

Level 2 SFRA Detailed Site Summary Tables – DRAFT DOCUMENT

Table of Contents

PS13	2
PS20	7
PS25	12
PS33	16
PS34	20
PS36	24
PS37	29
PS47	34
G2	38
G1	42





Site code	PS13
Site name	Central River, Canal Corridor

Site details	OS Grid reference	SO 84124 04959				
	Area	10.84 ha				
	Current land use	Brownfield site				
	Proposed site use	Residential/tourism/employment				
	Flood risk vulnerability	More vulnerable				
	Existing watercourses	The River Frome flows to the south of the site, and the Stroudwater Canal forms the northern boundary. Painswick Stream, a Main River, also forms a confluence with the Stroudwater Canal at the northern site boundary. Two lakes are located within the centre of the site.				
Sources of flood risk	Flood history	There are no historic outlines of fluvial flooding recorded at the site. An incident of overtopping on the Stroudwater Canal was recorded in the north of the site on 20th July 2007 and led to the flooding of one garage as well as nearby Cainscross Road. One incident of highway flooding was recorded at Frome Hall Lane, at the southern boundary of the site on 4th July 2007, with exceedance of the surface water sewer or drainage system suspected to be the cause. A cluster of flood incidents are recorded beyond the eastern corner of the site, at the junction between A46 Bath Rad and A419 Dr Newton's Way. However, it should be noted that these are postcode-scale incidents, and which have been plotted at the centre of the postcode area, and therefore the location affected may differ. The recorded incidents are as follows: 14/12/2000 – reported sewer flooding causing internal flooding 13/02/2001 – reported sewer flooding causing internal, external and highway flooding 03/11/2011 - reported sewer flooding causing highway flooding 03/11/2011 – reported sewer flooding causing highway flooding				
		Proportion of the 5% AEP 1% AEP 0.1% AEI				
		site at risk (%) 30% 43% 54 Available modelled data: The site is covered by the Environment Ager Frome 2D detailed hydraulic model, prepared in 2008. The model was developed as part of the Stroud Valleys modelling study in 2015. The not benefit from flood defence, and therefore the undefended screassessed here.				
	Fluvial					





Site code		PS13			
Site name		Central River, Canal Corridor			
		Flood characteristics: The site is at high risk of fluvial flooding, with the centre of the site located within the 1 in 20 fluvial extent, the functional floodplain. The centre and east of the site are also predicted to be affected during a 1in 100 and 1 in 1,000 flood event, whereas the western portion of the site remains at very low risk (i.e. within Flood Zone 1). Peak undefended flood levels on the River Frome at the site range from 38.84mAOD at the southeast corner of the site, to 35.79mAOD at the south west corner, during the 1 in 100 flood event. On the Stroudwater Canal, maximum undefended flood levels range from 39.52mAOD in the north east corner of the site during the 1 in 100 event, to 37.55mAOD in centre of the northern site boundary.			
		Proportion of site at risk (RoFSW)			
		1 in 30 2%	1 in 100 11%	1 in 1,000 42%	
Su	rface Water	Description of surface water flow paths: The site is at moderate risk of surface water flooding. The central and north eastern areas of the site, between the Stroudwater Canal and River Frome, are at highest risk of flooding, with large areas of ponding predicted to accumulate around the existing buildings during the 1 in 100r and 1 in 1,000 rainfall events.			
		Areas Susceptible to Groundwater Flooding Map class (risk of groundwater emergence)			
Cr	oundwater	ASTGWF - Category 2 >=25% <50%	ASTGWF - Category 3 >=50% <75%	ASTGWF - Category 4 >=75%	
Gro	oundwater	26%	29%	0%	
		The site is at moderate groundwater flood risk, being part 1km² grid squares with a greater than 25% but less than 75% emergence during a 1 in 100 event.			
Re	servoir	The south western boundary of the site is at risk of reservoir flooding. However, the area of coverage by Reservoir Inundation Mapping is very small.			
Ca	nal	The Stroudwater Canal forms the northern boundary of the site, therefore the site is identified as being potentially at risk of canal flooding.			





Site code	PS13
Site name	Central River, Canal Corridor

	Defences	Defence Type	Standard of Protect	ion Co	ndition
Berendes		There are no flood defences within, or within the vicinity of the site.			
Flood risk management infrastructure		Culvert / structure blockage?	There are no culverts of However, the River bridged or culverted where it passes beneated	Frome appeto the east	ears to be of the site,
		Impounded water body failure?	A small proportion of the south wester boundary of the site is at risk of reserve flooding, in the event of a breach.		
iiiiastiucture	Residual risk		Bread	h Zone	
		Defence breach / overtopping?	There is a residual risk of flooding due to overtopping of the Stroudwater Canal, which is modelled as part of the undefended flood extent from the River Frome (as represented by the Flood Zones). However, there are no reported incidents of canal breach or overtopping in this location.		
		The site is covered by the following Environment Agency Flood Warning and			
	Flood warning Flood Alert Areas: River Frome at Stroud and Ryeford Flood Warning A Rivers Frome and Cam Flood Alert Area				
Emergency planning	Access and egress	The site is likely to be accessed from A46 Bath Road. However, alternative access routes are available on Chestnut Lane and Lodgemoor Lane, to the north. The area of Bath Road at the eastern corner of the site, and the end of Lodgemoor Lane, are identified as at risk of flooding during a 1 in 100 and 1 in 1,000 event on the River Frome. The risk of surface water flooding to all three roads is relatively low, with areas of ponding predicted to occur during a 1 in 1,000 rainfall event only.			
	Climate change	River Basin District	Central	Higher Central	Upper End
Climate	allowances for '2080s'	Severn	25%	35%	70%
Change	Implications for the site	Climate change is expected to in at the site.	ncrease the extent of the	e 1 in 100 flo	od event





Site code	PS13
Site name	Central River, Canal Corridor

Bedrock Geology The underlying geology is Lias Group Mudstone, Siltstone, Limestone and Sandstone. Superficial Geology Landslip deposits are located over the majority of the north and west of the site, with river terrace deposits present across the east and south. The majority of the site is underlain by slowly permeable and slightly acid,
Geology site, with river terrace deposits present across the east and south. The majority of the site is underlain by slowly permeable and slightly acid,
Soils base-rich loamy and clayey soils, which are seasonally wet. The east of the site is covered by slightly acid loamy and clayey soils with impeded drainage
 As a previously developed site, development should seek to reduce the coverage of impermeable surfaces, to limit the rates and volumes of surface water runoff generate on the site. Opportunities should be taken to incorporate above ground SuDS features, which provide multiple benefits. A desk-based review of the site geology suggests that infiltration techniques may not be suitable at the site. However, the potential for infiltration should be investigated within site-specific infiltration testing. Detention and conveyance features will be appropriate on the site. However, attenuation features must be located outside areas of fluvial flood risk.
mitigation Groundwater Source Protection Zone The site is not located within a designated Source Protection Zone.
Historic Landfill Site There are no historic landfill sites within the development site boundary. However, Farhill Landfill site is located approximately 30mto the north of the site. Cainscross landfill is located approximately 400m to the north west of the site.
Opportunities for flood risk betterment The site provides opportunities for storing flood water from the River Frome, to reduce the flow and delay the timing in which it reaches communities downstream.
Cumulative impacts of Water Framework Directive Catchment Sensitivity to cumulative impacts
development River Frome – Source to Ebley Mill Medium
Sequential Test and Exception Test requirements

The Sequential Test must be satisfied. Only once the Sequential Test is satisfied should the Exception Test be applied. It is anticipated that proposed development will be sequentially located within Flood Zone 1. For this site, the Exception Test must be satisfied:

Recommendations for Local Plan policy

- If More Vulnerable and Essential Infrastructure is located in FZ3a or FZ3a plus climate change.
- If Highly Vulnerable development is located in FZ2.

If Essential Infrastructure is located in Flood Zone 3b then it must be demonstrated that the exception test is satisfied. Development will not be permitted in the following scenarios:

- Highly Vulnerable development within FZ3a or FZ3a plus climate change and FZ3b.
- More Vulnerable and Less Vulnerable development within FZ3b.

Recommendations for requirements of site-specific Flood Risk Assessment, including guidance for developers

Level 2 SFRA Detailed Site Summary Tables – DRAFT DOCUMENT



Site code	PS13
Site name	Central River, Canal Corridor

Flood risk assessment:

- A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required because the site is partially within Flood Zones 2 and 3. Government guidance on flood risk assessments must be followed (https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-assessment-for-planning-applications).
- All sources of flooding, particularly the risk of surface water and groundwater flooding, should be considered as part of a site-specific flood risk assessment.
- The site is located within a catchment identified as moderately sensitive to the cumulative impact of development. The effects which development of the site may have on flood risk within the catchment will need to be considered within a site-specific flood risk assessment.

Guidance for site design and making development safe:

- A site-specific surface water drainage strategy will be required.
- Development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site and should seek to reduce the levels of flood risk downstream.
- Consultation with Gloucestershire County Council as Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA) and the Stroud District Council Drainage Engineer on surface water drainage of the site and potential SuDS features should be undertaken at an early stage.
- Development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk both on and offsite, for example by reducing volume and rate of runoff and creating space for flooding.
- The development should be designed using a sequential approach. Development should be steered away from areas of fluvial flood risk and surface water flow routes, preserving these spaces as green infrastructure.
- The site layout and drainage design must ensure that surface water flows resulting from rainfall in excess of a 1 in 100 event are managed via exceedance routes that minimise the risks to people and property.
- Safe access and egress should be demonstrated in the 1 in 100 plus climate change fluvial and rainfall events. Raising of access routes must not impact on surface water flow routes. Consideration should be given to the siting of access points with respect to areas of surface water flood risk.





Site code	PS20
Site name	M5 Junction 13

Site details	OS Grid reference	SO 78534 06603				
	Area	23 ha				
	Current land use	Greenfield Sports stadium/employment/community/open source				
	Proposed site use					
	Flood risk vulnerability	Less vulnerable				
	Existing watercourses	The site is formed of two land parcels separated by the A419. There are no watercourses located within the site boundary of the north parcel. However, an ordinary watercourse tributary of the River Frome forms a section of the northern boundary of the parcel. The River Frome forms the south western boundary of the south parcel. In addition, the Stroudwater Canal and a further tributary of the Frome flow in a north westerly direction through the centre of the parcel.				
	Flood history	There are no recorded flood events recorded within the north land parcel Environment Agency Recorded Flood Outline identifies that the southwe portion of the south parcel was affected by flooding in July 2007, when the channel capacity of the undefended River Frome was exceeded. Upstream of the site, an incident of overtopping on the Stroudwater Canarecorded on 19th July 2007 by the Canal and Rivers Trust. The cause of flooding was recorded to be blockage due to high magnitude canal flows bypasses due to weed growth, causing water to back up and flood the Agency Environment and the parcel.				
		December of	40/ AED	40/ 45		0.1% AEP
		Proportion of the site at risk (%) 12% 19% 2				
Sources of flood risk	Fluvial	Available modelled data: The site is covered by the Environment Agency River Frome 1D-2D detailed hydraulic model, prepared in 2008. The site does not currently benefit from flood defence, and therefore the undefended scenario is assessed here. As part of the proposed development of this site, works will be carried out to the Stroudwater Canal in this location, which will lead to greater interaction between the canal and the River Frome via a new weir. The impact of these works on the flood risk are currently being modelled, with results due to become available in January 2020. This assessment uses the existing Flood Zones, which are the best available information at this point in time. Flood characteristics: The north parcel is at very low risk of fluvial flooding (i.e. within Flood Zone 1). The south western portion of the south parcel is predicted to be at risk of flooding from the River Frome during a 1 in 25, 1 in 100 and 1 in 1,000 flood event. Peak				
		flood levels are greatest at the south western corner of the site, where the 13.59mAOD in the 1 in 100 and 13.71mAOD in the 1 in 1,000 event. At the western corner of the land parcel, maximum flood levels are predicted to between 12.93mAOD (1 in 100) and 13.06mAOD (1 in 1,000).				event. At the north predicted to range
			roportion of site	`	SW)	
	Surface Water	1 in 30 7%		100 8%		1 in 1,000 42%
		1 70	13	70]	4∠ /0



Level 2 SFRA Detailed Site Summary Tables – DRAFT DOCUMENT

Site code		PS20			
Site name	M5 Junction 13				
		Description of surface water flow paths: In the north parcel, surface water flood risk is concentrated in the southern, and north western corners of the site, where ponding occurs against the higher ground of the A419 and M5, during a 1 in 30 rainfall event, and greater return periods. The northern boundary of the parcel is also identified to be at risk of surface water flooding during a 1 in 30 rainfall event. However, the extent of risk appears to represent the floodplain of the unnamed River Frome tributary and therefore may be fluvial in nature. In the south parcel, the greatest surface water flood risk is concentrated at the north western corner, in a low point where the Stroudwater Canal and a tributary of the River Frome pass below the M5. Flooding is predicted to occur here in a 1 in 30 rainfall event. During the 1 in 100 and 1 in 1,000 rainfall events, the extent of flood risk extends southwards, covering the western portion of the land parcel.			
			ceptible to Groundwater Flooding Map class (risk of groundwater emergence)		
		ASTGWF - Category 2 >=25% <50%	ASTGWF - Category 3 >=50% <75%	ASTGWF - Category 4 >=75%	
	Groundwater	0%	0%	45%	
		The majority of the south parcel and north western corner of the north parcel are located within a 1km² grid square with a 75% or greater risk of groundwater emergence during a 1 in 100 event, and is therefore identified as at high risk. Elsewhere, the risk of groundwater flooding is low, with a <25% risk of groundwater emergence. The north western portion and western boundary of the south parcel are identified as at risk of reservoir flooding. The Stroudwater Canal flows through the south parcel, and therefore the site is at risk of canal flooding.			
F	Reservoir				
	Canal				





Site code	PS20
Site name	M5 Junction 13

		Defence Type	Standar	d of Protecti	on Co	ondition
Defences		There are no defences located within the site. The nearest defence is an earth embankment, built to 'agricultural standards' and located on the opposite bank of the River Frome to the south parcel.				
Flood risk management infrastructure		Culvert / structure blockage? bour The their The prox		There are no culverts located within the site boundary. The River Frome and its unnamed tributary at the north parcel are culverted beneath the M5. The RoFSW extent at the site provides a proxy of the residual flood risk to the site, in the event of blockage to these culverts.		
	Residual risk	Impounded water body failure?	The north western portion and we boundary of the south parcel are identifi at risk of reservoir flooding, in the even breach.			dentified as
		Defence breach /			h Zone	
		overtopping?		ne site may be at residual risk of flooding om canal overtopping or breach.		
	Flood warning	The site is located within the following Environment Agency Flood Alert a Flood Warning Areas: River Frome at Fromebridge and Eastington Flood Warning Area Rivers Frome and Cam Flood Alert Area				
Emergency planning	Access and egress	Access is likely to be either via the A419, which runs inbetween the two subsection areas of the site, or via the M5. The A419 is at very low risk of both fluvial and surface water flooding. The M5 at the south parcel is predicted to be at risk of fluvial flooding during a 1 in 100 and 1 in 1,000 flood event, where the River Frome passes beneath it. The sections of the M5 adjacent to both the north and south parcel is at risk of surface water flooding during a 1 in 30 rainfall and greater return periods.				
	Climate change	River Basin District		Central	Higher Central	Upper End
Climate	allowances for '2080s'	Severn		25%	35%	70%
Change	Implications for the site	Climate change is expected to in at the site.	ncrease the	extent of the	1 in 100 flo	od event





Site code	PS20
Site name	M5 Junction 13

	Bedrock Geology	The underlying geology is Lias Group m	nudstone, siltstone, limestone and		
	Superficial Geology	Superficial alluvium and river terrace deposits are located over a large are the site, with the exception of the eastern portion of the north parcel.			
	Soils	The north parcel and the eastern portion of the south pracel are underlain by lime-rich loamy and clayey soils with impeded drainage. The southern and western areas of the south parcel are underlain by loamy and clayey floodplain soils with naturally high groundwater.			
Requirement for drainage control and	SuDS	 As a large undeveloped site, opportunities should be taken to incorporate above ground SuDS features, which provide multiple benefits. Due to the high groundwater levels, and risk of groundwater flood infiltration techniques are unlikely to be suitable. However, the potential for infiltration should be investigated within site-specific infiltration testing. Detention and conveyance features will be appropriate on the site However, attenuation features must be located outside areas of fl flood risk. Below-ground SuDS features in areas of high groundwater on the may need to be lined, to prevent the ingress of groundwater and le of attenuation storage. 			
impact mitigation	Groundwater Source Protection Zone	The site is not located within a designated Source Protection Zone.			
	Historic Landfill Site	There are no historic landfill sites recorded within the site boundary.			
	Opportunities for flood risk betterment	The site provides opportunities for storing flood water from the River Frome, to reduce the flow and delay the timing in which it reaches downstream. This may provide benefit during periods of high tide or high river level on the River Severn, when the River Frome becomes tide-locked and flows on the river back up.			
		Water Framework Directive Catchment	Sensitivity to cumulative impacts		
	Cumulative		High		
	impacts of development	River Frome – Ebley Mill to Severn	FRA should include consideration of effects on potential sensitive receptors off-site and if necessary, include additional mitigation, so there are no adverse cumulative effects		
	Sequential Test and Exception Test requirements				

Level 2 SFRA Detailed Site Summary Tables – DRAFT DOCUMENT



Site code	PS20
Site name	M5 Junction 13

The Sequential Test must be satisfied. Only once the Sequential Test is satisfied should the Exception Test be applied. It is expected that proposed development will be sequentially located within Flood Zone 1. For this site, the Exception Test must be satisfied:

- If More Vulnerable and Essential Infrastructure is located in FZ3a or FZ3a plus climate change.
- If Highly Vulnerable development is located in FZ2.

If Essential Infrastructure is located in Flood Zone 3b then it must be demonstrated that the exception test is satisfied. Development will not be permitted in the following scenarios:

- Highly Vulnerable development within FZ3a or FZ3a plus climate change and FZ3b.
- More Vulnerable and Less Vulnerable development within FZ3b.

Recommendations for requirements of site-specific Flood Risk Assessment, including guidance for developers

Flood risk assessment:

- A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required because the site is partially within Flood Zones 2 and 3. Government guidance on flood risk assessments must be followed (https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-assessment-for-planning-applications).
- All sources of flooding, particularly the risk of surface water and groundwater flooding, should be considered as part of a site-specific flood risk assessment.
- The site is located within a catchment identified as highly sensitive to the cumulative impact
 of development. The effects which development of the site may have on flood risk within the
 catchment will need to be considered within a site-specific flood risk assessment.
- Appropriate storage of surface water runoff will need to be provided, and assessments should
 identify opportunities to provide off-site betterment, to help offset the cumulative impact of
 development. For example, this may include contribution to the delivery of schemes within
 the catchment, such as flood alleviation schemes, Natural Flood Management, SuDS retrofit
 or river restoration.

or fiver restoration.

- Guidance for site design and making development safe:
 A site-specific surface water drainage strategy will be required.
- Development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site and should seek to reduce the levels of flood risk downstream.
- Consultation with Gloucestershire County Council as Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA) and the Stroud District Council Drainage Engineer on surface water drainage of the site and potential SuDS features should be undertaken at an early stage.
- Development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk both on and offsite, for example by reducing volume and rate of runoff and creating space for flooding.
- The development should be designed using a sequential approach. Development should be steered away from areas of fluvial flood risk and surface water flow routes, preserving these spaces as green infrastructure.
- The site layout and drainage design must ensure that surface water flows resulting from rainfall in excess of a 1 in 100 event are managed via exceedance routes that minimise the risks to people and property.
- Safe access and egress should be demonstrated in the 1 in 100 plus climate change fluvial
 and rainfall events. Raising of access routes must not impact on surface water flow routes.
 Consideration should be given to the siting of access points with respect to areas of surface
 water flood risk.

Recommendations for Local Plan policy





Site code	PS25
Site name	East of River Cam

Site details	OS Grid reference	SO 75131 00558					
	Area	7.07 ha					
	Current land use	Agricultural land					
	Proposed site use	Residential					
	Flood risk vulnerability	More vulnerable					
	Existing watercourses	There are no watercourses within the site, however the River Cam flows along the western boundary.					
	Flood history	The flood extent fro exceedance) is with In addition, the follo downstream of the • 22/05/200 open spac • 24/09/199	There are no recoded flood incidents within the proposed boundary of the site. The flood extent from July 1968 (which occurred as a result of channel exceedance) is within 10m of the site. In addition, the following sewer flooding events were recorded upstream and downstream of the site: • 22/05/2006, 30/09/2006, 26/06/2007 – external flooding of public open space (GL11 5LQ) • 24/09/1999, 02/02/2004, 03/08/2007 – external flooding of the highway (GL11 5NR – plotted at Station Road)				
		-			40/ 4==		
		Proportion of the site at risk (%)	4	2%	1% AEF 3%		0.1% AEP 5%
Sources of flood risk		Available modelled data: The site is covered by the Environment Agency River Cam and Wickster's Brook 1D hydraulic model, which was completed in 2007. The site does not benefit from flood defence, and therefore the undefended scenario is assessed here. Flood characteristics: The south western border of the site is located within the functional floodplain of the River Cam, defined here as the 1 in 25 flood event, as well as 1 in 100 and 1 in 1,000 flood events. Modelled peak flood levels on the River Cam range from 35.44mAOD (1 in 100) to 36.03mAOD (1 in 1,000) at the northwest corner of the site, to between 35.56mAOD (1 in 100) and 36.18mAOD (1 in 1,000) at the southwest corner.					
		4 in 20	Prop		at risk (RoF	SW)	4 in 4 000
		1 in 30 2%		1 in	100 %		1 in 1,000 7%
	Surface Water	Description of sur The south western flooding during the with the low-lying considered in addit Two small surface	border 1 in 30 floodpl ion to f water f	rater flow pater of the site is and greater flain of the Riluvial risk.	hs: s predicted to ood events. H ver Cam and ass the centre	theref	ence surface water er, this is associated fore should not be orthern edge of the e entering the River





Site code	PS25
Site name	East of River Cam

		Areas Susceptible to Groundwater Flooding Map class (risk of groundwater emergence)				sk of		
	Groundwater	ASTGWF - Category 2 >=25% <50%	AST	GWF - Cat >=50% <7			WF - C >=75	
		0%		0%	0,		0%	
		The site is at low risk of gre	The site is at low risk of groundwater emerger			ng a 1 ir	n 100 ev	vent.
	Reservoir	The site is not at risk of reservoir flooding.						
	Canal	There are no canals within	There are no canals within the site boundary.					
	Defences	Defence Type		Standar	d of Prote	ction	Co	ndition
		There are no flood defence	es with	nin the site.				
Flood risk management infrastructure	Residual risk	Culvert / structure blockage? There are no culverts or struadjacent to the site which prisk. Middle Mills culvert is loc east of the site, and Station located 95m to the south. How surrounding topography, blo structures is not considered the flooding to the site.		pose a blockage located 30m north on Road culvert is owever, due to the blockage of these				
		Impounded water body failure?		The site is not at risk of reservoir flooding the event of a breach event.		flooding, in		
		Defence breach / overtopping?		Breach Zone There are no defences within the				
	Flood warning	The site is not included with an Environment Agency Flood Alert Area or Floor Warning Area.				ea or Flood		
Emergency planning	Access and egress	Access to the proposed site is likely to be from the south or the west of the site. If access is taken from the south, a connecting road would be required from Upthorpe road, which is at risk of surface water flooding during the 1 in 100 and 1 in 1,000 rainfall events. Alternatively, acess from the west could be via Rowley. Access from this side of the site would require a new access bridge over the River Cam, which would be at high risk of fluvial flooding.			uired from in 100 and this side of			
	Climate change	River Basin District			Central		gher ntral	Upper End
Climate	'2080s'	Severn			25%	3	5%	70%
Change	Implications for the site	Climate change is expected to increase the extent of the 1 in 100 flood of at the site. However, it is not expected to increase beyond the extent of 1,000 flood event.						





Site code		PS25
Site name		East of River Cam
	Bedrock Geology	The site is underlain by Blue Lias Formation and Charmouth Mudstone Formation (undifferentiated)

	Bedrock Geology	The site is underlain by Blue Lias Forma Formation (undifferentiated)	ation and Charmouth Mudstone			
	Superficial Geology	None recorded on the site.				
	Soils	Soils on the site are slowly permeable, slightly acid, but base-rich loamy and clayey soils, which are seasonally wet.				
Requirement for drainage control and	SuDS	 As a large undeveloped site, opportunities should be taken to incorporate above ground SuDS features, which provide multiple benefits. A high-level assessment of SuDS suitability carried out as part of the Level 1 SFRA suggests that the site is best suited to conveyance features, such as swales and rills, or detention features, such as ponds and wetlands. Attenuation features must be located outside areas of fluvial flood risk. The site geology is impermeable in nature and therefore there is likely to be limited potential for discharge of surface water by infiltration. However, the potential for infiltration should be investigated within site-specific infiltration testing. 				
impact mitigation	Groundwater Source Protection Zone	The site is not within a groundwater Source Protection Zone.				
	Historic Landfill Site	There are no historic landfill sites within the proposed boundary.				
	Opportunities for flood risk betterment	The proposed site is in greenfield state, and so runoff volumes should be limited to the current value. Discharge to the River Cam should be limited as far as possible to ensure flood risk downstream is not impacted.				
		Water Framework Directive Catchment	Sensitivity to cumulative impacts			
	Cumulative impacts of development	The Cam – Source to confluence with Gloucester and Sharpness Canal	High FRA should include consideration of effects on potential sensitive receptors off-site and if necessary, include additional mitigation, so there are no adverse cumulative effects			
Recommend- ations for Local Plan policy	Sequential Test and Exception Test requirements					





Site code	PS25
Site name	East of River Cam

The Sequential Test must be satisfied. Only once the Sequential Test is satisfied should the Exception Test be applied. It is anticipated that proposed development will be sequentially located within Flood Zone 1. For this site, the Exception Test must be satisfied:

- If More Vulnerable and Essential Infrastructure is located in FZ3a or FZ3a plus climate change.
- If Highly Vulnerable development is located in FZ2.

If Essential Infrastructure is located in Flood Zone 3b then it must be demonstrated that the exception test is satisfied. Development will not be permitted in the following scenarios:

- Highly Vulnerable development within FZ3a or FZ3a plus climate change and FZ3b.
- More Vulnerable and Less Vulnerable development within FZ3b.

Recommendations for requirements of site-specific Flood Risk Assessment, including guidance for developers

Flood risk assessment:

- At the planning application stage, a site-specific flood risk assessment and surface water drainage strategy will be required.
- Consultation with the Local Authority and the Environment Agency should be undertaken at an early stage.
- A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required because the site is within Flood Zone 2 and 3 and at risk from sources of flooding other than rivers and the sea. Government guidance on flood risk assessments must be followed (https://www.gov.uk/guidance/floodrisk-assessment-for-planning-applications).
- Other sources of flooding should also be considered as part of a site-specific flood risk assessment, including surface water and groundwater.
- The site is located within a catchment identified as highly sensitive to the cumulative impact
 of development. The effects which development of the site may have on flood risk within the
 catchment will need to be considered within a site-specific flood risk assessment.
- Appropriate storage of surface water runoff will need to be provided, and assessments should
 identify opportunities to provide off-site betterment, to help offset the cumulative impact of
 development. For example, this may include contribution to the delivery of schemes within
 the catchment, such as flood alleviation schemes, Natural Flood Management, SuDS retrofit
 or river restoration.

Guidance for site design and making development safe:

- A site-specific surface water drainage strategy will be required.
- Development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site and should seek to reduce the levels of flood risk downstream.
- Consultation with Gloucestershire County Council as Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA) and the Stroud District Council Drainage Engineer on surface water drainage of the site and potential SuDS features should be undertaken at an early stage.
- Development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk both on and offsite, for example by reducing volume and rate of runoff and creating space for flooding.
- The development should be designed using a sequential approach. Development should be steered away from areas of fluvial flood risk and surface water flow routes, preserving these spaces as green infrastructure.
- The site layout and drainage design must ensure that surface water flows resulting from rainfall in excess of a 1 in 100 event are managed via exceedance routes that minimise the risks to people and property.
- Safe access and egress should be demonstrated in the 1 in 100 plus climate change fluvial
 and rainfall events. Raising of access routes must not impact on surface water flow routes.
 Consideration should be given to the siting of access points with respect to areas of surface
 water flood risk.





Site code	PS33
Site name	Northwest of Berkeley

Site details	OS Grid reference	SO 68233 00017				
	Area	6.51 ha				
	Current land use	Greenfield				
	Proposed site use	Residential More vulnerable				
	Flood risk vulnerability					
	Existing watercourses	An unnamed ordinary watercourse, which forms a tributary of the Little Avon, flows in a southerly direction along the western boundary of the site.				
	Flood history	Flooding occurred along the western boundary of the site, in November 2000, as a result of channel capacity exceedance. Downstream of the site at Berkeley, several sewer flooding incidents occur: 07/07/2008, 05/09/2008, 24/09/2012, 21/11/2012 - Lynch Road, Berkeley – external flooding to property garden from exceedance of foul/combined sewer network. 28/10/2013 - James Orchard, Berkeley - external flooding to road an footpath from exceedance of foul/combined sewer network.				
		Proportion of	E0/ AED	40/ AED	0.40/ AED	
		the site at risk	5% AEP N/A	1% AEP 30%	0.1% AEP 38%	
Sources of flood risk	Fluvial	watercourse along the Flood Zones. H Agency for use in t flood extent for the represent the function and therefore the u Flood characteris. The western portio	Indicate that 2D hydraulic modelling has been carried out for course along the western boundary of the site, which has been included by dones. However this model has not been provided by the Environment of the study. With the absence of a 1 in 20 or 1 in 25 mode extent for the watercourse, the 1 in 100 flood extent has been used sent the functional floodplain. The site does not benefit from flood defer the erefore the undefended scenario is assessed here. I characteristics: Western portion of the site is identified as at risk of fluvial flooding during 00 flood event, with flooding extending further into the centre of the		h has been included in led by the Environment 20 or 1 in 25 modelled ent has been used to efit from flood defence, .	
			Proportion of site		•	
		1 in 30	1 in	100	1 in 1,000	
	Surface Water	area at the north w flooding during a 1	erall. However, a small h risk of surface water periods. South-western coding, during the 1 in			





Site code	PS33
Site name	Northwest of Berkeley

		Areas Susceptible to gr	Groundwate oundwater er		Map cl	ass (ris	sk of
	Groundwater	ASTGWF - Category 2 >=25% <50%	ASTGWF - Ca >=50% <7		ry 3 ASTGWF - 0 >=75		
	Orounawater	55%	0%	0% 0%			
		The southern area of the site the chance of groundwater egrid square, during a 1 in 10	mergence is b	oderate grou between 25-	ndwate 50% w	er flood vithin a	risk, where given 1km²
	Reservoir	The site is not at risk of floor	ing from reser	voirs.			
	Canal	There are no canals within the	ne site bounda	ry.			
	Defences	Defence Type		d of Protec	tion	Co	ndition
		There are no defences within	the site.				
Flood risk management		Culvert / structure blockage?	There are no culverts or structures within the site boundary.			es located	
infrastructure	Residual risk	Impounded water body failure?	The site	The site is not at risk of reservoir flooding.			ooding.
		Defence breach /		Breach Zone			
		overtopping?		e is not at risk of defence breach or ping.			
Emergency planning	Flood warning	The site is located within the following Environment Agency Flood Warning and Flood Alert Areas: • Flood Warning Area: Severn Estuary from Sharpness to Oldbury-on-Severn • Flood Alert Area: Severn Estuary at Oldbury-on-Severn, Northwick and Avonmouth					
	Access and egress	The site is likely to be accessed via the B4066 along the northern boundary the site. The road is at risk of fluvial flooding during a 1 in 100 flood event, whe the unnamed Little Avon tributary is culverted below the road due to its rais elevation. The route is not identified as at risk of surface water flooding.					ent, where o its raised
	Climate change allowances for	River Basin Distr	ict	Central	•	gher ntral	Upper End
	'2080s'	South West		30%	4	0%	85%
Climate Change	climate change flood event is greater than that of the 1 in 100 with				within sk of flu e extent	the site, vial s here are	





Site code	PS33
Site name	Northwest of Berkeley

	Bedrock Geology	The site is underlain by Raglan Mudstor Siltstone and Mudstone.	ne Formation, a series of interbedded		
	Superficial Geology	None recorded.			
	Soils	Soils on the site are slightly acid loamy drainage.	and clayey soils with impeded		
Requirement for drainage control and impact mitigation Cumulative impacts of	SuDS	 incorporate above ground SuD benefits. A high-level assessment of Su Level 1 SFRA suggests that th features, such as swales and r ponds and wetlands. Attenuation features must be k risk. The site geology is impermeab of groundwater flooding, theref for discharge of surface water 	pportunities should be taken to PS features, which provide multiple DS suitability carried out as part of the e site is best suited to conveyance ills, or detention features, such as pocated outside areas of fluvial flood pole in nature and there is moderate risk fore there is likely to be limited potential by infiltration. However, the potential for ed within site-specific infiltration testing.		
development	Groundwater Source Protection Zone	The site is not within a groundwater Source Protection Zone.			
	Historic Landfill Site	There are no historical landfill sites within the proposed boundary.			
	Opportunities for flood risk betterment	Development should seek to strictly limit the rate and volumes of surface wat leaving the site, to help alleviate sewer flooding issues at downstream Berkeley. Temporary storage of flood waters on the site would help to reduce and delay the timing of flows entering the Little Avon.			
	Cumulative	Water Framework Directive Catchment	Sensitivity to cumulative impacts		
	impacts of development	Little Avon – confluence with Tortworth Brook to mouth	Medium		
	Sequential Test and Exception Test requirements				

Recommendations for Local Plan policy

The Sequential Test must be satisfied. Only once the Sequential Test is satisfied should the Exception Test be applied. It is anticipated that proposed development will be sequentially located within Flood Zone 1. For this site, the Exception Test must be satisfied:

- If More Vulnerable and Essential Infrastructure is located in FZ3a or FZ3a plus climate change.
- If Highly Vulnerable development is located in FZ2.

If Essential Infrastructure is located in Flood Zone 3b then it must be demonstrated that the exception test is satisfied. Development will not be permitted in the following scenarios:

- Highly Vulnerable development within FZ3a or FZ3a plus climate change and FZ3b.
- More Vulnerable and Less Vulnerable development within FZ3b.





Site code	PS33
Site name	Northwest of Berkeley

Recommendations for requirements of site-specific Flood Risk Assessment, including guidance for developers

Flood risk assessment:

- Consultation with the Local Authority and the Environment Agency should be undertaken at an early stage.
- A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required because the site is within Flood Zone 2 and 3 and at risk from sources of flooding other than rivers and the sea. Government guidance on flood risk assessments must be followed (https://www.gov.uk/guidance/floodrisk-assessment-for-planning-applications).
- The impact of the development on flood risk from all sources both on and off-site must be considered and modelled where appropriate. It is recommended that a detailed hydraulic model is carried out for the site to accurately understand risk to the site.
- A detailed assessment of the risk and location of high groundwater levels and groundwater emergence should be undertaken, including groundwater monitoring during the winter months.
- Climate change should be assessed using recommended climate change allowances at the time of the assessment (https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-assessmentsclimate-change-allowances) for the type of development and level of risk. The current allowances were published in February 2016 but may be subject to change in the future.
- The site is located within a catchment identified as moderately sensitive to the cumulative impact of development. The effects which development of the site may have on flood risk within the catchment will need to be considered within a site-specific flood risk assessment.

Guidance for site design and making development safe:

- A site-specific surface water drainage strategy will be required.
- Development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site and should seek to reduce the levels of flood risk downstream.
- Consultation with Gloucestershire County Council as Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA) and the Stroud District Council Drainage Engineer on surface water drainage of the site and potential SuDS features should be undertaken at an early stage.
- Development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk both on and offsite, for example by reducing volume and rate of runoff and creating space for flooding.
- The development should be designed using a sequential approach. Development should be steered away from areas of fluvial flood risk and surface water flow routes, preserving these spaces as green infrastructure.
- The site layout and drainage design must ensure that surface water flows resulting from rainfall in excess of a 1 in 100 event are managed via exceedance routes that minimise the risks to people and property.
- Safe access and egress should be demonstrated in the 1 in 100 plus climate change fluvial
 and rainfall events. Raising of access routes must not impact on surface water flow routes.
 Consideration should be given to the siting of access points with respect to areas of surface
 water flood risk.





Site code	PS34
Site name	Sharpness Docks

Site details	OS Grid reference	SO 67206 02559					
	Area	96.23 ha					
	Current land use	Docks and associate infra	structure				
	Proposed site use	Mixed development More vulnerable					
	Flood risk vulnerability						
	Existing watercourses	The tidal River Severn forms the western and northern boundaries of the site. The Gloucester and Sharpness Canal crosses the site in a south-easterly direction from the north-eastern corner, before it joins the River Severn estuary. An unnamed ordinary watercourse also joins the canal from the eastern boundary of the site.					
	Flood history	Flooding of the northern a occurred in July 1968 from			e site i	s recorded to have	
		Fluvial/Tidal -	1% AEP	0.2% A	ED.	0.1% AEP	
	Fluvial / Tidal	Proportion of the site at risk (%)	6%	7%		10%	
		Available modelled data: The site is covered by the Environment Agency 2007 Tidal River Severn hydraulic model. With the absence of a 1 in 20 flood extent for the watercourse, Flood Zone 3a (1 in 100 event) has been used to represent the extent of Flood Zone 3b, the functional floodplain.					
Sources of		Flood characteristics: The northern boundary and western corner of the site, which border the River Severn are identified as at risk of integrated fluvial and tidal flooding during a 1 in 100, 1 in 200 and 1 in 1,000 tidal flood event on the River Severn. The flood extent at the western edge of the site increases to meet the Gloucester and Sharpness Canal during the 1 in 1,000 event.					
11000 risk			portion of site at				
		1 in 30	1 in 100		1 in 1,000		
		2%	3%			9%	
	Surface Water	Description of surface water flow paths: Overall, the site is at low risk of surface water flooding. It should be noted that the mapping identities some areas within the Gloucester and Sharpness Canal as being at risk, and so the percentages shown above are likely to overestimate the surface water risk to the site. Discounting these areas, areas along the eastern boundary and at the west of the site are identified as at risk of flooding during the 1 in 30 event and greater return periods. However, these are isolated areas of ponding that appear to accumulate in low-lying areas of the local topography.					
		Areas Susceptible	to Groundwater groundwater em		Марс	lass (risk of	
	Groundwater	ASTGWF - Category 2 >=25% <50%	ASTGWF - Cate >=50% <75		AST	GWF - Category 4 >=75%	
		57%	0%			0%	



JBA consulting

Level 2 SFRA Detailed Site Summary Tables – DRAFT DOCUMENT

Site code		PS34				
Site name		Sharpness Docks				
		The site is at low to moderate risk of groundwater flooding, with a 25-509 chance of groundwater emergence within a given 1km ² grid square, during a in 100 event.				
	Reservoir	The site is not at risk of reservoir flooding.				
	Canal	The Gloucester and Sharpness is identified as at potential risk of			e site, theref	ore the site
	Defences	Defence Type		d of Protect	ion Co	ndition
Flood risk		There are no flood defences with Culvert / structure blockage?	There is a	no risk to the blockage.	e site from o	f culvert or
management infrastructure	management	Impounded water body failure?	The site is	The site is not at risk of reservoir breach.		reach.
	Residual risk			Breach Zone		
		Defence breach / overtopping?	flood defe	ne site is not identified as benefitting from nod defence, therefore there is no residual sk of defence overtopping or breach.		
Emergency planning	Flood warning	An area along the northern and western boundary of the site is within Exwarning and alert areas. • Flood Alert Area: Severn Estuary at Oldbury-on-Severn, Northwin Avonmouth • Flood Warning Areas: Severn Estuary from Sharpness to Oldbury-on-Severn and Sharpness and Lydney Harbour on the Severn Estuary from Severn Estuary fr				rthwick and
	Access and egress	The site is likely to be accessed from Oldminster Road along the eastern boundary of the site. A small section of this route is affected by surface water flooding during a 1 in 1,000 rainfall event. Otherwise, the risk along this access route is low.				rface water
	Climate change	River Basin District		Central	Higher Central	Upper End
	allowances for '2080s'	Severn		25%	35%	70%
Climate Change Implications for the site		The site is likely to be impacted by climate change. The extent of the 1 in 100 + climate change flood event is greater than that of the 1 in 100 event within the site, which indicates that climate change is likely to increase the risk of fluvial and tidal flooding to the site.				





Site code	PS34
Site name	Sharpness Docks

	Bedrock Geology	The site is underlain by Raglan Mudston Mudstone	ne Formation interbedded Siltstone and		
	Superficial Geology	The site is overlain by deposits of the Holt Heath Sand and Gravel member			
	Soils	Soils on the site are slightly acid loamy and clayey, with impeded drains			
Requirement for drainage control and impact mitigation	SuDS	 As a large previously developed site, opportunities should reduce the coverage of impermeable surfaces on the site, incorporate above ground SuDS features, which provide n benefits. A high-level assessment of SuDS suitability carried out as Level 1 SFRA suggests that the site is best suited to conv features, such as swales and rills, or detention features, s ponds and wetlands. Attenuation features must be located outside areas of fluv risk. The site geology is impermeable in nature and there is more of groundwater flooding, therefore there is likely to be limit for discharge of surface water by infiltration. However, the infiltration should be investigated within site-specific infiltration should be investigated within site-specific infiltration consequences of tidal effects (such as tide locking of systems) how these will change as a consequence of predicted searover the lifetime of development) 			
	Groundwater Source Protection Zone	The site is not within a groundwater Source Protection Zone.			
	Historic Landfill Site	There are no historic landfill sites within the site.			
	Opportunities for flood risk betterment	Opportunities to implement systems that can accommodate climate chan effects and provide betterment to existing drainage systems and channel			
		Water Framework Directive Catchment	Sensitivity to cumulative impacts		
	Cumulative		High		
	impacts of development	Coastal Catchment 1 (not part of a WFD river catchment)	FRA should include consideration of effects on potential sensitive receptors off-site and if necessary, include additional mitigation, so there are no adverse cumulative effects		
	Sequential Test and Exception Test requirements				

Level 2 SFRA Detailed Site Summary Tables – DRAFT DOCUMENT



Site code	PS34
Site name	Sharpness Docks

The Sequential Test must be satisfied. Only once the Sequential Test is satisfied should the Exception Test be applied. It is anticipated that proposed development will be sequentially located within Flood Zone 1. For this site, the Exception Test must be satisfied:

- If More Vulnerable and Essential Infrastructure is located in FZ3a or FZ3a plus climate change.
- If Highly Vulnerable development is located in FZ2.

If Essential Infrastructure is located in Flood Zone 3b then it must be demonstrated that the exception test is satisfied. Development will not be permitted in the following scenarios:

- Highly Vulnerable development within FZ3a or FZ3a plus climate change and FZ3b.
- More Vulnerable and Less Vulnerable development within FZ3b.

Recommendations for requirements of site-specific Flood Risk Assessment, including guidance for developers

Flood risk assessment:

- At the planning application stage, a site-specific flood risk assessment and surface water drainage strategy will be required.
- Consultation with the Local Authority and the Environment Agency should be undertaken at an early stage.
- A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required because the site is within Flood Zone 2 and 3 and at risk from sources of flooding other than rivers and the sea. Government guidance on flood risk assessments must be followed (https://www.gov.uk/guidance/floodrisk-assessment-for-planning-applications).
- Other sources of flooding should also be considered as part of a site-specific flood risk assessment, including surface water, tidal and groundwater.
- The site is located within a catchment identified as highly sensitive to the cumulative impact of development. The effects which development of the site may have on flood risk within the catchment will need to be considered within a site-specific flood risk assessment.
- Appropriate storage of surface water runoff will need to be provided, and assessments should
 identify opportunities to provide off-site betterment, to help offset the cumulative impact of
 development. For example, this may include contribution to the delivery of schemes within
 the catchment, such as flood alleviation schemes, Natural Flood Management, SuDS retrofit
 or river restoration.

Guidance for site design and making development safe:

- A site-specific surface water drainage strategy will be required.
- Development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site and should seek to reduce the levels of flood risk on adjacent land.
- Consultation with Gloucestershire County Council as Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA) and the Stroud District Council Drainage Engineer on surface water drainage of the site and potential SuDS features should be undertaken at an early stage.
- Development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk both on and offsite, for example by reducing volume and rate of runoff and creating space for flooding.
- The development should be designed using a sequential approach. Development should be steered away from areas of fluvial flood risk and surface water flow routes, preserving these spaces as green infrastructure.
- The site layout and drainage design must ensure that surface water flows resulting from rainfall in excess of a 1 in 100 event are managed via exceedance routes that minimise the risks to people and property.
- Safe access and egress should be demonstrated in the 1 in 100 plus climate change fluvial
 and rainfall events. Raising of access routes must not impact on surface water flow routes.
 Consideration should be given to the siting of access points with respect to areas of surface
 water flood risk.

Recommendations for Local Plan policy





Site code	PS36
Site name	New settlement at Sharpness

Site details	OS Grid reference	SO 67293 00626					
	Area	190.01 ha					
	Current land use	Agricultural land					
	Proposed site use	Residential					
	Flood risk vulnerability	More vulnerable					
	Existing watercourses The site is formed of two land parcels, located either side of B4066 and railway line. The River Severn forms the western boundary of the larger, land parcel, and the Main River Little Avon forms the southern boundary ordinary watercourses cross the north, west and south of the parcel, and drain westwards directly into the River Severn or south westwards into the Avon. In the smaller northern parcel of land, an ordinary watercourse trill the River Severn flows east to west across the site.						
	Flood history	The south west of the southern land parcel is recorded as having flooded in November 2012 as a result of fluvial flooding from the Little Avon. The site is n recorded as having flooded from the River Severn directly.					
		Fluvial / Tidal (River Severn)					
		Proportion of the site at risk (%)	1% AEP	0.2% AEP	0.1% AEP		
			35%	36%	40%		
			Fluvial (L	ittle Avon)			
		Proportion of the site at risk (%)	5% AEP	1% AEP	0.1% AEP		
			11%	22%	27%		
Courses of		Range of depths (m)	0.01 - 0.39	0.02 - 0.63	0.05 - 1.78		
Sources of flood risk		Maximum hazard	0.5 – 1.5	0.5 - 2.0	0.5 – 2.2		
	Fluvial / Tidal	well as the 2007 Tidal watercourses that potenti modelling and so further cand actual flood risk. Flood characteristics: The northern, western and tidal flood risk from tand tidal flood risk from tand tidal flood event. The south western portion Avon during a 1 in 5 flood around the branched water north westwards during the	e Environment River Sever ially affect the detail is require his section des d south-weste he River Seven of the site is event and great recourse netwo	n hydraulic mo- sites that have d to understand cribes the undefer a areas of the si ern during the 1 also at risk of fluv ter return periods rk at the south of ponding against	nodel of the Little Avon as idels. There are some not been included in the the extent of Flood Zones ended flood risk to the site. ite are at combined fluvial in 100, 1 in 200 and 1 in vial flooding from the Little s. Flooding initially occurs the site, and then extends the raised defences here,		
		in the defended scenario.		pths te at risk (RoFS)	W)		
	Surface Water	1 in 30	1 in		1 in 1,000		
	Sanaco Halor	1%	29		7%		





Site code		PS36					
Site name	New settlement at Sharpness						
		Description of surface water flow paths: Surface water flood risk within the sis relatively low, with surface water mapping identifying the floodplains of smordinary watercourses. Excluding these areas, low-lying land adjacent to the River Severn is identified at risk of surface water flooding during the 1 in 1,000 rainfall event. Small surface water flow paths in both land parcels are predicted to form during the 1 in 1,000 event and flow into the adjacent ordinary watercourses.					
		Areas Susceptible to Grou	•	ding Map c		sk of g	groundwater
	Groundwater	ASTGWF - Category 2	STGWF - Ca >=50% <7	tegory 3	egory 3 ASTGWF - Category 4		
		10%	0%			09	%
		The site is at low to moderat of groundwater emergence w	e risk of grou thin a given 1	ndwater flood km² grid squ	ding, wi are, dur	ith a 29 ring a 1	5-50%chance in 100 event.
	Reservoir	The site is not at risk of reser	voir flooding.				
	Canal	There are no canals witin the	site.				
		Defence Type	Standa	rd of Protec	tion	С	ondition
	Defences	Coastal embankment		1 in 100		2	2 – Good
		Along the western boundary of the larger site there is an embankment that protects against fluvial/tidal flood risk from the River Severn, which entirely defends the southern land parcel against flooding from the 1 in 100 flood event on the River Severn. The limit of defence does not extend to the northern land parcel. The south west of the site remains at risk of flooding from the Little Avon.					ntirely lood event on rn land
Flood risk management infrastructure		Culvert / structure blockage? In the smaller parcel of land, the value culverted below Saniger Lane. The risk associated with blockage at should be considered.		residual flood			
		Impounded water body failure?	The site breach.	The site is not at risk of flooding due to rese breach.			ie to reservoir
	Residual risk			Breach Zone The west of the site benefits from coastal			
		Defence breach / overtopping?	defence. given to overtopp	Therefore, the possible	consic ility of risk tha	deratio this d	from coastal n should be efence being would pose to
Emergency planning	Flood warning	The western areas of the larger site are included within both Flood Alert and I Warning areas. • Flood Alert Area: Severn Estuary at Oldbury-on-Severn, Northwick Avonmouth • Flood Warning Area: Severn Estuary from Sharpness to Oldbur Severn Both of the parcels of land are likely to be accessed via the B4066 which pa between the two. At the northern edge of the larger site, the road is affected be 1 in 100 fluvial flood event. The site is not at risk of surface water flooding.				Northwick and	
	Access and egress					ffected by the	
Climate	Climate change allowances for	River Basin Distr	ct	Central	Higl Cen		Upper End
Change	'2080s'	Severn		25%	35	%	70%





Site code	PS36
Site name	New settlement at Sharpness

	Implications for the site	The site is likely to be impacted by climate change. The extent of the 1 in 100 + climate change flood event is greater than that of the 1 in 100 event within the site, which indicates that climate change is likely to increase the risk of fluvial and tidal flooding to the site.						
	Bedrock Geology	Raglan Mudstone Formation – Siltstone a	and Mudstone					
	Superficial Geology	Tidal flat deposits (clay, silt and sand) an western border of the larger site.	d Cheltenham sand and gravel along the					
	Soils	Along the western border of the larger site there are areas of loamy and clayey soils of coastal flats with naturally high groundwater. The remaining area of the site is slightly acid loamy and clayey soils with impeded drainage.						
	SuDS	 As a large undeveloped site, opportunities should be taken to incorporate above ground SuDS features, which provide multiple benefits. A high-level assessment of SuDS suitability carried out as part of the Level 1 SFRA suggests that the site is best suited to conveyance features, such as swales and rills, or detention features, such as ponds and wetlands. Attenuation features must be located outside areas of fluvial flood risk. The site geology is impermeable in nature and there is moderate risk of groundwater flooding, however there are areas of superficial deposits which may provide opportunity for shallow infiltration. To better understand the infiltration potential at the site, site-specific infiltration testing will be required. 						
Requirement for drainage control and impact	Groundwater Source Protection Zone	The site is not within a groundwater Source Protection Zone.						
mitigation	Historic Landfill Site	There are no historic landfill sites within the proposed boundary.						
	Opportunities for flood risk betterment	The site provides opportunities to provide storage of surface water and limit the rate and volume of water discharged from the site into the River Severn. This is likely to provide benefit during periods of high tide or high river level on the Rive Severn, when tributary watercourses and the Little Avon become tide-locked and back up.						
		Water Framework Directive Catchment	Sensitivity to cumulative impacts					
			High					
	Cumulative impacts of development	Coastal Catchment 1 (not part of a WFD river catchment)	FRA should include consideration of effects on potential sensitive receptors off-site and if necessary, include additional mitigation, so there are no adverse cumulative effects					
		Coastal Catchment 2 (not part of a WFD river catchment)	Medium					
		Little Avon – confluence with Tortworth Brook to mouth	Medium					
	Sequential Test and Exception Test requirements							

Level 2 SFRA Detailed Site Summary Tables – DRAFT DOCUMENT



Site code	PS36
Site name	New settlement at Sharpness

The Sequential Test must be satisfied. Only once the Sequential Test is satisfied should the Exception Test be applied. It is anticipated that proposed development will be sequentially located within Flood Zone 1. For this site, the Exception Test must be satisfied:

- If More Vulnerable and Essential Infrastructure is located in FZ3a or FZ3a plus climate change.
- If Highly Vulnerable development is located in FZ2.

If Essential Infrastructure is located in Flood Zone 3b then it must be demonstrated that the exception test is satisfied. Development will not be permitted in the following scenarios:

- Highly Vulnerable development within FZ3a or FZ3a plus climate change and FZ3b.
- More Vulnerable and Less Vulnerable development within FZ3b.

Recommendations for requirements of site-specific Flood Risk Assessment, including guidance for developers

Flood risk assessment:

- At the planning application stage, a site-specific flood risk assessment and surface water drainage strategy will be required.
- Consultation with the Local Authority and the Environment Agency should be undertaken at an early stage.
- A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required because the site is within Flood Zone 2 and 3 and at risk from sources of flooding other than rivers and the sea. Government guidance on flood risk assessments must be followed (https://www.gov.uk/guidance/floodrisk-assessment-for-planning-applications).
- Other sources of flooding should also be considered as part of a site-specific flood risk assessment, including surface water, tidal and groundwater.
- Climate change should be assessed using recommended climate change allowances at the time of the assessment (https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-assessments-climate-change-allowances) for the type of development and level of risk. The current allowances were published in February 2016 but may be subject to change in the future.
- Subject to consultation with the Environment Agency and Lead Local Flood Authority, it is recommended that detailed hydraulic modelling of the unmodelled ordinary watercourse within the northern land parcel is carried out as part of a flood risk assessment.
- The site is located within a catchment identified as highly sensitive to the cumulative impact of development. The effects which development of the site may have on flood risk within the catchment will need to be considered within a site-specific flood risk assessment.
- Appropriate storage of surface water runoff will need to be provided, and assessments should identify opportunities to provide off-site betterment, to help offset the cumulative impact of development. For example, this may include contribution to the delivery of schemes within the catchment, such as flood alleviation schemes, Natural Flood Management, SuDS retrofit or river restoration.

Guidance for site design and making development safe:

- The site benefits from the presence of existing defences. As a consequence of climate change
 effects the standard of protection afforded by these defences will be reduced. A commitment
 must be made so appropriate provisions for flood risk management measures are secured for
 the lifetime of the development.
- A site-specific surface water drainage strategy will be required.
- Development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site and should seek to reduce the levels of flood risk downstream.
- Consultation with Gloucestershire County Council as Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA) and the Stroud District Council Drainage Engineer on surface water drainage of the site and potential SuDS features should be undertaken at an early stage.
- Development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk both on and off-site, for example by reducing volume and rate of runoff and creating space for flooding.
- The development should be designed using a sequential approach. Development should be steered away from areas of fluvial flood risk and surface water flow routes, preserving these spaces as green infrastructure.

Recommendations for Local Plan policy





Site code	PS36
Site name	New settlement at Sharpness

- The site layout and drainage design must ensure that surface water flows resulting from rainfall in excess of a 1 in 100 event are managed via exceedance routes that minimise the risks to people and property.
- Safe access and egress should be demonstrated in the 1 in 100 plus climate change fluvial and rainfall events. Raising of access routes must not impact on surface water flow routes. Consideration should be given to the siting of access points with respect to areas of surface water flood risk.





Site code	PS37
Site name	New settlement at Wisloe

Site details	OS Grid reference	SO 74692 02678	SO 74692 02678					
	Area	83.97 ha						
	Current land use	Agricultural land and	d buildir	ngs				
	Proposed site use	Residential						
	Flood risk vulnerability	More vulnerable						
	Existing watercourses	The site is formed of two parcels of land, separated by the A4135 Draycott. In the eastern parcel of land, an ordinary watercourse tributary of the River C flows in a northerly direction to join the River Cam. In the western parcel of lathe Lighten Brook, an ordinary watercourse, flows in a north easterly direction through the centre of the site, and is culverted below Bristol Road at the west boundary of the site.					ary of the River Cam estern parcel of land, easterly direction	
	Flood history	There are no recoded flood incidents at the site. However, the following sewer flooding incidents are recorded in the vicinity of the site: • 22/11/2016, 08/02/2016 – Flooding to open space and property curtilage (GL11 5DH) • 12/01/2008, 17/03/2008, 03/11/2012, 21/12/2012, January 2013 (various), October 2013 (various), December 2013 (various) January – February 2014 (various), 27/12/2017 – flooding to curtilage (GL2 7AT, GL2 7AH). • 23/06/2007 – flooding to highway (GL2 7AL)						
					40/ 4=			
Sources of flood risk		Proportion of the site at risk		% AEP N/A	1% AEI 0%		0.1% AEP 1%	
	Fluvial	Available modelled data: The site is included within the Environment Agency 2007 River Cam and Wir Brook detailed hydraulic model. There are two watercourses that cross to that are not modelled. The potential extent of the flood zones and effect allocation proposals should be evaluated to enable the application of the sec approach. Flood characteristics: The north-western area of the most eastern parcel of land is identified as during a 1 in 1,000 flood event on the River Cam. The smaller, of watercourses are not covered by detailed hydraulic models. Peak flood levels modelled on the River Cam at the north eastern corne eastern land parcel reach 16.0mAOD during a 1 in 100 event, and 16.17				es that cross the land nes and effect on the ation of the sequential is identified as at risk he smaller, ordinary eastern corner of the		
			Prop	•	te at risk (Ro	FSW)		
	Surface Water	1 in 30		1 in			1 in 1,000	
		0%		19	%		3%	





Site code	PS37
Site name	New settlement at Wisloe

		Description of surface water flow paths: The risk of surface water flood risk across the site is low. Surface water flow paths are predicted to form on both land parcels during a 1 in 30 rainfall event and greater return periods. However, the mapping highlights that these follow the lower topography of the ordinary watercourses within the sites. An area of surface water ponding is also predicted to form on the northern corner of the western land parcel, in a low point adjacent to Bristol Road.					
		Areas Susceptible to Grou	ındwater Flo emerg		lass (risk o	f groundwater	
	Groundwater	ASTGWF - Category 2 >=25% <50%	ASTGWF - Ca >=50% <			- Category 4 =75%	
		10%	1%		8	39%	
		The site is at high risk of groundwater emergence with					
	Reservoir	The north eastern corner of reservoir breach.	the eastern I	and parcel is	at risk of flo	ooding during a	
	Canal	There are no canals within the	ne site bound	ary.			
Defences	Defenses	Defence Type		rd of Protec		Condition	
	Detences	There are no flood defences site.	within the site	e boundary, c	or within the	vicinity of the	
Flood risk management infrastructure	Pocidual rick	Culvert / structure blockage? watercd beneat site, an eastern culvert		On the western land parcel, the ordinary watercourse which crosses the site is culverted beneath the M5, beyond the south east of the site, and beneath Bristol Road, at the north eastern boundary of the site. Blockage of the culvert beneath Bristol Road is likely to increase flood risk to the site, and should be assessed.			
	Residual risk	Impounded water body failure?	The no	The north eastern corner of the easter parcel is at risk of flooding during a re			
		Defence breach /		Breach Zone			
		overtopping?		There are no flood defences within the site boundary.			
	Flood warning	The eastern parcel of land is Area for the River Frome an	s included wit		onment Age	ency Flood Alert	
Emergency planning	Access and egress	The site is likely to be accessed from the A38 Bristol Road, which runs a western boundary. Near the most western parcel of land, there is ponding road which occurs during the 1 in 30 event and greater return periods. Nor eastern site, the road is at risk of flooding during a 1 in 100 and 1 in 1,000 of the River Cam.					
Changa	Climate change	River Basin Dist	rict	Central	Higher Central	Upper End	
	allowances for '2080s'	Severn	25%	35%	70%		





Site code	PS37
Site name	New settlement at Wisloe

	Implications for the site	The site is likely to be impacted by climate change. The extent of the 1 in 100 + climate change flood event is greater than that of the 1 in 100 event within the site, which indicates that climate change is likely to increase the risk of fluvial and tidal flooding to the site.					
	Bedrock Geology	The site is underlain by Blue Lias Format (undifferentiated)	tion and Charmouth Mudstone Formation				
	Superficial Geology	heltenham Sand and Gravel deposits overlie the bedrock geology on the site					
	Soils	oils on the site are freely draining, lime-rich and loamy.					
Requirement for drainage control and impact	SuDS	 As a large undeveloped site, opportunities should be taken to incorporate above ground SuDS features, which provide multiple benefits. A high-level assessment of SuDS suitability carried out as part of the Level 1 SFRA suggests that the site is best suited to conveyance features, such as swales and rills, or detention features, such as pond and wetlands. Attenuation features must be located outside areas of fluvial flood risk The site geology is impermeable in nature and there is a high risk of groundwater flooding, therefore infiltration techniques are unlikely to be suitable. However, to better understand the infiltration potential at the site, site-specific infiltration testing will be required. Below ground attenuation features may require an impermeable liner, ensure storage capacity is not lost and there is no contamination to underlying groundwater. 					
mitigation	Groundwater Source Protection Zone	The site is not within a groundwater Source Protection Zone.					
	Historic Landfill Site	There are no historic landfill sites within the proposed boundary.					
	Opportunities for flood risk betterment	The majority of the proposed site is currently in a greenfield state and therefor post-development greenfield rates and volumes should be restricted to the existing rate. The site provides opportunities for the temporary storage of floodwaters, to reduce peak flows and downstream flood risk on the River Car					
		Water Framework Directive Catchment	Sensitivity to cumulative impacts				
	Cumulative impacts of development	The Cam – source to confluence with Gloucester and Sharpness Canal	FRA should include consideration of effects on potential sensitive receptors off-site and if necessary, include additional mitigation, so there are no adverse cumulative effects				
	Sequential Test and Exception Test requirements						

Level 2 SFRA Detailed Site Summary Tables – DRAFT DOCUMENT



Site code	PS37
Site name	New settlement at Wisloe

The Sequential Test must be satisfied. Only once the Sequential Test is satisfied should the Exception Test be applied. It is anticipated that proposed development will be sequentially located within Flood Zone 1. For this site, the Exception Test must be satisfied:

- If More Vulnerable and Essential Infrastructure is located in FZ3a or FZ3a plus climate change.
- If Highly Vulnerable development is located in FZ2.

If Essential Infrastructure is located in Flood Zone 3b then it must be demonstrated that the exception test is satisfied. Development will not be permitted in the following scenarios:

- Highly Vulnerable development within FZ3a or FZ3a plus climate change and FZ3b.
- More Vulnerable and Less Vulnerable development within FZ3b.

Recommendations for requirements of site-specific Flood Risk Assessment, including guidance for developers

Flood risk assessment:

- Consultation with the Local Authority and the Environment Agency should be undertaken at an early stage.
- A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required because the site is within Flood Zone 2
 and 3 and at risk from sources of flooding other than rivers and the sea. Government guidance
 on flood risk assessments must be followed (https://www.gov.uk/guidance/floodrisk-assessment-for-planning-applications).
- All sources of flooding, particularly the risk of groundwater flooding, should be considered as part of a site-specific flood risk assessment.
- A detailed assessment of the risk and location of high groundwater levels and groundwater emergence should be undertaken, including groundwater monitoring during the winter months, where required.
- Climate change should be assessed using recommended climate change allowances at the
 time of the assessment (https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-assessmentsclimate-change-allowances) for the type of development and level of risk. The current allowances were
 published in February 2016 but may be subject to change in the future.
- Subject to consultation with the Environment Agency and Lead Local Flood Authority, it is recommended that detailed hydraulic modelling of the unmodelled ordinary watercourse within the northern land parcel is carried out as part of a flood risk assessment.
- The site is located within a catchment identified as highly sensitive to the cumulative impact of development. The effects which development of the site may have on flood risk within the catchment will need to be considered within a site-specific flood risk assessment. Appropriate storage of surface water runoff will need to be provided, and assessments should identify opportunities to provide off-site betterment, to help offset the cumulative impact of development. For example, this may include contribution to the delivery of schemes within the catchment, such as flood alleviation schemes, Natural Flood Management, SuDS retrofit or river restoration.

Guidance for site design and making development safe:

- Development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk both on and off-site, for example by reducing volume and rate of runoff and creating space for flooding.
- The development should be designed using a sequential approach. Development should be steered away from surface water flow routes and areas where groundwater risk is highest, preserving these areas as green infrastructure.
- Safe access and egress should be demonstrated in the 1 in 100 plus 40% climate change rainfall event. Raising of access routes must not impact on flow routes. Consideration should be given to the siting of access points with respect to surface water flood risk areas.
- The design must ensure that surface water flows resulting from rainfall in excess of a 1 in 100 event are managed via exceedance routes that minimise the risks to people and property.
- Mitigation for seasonal high groundwater levels must be considered (for example by raising finished floor levels to an appropriate height above ground level).
- Flow routes would need to be preserved if carrying out land-raising within the surface water risk

Recommendations for Local Plan policy





Site code	PS37
Site name	New settlement at Wisloe
and low pe SuDS may attenuation feature and	of SuDS schemes must take into account the seasonally high groundwater table rmeability. Infiltration techniques may be ineffective, and may pose a pollution risk, need to be shallow and take up larger areas. Above ground conveyance and can be used but care must be taken that groundwater does not enter the SuDS reduce the storage capacity and structural integrity of the design.

monitoring during the winter months (November through to March).





Site code	PS47
Site name	Land west of Renishaw New Mills

Site details	OS Grid reference	ST 73537 92666	ST 73537 92666						
	Area	16.18 ha							
	Current land use	Agricultural land an	d exist	ing property					
	Proposed site use	Employment							
	Flood risk vulnerability	Less vulnerable							
	Existing watercourses	The Marlees Brook, a Main River and tributary of the Little Avon River, flows along the northern site boundary, from east to west. A large pond is located approximately 500m to the north west of the site.							
	Flood history	There are no histor boundary. An incide the south west of the there are few detail	ic flood ent of g ne site,	l extents or ind groundwater flo and appears	cidents record coding was re to have affect	corded	on 01/11/2014 to		
		Proportion of		5% AEP	1% AEI	D	0.1% AEP		
		the site at risk (%)	`	1%	1%		4%		
		Range of depths (m)	0	.01 - 1.0	0.01 - 0.84		0.02 - 0.96		
	Fluvial	Maximum hazard	().5 - 1.5	0.5 – 1.6		0.5 - 2.1		
		Available modelled data: The Environment Agency detailed 1D-2D hydraulic model of the Little Avon, which was completed in 2016, covers the site.							
Sources of flood risk		Flood characteristics: The northern boundary of the site is at risk of flooding during a 1 in 20 event on the Marlees Brook, and the risk of flooding during a 1 in 100 event covers a very similar flood extent. The risk of flooding during a 1 in 1,000 event extends to the north east corner of the site, covering a larger area.							
				ortion of site			<u> </u>		
		1 in 30		1 in 100		1 in 1,000			
		1% 2%					4%		
	Surface Water	Description of surface water flow paths: Surface water flood risk to the site is relatively low. The northern and south eastern site perimeters are at risk of flooding during the 1 in 30 rainfall event and greater return periods. In addition, ponding is predicted to occur around the existing buildings at the centre of the site, near Lower Barns Farm. In addition, a surface water flow path is predicted to form in the east of the site during the 1 in 100 and 1 in 1,000 rainfall events, and flows eastwards into the Marlees Brook.				eters are at risk of eriods. ng buildings at the ace water flow path			
		Areas Susceptible to Groundwater Flooding Map class (risk of groundwater emergence)				lass (risk of			
	Groundwater	ASTGWF - Catego >=25% <50%		ASTGWF - >=50%		ASTO	GWF - Category 4 >=75%		
		0%		09			0%		





Site code		PS47						
Site name Land west of Renishaw New Mills								
			The site is at relatively low risk of groundwater flooding, with a less than 25% risk of occurring within the surrounding 1km ² grid cell during a 1 in 100 groundwater flood event.					
	Reservoir	The site is not identified as at reservoir flood risk.						
	Canal	The site is not identified as at ris	The site is not identified as at risk of flooding from canals.					
	Defenses	Defence Type	Standard of Pro	tection	Co	ndition		
	Defences	There are no flood defences loca vicinity of the site.	ated within the site	ooundary o	or within	n the		
Flood risk management infrastructure		Culvert / structure blockage?	There are no culverted watercourses wi the site boundary. However, the Maerl Brook is culverted or bridged below the access tracks which are located to the eand west of the site. A blockage to the cul beneath the western access track may poresidual flood risk to the north western corof the site.		Maerlees by the two the east the culvert hay pose a			
	Residual risk	Impounded water body failure?	The site is not identified at risk of flooding in the event of reservoir failure. However, a large pond is located approximately 500m to the north west of the site. In the event of overtopping of this lake, flood waters would travel south eastwards into the Marlees Brook which could impact flooding at the site.			ver, a large Om to the event of ters would lees Brook		
		Defence breach /		each Zon				
		overtopping? There are no flood divicinity of the site.			efences located in the			
	Flood warning	The site is located within the Er the Vale of Berkeley Flood Alert		Little Avoi	n Catcl	nment and		
Emergency planning	Access and	Access to the site is likely to be via the B4058 road, located to the south of site. The B058 is very at low fluvuial flood risk (within Flood Zone 1). The majo of the road is also at very low risk of surface water flooding, with the except of the roundabout at the south eastern corner of the site, which is at risk flooding during a 1 in 30 rainfall event and greater retiurn periods. The two existing access roads located on the east and west site boundary, who could also be used for access. The northern end of these access roads is at risk of flooding during the 1 in 20, 1 in 100 and 1 in 1,000 flood events. The east access road is also at risk of surface water flooding during a 1 in 30 event a greater return periods, particularly at the junciton of B4058.						
	egress							
	Climate change	River Basin District	Centr	3	her tral	Upper End		
Climate	allowances for '2080s'	South West	30%)%	85%		
Change	Implications for the site	Climate change is expected to in at the site, with the plus 80% clir within the extent of 1 in 1,000 flo	nate change scena					





Site code	PS47
Site name	Land west of Renishaw New Mills

	Bedrock Geology	The majority of the site is underlain by L Limestone Member, a series of interbed eastern portion of the site is underlain b Mudstone Formation. A band of Westbu Mudstone is located at the western bour	Ided Limestone and Mudstone. The y Blue Lias Formation and Charmouth Iry Formation and Cotham Member			
	Superficial Geology	A band of alluvial silt, clay, sand and gra of the site, and corresponds with the flo				
	Soils	The soils within the site are slowly perm and clayey soils which are seasonally w				
Requirement for drainage control and impact mitigation	SuDS	 As a large, relatively undeveloped site, opportunities should be taken to incorporate above ground SuDS features, which provide multiple benefits, such as biodiversity, recreation and water resource education, through integration with areas of greenspace. All development should adopt source control SuDS techniques. Conveyance features should be designed above ground and following natural flow paths where possible Due to the mixed geologies on the site, with variable permeability, the potential for infiltration should be investigated within site-specific infiltration testing. Detention and conveyance features will be appropriate on the site. However, attenuation features must be located outside areas of fluvial flood risk. 				
	Groundwater Source Protection Zone	The site is not located within a desingated Source Protection Zone.				
	Historic Landfill Site	There are no historic landfill sites within the site boundary.				
	Opportunities for flood risk betterment	The site provides opportunities for storing flood water from the Marlees Brod to reduce peak flows and delay the time in which they reach the Little Avon. This can help to manage the risk of flooding from the Little Avon to downstream settlements, such as Berkeley.				
	Cumulative impacts of	Water Framework Directive Catchment	Sensitivity to cumulative impacts			
	development	Ozleworth Brook – source to confluence with Little Avon	Medium			
	Sequential Test an	d Exception Test requirements				
The Sequential Test must be satisfied. Only once the Sequential Test is satisfied should the Excellent Test be applied. It is anticipated that proposed development will be sequentially located with Flood Zone 1. For this site, the Exception Test must be satisfied: If More Vulnerable and Essential Infrastructure is located in FZ3a or FZ3a plus clickname.						

policy

If Highly Vulnerable development is located in FZ2.

If Essential Infrastructure is located in Flood Zone 3b then it must be demonstrated that the exception test is satisfied. Development will not be permitted in the following scenarios:

- Highly Vulnerable development within FZ3a or FZ3a plus climate change and FZ3b.
- More Vulnerable and Less Vulnerable development within FZ3b.





Site code	PS47
Site name	Land west of Renishaw New Mills

Recommendations for requirements of site-specific Flood Risk Assessment, including guidance for developers

Flood risk assessment:

- At the planning application stage, a site-specific flood risk assessment and surface water drainage strategy will be required.
- Consultation with the Local Authority and the Environment Agency should be undertaken at an early stage.
- A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required because the site is within Flood Zone 2 and 3 and at risk from sources of flooding other than rivers and the sea. Government guidance on flood risk assessments must be followed (https://www.gov.uk/guidance/floodrisk-assessment-for-planning-applications).
- Other sources of flooding should also be considered as part of a site-specific flood risk assessment, including surface water and groundwater.
- The site is located within a catchment identified as moderately sensitive to the cumulative impact of development. The effects which development of the site may have on flood risk within the catchment will need to be considered within a site-specific flood risk assessment.

Guidance for site design and making development safe:

- Development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site and should seek to reduce the levels of flood risk downstream.
- Consultation with Gloucestershire County Council as Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA) and the Stroud District Council Drainage Engineer on surface water drainage of the site and potential SuDS features should be undertaken at an early stage.
- Development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk both on and offsite, for example by reducing volume and rate of runoff and creating space for flooding.
- The development should be designed using a sequential approach. Development should be steered away from areas of fluvial flood risk and surface water flow routes, preserving these spaces as green infrastructure.
- The site layout and drainage design must ensure that surface water flows resulting from rainfall in excess of a 1 in 100 event are managed via exceedance routes that minimise the risks to people and property.
- Safe access and egress should be demonstrated in the 1 in 100 plus climate change fluvial
 and rainfall events. Raising of access routes must not impact on surface water flow routes.
 Consideration should be given to the siting of access points with respect to areas of surface
 water flood risk.





Site code	G2
Site name	Land at Whaddon

Site details	OS Grid reference	SO 82863 13037	SO 82863 13037					
	Area	173.1 ha						
	Current land use	Greenfield Residential						
	Proposed site use							
	Flood risk vulnerability	More vulnerable						
	Existing watercourses	The Main River Daniel's Brook flows in a north-westerly direction through the site before becoming culverted below the railway embankment along the western boundary. In the south of the site, another ordinary watercourse forms a tributary to the brook. A further ordinary watercourse tributary forms the northern boundary of the site.						
	Flood history	There are no historical t	lood events asso	ciated with th	ne site.			
		Proportion of	5% AEP	1% AEF	.	0.1% AEP		
		the site at risk	5% 5%	5%		7%		
Sources of flood risk	Fluvial	Available modelled data: The site is covered by the Environment A Daniel's Brook 1D-only hydraulic model. Additional modelling of won the site is being undertaken, as part of a planning application for Flood characteristics: The central portion of the site is within Flo 3b and Flood Zone 2, associated with the floodplain of Daniel's additional area at the northern corner of the site is located within Flo 3a and Flood Zone 2. Here, peak flood levels range reach 25.91mA 1 in 100 event, to 25.91mAOD during a 1 in 1,000 event. The site co island" and it is unlikely that development will be appropriate on this appropriate provisions are made with respect to safe access and expression and level data associated with the Daniel's Brook were refrom the Environment Agency.				of watercourses in for the site. In Flood Zone 3a, niel's Brook. An in Flood Zone 3b, 1mAOD during a te contains a "dry in this land unless and egress.		
			oportion of site					
		1 in 30	1 in 1		1	15%		
	Surface Water	The surface water flood flood extents of Danie number of additional su north and east of the s nearest watercourse. In	Description of surface water flow paths: The surface water flood risk across the site is largely associated with the fluvial flood extents of Daniel's Brook and its tributary watercourses. However, a number of additional surface water flow paths are predicted to form in the south, north and east of the site during a 1 in 1,000 rainfall event, and drain into the nearest watercourse. In addition, some isolated ponding is predicted to occur on natural low points within the site.					
		Areas Susceptib	le to Groundwater		Map clas	ss (risk of		
	Groundwater	ASTGWF - Category 2 >=25% <50%	ASTGWF - 0		ASTGW	VF - Category 4 >=75%		
		0%	0%			0%		





Site code	G2
Site name	Land at Whaddon

		The site is at low risk of groundwater flooding, with a less than 25% risk of occurring within the surrounding 1km ² grid cell during a 1 in 100 groundwater flood event.				
	Reservoir	The site is not at risk of reservoir	The site is not at risk of reservoir breach.			
	Canal	There are no canals within the site boundary.				
	Defences	Defence Type	Standar	d of Protecti	ion Co	ondition
		There are no flood defences with	nin the site.	ı		
Flood risk management		Culvert / structure blockage?	Consideration should be given to poten residual risk posed by blockage on the raily culvert at the west of the site.			
infrastructure	Residual risk	Impounded water body failure?	The site is not at risk of flooding in the ev of reservoir breach.		n the event	
		Defence breach /		Breach Zone		
	Flood warning	There are no defences within the site. The site is covered by the Environment Agency Rivers in North Gloucestershire Flood Alert Area				
Emergency planning	Access and egress	The site is likely to be accessed via Stroud Road (A4173) which is along the eastern boundary of the site. This route is is intersected by surface water flow paths from the east during the 1 in 30 event. At higher return periods, highway flooding occurs in some parts along the road. However, the road is at low fluvial flood risk and remains within Flood Zone 1.			water flow ls, highway	
	Climate change	River Basin District		Central	Higher Central	Upper End
	'2080s'	Severn		25%	35%	70%
Climate Change	Implications for the site	The site is likely to be impacted by climate change. The extent of the climate change flood event is greater than that of the 1 in 100 within which indicates that climate change is likely to increase the risk of flooding to the site. It should be noted that the climate change exterepresented using the 1 in 1,000 extent, due to the lower confidency hydrological inflows for the Daniel's Brook, and therefore are likely conservative in their extents.		n 100 within the risk of flu hange extent r confidence	the site, uvial ts here are in the	





Site code	G2
Site name	Land at Whaddon

	Bedrock Geology	The site is underlain by Blue Lias Formation (undifferentiated).	ation and Charmouth Mudstone	
	Superficial Geology	The bedrock geology is overlain by Che the south east of the site.	Itenham sand and gravel deposits in	
	Soils	The site has a range of soil types. In the centre there is an area of freely draining lime-rich soils, whereas the north and south of the site are covered by areas of lime-rich loamy and clayey soils with impeded drainage. • As a large, undeveloped site, opportunities should be taken to incorporate above ground SuDS features, which provide multiple benefits, such as biodiversity, recreation and water resource education, through integration with areas of greenspace. • All development should adopt source control SuDS techniques. Conveyance features should be designed above ground and following natural flow paths where possible. • A high-level assessment of SuDS suitability carried out as part of the Level 1 SFRA suggests that the site is best suited to conveyance features, such as swales and rills, or detention features, such as ponds and wetlands. • Attenuation features must be located outside areas of fluvial flood risk. • The site geology is impermeable in nature, therefore infiltration techniques are unlikely to be suitable. However, the presence of more permeable superficial deposits may allow shallow infiltration techniques. To better understand the infiltration potential at the site, site-specific infiltration testing will be required.		
Requirement for drainage control and impact mitigation	SuDS			
Groundwater Source Protection Zone The site is not inlouded with		The site is not inlcuded within a Source	ded within a Source Protection Zone.	
	Historic Landfill Site	There are no historical landfill sites within the proposed boundary.		
Opportunities for flood risk betterment		The majority of the proposed site is currently in a greenfield state and therefore post-development greenfield rates and volumes should be restricted to the existing rate. The site provides opportunities for the temporary storage of floodwaters, to reduce peak flows and downstream flood risk Daniel's Brook. The proposals should take account of any land that would potentially be needed to provide affordable mitigation of flood risk in the lower reaches of the catchment in particular with respect to climate change.		
	Cumulative	Water Framework Directive Catchment	Sensitivity to cumulative impacts	
	impacts of development	Daniel's Brook – Source to Gloucester and Sharpness Canal	Medium	
		Coastal Catchment 2 (not part of a WFD river catchment)	Medium	
	Sequential Test an	d Exception Test requirements		

Level 2 SFRA Detailed Site Summary Tables – DRAFT DOCUMENT



Site code	G2
Site name	Land at Whaddon

The Sequential Test must be satisfied. Only once the Sequential Test is satisfied should the Exception Test be applied. It is anticipated that proposed development will be sequentially located within Flood Zone 1. For this site, the Exception Test must be satisfied:

- If More Vulnerable and Essential Infrastructure is located in FZ3a or FZ3a plus climate change.
- If Highly Vulnerable development is located in FZ2.

If Essential Infrastructure is located in Flood Zone 3b then it must be demonstrated that the exception test is satisfied. Development will not be permitted in the following scenarios:

- Highly Vulnerable development within FZ3a or FZ3a plus climate change and FZ3b.
- More Vulnerable and Less Vulnerable development within FZ3b.

Recommendations for requirements of site-specific Flood Risk Assessment, including guidance for developers

Flood risk assessment:

- Consultation with the LLFA should be undertaken at an early stage
- A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required because the site is within Flood Zone 2 and 3 and at risk from sources of flooding other than rivers and the sea. Government guidance on flood risk assessments must be followed (https://www.gov.uk/guidance/floodrisk-assessment-for-planning-applications).
- The impact of the development on flood risk from all sources, including surface water and groundwater, both on and off-site must be considered and modelled where appropriate.
- Climate change should be assessed using recommended climate change allowances at the time of the assessment (https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-assessmentsclimate-change-allowances) for the type of development and level of risk. The current allowances were published in February 2016 but may be subject to change in the future.
- The site is located within a catchment identified as moderately sensitive to the cumulative impact of development. The effects which development of the site may have on flood risk within the catchment will need to be considered within a site-specific flood risk assessment.

Guidance for site design and making development safe:

- Development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site and should seek to reduce the levels of flood risk downstream.
- Consultation with Gloucestershire County Council as Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA) and the Stroud District Council Drainage Engineer on surface water drainage of the site and potential SuDS features should be undertaken at an early stage.
- Development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk both on and offsite, for example by reducing volume and rate of runoff and creating space for flooding.
- The development should be designed using a sequential approach. Development should be steered away from areas of fluvial flood risk and surface water flow routes, preserving these spaces as green infrastructure.
- The site layout and drainage design must ensure that surface water flows resulting from rainfall in excess of a 1 in 100 event are managed via exceedance routes that minimise the risks to people and property.
- Safe access and egress should be demonstrated in the 1 in 100 plus climate change fluvial
 and rainfall events. Raising of access routes must not impact on surface water flow routes.
 Consideration should be given to the siting of access points with respect to areas of surface
 water flood risk.

Recommendations for Local Plan policy





Site code	G1
Site name	South of Hardwicke

Site details	OS Grid reference	SO 79768 12585				
	Area	67.85Ha				
	Current land use	use Agricultural				
	Proposed site use	Residential				
	Flood risk vulnerability	More vulnerable				
	Existing watercourses	The Shorn Brook passes through the central and western land parcels of the site. The majority of the Shorn Brook is classified as an ordinary watercourse, however the lower reach, at the boundary of the western parcel, is designated as a Main River. The Gloucester and Sharpness Canal is adjacent to the boundary of the western land parcel. Two ponds lie within Herbert's Plantation, located at the centre of the largest land parcel.				
	Flood history	The site is not located within an Environment Agency recorded flowhich reflects the classification of the Shorn Brook as an ordinary at this location. The following flood incidents recorded by Gloucestershire County located adjacent to the site: 11/05/2012 - Pound Lane (GL2 4RJ) – source of flooding internal flooding. Date unknown - Green Lane (GL2 4QA) – fluvial flooding internal flooding. 16/07/2016 - B4006 Bristol Road (GL2 4RA) – source of unknown			dinary watercourse ounty Council are poding unknown ooding causing	
Sources of						
flood risk		Proportion of the site at risk	5% AEP N/A	1% AEP 7%	0.1% AEP 8%	
	Fluvial Flu		vailable modelled data: The site is not covered by a detailed hydraulic model in the Flood Zones generated from generalised national scale mapping. In the osence of detailed modelling information, the Flood Zones have been used to seess risks to the site during the 1 in 100 and 1 in 1,000 fluvial flood events owever, the Flood Zones do not extend beyond Sticky Lane, the access track ordering the eastern land parcel. Therefore, the potential extent of the Flood zones and effect on the allocation proposals should be evaluated to enable the oplication of the sequential approach. Tood characteristics: The upper portion of the central land parcel is predicted be affected during a 1 in 100 flood event, where the Shorn Brook passes rough the site, with the extent of flooding extending northwards during a 1 in 000 event. Church Lane, which separates the central and western parcels, is redicted to flood during a 1 in 100 event. However, the lower reach of the Shorr rook, which borders the western land parcel, has not been assessed by flood odelling and so the potential presence of a flood zone has not been			



JBA consulting

Level 2 SFRA Detailed Site Summary Tables – DRAFT DOCUMENT

Site code	G1
Site name	South of Hardwicke

	Prop	portion of site at risk (RoF	SW)
	1 in 30	1 in 100	1 in 1,000
	2%	13%	15%
Surface Water	Description of surface water flow paths: The site is at moderate risk of surface water flooding, with dispersed areas of ponding predicted to occur in low points across the site. With the exception of an area of ponding against Green Lane during a 1 in 30 rainfall event, the eastern land parcel is identified as at low risk of surface water flooding. The central land parcel is at higher risk of surface water flooding, with areas of ponding predicted to occur during a 1 in 30 rainfall event in the centre of the site alongside Shorn Brook, as well as in the north and south against Green Lane and Pound Lane, respectively. Surface water flood risk in the western land parcel is concentrated against the embankment of the Stroudwater and Sharpness Canal, where ponding forms during a 1 in 30 rainfall event, and extends to a continuous line during the 1 in 1.000 rainfall event.		
	•	to Groundwater Flooding groundwater emergence)	•
Groundwater	ASTGWF - Category 2 >=25% <50%	ASTGWF - Category 3 >=50% <75%	ASTGWF - Category 4 >=75%
	0%	0%	0%
	The site is identified as at low risk of groundwater flooding.		
Reservoir	The site is not identified as at risk from reservoir flooding. The Gloucester and Sharpness Canal is located adjacent to the western land parcel, and therefore the site is identified as at potential risk of flooding from canals.		
Canal			





Site code	G1
Site name	South of Hardwicke

	Defences	Defence Type	Standard of Protect	ion Co	ondition	
	Doronous	There are no flood defences within the site.				
Flood risk management		Culvert / structure blockage? Lane and adjacent to Sharpness Canal, which flooding to the central lar of blockage. This resir assessed in further deta		to the Glou nich may pos land parcel, esidual risk	n may pose a risk of nd parcel, in the event dual risk should be	
infrastructure	Residual risk	Impounded water body failure?	The site is not at risk of reservoir breach.			
			Bread	ch Zone		
		Defence breach / overtopping?	flood defence. Hower flooding to the site in overtopping of the Glo	The site is not identified as benefitting from flood defence. However, the residual risk of flooding to the site in the event of breach or overtopping of the Gloucester and Sharpness Canal should be assessed in further detail.		
	Flood warning	The site is not covered by an Environment Agency Flood Warning or Flood Aleri Area.			Flood Alert	
Emergency planning	Access and egress	The site may be accessed from five roads: B4008 Bristol Road, Green Lane, Church Lane, Pound Lane and Sticky Lane. Sticky Lane and Church Lane are identified as at risk of flooding from the Shorn Brook during the 1 in 100 and 1 in 1,000 fluvial flood events, as well as being at risk of flooding during a 1 in 30 rainfall event. Therefore, access via these roads is likely to be restricted during times of flood. Green Lane and Pound Lane are at low risk of fluvial flooding. However they are at risk of surface water flooding during a 1 in 30 rainfall event and greater return periods, with extensive flooding predcted to affect Pound Lane. The B4008 Bristol Road is at low risk of fluvial and surface water flooding.				
	Climate change allowances for	River Basin District	Central	Higher Central	Upper End	
	'2080s'	Severn 25% 35% 70			70%	
Climate Change	Implications for the site	The site is likely to be impacted by climate change. The extent of the 1 in climate change flood event is greater than that of the 1 in 100 within the s which indicates that climate change is likely to increase the risk of fluvial flooding to the site. It should be noted that the climate change extents her represented using the 1 in 1,000 extent and therefore may be conservative the area of land that is indicated to be affected.		the site, uvial ts here are		





Site code	G1
Site name	South of Hardwicke

Requirement for drainage control and impact mitigation	Bedrock Geology	The site is underlain by the Lias Group Mudstone, Siltstone, Limestone and Sandstone.	
	Superficial Geology	There are no superficial geology deposits recorded at the site.	
	Soils	The site is overlain by lime-rich loamy and clayey soills with impeded drainage.	
	SuDS	 As a large, relatively undeveloped site, opportunities should be taken to incorporate above ground SuDS features, which provide multiple benefits. A high-level assessment of SuDS suitability carried out as part of the Level 1 SFRA suggests that the site is best suited to conveyance features, such as swales and rills, or detention features, such as ponds and wetlands. Attenuation features must be located outside areas of fluvial flood risk. The site geology is impermeable in nature, therefore there is likely to be limited potential for discharge of surface water by infiltration. However, the potential for infiltration should be investigated within site-specific infiltration testing. 	
	Groundwater Source Protection Zone	The site is not within a groundwater Source Protection Zone.	
	Historic Landfill Site	There are no historical landfill sites within the proposed boundary.	
	Opportunities for flood risk betterment	Development should seek to strictly limit the rate and volumes of surface water leaving the site, to help to reduce and delay the timing of flows entering the Shorn Brook. Opportunities should be taken to daylight culverts on the Shorn Brook wherever possible.	
	Cumulative impacts of development	Water Framework Directive Catchment	Sensitivity to cumulative impacts
		Epney Rhyne – source to confluence with River Severn Estuary	High FRA should include consideration of effects on potential sensitive receptors off-site and if necessary, include additional mitigation, so there are no adverse cumulative effects
Sequential Test and Exception Test requirements			

Level 2 SFRA Detailed Site Summary Tables – DRAFT DOCUMENT



Site code	G1
Site name	South of Hardwicke

The Sequential Test must be satisfied. Only once the Sequential Test is satisfied should the Exception Test be applied. It is anticipated that proposed development will be sequentially located within Flood Zone 1. For this site, the Exception Test must be satisfied:

- If More Vulnerable and Essential Infrastructure is located in FZ3a or FZ3a plus climate change.
- If Highly Vulnerable development is located in FZ2.

If Essential Infrastructure is located in Flood Zone 3b then it must be demonstrated that the exception test is satisfied. Development will not be permitted in the following scenarios:

• Highly Vulnerable development within FZ3a or FZ3a plus climate change and FZ3b. More Vulnerable and Less Vulnerable development within FZ3b.

Recommendations for requirements of site-specific Flood Risk Assessment, including guidance for developers

Flood risk assessment:

- Consultation with the Local Authority and the Environment Agency should be undertaken at an early stage.
- A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required because the site is within Flood Zone 2 and 3 and at risk from sources of flooding other than rivers and the sea. Government guidance on flood risk assessments must be followed (https://www.gov.uk/guidance/floodrisk-assessment-for-planning-applications).
- The impact of the development on flood risk from all sources both on and off-site must be considered and modelled where appropriate. It is recommended that a detailed hydraulic model of the Shorn Brook is carried out for the site to accurately understand risk to the site.
- Climate change should be assessed using recommended climate change allowances at the time of the assessment (https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-assessmentsclimate-change-allowances) for the type of development and level of risk. The current allowances were published in February 2016 but may be subject to change in the future.
- The site is located within a catchment identified as highly sensitive to the cumulative impact
 of development. The effects which development of the site may have on flood risk within the
 catchment will need to be considered within a site-specific flood risk assessment.
- Appropriate storage of surface water runoff will need to be provided, and assessments should
 identify opportunities to provide off-site betterment, to help offset the cumulative impact of
 development. For example, this may include contribution to the delivery of schemes within
 the catchment, such as flood alleviation schemes, Natural Flood Management, SuDS retrofit
 or river restoration.

Guidance for site design and making development safe:

- A site-specific surface water drainage strategy will be required.
- Development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site and should seek to reduce the levels of flood risk downstream.
- Consultation with Gloucestershire County Council as Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA) and the Stroud District Council Drainage Engineer on surface water drainage of the site and potential SuDS features should be undertaken at an early stage.
- Development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk both on and offsite, for example by reducing volume and rate of runoff and creating space for flooding.
- The development should be designed using a sequential approach. Development should be steered away from areas of fluvial flood risk and surface water flow routes, preserving these spaces as green infrastructure.
- The site layout and drainage design must ensure that surface water flows resulting from rainfall in excess of a 1 in 100 event are managed via exceedance routes that minimise the risks to people and property.
- Safe access and egress should be demonstrated in the 1 in 100 plus climate change fluvial and rainfall events. Raising of access routes must not impact on surface water flow routes.

Recommendations for Local Plan policy

Stroud District Council Level 2 SFRA Detailed Site Summary Tables – DRAFT DOCUMENT



Site code	G1
Site name	South of Hardwicke
Considerat	ion should be given to the citing of access points with respect to areas of surface

Consideration should be given to the siting of access points with respect to areas of surface water flood risk.

Opportunities should be taken to de-culvert, or 'daylight' existing culverts within the site.