural area from the north, west and south west. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land to west of Paynes Meadow	WHI008	WHI D	Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at Jaxons Farm	WHI010		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site includes WHI002 previously assessed as having potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The land is not suitable for development because of the high landscape sensitivity of the site, highly visible to the north and separate from the main part of the settlement in open countryside. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land north of Grove End Farm	WHI011		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site was promoted in response to public consultation on the Draft Plan. The site is part of a larger site WHI014 included in the Additional Housing Options Consultation Paper October 2020 as potential growth point PGP1, Land at Grove End Farm for mixed use development (see site WHI014/PGP1). <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land south of Hyde Lane	WHI012		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site was promoted in response to public consultation on the Draft Plan. The site was included in the Additional Housing Options Consultation Paper October 2020 as a potential site for residential development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the results of public consultation, assessment work and local evidence, the Council has decided not to allocated this site due to inadequate access along Hyde Lane as a main access to development and its role within the wider Public Rights of Way network. It is considered that local housing needs can be better provided for at

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							PS45 Land west of Upton's Gardens and PS46 Land west of School Lane, in accordance with the development strategy for Whitminster as an accessible settlement with local facilities.
Land at Grove End Farm (2,250 dwellings, 18ha employment land, local centre, primary school and sports pitches)	WHI014/PGP1 Combined site WHI007 & WHI011		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site was promoted in response to public consultation on the Draft Plan. The site was identified in the Additional Housing Options Consultation Paper October 2020 as potential growth point PGP1 Land at Grove End Farm for mixed use development including 2,250 dwellings, 18ha employment land, local centre, primary school and sports pitches.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the results of public consultation, assessment work and local evidence, the Council has decided not to take this growth point forward into the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan. The site performs less well than alternatives sites in terms of meeting sustainability appraisal objectives and compatibility with the proposed development strategy.</p>
North of Whitminster Playing field		WHI A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes – as mixed use (PS46)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> Although the site has been previously assessed as having future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 3a settlement, outside the Cotswolds AONB, in reasonable proximity to strategic transport corridors. The site is in accordance with the development strategy for growth at accessible settlements with a range of local facilities and is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p>

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The location of the site as a sustainable extension to Whitminster, a Tier 3a settlement, is in accordance with the development strategy. Whilst there are specific site issues, including public footpaths, and impacts on the wider landscape setting and local biodiversity, which will need to be addressed at the planning application stage, there are no overriding constraints preventing allocation. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.
East of School Lane		WHI E	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> Although the site has been previously assessed as having future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Wotton-under-Edge</i>							
Land at Holywell Farm	WUE001		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> Development of this site would result in material harm to the character and appearance of the Cotswolds AONB and likely harm to protected species on site. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Land north east of Cherry Orchard	WUE002		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. The area's sensitivity lies in its very steeply sloping slopes, its relationship with the upper scarp slopes and contribution to their character and its openness to view across the valley. It would be very difficult to accommodate and mitigate on the steep slopes.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land off Fountain Crescent	WUE003		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has received planning permission for residential development.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is now being developed for housing</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site has been developed.</p>
Land south of Bearlands	WUE004		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. It would extend the current visible linear edge over onto the slope and would be inappropriate running down the slopes compromising a key characteristic of the AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
45 Water Lane	WUE005		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be acceptable however there is no evidence that the site is currently available or likely to become available in the future.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land west of Water Lane	WUE006		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. The area is steep sloping and contributes as a green corridor. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>



Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Land south of Symn Lane	WUE007		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, extending the current visible linear edge over onto the slope. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land off The Chipping	WUE008		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be acceptable however there is no evidence that the site is currently available or likely to become available in the future. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at Hawpark Farm	WUE010		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the high landscape sensitivity of the site including the setting of the listed Kingswood House and Park Mill Farm. Development would encroach on the sensitive visual gap between Wotton under Edge and Kingswood, eroding the separation and distinct nature of the two settlements, and detract from the character of the listed buildings as outliers within the rural edge landscape. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<b>Employment sites</b>							
<i>Berkeley</i>							
Old Piggery	BER012	BER C	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Former Berkeley Power Station	BER013		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> Whilst the site has potential for employment uses, the site is already identified in the Local Plan for B1-B8 employment uses and so allocation of the land for additional employment development is not required. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Brimscombe</i>							
Griffin Mills and Eagle Works Industrial Estates	BRI001		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> Whilst the site has potential for employment uses, the site is already located within a key employment area and so allocation of the land for additional development is not required. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Lower Brimscombe Mills	BRI006	BRI A	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may be suitable for some redevelopment for employment purposes subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available. The site is located within settlement development limits where, should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Stafford Mills Industrial Estate	BRI018		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may be suitable for some redevelopment for employment purposes subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available. The site is located within settlement development limits where, should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Cam</i>							

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Cam Mills, Everlands	CAM002		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Draycott/ Middle Mill Industrial Estate	CAM019		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Rear of 4-60 Draycott	CAM020		Yes	Yes	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have some development potential subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However the site is partly in active use, is in multiple ownerships and is not being actively promoted. Consequently, the site is not considered deliverable and has been removed as a potential employment allocation in the Draft Local Plan. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy.</p>

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Eastington</i>							
Land at Meadow Mill	EAS006		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has received outline planning permission for employment development.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Planning permission for employment development on the site has now lapsed. Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for strategic employment development.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land at Junction 13 of the M5	EAS007	STO D1, STO D2	Yes	Yes (now split into 2 sections)	Yes – as a single site for mixed use	Yes – as mixed use (PS20)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at the Junction of the M5 motorway and the A419 and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for strategic employment growth at accessible locations within the A38/ M5 corridor. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan as part of a wider land parcel located at the Junction of the M5 motorway and the A419. The wider site is in accordance with the development strategy for new employment land located at the key employment property market areas identified in the ELR. The overall quantum of employment land is intended to meet labour supply requirements and to deliver the aspirations of GFirst Local Industrial Strategy. The site performs relatively well in the Sustainability Appraisal against reasonable alternatives, using a range of sustainability objectives. The Habitats Regulation Assessment has not identified any issues or impacts on biodiversity sites which are not capable of mitigation.</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre- submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							Whilst there are constraints, including impacts upon the Industrial Heritage Conservation Area (IHCA) and flood risk, these are capable of mitigation through layout and design measures. A comprehensive mitigation package for impacts upon the IHCA will require a Canal cut, towpath and operational uses as part of the restoration of the Stroudwater Canal to navigable uses from Saul Junction to Stroud. The location of primarily grass training pitches within the IHCA will minimise flood risk, minimise the impact of built development on the IHCA and ensure uses are compatible with sensitive neighbouring uses including William Morris House. There are positive benefits related to significantly improved facilities for Forest Green Rovers Football Club, a high quality business park focussed on green technologies in an accessible location and the potential to significantly improve sustainable transport services along the A419 corridor including multi-modal transport interchange facilities, enhancing local walking and cycling routes and contributions to the reopening of Stonehouse railway station. The transport assessment work has identified no major issues and opportunities for sustainable transport measures and modest highway mitigation works to address traffic and safety issues. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan has identified no major issues which cannot be addressed. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.
Motorway Depot at M5 Junction 13	EAS010		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land adjoining Oldbury Lodge	EAS017		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely landscape and heritage impacts. Development of this site would be detrimental to this green corridor, visibly extending sporadic development into the rural fringe and urbanising the area between Chipman's Platt, Church End, Nastend and Newtown. A heritage assessment has indicated that the impact on the character, setting and significance of the Conservation Area could prohibit development on this site. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Frampton</i>							
Netherhills Depot	FRA003	FRA C	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
North of Perry Way, A38		FRA C	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> Although the site has been previously assessed as having future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the Draft

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Hardwicke</i>							
Land at Shorn Brook	HAR003		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> From the information available, employment development is not considered appropriate given the local amenity, character contribution, access and potential flooding constraints on Shorn Brook further west. There are therefore constraints/potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Summerhill Equestrian Centre	HAR006		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because the site is isolated and detached from the Gloucester Urban Area and has physical constraints relating to current active sport/recreation use and flood risk. There are therefore constraints/potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Moreton Valence Airfield	HAR009		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
CM Downton Ltd	HAR019		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site was promoted in response to consultation on the Draft Plan and identified as having future potential for some additional and

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							sensitively designed employment development in association with the existing employment use. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not considered appropriate as a strategic employment allocation due to the established rural character of its location, separate from existing established settlements with services and facilities. The site has not been identified as a Key Employment site due to the scale of development appropriate to the rural area. The Council support the protection of the site for employment uses in accordance with Delivery Policy EI4 with opportunities for appropriate intensification through the development management process.
Broad location at Moreton Valence / Hardwicke	HAR006-HAR009 and HAR015-HAR016/PGP2		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> Sites assessed on an individual basis at this stage as above. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Broad location at Moreton Valence / Hardwicke promoted in response to consultation on the Draft Plan and identified in the Additional Housing Options consultation (October 2020) as potential growth point PGP 2 for mixed use including up to 1,500 dwellings, employment land, local centre, primary school, community facilities and open space. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the results of public consultation, assessment work and local evidence, the Council has decided not to take this growth point forward into the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan. The site performs less well than alternatives sites in terms of meeting sustainability appraisal objectives and compatibility with the proposed development strategy.
<i>Haresfield</i>							
Blooms Garden Centre	HFD007		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.



Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
SA4a Quedgeley East	HFD008		Yes	Yes	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site has received planning permission for employment development and it has therefore been removed as a potential allocation.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Quedgeley East Extension	HFD009		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes – as employment use (PS32)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is an extension to the existing employment allocation at Quedgeley East and is in accordance with the development strategy for strategic employment growth at accessible locations within the A38/ M5 corridor. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The location of the site, as an extension to an existing high value key employment site, is in accordance with the development strategy for strategic employment growth and there are no overriding constraints preventing allocation. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.</p>
Land west of 3 The Cottages, Haresfield	HFD010		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of employment growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements or related to existing employment sites and</p>

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							is not considered a sustainable location for new development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land east of Gloucester Road (B4008), Haresfield	HFD011		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, narrowing the countryside gap and historic landscape setting to Haresfield listed buildings and monument. There are therefore significant landscape and heritage impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land west of Gloucester Road (B4008), Haresfield	HFD012		Yes	No	Yes	Yes – as employment use (PS43)	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located adjacent to Javelin Park waste incinerator and is in accordance with the development strategy for strategic employment growth at accessible locations within the A38/ M5 corridor. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The location of the site, as an extension to an existing high value key employment site, is in accordance with the development strategy for strategic employment growth and there are no overriding constraints preventing allocation. A southerly extension to the site will provide a more deliverable footprint for the development. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.
Land at Haresfield Playing Field	HFD013		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site was promoted in response to public consultation on the Draft Plan. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new development.
<i>Kingswood</i>							
Land west of Renishaw New mills	KINO12		Yes	No	Yes	Yes – as employment use (PS47)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is part of the existing land ownership at Renishaw New Mills, a notable employment 'hub' and Key Employment Site. The SALA identifies that the site has potential for development as an extension to Renishaw New Mills subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. The site offers opportunities to facilitate sustainable transport links between Kingswood, Wotton under Edge and the wider area including the Wotton-Charfield-Kingswood Greenway. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The location of the site, as an extension to an existing high value key employment site, is in accordance with the development strategy for strategic employment growth and there are no overriding constraints preventing allocation. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.</p>
<i>Minchinhampton</i>							
Land parcel south of Old Aerodrome Farm	MIN011		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is located within the Cotswolds Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty where the highest status is given to landscape protection. Development would impact on the generally open and undeveloped character of the area, removed from established settlements with services and facilities. There are therefore physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land adjoining Aston Down Business Park	MIN012		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is located within the Cotswolds Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty where the highest status is given to landscape protection. Development would impact on the generally open and undeveloped character of the area, removed from established settlements with services and facilities. There are therefore physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Nailsworth</i>							
Nailsworth Garden Centre	NAI002	NAI C	Yes	Yes	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have some development potential for employment purposes subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the site has now been bought and reinvested in as an operational garden centre. There is no evidence to suggest deliverability for wider employment purposes and the site has therefore been removed as a potential allocation for employment purposes. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at Gigg Mill, between Old Bristol Rd & Old Horsley Rd	NAI005		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may be suitable for some redevelopment for employment purposes subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available. The site is located within settlement development limits where, should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> There remains uncertainty whether this site is available. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Newtown and Sharpness</i>							

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
SA5a South of Severn Distribution park	NEW004		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has received outline planning permission for employment development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Newport</i>							
Actrees Farm	NPT003		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have some potential for employment development as an extension to existing employment uses subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the site is not in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for the location of further strategic employment growth at accessible locations within the A38/ M5 corridor and it is not therefore proposed to allocate the site for employment development at this stage. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>South Woodchester</i>							
South Woodchester Industrial Area	SWO001		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> Whilst the site has potential for employment uses, the site is already located within a key employment area and so allocation of the land for additional development is not required. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Stonehouse</i>							
Land adj ABB/Kent, Oldends Lane	STO001		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> Whilst the site has potential for employment uses, the site is already located within a key employment area and so allocation of the land for additional development is not required. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at The Glen	STO023		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site includes STO012 previously assessed as having potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact on the local landscape and wider setting of the Cotswolds AONB. Development would severely restrict views from the local footpath,

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							would create a hard urban edge to Stonehouse and diminish its setting in views from the AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Stroud</i>							
Fromeside	STR012		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at Libbys Drive/ Slad Road	STR027		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that part of the site may be suitable for some development for employment purposes subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available. The site is located within settlement development limits where, should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> There remains uncertainty whether this site is available. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
New Mills Trading Estate/ Libbys Drive	STR029		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> Whilst the site has potential for employment uses, the site is already located within a key employment area and so allocation of the land for additional development is not required. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Bath Road Trading Estate	STR042	STR B2	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> Whilst the site has potential for employment uses, the site is already located within a key employment area and so allocation of the land for additional development is not required. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Brunsdons Yard	STR049	KST D	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> Whilst the site has potential for employment uses, the site is already located within a key employment area and so allocation of the land for additional development is not required. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Bath Road Industrial Estate		STR B2	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> Although the site has been previously assessed as having future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<b>Mixed use sites</b>							
<i>Brimcombe</i>							
Ham Mill	BRI002		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has received planning permission for mixed use development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is an existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. However, there remains uncertainty about the availability of the site and planning permission has now lapsed. The site is identified within Policy E12 as an area where there are opportunities to regenerate employment land for mixed use redevelopment and proposals which can satisfactorily address constraints will be supported through the development management process.

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Land at Hope Mill Lane	BRI003		Yes	Yes	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have some development potential subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the site is not being actively promoted and has significant contaminated land challenges. The site is located within settlement development limits where, should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Brimscombe Port Industrial Estate	BRI009	BRI B	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes – as mixed use (PS02)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is an existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site is located at a Tier 3a settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for lesser levels of growth at accessible settlements with local facilities. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The site is allocated in the current adopted Local Plan and is located within the Stroud Valleys canal corridor where regeneration of this brownfield site would be in accordance with the development strategy. Whilst there are constraints such as heritage assets and potential flood risk which will need to be addressed at the planning application stage, there are no overriding constraints preventing allocation. The Council is actively progressing the regeneration of this site and the restoration of the Thames and Severn Canal basin with help and funding from various public agencies. Existing uses on-site have short term leases only and will need to vacate the site. A development partner will be selected by the Council</p>



Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							shortly and delivery is expected as set out in the Local Plan.
Bourne Mills	BRI021	BRI B	Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may be suitable for some mixed use redevelopment subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available. The site is located within settlement development limits where, should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> There remains uncertainty whether this site is available. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
The Bungalow and yard, Toadsmoor Road, Brimscombe	BRI023		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site was promoted in response to public consultation on the Draft Plan</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of housing growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new development.</p>
<i>Brookthorpe</i>							
Land at Whaddon	BRO002		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes – as mixed use (G2)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located on the Gloucester Fringe and has been identified as a potential alternative site to meet Gloucester's long term housing needs. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that this site is appropriate for</p>

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<p>safeguarding to meet the needs of Gloucester City in the Local Plan. This site is not associated with any established Stroud settlement set out within the settlement hierarchy and is a poor fit with the development strategy for Stroud District. However, the site relates well to the urban edge of Gloucester and this site offers the potential to contribute to Gloucester City's housing needs at a location which maximises the potential for residents to use active travel and public transport to access jobs, services and facilities. The site performs relatively well in the Sustainability Appraisal against reasonable alternatives, using a range of sustainability objectives. The Habitats Regulation Assessment has not identified any issues or impacts on biodiversity sites which are not capable of mitigation. Whilst there are constraints, including proximity to M5, Cotswolds escarpment and impacts on local heritage and flood risk, these are capable of mitigation through layout and design measures. Strategic landscaping buffers will protect local amenity and adverse impacts on the AONB, accessible natural green space along Daniels Brook will assist with addressing wider flood risk in the area and a sensitive layout and design approach will conserve local heritage assets including Whaddon Church. There are also positive benefits related to proximity to the A4173 and the ability to connect to strategic bus services and proximity to jobs, services and facilities within the local area. The transport assessment work has identified opportunities for sustainable transport measures and contribution to highway mitigation works to address traffic and safety issues. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan has identified no major issues which cannot be addressed. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.</p>
<i>Cam</i>							
Coaley Junction	CAM014		Yes	Yes	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site has since received planning permission for residential development and it has therefore been removed as a potential allocation. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is being developed for housing.
SA3 North east of Cam	CAM017		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has received planning permission for mixed use development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land north of Upthorpe	CAM018	CAM D, CAM E	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at Cambridge/ Coaley B	CAM027		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the landscape harm, eroding the countryside gap between Slimbridge, Cambridge and Cam. The site also lacks an obvious vehicular access. There are therefore potential impacts and constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at Upthorpe Farm (part)	CAM029		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site comprises part of the larger CAM003 previously assessed as having potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact including the impact on the setting and key views of nearby listed buildings. Housing development would

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							erode the separation between Cam and Upthorpe, extend above the 50m contour to be visible from Peaked Down and the AONB and encroach on the setting of Dulkan Brook. Employment development would further have a very adverse effect on the rural character of the area. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land at Oakland House	CAM030		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site was promoted in response to public consultation on the Draft Plan <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. Development would result in the loss of the mature trees and gardens surrounding Oakland House, which make an important contribution to the local landscape setting, and would be detrimental to the amenities of the local area. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location
Land south of Everside Lane	CAM031		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site was promoted in response to public consultation on the Draft Plan <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact and impact on the historic context and setting of Grade II listed Woodend Green Farmhouse. The site is conspicuous in longer range views from the south, including from the network of public footpaths south of Woodside Lane and the more distant wooded scarp and plays a significant role in the local landscape setting. Development would have a detrimental impact on the rural character and setting of Grade II Listed Woodend Green Farm. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Additional land north of Upthorpe	CAM032		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage.

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site was promoted in response to public consultation on the Draft Plan</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact; housing development would be highly visible rising up the slopes and would erode the separation between Cam and Upthorpe. The site lies above the 50m contour line where development is considered widely visible and detrimental to the rural setting of Cam. Employment development would further have a very adverse effect on the rural character of the area. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.</p>
Land north of 7 – 9A Upthorpe	CAM033		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site was promoted in response to public consultation on the Draft Plan</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact; housing development would be highly visible rising up the slopes and would erode the separation between Cam and Upthorpe. The site lies above the 50m contour line where development is considered widely visible and detrimental to the rural setting of Cam. Employment development would further have a very adverse effect on the rural character of the area. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.</p>
South of Draycott Farm		CAM D	Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> Although the site has been previously assessed as having future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Dursley</i>							
1-25 Long Street	DUR003		Yes	Yes	Yes (part)	Yes – as town centre uses (PS27)	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 1 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements and maximising the use of brownfield land within settlement development limits. The site is considered suitable and offers the opportunity to provide the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The location of the site within Dursley, a Tier 1 settlement, is in accordance with the development strategy. Whilst there are specific site issues, including impacts on designated heritage assets and local biodiversity, access and multiple ownership, which will need to be addressed at the planning application stage, there are no overriding constraints preventing allocation. The site is not currently being actively promoted however there is a reasonable expectation that the site will be taken forward during the lifetime of the Plan.
Reliance House	DUR004		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has received planning permission for redevelopment for car parking. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site has been redeveloped for car parking. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land to the rear of 12 - 14	DUR018		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Parsonage Street							appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Eastington</i>							
Land rear of Alkerton Court	EAS002	EAS B2	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is in existing employment use. At Eastington there is a programme of community led housing developments to be delivered as rural exception sites as set out in the Neighbourhood Development Plan. It is understood that broad locations identified through the Local Plan process may have potential for this form of development and therefore at this stage it is expected that further growth at Eastington (Alkerton) will be developed through this approach. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
West of Alkerton: Alkerton Court		EAS B2	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> Although the site has been previously assessed as having future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<p>allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> At Eastington there is a programme of community led housing developments to be delivered as rural exception sites as set out in the Neighbourhood Development Plan. It is understood that broad locations identified through the Local Plan process may have potential for this form of development and therefore at this stage it is expected that further growth at Eastington (Alkerton) will be developed through this approach.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
<i>Frampton</i>							
Land at Whitminster Lane/ Church Lane	FRA002	FRA A	Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is not in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for the location of further strategic employment growth at accessible locations within the A38/ M5 corridor. Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
<i>Hardwicke</i>							
Land at Quadrant Distribution Centre	HAR013		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is identified as a Key Employment Site EK13 Hunts Grove/ Quadrant Distribution Centre and is not suitable for mixed use redevelopment due to the loss of key employment land.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>



Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
<i>Kings Stanley</i>							
Land parcel north west of Stanley Mills	KST007		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely harm to local heritage (conservation areas and listed buildings), harm or loss to a key wildlife site and because of its location within flood risk zones 2 and 3. The site also lacks an obvious vehicular access. There are therefore constraints/potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
<i>Minchinhampton</i>							
Aston Down Business Park	MIN010		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is identified in the Local Plan as a Key Employment Site and is not suitable for housing development because of the relatively remote location of Aston Down relative to existing established settlements with services and facilities.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
<i>Newport</i>							
Land off the A38 at Actrees Farm	NPT002		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new mixed use development.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
<i>Newtown and Sharpness</i>							
Sharpness Docks	NEW001		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes – as mixed use (PS34)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is an existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site is located at a Tier 3a settlement and is in accordance with the development strategy for growth at accessible settlements with local facilities. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre- submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<p>evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that this site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The site is an existing allocation within the current adopted Local Plan and has been thoroughly assessed previously through the process of examining that Plan. The site performs relatively well in the Sustainability Appraisal against reasonable alternatives, using a range of sustainability objectives. The Habitats Regulation Assessment has identified potential impacts on Severn Estuary designations but the policy and supporting text require substantial mitigation measures to ensure there are no significant impacts and potential benefits from allocation. Whilst there are constraints, including flood risk, land contamination and proximity to a working port, these are capable of mitigation through layout and design measures. There has been detailed work on layout matters to ensure that development will be safe from any potential safety incidents at the southern Docks. The housing is specifically required to deliver the leisure and tourism led vision for the northern Sharpness Docks. The vision will positively enhance heritage assets within the area. There are positive benefits related to the regeneration of the Berkeley/Sharpness area, with the regeneration of the Sharpness Docks complementing the regeneration of the former Berkeley Power Station for employment uses and the proposed new settlement, with the promoters working with the Berkeley Vale Railway to bring back passenger services to the Sharpness Branchline for both tourism and commuting purposes. An enhanced rail branch line also provides the opportunity to achieve a freight railhead at the Docks. The transport assessment work has identified a range of highway mitigation measures to ensure traffic and safety issues can be addressed and also opportunities for sustainable transport measures to provide realistic options to the use of the private car. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan has identified no major issues which cannot be addressed. The Canal and River Trust is actively delivering its vision for Sharpness and a current planning application is at an advanced stage within the decision making process.</p>

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Land at Sharpness (Sanigar Farm)	NEW002	NEW A1, NEW A2, NEW A3, NEW A4, NEW A5	Yes	Yes (polygon amended to exclude A3 no longer being promoted)	Yes	Yes – as mixed use (PS36)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is in accordance with the development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements at Sharpness and at Wisloe within the Severn Vale (A38/M5 corridor). The site offers the opportunity to transform local transport facilities through a new rail station with services to Gloucester and rapid bus services to main towns including Bristol. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that this site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. This new settlement, developed in accordance with Garden City principles, will contribute to the District's housing and employment needs at a location which maximises the potential for residents to use active travel and public transport to access jobs, services and facilities. The site performs relatively well in the Sustainability Appraisal against reasonable alternatives, using a range of sustainability objectives. The Habitats Regulation Assessment has identified potential impacts on Severn Estuary designations but the policy and supporting text will require substantial mitigation measures to ensure there are no significant impacts and potential benefits from allocation. Whilst there are constraints, including flood risk, landscape and biodiversity impacts, these are capable of mitigation through layout and design measures. Infrastructure improvements relating to drainage and flood risk will also benefit existing residents within the area. The new settlement is being designed with strategic landscaping buffers, a network of multifunctional Green Infrastructure and with a strong design code to protect the separate character and identify of Berkeley, Sharpness, Wanswell, Brookend and rural hamlets. The site will have no adverse impact on</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<p>the operational requirements of the Nuclear Decommissioning Authority and Magnox. There are positive benefits related to the regeneration of the Berkeley/Sharpness area, with the new settlement complementing and supporting existing regeneration initiatives at Sharpness Docks and the former Berkeley Power Station. The development of 10 hectares of employment land will complement the growing employment opportunities at the Gloucestershire Science and Technology Park and adjacent to Sharpness Docks. Proximity to the historic market town of Berkeley will provide services and facilities for the new community in the early years and support the vitality and viability of the market town, whilst the final range of services and facilities at the new settlement will benefit existing communities, once established. There is a particular opportunity to provide passenger services on the Sharpness rail branch line. The transport assessment work has identified a range of highway mitigation measures to ensure traffic and safety issues can be addressed and also opportunities for sustainable transport measures to provide realistic options to the use of the private car. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan has identified no major issues which cannot be addressed. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.</p>
Additional land at Newtown and Wanswell	NEW002a		Yes	No	Yes	Yes – as mixed use (PS36)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage.  <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is in accordance with the development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements at Sharpness and at Wisloe within the Severn Vale (A38/M5 corridor). The site offers the opportunity to transform local transport facilities through a new rail station with services to Gloucester and rapid bus services to main towns including Bristol. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre- submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that this site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. This new settlement, developed in accordance with Garden City principles, will contribute to the District's housing and employment needs at a location which maximises the potential for residents to use active travel and public transport to access jobs, services and facilities.</p> <p>The site performs relatively well in the Sustainability Appraisal against reasonable alternatives, using a range of sustainability objectives. The Habitats Regulation Assessment has identified potential impacts on Severn Estuary designations but the policy and supporting text will require substantial mitigation measures to ensure there are no significant impacts and potential benefits from allocation.</p> <p>Whilst there are constraints, including flood risk, landscape and biodiversity impacts, these are capable of mitigation through layout and design measures. Infrastructure improvements relating to drainage and flood risk will also benefit existing residents within the area. The new settlement is being designed with strategic landscaping buffers, a network of multifunctional Green Infrastructure and with a strong design code to protect the separate character and identity of Berkeley, Sharpness, Wanswell, Brookend and rural hamlets. The site will have no adverse impact on the operational requirements of the Nuclear Decommissioning Authority and Magnox.</p> <p>There are positive benefits related to the regeneration of the Berkeley/Sharpness area, with the new settlement complementing and supporting existing regeneration initiatives at Sharpness Docks and the former Berkeley Power Station. The development of 10 hectares of employment land will complement the growing employment opportunities at the Gloucestershire Science and Technology Park and adjacent to Sharpness Docks. Proximity to the historic market town of Berkeley will provide services and facilities for the new community in the early years and support the vitality and viability of</p>

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<p>the market town, whilst the final range of services and facilities at the new settlement will benefit existing communities, once established. There is a particular opportunity to provide passenger services on the Sharpness rail branch line.</p> <p>The transport assessment work has identified a range of highway mitigation measures to ensure traffic and safety issues can be addressed and also opportunities for sustainable transport measures to provide realistic options to the use of the private car. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan has identified no major issues which cannot be addressed. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.</p>
<i>Saul</i>							
Fretherne Nurseries	SAU002		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is generally not suitable for housing development because of the remoteness of the site from a sustainable settlement and the likely significant heritage impact. Sensitive re-use and partial redevelopment for mixed use may be acceptable to secure the long term future of this important heritage asset. A comprehensive masterplan and supporting heritage justification would be required and an allocation is considered inappropriate at this time.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
<i>Slimbridge</i>							
Land at Cambridge/ Coaley A	SLI002		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes – as mixed use (PS37)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site forms part of a wider land parcel located within the Severn Vale (A38/M5 corridor). The wider site is in accordance with the development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements at Sharpness and at Wisloe within the Severn Vale (A38/M5 corridor). The wider site offers the opportunity to improve access to Cam and Dursley rail station and to local facilities. The wider site is considered</p>

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<p>suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the wider site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. This new settlement, developed in accordance with Garden City principles, will contribute to the District's housing and employment needs at a location which maximises the potential for residents to use active travel and public transport to access jobs, services and facilities.</p>
Land east of Gossington	SLI004		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes – as mixed use (PS37)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site forms part of a wider land parcel located within the Severn Vale (A38/M5 corridor). The wider site is in accordance with the development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements at Sharpness and at Wisloe within the Severn Vale (A38/M5 corridor). The wider site offers the opportunity to improve access to Cam and Dursley rail station and to local facilities. The wider site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the wider site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. This new settlement, developed in accordance with Garden City principles, will contribute to the District's housing and employment needs at a location which maximises the potential for residents to use active travel and public transport to access jobs, services and facilities.</p>
Land south of Cambridge	SLI005		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes – as mixed use (PS37)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p>

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site forms part of a wider land parcel located within the Severn Vale (A38/M5 corridor). The wider site is in accordance with the development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements at Sharpness and at Wisloe within the Severn Vale (A38/M5 corridor). The wider site offers the opportunity to improve access to Cam and Dursley rail station and to local facilities. The wider site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the wider site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. This new settlement, developed in accordance with Garden City principles, will contribute to the District's housing and employment needs at a location which maximises the potential for residents to use active travel and public transport to access jobs, services and facilities.</p>
Land at Cambridge/ Coaley A, Land east of Gossington and Land south of Cambridge	SLI002, SLI004, and SLI005		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes – as mixed use (PS37)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is in accordance with the development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements at Sharpness and at Wisloe within the Severn Vale (A38/M5 corridor). The site offers the opportunity to improve access to Cam and Dursley rail station and to local facilities. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that this site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. This new settlement, developed in accordance with Garden City principles, will contribute to the District's housing and employment needs at a location which maximises the potential for</p>



Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<p>residents to use active travel and public transport to access jobs, services and facilities.</p> <p>The site performs relatively well in the Sustainability Appraisal against reasonable alternatives, using a range of sustainability objectives. The Habitats Regulation Assessment has not identified any issues or impacts on biodiversity sites which are not capable of mitigation. Whilst there are constraints, including proximity to the M5 motorway and gas mains on-site, these are capable of mitigation through layout and design measures. Strategic landscaping buffers around the site and a strong design code will prevent physical and visual coalescence with neighbouring villages.</p> <p>There are positive benefits related to proximity to Cam &amp; Dursley station with opportunities to improve access to the station for walkers and cyclists, opportunities to link the Uley-Dursley- Cam Greenway with the SUSTRANS route at Slimbridge, the ability to connect to bus services along the A4135 and A38 and relative proximity to jobs, services and facilities at Cam &amp; Dursley.</p> <p>The transport assessment work has identified no major issues and opportunities for sustainable transport measures and modest highway mitigation works to address traffic and safety issues. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan has identified no major issues which cannot be addressed. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.</p>
M D Collins Steel Buildings Ltd	SLI006		Yes	No	Yes	Yes – as mixed use (PS37)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site forms part of a wider land parcel located within the Severn Vale (A38/M5 corridor). The wider site is in accordance with the development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements at Sharpness and at Wisloe within the Severn Vale (A38/M5 corridor). The wider site offers the opportunity to improve access to Cam and Dursley rail station and to local facilities. The wider site is considered</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<p>suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the wider site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. This new settlement, developed in accordance with Garden City principles, will contribute to the District's housing and employment needs at a location which maximises the potential for residents to use active travel and public transport to access jobs, services and facilities.</p> <p>The site performs relatively well in the Sustainability Appraisal against reasonable alternatives, using a range of sustainability objectives. The Habitats Regulation Assessment has not identified any issues or impacts on biodiversity sites which are not capable of mitigation. Whilst there are constraints, including proximity to the M5 motorway and gas mains on-site, these are capable of mitigation through layout and design measures. Strategic landscaping buffers around the site and a strong design code will prevent physical and visual coalescence with neighbouring villages.</p> <p>There are positive benefits related to proximity to Cam &amp; Dursley station with opportunities to improve access to the station for walkers and cyclists, opportunities to link the Uley-Dursley- Cam Greenway with the Sustrans route at Slimbridge, the ability to connect to bus services along the A4135 and A38 and relative proximity to jobs, services and facilities at Cam &amp; Dursley.</p> <p>The transport assessment work has identified no major issues and opportunities for sustainable transport measures and modest highway mitigation works to address traffic and safety issues. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan has identified no major issues which cannot be addressed. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.</p>
Land south of	SLI007		Yes	No	Yes	Yes – as mixed use (PS37)	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage.

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Slimbridge (Wisloe) roundabout, Slimbridge							<p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site forms part of a wider land parcel located within the Severn Vale (A38/M5 corridor). The wider site is in accordance with the development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements at Sharpness and at Wisloe within the Severn Vale (A38/M5 corridor). The wider site offers the opportunity to improve access to Cam and Dursley rail station and to local facilities. The wider site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the wider site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. This new settlement, developed in accordance with Garden City principles, will contribute to the District's housing and employment needs at a location which maximises the potential for residents to use active travel and public transport to access jobs, services and facilities.</p> <p>The site performs relatively well in the Sustainability Appraisal against reasonable alternatives, using a range of sustainability objectives. The Habitats Regulation Assessment has not identified any issues or impacts on biodiversity sites which are not capable of mitigation. Whilst there are constraints, including proximity to the M5 motorway and gas mains on-site, these are capable of mitigation through layout and design measures. Strategic landscaping buffers around the site and a strong design code will prevent physical and visual coalescence with neighbouring villages.</p> <p>There are positive benefits related to proximity to Cam &amp; Dursley station with opportunities to improve access to the station for walkers and cyclists, opportunities to link the Uley-Dursley- Cam Greenway with the Sustrans route at Slimbridge, the ability to connect to bus services along the A4135 and A38 and relative proximity to jobs, services and facilities at Cam &amp; Dursley.</p> <p>The transport assessment work has identified no major issues and opportunities for sustainable transport measures and modest highway mitigation works to</p>

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							address traffic and safety issues. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan has identified no major issues which cannot be addressed. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.
<i>Stone</i>							
Land adjoining the Pines, Stone	STN001		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new mixed use development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land to east of A38 at Stone	STN004		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> : The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of housing growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Stonehouse</i>							
Land South of Bristol Road	STO006	STO A	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Land North West of Stonehouse	STO016	STO B2	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes – as mixed use (PS19a)	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre- submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 1 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that this site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan with increased capacity to make efficient use of land. This urban extension to Stonehouse, a Tier 1 settlement, is a good fit with the development strategy and will contribute to the District's housing and employment needs at a location which maximises the potential for residents to use active travel and public transport to access jobs, services and facilities. The site performs relatively well in the Sustainability Appraisal against reasonable alternatives, using a range of sustainability objectives. The Habitats Regulation Assessment has not identified any issues or impacts on biodiversity sites which are not capable of mitigation. Whilst there are constraints, including proximity to the Bristol-Birmingham rail line and visibility from the Cotswolds escarpment, strategic landscaping along boundaries will minimise any potential amenity or visual impacts. The development will enhance planned open space provision at Great Oldbury and will have no direct recreational impacts on Haresfield Beacon and Standish Woods. The development will link seamlessly with the adjoining Great Oldbury development whilst providing a well planted northern edge to the development. There are positive benefits related to proximity to the local centre and services and facilities at Great Oldbury and employment at Stonehouse, with the development providing opportunities to improve walking and cycling links within the local area and to connect to bus services along the A419. The transport assessment work has identified no major issues and opportunities for sustainable transport measures and modest highway mitigation works to address traffic and safety issues. The</p>

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							Infrastructure Delivery Plan has identified no major issues which cannot be addressed. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.
<i>Stroud</i>							
Tricorn House	STR002		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has the benefit of deemed planning permission for residential development.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
Land to the rear of Avocet Business Park	STR004	STR A1	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 1 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site has potential for partial redevelopment, maintaining and enhancing employment provision and enhancing the IHCA. However, there are major challenges, including flood risk, contaminated land and difficult access issues to address. Whilst landowners within the area are actively considering opportunities for redevelopment, it is premature to allocate the site for redevelopment in this Local Plan as too many issues remain unresolved. However, the area is identified within Policy EI2 as an area where there are opportunities to regenerate employment land for mixed use redevelopment and proposals which can satisfactorily address the outstanding constraints will be supported through the development management process.</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Lodgemore/ Fromehall Mills	STR006	STR A2	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is located at a Tier 1 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site has potential for partial redevelopment, maintaining and enhancing employment provision and enhancing the IHCA. However, there are major challenges, including flood risk, contaminated land and difficult access issues to address. Whilst landowners within the area are actively considering opportunities for redevelopment, it is premature to allocate the site for redevelopment in this Local Plan as too many issues remain unresolved. However, the area is identified within Policy E12 as an area where there are opportunities to regenerate employment land for mixed use redevelopment and proposals which can satisfactorily address the outstanding constraints will be supported through the development management process.</p>
Railway Land/ car parks	STR014		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes – as mixed use (PS10)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is identified in the Stroud Town Centre NDP as part of a wider area suitable for redevelopment for town centre and mixed uses, including housing. The site is located at a Tier 1 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p>

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The location of the site within Stroud, a Tier 1 settlement, is in accordance with the development strategy. Whilst there are constraints such as neighbouring heritage assets and potential flood risk which will need to be addressed at the planning application stage, there are no overriding constraints preventing allocation. Whilst the site is not currently being actively promoted, there is a reasonable expectation that the site will be taken forward during the lifetime of the Plan.
Merrywalks Arches (former Cotswold Indoor Bowls)	STR015		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes – as mixed use (PS11)	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is identified in the Stroud Town Centre NDP as suitable for reuse/ redevelopment for mixed use commercial and residential development. The site is located at a Tier 1 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> : Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan. The location of the site within Stroud, a Tier 1 settlement, is in accordance with the development strategy. Whilst there are constraints such as the sites location within the Industrial Heritage Conservation Area, which will need to be addressed at the planning application stage, there are no overriding constraints preventing allocation. Whilst the site is not currently being actively promoted, there is a reasonable expectation that the site will be taken forward during the lifetime of the Plan



Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Merrywalks area (surgery, McDonalds, car park)	STR016		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is identified in the Stroud Town Centre Neighbourhood Development Plan as part of a wider area suitable for mixed use redevelopment. The site is not currently available. However, the site is located within settlement development limits where, should it become available in the future, development opportunities could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Market Tavern	STR017		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is identified in the Stroud Town Centre Neighbourhood Development Plan as suitable for mixed use redevelopment. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available. The site is located within settlement development limits where, should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> There remains uncertainty whether this site is available. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Daniels Industrial Estate	STR032	STR B1	Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has received planning permission for mixed use redevelopment. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Whitminster</i>							
Highfield Garden Centre	WHI003		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> Although the SALA identified that the site may have future potential for mixed use development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, the site is currently in active commercial use and there is no evidence to suggest that any suitable land will be made available for re-development in the future. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
Grove End Farm	WHI007		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The land is not suitable for housing development because of the landscape sensitivity of the site, visible on the top and

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre- submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<p>slopes of a low ridge as part of the wider rural area to the south-east and separated from the main settlement by the A38. There are therefore potential impacts and constraints preventing sustainable mixed use development in this location.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above</p>
<b>Community sites</b>							
<i>Eastington</i>							
Land at Junction 13 of the M5	EAS007	STO D1, STO D2	Yes	Yes (now split into 2 portions) b - sports stadium and community uses	Yes – as a single site for mixed use	Yes (PS20)	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> Having considered all consultation responses, background evidence, assessment work and reasonable alternatives, the Council considers that the site is appropriate for allocation in the Local Plan as part of a wider land parcel located at the Junction of the M5 motorway and the A419. The wider site is in accordance with the development strategy for new employment land located at the key employment property market areas identified in the ELR. The overall quantum of employment land is intended to meet labour supply requirements and to deliver the aspirations of GFirst Local Industrial Strategy. The site performs relatively well in the Sustainability Appraisal against reasonable alternatives, using a range of sustainability objectives. The Habitats Regulation Assessment has not identified any issues or impacts on biodiversity sites which are not capable of mitigation. Whilst there are constraints, including impacts upon the Industrial Heritage Conservation Area (IHCA) and flood risk, these are capable of mitigation through layout and design measures. A comprehensive mitigation package for impacts upon the IHCA will require a Canal cut, towpath and operational uses as part of the restoration of the Stroudwater Canal to navigable uses from Saul Junction to Stroud. The location of primarily grass training pitches within the IHCA will minimise flood risk,</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre- submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							minimise the impact of built development on the IHCA and ensure uses are compatible with sensitive neighbouring uses including William Morris House. There are positive benefits related to significantly improved facilities for Forest Green Rovers Football Club, a high quality business park focussed on green technologies in an accessible location and the potential to significantly improve sustainable transport services along the A419 corridor including multi-modal transport interchange facilities, enhancing local walking and cycling routes and contributions to the reopening of Stonehouse railway station. The transport assessment work has identified no major issues and opportunities for sustainable transport measures and modest highway mitigation works to address traffic and safety issues. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan has identified no major issues which cannot be addressed. The site is being actively promoted and there are no known deliverability or viability matters which could prevent implementation.
<i>Nailsworth</i>							
Land at Avening Road	NAI003		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for community development because of the likely high landscape impact and steep, wooded slopes. It is located outside the settlement limits, adjacent to a key wildlife site and within the Cotswold AONB. There are therefore potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Stroud</i>							
Capel Mill	STR009		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape and heritage impacts. Development would be highly visible and would erode the conservation area's 'green corridor', remove tree cover and reduce the gap between Stroud and Rodborough. The site also has significant physical constraints relating to topography and access. There are therefore potential impacts/constraints preventing sustainable development in this location.

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							<b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<i>Wotton-under-Edge</i>							
Land south of Symn Lane	WUE009	WUE A	Yes	Yes	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site may have some development potential for school or community use subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the owners of the site have not confirmed that it is available for such development and it has therefore been removed as a potential allocation. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above
<b>Open space sites</b>							
<i>Berkeley</i>							
Land west of Parkview play area	BER001		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site is suitable for enhancement as an amenity space. The Council will consider allocating the most important open space areas at the draft plan stage following the audit and assessment of current open space provision. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The Council will review the quantity, quality and accessibility of open space provision in the context of the recent open space, green infrastructure and playing pitch strategy evidence base studies. The Council will review suitable open space sites in accordance with the recommended standards in the Draft Plan and may consider further open space allocations at the pre-submission plan stage. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The Council supports the provision and protection of open space in accordance with the recommendations of the Stroud Open Space and Green Infrastructure Study (June 2019) and Stroud District Playing Pitch Strategy (June 2019). Whilst it is not proposed to allocate sites for open space uses at this stage, the Council welcomes the provision of land for open space uses through Neighbourhood plans and the development management process.
Land north of Canon	BER008		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site is suitable for enhancement as an amenity space. The Council will consider allocating the most

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Park sports ground							<p>important open space areas at the draft plan stage following the audit and assessment of current open space provision.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The Council will review the quantity, quality and accessibility of open space provision in the context of the recent open space, green infrastructure and playing pitch strategy evidence base studies. The Council will review suitable open space sites in accordance with the recommended standards in the Draft Plan and may consider further open space allocations at the pre-submission plan stage.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The Council supports the provision and protection of open space in accordance with the recommendations of the Stroud Open Space and Green Infrastructure Study (June 2019) and Stroud District Playing Pitch Strategy (June 2019). Whilst it is not proposed to allocate sites for open space uses at this stage, the Council welcomes the provision of land for open space uses through Neighbourhood plans and the development management process.</p>
<i>Chalford</i>							
Land at the west side of Middle Hill	CHA002		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site is suitable for future community uses which maintain the site's role as a green buffer between settlements. The Council will consider allocating the most important open space areas at the draft plan stage following the audit and assessment of current open space provision.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The Council will review the quantity, quality and accessibility of open space provision in the context of the recent open space, green infrastructure and playing pitch strategy evidence base studies. The Council will review suitable open space sites in accordance with the recommended standards in the Draft Plan and may consider further open space allocations at the pre-submission plan stage.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The Council supports the provision and protection of open space in accordance with the recommendations of the Stroud Open Space and Green Infrastructure Study (June 2019) and Stroud District Playing Pitch Strategy (June 2019).</p>

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							Whilst it is not proposed to allocate sites for open space uses at this stage, the Council welcomes the provision of land for open space uses through Neighbourhood plans and the development management process.
<i>Stonehouse</i>							
Land north of Bristol Road	STO005		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site is suitable for enhancement as an amenity space. The Council will consider allocating the most important open space areas at the draft plan stage following the audit and assessment of current open space provision.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The Council will review the quantity, quality and accessibility of open space provision in the context of the recent open space, green infrastructure and playing pitch strategy evidence base studies. The Council will review suitable open space sites in accordance with the recommended standards in the Draft Plan and may consider further open space allocations at the pre-submission plan stage.</p> <p><b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The Council supports the provision and protection of open space in accordance with the recommendations of the Stroud Open Space and Green Infrastructure Study (June 2019) and Stroud District Playing Pitch Strategy (June 2019). Whilst it is not proposed to allocate sites for open space uses at this stage, the Council welcomes the provision of land for open space uses through Neighbourhood plans and the development management process.</p>
Cotswold Green	STO011		Yes	No	No	No	<p><b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The SALA identified that the site is suitable for enhancement as an amenity space. The Council will consider allocating the most important open space areas at the draft plan stage following the audit and assessment of current open space provision.</p> <p><b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The Council will review the quantity, quality and accessibility of open space provision in the context of the recent open space, green infrastructure and playing pitch strategy evidence base studies. The Council will review suitable open space sites in accordance with the recommended standards in</p>

Site code / name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Was the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Is the site included in the Pre-submission Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
							the Draft Plan and may consider further open space allocations at the pre-submission plan stage. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The Council supports the provision and protection of open space in accordance with the recommendations of the Stroud Open Space and Green Infrastructure Study (June 2019) and Stroud District Playing Pitch Strategy (June 2019). Whilst it is not proposed to allocate sites for open space uses at this stage, the Council welcomes the provision of land for open space uses through Neighbourhood plans and the development management process.
<i>Stroud</i>							
School playing field	STR028		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site is identified in the Local Plan as Protected Open Space, provides important, well used recreational space and makes a significant contribution to local amenity. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> As above <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> as above
<i>Whitminster</i>							
Land at Parklands Farm	WHI013		Yes	No	No	No	<b>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage:</b> The site has been promoted since this stage. <b>Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The site was promoted in response to public consultation on the Draft Plan. <b>Pre-submission Draft Local Plan Stage:</b> The Council supports the provision and protection of open space in accordance with the recommendations of the Stroud Open Space and Green Infrastructure Study (June 2019) and Stroud District Playing Pitch Strategy (June 2019). Whilst it is not proposed to allocate sites for open space uses at this stage, the Council welcomes the provision of land for open space uses through Neighbourhood plans and the development management process.