

Appendix 1

Scoping Consultation Comments

Table A1.1: Scoping consultation responses and how they have been addressed in this SA Report

Consultee	Issues raised in relation to Sustainability Appraisal (summarised where appropriate)	Response/how comment has been addressed in this SA Report
Historic England	<p>Overview Comment</p> <p>Consideration of the historic environment looks fine and provides an appropriate framework to assess relative sustainability from a heritage perspective.</p>	Comment noted, no action required.
Natural England	<p>Relevant Plans and Programmes</p> <p>Natural England has not reviewed the plans listed in the review of relevant plans and programmes. However, we advise that the following types of plans relating to the natural environment should be considered where applicable to your plan area;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Green infrastructure strategies • Biodiversity plans • Rights of Way Improvement Plans • Shoreline management plans • Coastal access plans • River basin management plans • AONB and National Park management plans. • Relevant landscape plans and strategies. 	<p>Noted. The relevant documents are already included in the review of plans and policies now set out in Chapter 3 of this report, i.e.:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strategic Framework for Green Infrastructure in Gloucestershire 2015 • Gloucestershire Nature Map • Stroud District Environment Strategy 2007-2027 • 2017-2027 Severn Estuary Strategy • The Severn Estuary Shoreline Management Plan Review (SMP2) • Cotswolds AONB Management Plan 2013-2018 • Emerging Cotswolds AONB Management Plan 2018-2023 • Severn Estuary Flood Risk Management Strategy • The 25 Year Plan to Improve the Environment • Gloucestershire Local Flood Risk Management Strategy <p>The policy review will be updated at each forthcoming stage of the SA and any new or updated plans and strategies will be included as relevant.</p>
	<p>Key Sustainability Issues – Biodiversity</p> <p>Natural England recommends that the restoration or enhancement of biodiversity is included in line with the National Planning Policy Framework. This is to be included in addition to the avoidance of damage which is already included.</p>	The Key Sustainability Issues now presented in Table 3.1 of this report have been updated to reflect the need to promote the restoration and enhancement of biodiversity in line with the NPPF.
	<p>SA Framework - Public Health</p> <p>Natural England recommends that while references relating to enhancing provision of recreational resources are included, there are none relating to impacts on existing recreational assets (quality and/or extent). It is suggested that the text "... avoids impacts on</p>	The assumptions that have been used in the SA of development site options (see Appendix 4) determines that potential significant negative effects are identified where development in a particular location could result in the loss of an existing green infrastructure/recreation asset.

Consultee	Issues raised in relation to Sustainability Appraisal (summarised where appropriate)	Response/how comment has been addressed in this SA Report
	<p>the quality and extent of existing recreational assets, such as formal or informal footpaths?" should be added to address this issue.</p>	
	<p>SA Framework - Ecological Connectivity</p> <p>Natural England recommends that there is a danger that development at land of limited biodiversity value in its own right can lead to the creation of islands of biodiversity, permanently severed from other areas. It is therefore suggested to add a sub-objective to SA objective 7 that reads "(Does the Plan) ensure current ecological networks are not compromised, and future improvements in habitat connectivity are not prejudiced?"</p>	<p>SA objective 7.1 has been amended to make reference to the need to avoid damage to ecological networks (see Table 2.2 of this report).</p>
	<p>Monitoring Framework</p> <p>Natural England highlights that the significant environmental effects of implementing the current local plan will need to be monitoring including the indicators relating to the effects of the plan on biodiversity.</p> <p>Natural England suggests including adopting the following indicators:</p> <p>Biodiversity:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of planning approvals that generated any adverse impacts on sites of acknowledged biodiversity importance. • Percentage of major developments generating overall biodiversity enhancement. • Hectares of biodiversity habitat delivered through strategic site allocations. <p>Landscape:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amount of new development in AONB/National Park/Heritage Coast with commentary on likely impact. <p>Green infrastructure:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Percentage of the city's population having access to a natural greenspace within 400 metres of their home. 	<p>Commented noted. The monitoring framework which is now set out in Chapter 7 of this report has drawn on the indicators suggested by Natural England as appropriate.</p>

Consultee	Issues raised in relation to Sustainability Appraisal (summarised where appropriate)	Response/how comment has been addressed in this SA Report
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Length of greenways constructed. Hectares of accessible open space per 1000 population. 	
Gloucestershire County Council	<p>Relevant Plans and Programmes - Public Health</p> <p>Stroud DC may wish to consider including the Gloucestershire Health and Wellbeing Strategy in Chapter 2 – this is a statutory document and its priorities are referenced in Chapter 3.</p>	The review of relevant plans and programmes in this SA Report (see Chapter 3) has been updated to include reference to the Gloucestershire Health and Wellbeing Strategy 2012 – 2032.
	<p>Baseline Information - Public Health</p> <p>Paragraph 3.31 refers to priorities identified by Public Health England. These are quoted in the Public Health England document referenced in the Scoping Report but are actually priorities identified locally in the Gloucestershire Health and Wellbeing Strategy.</p>	The review of relevant plans and programmes in this SA Report (see Chapter 3) has been updated to include the priorities identified in the Gloucestershire Health and Wellbeing Strategy 2012 – 2032. Furthermore the part of the baseline information relating to health (see Appendix 2) has been updated to reflect the information provided by Gloucestershire County Council.
	<p>SA Framework - Public Health</p> <p>SA objective 2 could be strengthened by including reference to narrowing health inequalities as this is identified as a key sustainability issue for Gloucestershire and Stroud in Chapter 4 (Table 4.1).</p>	An additional sub-objective has been added to SA objective 2 in the SA framework (see Table 2.2 in this report) in relation to narrowing health inequalities.
	<p>Baseline Information - Ecology</p> <p>At paragraph 3.53 the Scoping Report mentions a particular local Nature Improvement Area (NIA) but it has forgotten to mention the Cotswold Scarp NIA which partly falls within Stroud district too.</p>	The baseline information in this SA report (see Appendix 2) has been updated to include reference to the Cotswold Scarp NIA.
	<p>Baseline Information - Transport</p> <p>Paragraph 3.111 – it may be worth mentioning that land is currently safeguarded in the adopted Stroud Local Plan for two potential new stations at Hunts Grove (south of Gloucester) and Stonehouse Bristol Rd. Policy LTP PD5.1 of the Local Transport Plan sets out to explore with the rail industry the potential to open one or more new stations between Gloucester and Bristol. This will be considered again as part of the forthcoming review of the Local Transport Plan.</p>	The baseline information section in this SA report (see Appendix 2) has been updated to include reference to the potential for the new railway stations at Hunts Grove and Stonehouse Bristol Road as identified in the current Local Plan and the Local Transport Plan.

Consultee	Issues raised in relation to Sustainability Appraisal (summarised where appropriate)	Response/how comment has been addressed in this SA Report
Highways England	<p>Key Sustainability Issues and SA Framework - Transport</p> <p>Highways England welcomes the inclusion of transport and transport infrastructure in Table 4.1 of the Scoping Report as a 'key sustainability issue' for Stroud, and matters for which Plan policies seek to address. Highways England is however surprised that transport does not form its own SA objective. Instead transport is covered by sub-objectives under SA10 (air quality). These largely seek to promote sustainable transport patterns and reduce the need to travel, particularly in areas of high congestion.</p>	<p>The objectives in the SA framework seek to address issues relating to environmental, social and economic sustainability, and therefore transport is addressed in the context of encouraging sustainable transport use and reducing car use, in relation to the relevant SA objectives. Transport itself is not one of the topics included in the SEA Regulations, and which an integrated SA/SEA is required to address, while 'air' is.</p>
	<p>SA Framework - Transport</p> <p>Highways England believes that the transport objectives of the SA/SEA could be strengthened with a further sub-objective. This could include text that seeks to 'secure appropriate development related transport infrastructure and ensure the operation and safety of the transport network, including the Strategic Road Network.'</p>	<p>As noted above, the objectives in the SA framework seek to address issues relating to environmental, social and economic sustainability, and therefore transport is addressed in the context of encouraging sustainable transport use and reducing car use. Transport itself is not one of the topics included in the SEA Regulations, and which an integrated SA/SEA is required to address.</p>
Environment Agency	<p>SA Framework - Environmental Themes</p> <p>The Environment Agency states that the themes presented appear to incorporate the 'SEA topics' suggested by Annex I(f) of the SEA Directive and appear reasonable to reflect the purpose of the local plan review and its potential environmental effects. The themes include Biodiversity, Air and Water, Flood Risk, Energy and Climate Change, Resource Use/Waste and Recycling.</p>	<p>Comment noted, no action required.</p>
	<p>SA Framework - Biodiversity</p> <p>The Environment Agency states the SA objectives and questions appear reasonable to help create, enhance and connect habitats, species and/or sites of biodiversity interest.</p>	<p>Comment noted, no action required.</p>
	<p>Baseline Information - Climate Change</p> <p>The Environment Agency states that whilst the climate change adaptation and mitigation section makes reference to the relevant sections within the NPPF and the draft revised NPPF, it should be</p>	<p>The part of the baseline information relating to climate change (see Appendix 2 in this report) has been updated to refer to Environment Agency guidance on considering climate change in planning decision as well as fluvial risk.</p>

Consultee	Issues raised in relation to Sustainability Appraisal (summarised where appropriate)	Response/how comment has been addressed in this SA Report
	<p>noted that the National Planning Practice Guidance (NPPG) refers to Environment Agency guidance on considering climate change in planning decisions which is available online: https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-assessments-climate-change-allowances</p> <p>In addition the Environment Agency has produced Climate Change Guidance for the local area. For fluvial risk, it should be noted that there is a need to include a different climate change allowance for climate change (peak river flows) to inform the location, impacts and design of a scheme depending on development vulnerability. For example, residential development allocations and proposals will need to consider a 35% and 70% increase for peak river flows, on top of the 1 in 100 year flood level.</p>	
	<p>SA Framework – Flood Risk</p> <p>The Environment Agency states that SA Sub-Objective 12.1 should refer to “all sources of flooding” to include fluvial, surface water, groundwater, reservoir etc.) in line with the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) not just fluvial flood risk and sewer flooding.</p> <p>It welcomes that SA Sub-Objective 12.2 aims to facilitate new development in areas at lower risk of flooding which accords with the sequential approach/NPPG policy aims in terms of avoiding inappropriate development in areas subject to flood risk.</p> <p>The SA could also look at ‘ensuring flood risk reduction/improvement to the flood regime’. For example, options to look at strategic flood risk management and reduction measures could be incorporated, for example flood storage improvements, which can often be linked to other wider environmental benefits such as wet washland provision, or biodiversity enhancement, if planned.</p> <p>Our indicative Flood Map for Planning (Rivers and Sea) does not include climate change allowances and primarily shows potential flooding from Main Rivers. In considering flood risk data, the</p>	<p>Sub-objective 12.1 has been amended to read “<i>Does the Plan reduce the risk of flooding from all sources including rivers, watercourses and sewer flooding to people and property?</i>”</p> <p>A new sub-objective 12.4 has been included under SA objective 12 to read “<i>Does the Plan promote flood risk reduction and improvement to the flood regime?</i>”</p> <p>The limitations of the Flood Map are noted and will be acknowledged in the SA as appropriate.</p> <p>Figure A2.7 which maps hydrological constraints includes surface water flooding as part of an overview of flood risk in the District.</p> <p>It is considered that the potential for development to improve or reduce flood risk is addressed under the new sub-objective 12.4.</p>

Consultee	Issues raised in relation to Sustainability Appraisal (summarised where appropriate)	Response/how comment has been addressed in this SA Report
	<p>limitations of our Flood Map should be acknowledged.</p> <p>In considering other types of flooding a reference should also be made to surface water flooding maps.</p> <p>An additional flood risk question could be 'will it (development) improve and/or reduce flood risk (betterment and flood risk reduction opportunities)?'</p>	
	<p>Relevant Plans and Programmes – Sub National</p> <p>The Environment Agency suggests that the current Severn River Basin Management Plan (published February 2016) is included within the review of relevant plans and policies Sub-National listing.</p>	<p>The review of relevant plans and policies has been updated to include the Severn River Basin Management Plan and an overview of its objectives.</p>
	<p>SA Framework – Air and Water</p> <p>The Environment Agency supports the inclusion of SA objectives and questions SA.11 and SA.13, which seek to 'protect and enhance water quality and the condition of water resources' and improve efficiency in land use through the re-use of previously developed land. To strengthen the commitment to the Water Framework Directive, a further question could be 'does the plan seek to ensure development will not result in deterioration or put further pressure on the water environment and compromise the Water Framework Directive?'. The objective could include an indicator on water quality levels within the County's main watercourses.</p>	<p>Sub-objective 11.1 has been amended to read '<i>Does the Plan seek to avoid deterioration and where possible improve the water quality of the district's rivers and inland water?</i>'</p> <p>An indicator relating to water quality levels is included in the monitoring framework in Chapter 7 of this SA Report.</p>
	<p>Overview Comment</p> <p>The Environment Agency has stated that as part of the local plan review relevant evidence bases will need to be updated, as referred to above. The scoping document should therefore include a line to commit to this.</p>	<p>Paragraph 2.7 of this SA Report refers to the fact that the review of plans, policies and programmes; the baseline information and the key sustainability issues will be updated as appropriate throughout the SA process.</p>

Consultee	Issues raised in relation to Sustainability Appraisal (summarised where appropriate)	Response/how comment has been addressed in this SA Report
Stonehouse Town Council	<p>Scope of the SA Report</p> <p>Stonehouse Town Council has stated that the scope of the SA seems generally appropriate</p>	Comment noted, no action required.
	<p>Relevant Plans and Programmes</p> <p>Stonehouse Town Council has stated that there are a number of made Neighbourhood Development Plans (NDPS), including the Stonehouse NDP, and other emerging NDPs which are relevant for inclusion.</p>	The review of relevant plans and policies set out in this SA Report (see Chapter 3) has been updated to include reference to Neighbourhood Plans in the District.
	<p>Baseline Information</p> <p>The following updates are suggested by Stonehouse Town Council:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Impact of the Javelin Park Incinerator, currently under construction should be included. • Cotswold Way also runs through Stonehouse and the town is one of the few points where the Cotswold Way can easily be accessed by public transport (train and bus) and this could be of relevance to tourism and economy. • Cotswold Canals Partnership project which has recently been awarded a £9 million Heritage Lottery Fund grant to restore the Stroudwater canal from Stonehouse to Saul should be referred to. • proposals within Gloucestershire’s Local Transport Plan 2015-2031 specifically exploring the most effective approach to station development and stopping patterns on the Bristol/ Gloucester route with Stonehouse Bristol Road being a possible location for a new station should be referenced. 	The baseline information (see Appendix 2 in this report) has been updated to reflect the issues raised by Stonehouse Town Council as relevant.
	<p>Key Sustainability issues</p> <p>Stonehouse Town Council suggests that the issue regarding alternative modes of transport and transport infrastructure should make explicit reference to the rail network and public transport.</p>	The key sustainability issue relating to transport infrastructure has been updated to refer to the current state of the rail network and public transport in the District - see Table 4.1 in this SA Report.

Consultee	Issues raised in relation to Sustainability Appraisal (summarised where appropriate)	Response/how comment has been addressed in this SA Report
	<p>SA Framework</p> <p>It is also stated that the SA Framework objectives would be improved by including an additional objective on moving towards a more sustainable transport infrastructure.</p>	<p>The SA objectives set out in the Scoping Report address the SEA topics identified in the SEA Regulations (see Table 5.1 in the Scoping Report). Sustainable transport is not included in the SEA Regulations as one of the topics to be covered; however it is relevant to the achievement of some of the SA objectives including in particular SA objective 10 which addresses air quality.</p>
Stroud Town Council	<p>The consultee disputes the assumption of good air quality in Stroud town especially around Beeches Green, Merrywalks, London Road, Cainscross Road, Slad Rd at Gloucester St end and Rowcroft. It is requested that regular air quality monitoring is undertaken in these places. In sub objective 4.1 the assumption of increased car ownership supports the need for monitoring.</p> <p>The reference to the protection of the cycle routes is supported. SA1, 2 and 3 are all supported and it is suggested that greater built accessibility is added to support SA 3.2 (the growth of older people).</p> <p>The consultee highlights that SA5. 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 are fully supported.</p> <p>The commitment to ES1 and ES2 is supported in relation to climate change. However low energy freight delivery for town centres should be added to EI14 options. It is highlighted that this would link to 5.1-SA 10.2.</p>	<p>Comment noted. The information presented in the baseline information (see Appendix 2 of this SA Report, and originally presented in the Scoping Report) relating to air quality has been sourced from up-to-date information in the Stroud District Council 2017 Air Quality Annual Status Report. Air quality readings have been taken through the Council's reporting process. It is not the role of the SA process to undertake measurements of air quality. SA objective 10 seeks to ensure that the Local Plan Review through its policies and site allocations will protect air quality in Stroud District. Sub objective 10.1 in particular will consider what effect policies and site options would have on local air quality.</p> <p>It is considered that sub-objective 3.2 already addresses accessibility for older people and no changes are therefore made.</p> <p>In relation to low energy freight delivery, this issue would be covered under sustainable transport which is addressed through sub objectives 14.3 and 10.2 as the consultee has highlighted. No further changes are therefore made.</p>
South Gloucestershire Council	<p>Overview Comment</p> <p>South Gloucestershire Council have reviewed the SA Scoping Report document and consider that it meets the requirements of the EU Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) Directive and adequately covers the wide range of interests which should be included through the Sustainability Appraisal process.</p>	<p>Comment noted, no action required.</p>

Consultee	Issues raised in relation to Sustainability Appraisal (summarised where appropriate)	Response/how comment has been addressed in this SA Report
<p>Martin Whiteside - Environment and Development Consultant and Green District Councillor Hillside</p>	<p>Overview comment</p> <p>The consultee raises the following issue which he wishes to be recognised through the plan preparation process:</p> <p>The SA scoping report is an extremely useful resource document which is excellent.</p> <p>When doing a sustainability appraisal on any new development (or policy guiding the development) it is essential to take a holistic view of the impact. Just looking at one easy to measure gross (as opposed to net) issue like single dwelling travel outcomes is not sufficient or scientifically robust.</p> <p>New family housing in a rural village will have a theoretically higher transport impact than similar housing in a town centre. However, if you analyse the footprint holistically, the new housing may help keep the village school, pub and shop open. In this case its holistic net impact may be very different as it may prevent a dramatic rise in footprint from the existing community if the school, pub or shop disappears.</p> <p>Clearly this is not easy to judge, but measurement challenges is not a reason for ignoring reality.</p> <p>The danger is that one-dimensional gross sustainability appraisals are mis-used to drive a development pattern that is less rather than more sustainable.</p>	<p>Comments noted. The SA framework has been drafted to address the environment, social and economic effects that development proposed through the plan is likely to have. Although each proposal is considered against each SA objective separately, the cumulative effects of the plan are also considered through the SA.</p>
<p>Tom Low</p>	<p>Relevant Plans and Policies</p> <p>The consultee identifies that under Sub-National relevant plans, paragraph 2.75, the made NDPs of the District have not been included.</p>	<p>The review of plans and policies in Chapter 3 of this SA Report has been updated to include made NDPs in the District.</p>
<p>Persimmon Homes Severn Valley</p>	<p>Relevant Plans and Policies</p> <p>The consultee has highlighted that the draft revised NPPF is expected to be finalised in July 2018 and will therefore provide the relevant national policy guidance for the Stroud Local Plan Review. Key changes include the introduction of a standard methodology</p>	<p>The review of plans and programmes (presented in Chapter 3 of this SA report) has taken the changes proposed through the draft revised NPPF into consideration and will be further updated at such time that the final revised NPPF is published. Reference to the housing delivery test has been included.</p>

Consultee	Issues raised in relation to Sustainability Appraisal (summarised where appropriate)	Response/how comment has been addressed in this SA Report
	<p>for the calculation of housing OAN and the establishment of a housing delivery test. As a result the demonstrated housing needs in Stroud would need to increase by 42% from 448 up to 635 dwellings per annum however, in reality the increase will be higher. The Stroud Local Plan Review therefore has a key role to play in building on existing connections to the rest of the UK provided by the M5 corridor, which makes availability of employment land in this location a key area to attract businesses. Therefore a key sustainability issue is to ensure sufficient housing is located in the same location to support business growth, for example at Cam, which also benefits from access to an existing railway station.</p>	<p>A key sustainability issue has already been identified in relation to the promotion of alternative modes of transport in the District. The sustainability of different options for locating development is being considered through the SA process, including in relation to providing access to jobs. It is not the role of the SA to determine at the Scoping stage where development should be located; rather the findings of the SA will be one of a range of factor's feeding into the Council's decision making.</p>
	<p>Key Sustainability Issues</p> <p>The consultee has stated that not all locational requirements have the same importance and should not be given the same weight. It is highlighted that for example, it is not necessary to use proximity to existing health care facilities as a key locational factor for housing. Health care and open spaces can be provided as part of a development, particularly on strategic sites. In particular, Local Green Space is a designation which should only be used in exceptional circumstances, is very restrictive and does not necessarily enable the provision of active open space facilities.</p>	<p>It is noted that new development may stimulate the provision of new services and facilities and green space; however proximity to existing facilities is still a relevant issue for consideration through the SA. Where policy requirements state that this provision is to be made as part of any development (once the Local Plan is further developed), this will be reflected in the SA scoring for that site.</p>
	<p>SA Framework</p> <p>The wording of SA objective 1 is not considered to be appropriate or in line with housing needs and requirements. This objective should be more widely worded to refer to housing needs generally rather than the narrower focus of housing to meet local needs. The SA objective should also include a sub-objective to reflect an adequate supply of land for housing which would be in line with SA objective 16 in relation to the provision of employment land. It is also highlighted that high house prices in the area should be reflected as a key social objective.</p>	<p>The wording of SA objective 1 is considered to be appropriate for the SA of a Local Plan and no changes are made.</p> <p>The key sustainability issues for Stroud have already highlighted that <i>"House prices have increased by the highest percentage within the South West when compared to the other regions of England."</i></p>

Consultee	Issues raised in relation to Sustainability Appraisal (summarised where appropriate)	Response/how comment has been addressed in this SA Report
	<p>SA Framework</p> <p>The consultee has stated that the objective to maximise brownfield development is inappropriate in relation to national guidance. NPPF17, bullet point 8 encourages the effective use of land that has been previously developed (brownfield land), provided that it is not of high environmental value. Draft planning policy guidance now proposes the following wording – <i>‘give substantial weight to the value of using brownfield land within settlements for homes and other identified needs and support appropriate opportunities to remediate despoiled, degraded, derelict, contaminated and unstable land.’</i> Therefore the guidance encourages making use of brownfield land but not maximising it, with the implication that development on brownfield land could be prioritised over other land. Therefore SA13 needs to be reworded to accord with national guidance.</p> <p>It is also highlighted that maximising housing densities (SA13.3) might not always make the most efficient use of land and may increase the possibility of conflicts with other SA objectives. A more general wording such as ‘housing densities should make the most efficient use of land’ has been suggested.</p>	<p>Sub-objective SA13.1 has been amended in this SA Report to read: <i>“Does the Plan encourage the appropriate provision of housing development on previously developed land as opposed to greenfield sites?”</i></p> <p>Sub-objective SA13.3 has been reworded to read: <i>“Does the Plan encourage housing densities which would make efficient use of land?”</i></p>
	<p>The consultee has stated that beyond sub-objective SA6.3 which encourages the protection of existing town centres the retail objective in the SA framework is very limited.</p>	<p>SA objective 6: To maintain and improve access to all services and facilities, seeks to protect local existing services and facilities in sustainable locations as per sub-objective 6.2, which will take into consideration retail provisions in locations outside of town centres in the District. Retail issues are also relevant as part of the wider economy under SA objectives 16: employment and 17: economy.</p>
	<p>It is stated by the consultee that SA8.4 (Does the Plan prevent coalescence between settlements?) is not a sustainability objective but is a policy response and therefore is not appropriate.</p>	<p>SA objective 8 relates to conserving and enhancing the local character and distinctiveness of landscapes and townscapes and providing sustainable access to the countryside. Preventing the coalescence of settlements is relevant to the achievement of this sustainability objective as it will help to protect the distinctiveness of townscapes in the District and local character in general. No changes have therefore been made to this sub-objective.</p>

Consultee	Issues raised in relation to Sustainability Appraisal (summarised where appropriate)	Response/how comment has been addressed in this SA Report
Gladman Developments	<p>Overview comment</p> <p>The consultee raises the following issues in relation to undertaking SA as part of the plan preparation process:</p> <p>The Council should ensure that the results of the SA process clearly justify its policy choice. In meeting the development needs of the area it should be clear for the results of the assessment why some policy options have been progressed, and others have been rejected. Undertaking a comparative and equal assessment of each reasonable alternative, the Council's decision making and scoring should be robust, justified and transparent.</p> <p>Gladman remind the Council that there have now been a number of instances where the failure to undertake a satisfactory SA has resulted in plans failing the test of legal compliance at Examination or being subjected to legal challenge. There are also numerous examples where deficiencies with SAs have led to timely suspensions of EiPs whilst Councils ensure that the SA regulations have been adequately met.</p> <p>Through this brief submission, Gladman would like to take the opportunity to remind the Council how a justified and adequate SA should be undertaken to inform the policies and allocations made through the Local Plan. This should not be a cursory exercise, but should be a fundamental part of the plan preparation process and should help to inform the decisions made by the Council. In light of experiences in other authorities, the Council need to ensure that the policy choices in the Stroud Local Plan are clearly justified by the results of the SA process. Specifically, it should be clear from the SA process why some policy options have been progressed and others rejected.</p> <p>The Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) provides a detailed explanation of the need for sustainability appraisal, its role in the plan making process and what the requirements of the process are (Ref ID: 11-005-201400306 to Ref ID: 11-045-20140306). It explains that SA is integral to the preparation of a Local Plan; and that, its role is to promote sustainable development by assessing</p>	<p>Policy and site options for the Local Plan are being subject to SA and the findings (along with other factors) will inform the Council's decision making at each stage. The reasons for selecting or rejecting options have been recorded in the SA Report at Appendix 7.</p> <p>The SA/SEA is being undertaken in line with the requirements of the SEA Regulations. Table 1.1 in this SA Report signposts where each of the requirements of the SEA Regulations has been met in the report, and this table will be updated and further completed at each stage of the SA to demonstrate legal compliance.</p> <p>The SA process has commenced early in the Local Plan preparation process and will be undertaken iteratively.</p>

Consultee	Issues raised in relation to Sustainability Appraisal (summarised where appropriate)	Response/how comment has been addressed in this SA Report
	<p>the extent to which the emerging plan, when judged against reasonable alternatives, will help to achieve the relevant environmental, economic and social objectives. It is a systematic process that must be carried out during the preparation of a Local Plan. Work on the SA should start at the same time that work starts on developing the plan itself and the process should be taken into account through the development of the timetable within the Local Development Scheme.</p>	
<p>Painswick Valleys Conservation Society</p>	<p>Overview comment</p> <p>The consultee expresses its appreciation for the comprehensiveness of the study in the SA Scoping Report to support the Local Plan Review and also for its opportunity to read it.</p>	<p>Comment noted, no action required.</p>
<p>Hunter Page Planning on behalf of Greensquare Group and Lioncourt Strategic</p>	<p>Baseline and Key Sustainability Issues</p> <p>The consultee is promoting land at Sharpness as a new growth point. Comments relate to the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The proposed methodology and scope for the SA is broadly supported by the consultee. • Edits to the baseline information in relation to ecology and biodiversity are suggested by the consultee. A change is also suggested to Figure 3.3 and it is requested that a footnote is added to paragraph 3.58 of the baseline. • In relation to employment land, the consultee has stated that the employment land situation in Stroud District is not currently up to date. • The ecological sustainability issue as identified in table 4.1 at page 49 is stated by the consultee to not fully reflect the baseline set out at para 3.58 in relation to ecology - the table only refers to international and nationally protected sites and ignores locally designated biodiversity sites. It is suggested that this issue should also be updated to reflect such sites. • Also in relation to biodiversity the consultee has stated that paragraph 109 of the NPPF highlights that biodiversity is in general decline and that impacts should be minimised on 	<p>The consultee's broad support for the methodology and scope of the SA is noted.</p> <p>A number of the consultee's suggested changes to the baseline are incorporated in Appendix 2 of this SA report, although not all are considered to be appropriate or necessary.</p> <p>The headings used in Figure 3.3 (Figure A2.3 in this report) have not been amended as it is considered appropriate to categorise the designations as international, national and local. As the consultee has noted, strategic green infrastructure framework areas and SNAs are not designations; therefore these have not been added to this map of designated sites.</p> <p>A reference has been added to paragraph 3.58 of the baseline to show the source of the site condition data, in the updated baseline presented in Appendix 3 of this report.</p> <p>The consultee's point relating to the evidence base for employment land needs relates to the preparation of the Local Plan itself and not the SA directly. The SA baseline (see Appendix 2 of this report) refers to the most up-to-date available sources and will continue to be updated throughout the SA process as the evidence base is updated.</p>

Consultee	Issues raised in relation to Sustainability Appraisal (summarised where appropriate)	Response/how comment has been addressed in this SA Report
	<p>biodiversity but also net gains provided where possible and therefore that this issue should be more clearly related to biodiversity enhancement as well as protection. Significant edits are suggested to Table 4.1 in relation to biodiversity and geodiversity.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The consultee states that the baseline identifies at paragraph 3.34 that there is deficient access to open space within the District and that the issue of providing new open space is not highlighted within the key sustainability issues. • The consultee states that the key sustainability issues for the District relating to transport should recognise that there is potential for some sites, such as at Sharpness, which may require significant additional transport infrastructure to open up the opportunity for further growth and connectivity to be delivered. • It is stated by the consultee that the key sustainability issue which relates to the historic environment relate only to the Industrial Heritage Conservation Area and no mention is made of other elements of the historic environment in Stroud District. It is also disputed in the consultee's response whether or not inclusion of the phrase 'preserved and enhanced' in relation to the heritage assets is appropriate, and it is stated that setting is not a heritage asset its own right. 	<p>The key sustainability issues for Stroud set out in Table 4.1 of the Scoping Report (and repeated in Table 3.1 of this report) include that "<i>Stroud District contains many areas of high ecological value <u>including sites of international and national importance.</u></i>" The purpose of the table is to summarise the <u>key</u> issues, not to repeat all of the information set out in the baseline. However, the wording of the key issue has been slightly amended as suggested to also refer to local designations.</p> <p>The enhancement of biodiversity is already addressed through the SA framework through SA objective 7, particularly sub-objective 7.2 which states "<i>does the Plan outline opportunities for improvements to the conservation, connection and enhancement of ecological assets, particularly at risk assets?</i>"</p> <p>Some of the edits that the consultee has proposed to make to the table of key sustainability issues are reflected in Table 3.1 in this full SA Report although others are not considered appropriate.</p> <p>The key sustainability issue relating to protecting and enhancing open and green spaces has been amended (see Table 3.1 in this report) to also recognise the need to address deficits in open space.</p> <p>It is recognised that some new development sites may require significant transport infrastructure improvements. The role of the SA is to consider sustainability issues, and therefore the SA focuses on the extent to which development locations would enable the use of sustainable modes of transport, rather than requiring significant new road-based infrastructure. The SA framework already includes these considerations.</p> <p>Table 4.1 in the Scoping Report (Table 3.1 in this SA Report) includes as one of its key sustainability issues that the "<i>Industrial Heritage Conservation Area (IHCA) ... is currently <u>one of several heritage assets which are included on Historic England's Heritage at Risk list. Within the District areas of significant built historic importance and aesthetic quality are under pressure due to new development in the District and there is a requirement for them to be preserved and enhanced.</u></i>" This issue therefore focuses on the <u>key</u> issues, as is the purpose of the</p>

Consultee	Issues raised in relation to Sustainability Appraisal (summarised where appropriate)	Response/how comment has been addressed in this SA Report
		<p>table, not to repeat the detail of the baseline information.</p> <p>In relation to the appropriateness of the terminology 'preserve and enhance', this is considered to be appropriate and Historic England has not objected to this or requested any change in its consultation comment.</p> <p>National planning policy acknowledges the importance of protecting the setting of heritage assets (NPPF paragraph 137).</p>
	<p>SA Framework</p> <p>The consultee makes the following suggestions in relation to changes to the SA objectives:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SA objective 2 - sub objective criteria should also consider whether the plan will protect existing green infrastructure/public open space and facilitate the creation of new green infrastructure/public open space. Change suggested to sub-objective 2.2 "Does the plan encourage healthy lifestyles including providing access to the countryside and appropriate land for leisure and recreation use". • SA objective 5 – a new sub-objective is suggested to read "Does the plan promote mixed use developments and encourage multiple benefits from the use of land in urban and rural areas, recognising that some open land can perform many functions?" • SA objective 7 - sub objective criteria should also consider whether the plan provides opportunities for new habitat creation i.e. net biodiversity gain. • SA sub-objective 9 - sub-objective 9.1 currently asks whether the plan avoids adverse effects on the District's heritage assets. It is suggested that this is updated to state "Does the Plan preserve or enhance the District's designated and non-designated heritage assets in a manner that is consistent with their significance..." in line with the NPPF. 	<p>Sub-objective 2.2 has been updated to read '<i>Does the Plan encourage healthy lifestyles and provide opportunities for sport and recreation, including through the provision of green infrastructure and public open space?</i>'</p> <p>The proposed new sub-objective under SA objective 5 has not been added - the provision of mixed used development has been considered through SA objective 6 and the amendments suggested may lead to a 'double-counting' of effects.</p> <p>The changes suggested to SA objective 7 relating to opportunities for net biodiversity gain are already addressed through sub-objective 7.2 which states '<i>Does the Plan outline <u>opportunities for improvements to the conservation, connection and enhancement of ecological assets, particularly at risk assets?</u></i>' No further change is therefore made.</p> <p>The changes suggested to SA objective 9 in relation to opportunities for enhancing heritage assets are already addressed through sub-objective 9.2 which states '<i>Does the Plan outline opportunities for improvements to the conservation, management and enhancement of the District's heritage assets, particularly at risk assets?</i>' No further change is therefore made.</p> <p>Issues relating to connectivity and sustainable transport have already been addressed through SA objectives 10 and 14 in the context of air quality and climate change. Improved sustainable transport links may be a plan objective; however it is a method of achieving improved air</p>

Consultee	Issues raised in relation to Sustainability Appraisal (summarised where appropriate)	Response/how comment has been addressed in this SA Report
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> It is suggested that an additional SA objective is added regarding connectivity and sustainable travel e.g. "To achieve a pattern of development which minimises journey lengths and encourages the use of sustainable forms of transport (walking, cycling, bus and rail)". A sub objective could then be: "Does the plan provide opportunities to improve and enhance connectivity and sustainable travel?" SA objectives 16 and 17 – it suggested that in relation to encouraging economic growth in the District a sub-objective is added to include "Does the Plan help to support increased spending and economic activity at settlements within or adjacent to the District." 	<p>quality which is the sustainability objective. This approach is in line with updated RTPI guidance on undertaking SEA/SA⁴¹. No additional SA objective is therefore added.</p> <p>A new sub-objective 17.5 has been added to SA objective 17 to read: <i>'Does the Plan help to support increased economic activity throughout the District?'</i></p>

⁴¹ RTPI South East (January 2018) Improving the effectiveness and efficiency of SEA/SA for land use plans

Appendix 2

Baseline Information

- A2.1 Baseline information provides the basis for predicting and monitoring the likely sustainability effects of a plan and helps to identify key sustainability issues and means of dealing with them.
- A2.2 Annex 1 of the SEA Directive requires information to be provided on:
- (a) the relevant aspects of the current state of the environment and the likely evolution thereof without implementation of the plan;*
 - (b) the environmental characteristics of areas likely to be significantly affected;*
 - (c) any existing environmental problems which are relevant to the plan including, in particular, those relating to any areas of a particular environmental importance, such as areas designated pursuant to Directives 79/409/EEC [the 'Birds Directive'] and 92/43/EEC [the 'Habitats Directive'].*
- A2.3 Baseline information was previously collated for the June 2009 Local Development Framework SA Scoping Report and this has been used as the starting point to collate baseline data. This information has been revised and updated to make use of the most recent available information sources, and these sources have been referred to in footnotes. The revised and updated baseline data set out in this section reflects the scope of the Local Plan Review.
- A2.4 Data referred to have been chosen primarily for regularity and consistency of collection, in order to enable trends in the baseline situation to be established, and also subsequent monitoring of potential sustainability effects.

Geography

- A2.5 Stroud District is located in the western part of Gloucestershire and covers an area of approximately 45,325ha. The District is bordered by Forest of Dean District on the other side of the River Severn to the west, Gloucester and Tewkesbury to the north, Cotswold District to the east and South Gloucestershire to the south.
- A2.6 Stroud is made up of 30 wards and 52 parishes. The ten electoral divisions in Stroud District are Bisley and Painswick; Cam Valley; Dursley; Hardwicke and Severn; Minchinhampton; Nailsworth; Rodborough; Stonehouse; Stroud Central; and Wotton-under-Edge. The adopted Stroud District Local Plan has set out eight parish cluster areas which have distinct qualities, issues, constraints and opportunities. These cluster areas are the Gloucester Fringe, Severn Vale, Stonehouse Cluster, Berkeley Cluster, Cotswold Cluster, Wotton Cluster, Stroud Valleys and Cam and Dursley⁴².
- A2.7 The town of Stroud is located approximately 30km to the north east of Bristol. It is located within the centre-north of the District. The Stroud Valleys is the focus of much of the development in Stroud (approximately 40%) as well as a significant portion of its population given that it includes both Stroud and Nailsworth. Other important centres in the District include Cam and Dursley and Stonehouse (all first tier settlements). The Settlement Hierarchy set out in the adopted Local Plan 2015 identifies Berkeley, Frampton on Severn, Hunts Grove, Minchinhampton, Nailsworth and Wotton-under-Edge as second tier Local Service Centres with further smaller settlements spread across the District which offer a more limited level of access to services and facilities.
- A2.8 In addition to connections with the surrounding towns and areas of Gloucestershire, Stroud District has further close links with the West Midlands and South Wales. The M5 runs through the District from north to south, providing links with Birmingham to the north and South Wales (via the M48).
- A2.9 The District's landmass sits on the estuary of the River Severn to the west. The River Frome empties into the estuary after passing through the settlements of Brimscombe, Stroud and Stonehouse from east to west respectively within the District. The District also benefits from the presence of a number of canals which are currently subject to various stages of restoration. The Stroudwater Canal and the Thames and Severn Canal run from east to west through the District and in the past connected the River Severn to the River Thames at Lechlade. Together these

⁴² Stroud District Council (November 2015) *Stroud District Local Plan*

canals form the Cotswold Canals. The Gloucester and Sharpness Canal runs along much of the course of the River Severn at the western edge of the District from south to north towards Gloucester.

- A2.10 The Stroudwater Navigation Connected project which is being undertaken by the Stroud District Council and Cotswold Canals Trust has received initial support from the Heritage Lottery Fund (HLF) to connect Stroud and Stonehouse to the nation's inland waterway network by 2024. HLF has committed £842,000 towards the scheme and a further £9 million has set aside should the additional funding criteria be met.

Population

- A2.11 The total resident population in Stroud as per the Office for National Statistics Mid -2016 Population Estimates⁴³ is recorded as 117,472 which makes the District the third most populous in Gloucestershire. At present there are slightly more females (59,700) in the District than males (57,700). The population density for the District is 255 people per square metre as of 2016, which is slightly higher than the figure for Gloucestershire (235 people per square metre) and the wider South West region (231 people per square metre).
- A2.12 The District saw a population change of 0.65% from mid-2015 to mid-2016 with a net internal migration rate of 0.62% and net international migration rate of 0.09%⁴⁴. The South West region as a whole is expected to see an increase of 393,200 residents up to 2024 which represents a 7.3% increase from 2014 figures. This is slightly less than the national figure of 7.5%.
- A2.13 The District has a marginally lower proportion of people who are of working age (60.1%) when compared to the South West region (60.9%) and Great Britain as a whole (63.1%). The proportion of work age residents who are economically active (83.4%) is slightly higher than the figure for the wider South West region (81.0%) and the national (78.0%) figure⁴⁵. It is predicted that by 2024 those over 65 will represent 24.8% of the District's population. From the 2014 figure this will be a growth of 24.5%. The population growth in the South West region for those of working age is expected to be less than 2.5%⁴⁶ reflecting a trend towards an increasingly ageing population.

Housing

- A2.14 The latest census data in 2011 showed that there were 47,794 households in Stroud District⁴⁷. This represented an increase in household numbers of 7.1% or 3,177 households since 2001⁴⁸. This increase was mainly attributed to a rise in the number of one person households and cohabiting couples. It is expected that this trend is likely to continue in the District.
- A2.15 Household projections show that in 2018 there are approximately 51,230 households in the District. Over the following ten year period up to 2028 projections show that the number of households is set to increase to approximately 56,240⁴⁹.
- A2.16 Stroud saw an increase of 8.6% in terms of the number of dwellings in the District between the 2001 and 2011 censuses. The growth at national level (8.3%), regional level (9.9%) and county level (9.0%) were comparative to that experienced in Stroud during the same period. The latest available information shows that as of April 2016 there were 52,230 dwellings in the District of

⁴³ ONS (March 2018) *Population estimates revision tool*

⁴⁴ ONS (June 2017) *Population Estimates for UK, England and Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland: Mid-2016*

⁴⁵ Nomis (Accessed March 2018) *Labour Market Profile – Stroud* Online at: <https://www.nomisweb.co.uk/reports/lmp/la/1946157376/report.aspx>

⁴⁶ ONS (May 2016) *Subnational population projections for England: 2014-based projections*

⁴⁷ ONS (March 2011) *Census data*

⁴⁸ Gloucestershire County Council (March 2016) *Understanding Stroud 2015*

⁴⁹ ONS (July 2016) *Household projections for England and local authority districts*

which 45,530 were in private ownership. **Table A2.1** below displays the comparative number of dwellings within the District and at County level between 2012 and 2016⁵⁰.

Table A2.1: Number of dwellings by year in Stroud District and Gloucestershire County

Year	Stroud District	Gloucestershire County
2012	50,340	271,090
2013	50,750	273,410
2014	51,220	276,110
2015	51,800	278,940
2016	52,230	281,760

- A2.17 The 2011 census highlighted that there were 14,952 homes with no usual resident household in Gloucestershire in 2011, representing 5.5% of all of the accommodation available for residence in the County which is lower than the average for the South West (6.0%) but higher than the figure for England (4.3%)⁵¹. This is inclusive of derelict properties and those not in use as well as holiday homes.
- A2.18 In terms of housing deprivation measured as part of the 2015 Indices of Multiple Deprivation, 33 areas of Gloucestershire are within the most 10% deprived nationally for Barriers to Housing and Services, an increase from 32 areas in 2010. Three of these areas are in Stroud⁵².
- A2.19 The existing housing stock in the District is relatively old and the worst housing conditions are most evident in the private rented sector. Of all homes in Stroud 25.5% were built pre-1919. This is slightly above the national average. A smaller proportion of housing stock in Stroud has been built between 1919 and 1964 and a significantly higher proportion of homes than the national average were built post 1980. The average percentage of properties built post-1980 nationally is only 18.5% while in Stroud the figure is 28.6%⁵³.
- A2.20 The minimum housing requirement for the period April 2006 to March 2031 as set out in the adopted Local Plan is 11,400 homes. The Stroud District Land Availability⁵⁴ reports that as at 1st April 2017 for this period 4,623 completions have been made and there are a further 5,802 new homes committed. As such, the District has a remaining minimum requirement to deliver 975 additional homes over the plan period – this target is intended to be met through a combination of allocations and windfall. Of those new homes which have been completed between April 2006 and March 2017 68% were on brownfield land while of those committed at April 2017 only 28% are on brownfield land, reflecting the large housing allocations identified in the Local Plan.
- A2.21 The Council’s Strategic Assessment of Land Availability (SALA)⁵⁵ details sites with potential for housing as well as for employment, retail and community uses. The SALA identifies sufficient housing completions, commitments, allocations, SALA sites within settlements and small site windfalls to deliver the Local Plan requirement by 2031 with a surplus of 1,773 additional homes above the minimum requirement. The additional deliverable housing sites identified and promoted through the SALA process means that the deliverable housing supply position for the 2016-2021 period sits at 6.75 years. The SALA has not, however, identified any site specific sources of housing within settlements to meet needs beyond 2031 and therefore there is a requirement to find additional land as part of the Local Plan Review. The SALA identifies sites outside settlement development limits with future potential to deliver an additional 6,547 houses for the period 2016-2036 if required.
- A2.22 The adopted Local Plan has also identified a target of 950 additional bedspaces in Class C2 care homes, to meet the needs of elderly people. It is reported in the SHMA that at March 2017 only 107 completions had been made and there were no further commitments identified meaning that a further provision of 843 beds would be required over the plan period.

⁵⁰ Ministry of Housing, Communities & Local Government (March 2018) *Number of dwellings by tenure and district, England*

⁵¹ Local Authorities of Gloucestershire (March 2014) *Strategic Housing Market Assessment Update*

⁵² Gloucestershire County Council and the Clinical Commissioning Group on behalf of the Gloucestershire Health and Wellbeing Board (2018) *JSNA - Understanding Gloucestershire - 2017*

⁵³ Stroud District Council (March 2015) *Housing Strategy 2015 - 2019*

⁵⁴ Stroud District Council (June 2017) *Stroud District Housing Land Availability Residential Commitment in Stroud District as at 1st April 2017*

⁵⁵ Stroud District Council (May 2017) *Strategic Assessment of Land Availability*

A2.23 Gloucestershire's Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessment (GTAA) reported that in Stroud up to 2031 there is no current or future need associated with a household that meets the new planning definition. However there is a requirement for up to seven additional pitches for unknown Gypsy or Traveller households during the period 2021-2031. The requirement for Travelling Showpeople plots for households that meet the planning definition is eight additional plots and for up to four plots for unknown households for the period 2016-2031⁵⁶.

Social Inclusion and Deprivation

A2.24 Stroud is one of the 20% least deprived districts/unitary authorities in England.⁵⁷ This follows the trend of the wider county area given that Gloucestershire is not very deprived, with even the most deprived districts (Gloucester City, and Forest of Dean) falling within the middle quintile (i.e. middle 20%) for deprivation out of 326 English authorities⁵⁸.

A2.25 Stroud itself has no lower super output areas (LSOAs) that rank in the top 20% most deprived in England. Furthermore of all districts in Gloucestershire, Stroud District displays the largest proportion of population (73%) living within the two least deprived national quintiles in England. There are only three LSOAs in the District (within the Cam West, Dursley and Stonehouse wards) which are within the 30% most deprived LSOAs in England⁵⁹.

A2.26 From the 2010 IMD information release to 2015, Stroud's worst ranking domain remains "Barriers to Housing and Services", with 8% of the District's population living within LSOAs ranked in the most deprived national quintile. However, Stroud performs favourably relative to the rest of Gloucestershire in this domain, and has the county's least deprived LSOA - Berkeley 3 - which ranks 32,785th out of 32,844 nationally. Stroud District has seen a relative improvement in the national rankings since 2010 for "Crime and Disorder" given that in 2015 there are proportionally 21% more people living in the least deprived LSOAs for this domain, and 2% fewer in the most deprived national quintile areas⁶⁰.

A2.27 ONS data shows that annual house price rates of change for the year ending December 2017 for all regions of England is highest for the South West region at 7.5%⁶¹. Within Stroud itself house prices are 8.2 times earnings as at 2016, compared to 4.5 times earnings in 1999⁶². This is in line with the trend across much of the country with housing affordability worsening in all local authority districts. On average, working people could expect to pay around 7.6 times their annual earnings on purchasing a home in England and Wales in 2016, up from 3.6 times earnings in 1997. As such the issue of housing affordability will need to be addressed through planning policy and future affordable housing provision in the District will need to be delivered as part of any development planned for.

A2.28 The South West region has the highest proportion of fuel poor homes in England with 289,658 homes reported as fuel poor in 2014⁶³. The proportion of households in fuel poverty in Stroud in 2015 was recorded as 10.4%, however, which is marginally lower than the county level at 10.7% and the regional level at 11.4%⁶⁴.

⁵⁶ Cheltenham, Cotswold, Forest of Dean, Gloucester, Stroud and Tewkesbury Councils (March 2017) *Gloucestershire Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessment*

⁵⁷ Public Health England (July 2017) *Health Profile 2017 Stroud District*

⁵⁸ Gloucestershire County Council (March 2016) *Indices of Deprivation 2015 Gloucestershire*

⁵⁹ DCLG (Accessed March 2018) *Indices of Deprivation 2015 explorer* Online at: <http://dclgapps.communities.gov.uk/imd/idmap.html>

⁶⁰ Gloucestershire County Council (2015) *Understanding Stroud 2015*

⁶¹ ONS (February 2018) *House Price Index, UK: December 2017*

⁶² ONS (March 2017) *Housing affordability in England and Wales: 1997 to 2016*

⁶³ Cheltenham Borough Council, Forest of Dean District Council, Gloucester City Council, Stroud District Council and Tewkesbury Borough Council April 2017) *Home Energy Conservation Act Report April 2017- March 2019*

⁶⁴ Department for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy (June 2017) *Sub-Regional Fuel Poverty England 2017*

Health

- A2.29 The health of people in Stroud is generally better than the average for England. Early deaths from heart disease and cancer amongst Stroud's population have seen a steady decline in recent years which is a nationally observed trend. The number of those dying early as a result of illnesses related to these ailments in the District is lower than national average figure. The overall number of men and women dying early from all causes is also lower than the national average figure.
- A2.30 While life expectancy for men is higher than the England average, in the most deprived areas of the District men are expected to live 6.3 years less and women are expected to live 6.0 years less than men and women in the least deprived areas.
- A2.31 Stroud District also performs favourably against many other health-rated indicators in comparison to the English average. While 60% of adults in Stroud have been recorded as being overweight or obese, this figure is lower than the Gloucestershire (64%) and England (64%) average. The percentage of physically inactive adults in Stroud District has also remained below the Gloucestershire and England average in recent years. Figures recorded in relation to the number of hours pupils in year 8 and 9 partook of physical activities also show that those in Stroud participated in physical activity in and out of school more often than those within the other local authority areas of Gloucestershire. 26.1% of pupils were recorded as partaking of physical activities more than 8 hours a week and 29.3% did around 6 hours of physical activity a week⁶⁵.
- A2.32 Stroud District performs significantly worse than the English average in relation to admission for alcohol specific conditions for those under 18 and hospital stays for self-harm. Local priorities for the area identified by Public Health England are tackling health inequalities; improving health and wellbeing into older age; improving mental health; and reducing obesity and alcohol related harm⁶⁶. Health and wellbeing priorities at a County level presented in the Gloucestershire Health and Wellbeing Strategy 2012 - 2032⁶⁷ mirror the priorities for the District.

Culture, Leisure and Recreation

- A2.33 There is a variety of open spaces across Stroud District, including formal parks, gardens, local nature reserves, sports pitches and various informal grass areas. The distribution of notable open spaces in Stroud and the surrounding area is shown in **Figure A1.1: Recreation** at the end of this chapter. Lying to the south of the town of Stroud, Minchinhampton and Rodborough Commons are notable areas of common land covering approximately 335 hectares and are owned and managed by the National Trust. Both areas have been declared Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSIs), while Rodborough Common is also a Regionally Important Geological Site (RIGS) and Special Area of Conservation (SAC). Together with nearby Selsley Common (SSSI), the areas are notable examples of grassland commons in the Cotswold area.
- A2.34 At the town of Stroud, Stratford Park is 23ha with a lake and leisure centre complex. Other sizeable open spaces in the town include Old Cemetery on Bisley Road which is also a Nature Reserve, Uplands Allotments off Folly Lane and Daisy Bank park and children's play area.
- A2.35 The Council undertook an Outdoor Playing Space Survey of Local Provision and Needs in 2013. The survey established that at the time of reporting there was a deficiency of 31.93ha in the District as per Fields in Trust standards. Deficiency was reported in terms of the provision made for youths/adults, playing pitches and equipped children's play areas. Deficiencies in overall provision were also reported at five of the eight clusters which were surveyed (Stroud Valleys, Cam/Dursley, Wotton, Gloucester Fringe and Stonehouse)⁶⁸. The adopted Stroud District Local Plan (2015) includes objectives of increasing open space provision within these areas given the

⁶⁵ Gloucestershire County Council (March 2016) *Understanding Stroud 2015*

⁶⁶ Public Health England (July 2017) *Health Profile 2017 Stroud District*

⁶⁷ Gloucestershire Health and Wellbeing Board (2014) Gloucestershire Health and Wellbeing Strategy 2012 - 2032

⁶⁸ Stroud District Council (September 2013) *Outdoor Playing Space A Survey of Local Provision and Needs*

deficiencies identified⁶⁹. A new Green Infrastructure, Sport and Recreation Study is currently being prepared for the District which will deliver recommendations in the autumn of 2018.

Education

- A2.36 Gloucestershire County Council acts as the Local Education Authority in Stroud. It is currently responsible for the education of more than 47,300 pupils at primary level and 38,200 pupils at secondary level as well as a further 1,000 pupils enrolled in special schools⁷⁰.
- A2.37 The county has a total of 576 facilities, including seven colleges and universities. Stroud College of Further Education is located within the town of Stroud and is part of a series of five campuses located in and around North Bristol and Stroud which make up South Gloucestershire and Stroud College.
- A2.38 A recent report by Ofsted has concluded that following an inspection of services for children in need of help and protection, children looked after and care leavers, children's services in Gloucestershire are inadequate⁷¹. The report highlighted that these services were previously judged to be inadequate in 2011 and that senior leaders have not sufficiently prioritised or improved the quality of social work practice since this time meaning that there are serious and widespread failures for children in need of help and protection. Educational attainment outcomes for children in care are variable. Progress at key stage 2 through to key stage 4 is described as low by Ofsted. School attendance by children in Year 11 and above is poor. Ofsted has also described the improvement strategies which are currently in place as not yet being sufficiently effective to improve educational attainment and attendance for those children affected.
- A2.39 In Stroud the proportion of those with qualifications equivalent to NVQ level and higher (40.9%) is higher than the South West regional level (37.8%) as well as the national level (38.2%). No data is available at the District level in relation to those residents who do not have a qualification; however the proportion of those within the South West region without a qualification (5.1%) is lower than the national figure (8.0%)⁷².

Crime

- A2.40 In the District it is reported that there have been 33% fewer recorded crimes than the previous 5 years up to 2016.⁷³ Police and crime prevention services are recognised as important assets to local people with 42% of respondents to the 2017 Stroud District Council Budget Consultation⁷⁴ stating that these services were the most important for their business sector or community.
- A2.41 For the year ending September 2017, the crime rate in Stroud urban area presented through the Home Office statistics was 38.59 recorded crimes per 1,000 population. This figure was recorded as being significantly lower than the average for Gloucestershire force area which was 54.38 recorded crimes per 1,000 population for the same year period.⁷⁵ Shoplifting and criminal damage and arson were the crimes which were most recorded in the District in the most recent reporting period. These offences accounted for 616 and 681 recorded crimes respectively of a total of 4,530 recorded crimes during the 12 month period ending September 2017⁷⁶.

⁶⁹ Stroud District Council (November 2015) *Stroud District Local Plan*

⁷⁰ Gloucestershire County Council (October 2017) *Summary of School Numbers on Roll by Age*

⁷¹ Ofsted (June 2017) *Gloucestershire: Inspection of services for children in need of help and protection, children looked after and care leavers*

⁷² Nomis (Accessed March 2018) *Labour Market Profile – Stroud* Online at: <https://www.nomisweb.co.uk/reports/lmp/la/1946157376/report.aspx>

⁷³ Stroud District (Accessed March 2018) *Stroud District Community Safety Partnership Strategy 2017-2021* Online at: <https://www.stroud.gov.uk/community-and-living/community-safety-and-neighbourhood-wardens/stroud-district-community-safety-partnership-strategy-2017-2021>

⁷⁴ Future Focus Research for Stroud District Council (November 2017) *Budget Consultation 2017 Report*

⁷⁵ Home Office (Accessed March 2018) *Crime in Stroud compared with crime in other similar areas* Online at: <https://www.police.uk/gloucestershire/CA1/performance/compare-your-area/?section=timeline#timeline>

⁷⁶ ONS (January 2018) *Recorded crime data at Community Safety Partnership / Local Authority level*

Landscape, Biodiversity and Geodiversity

- A2.42 Stroud District sits across three National Character Areas (NCAs). The bulk of land in the District is split between NCA 106 (Severn and Avon Vales) to the west and NCA 107 (Cotswolds) to the east with a small area of land to the west of Wotton-under-Edge lying within NCA 118 (Bristol, Avon Valleys and Ridges). The boundaries of each of the NCAs within Stroud are shown in **Figure A2.2: Landscape Features** at the end of this chapter. To the west the land is described as being mostly a low lying and open agricultural vale landscape with much of the east defined by a steep scarp crowned by a high, open wold and significant portions of woodland^{77,78}.
- A2.43 The most western portion of the District contains part of the Severn Estuary and as such is characterised by the low lying rich estuarine landscape in the Severn Vale. The exception to this low lying character towards the west is found at the hillocks that the River Severn meanders around. Much of the District is rural with lower densities of development towards this location.
- A2.44 The Stroud District Landscape Assessment⁷⁹ identifies a number of landscape character types for the District which **Figure A2.2: Landscape Features** at the end of this chapter, also shows. These are split between the Cotswold Upland Landscapes to the east and Severn Vale Lowland Landscapes to the west. Each of the landscape character types has a series of key characteristics and key priorities for actions set out for them within the Landscape Assessment.
- A2.45 Within the Cotswold Upland Landscapes the following landscape character types have been identified:
- Wolds Top;
 - Rolling Valleys;
 - Secluded Valleys; and
 - Escarpment.
- A2.46 Within the Severn Vale Lowland Landscapes the following landscape character types have been identified:
- Rolling Agricultural Plain (including Lowland Plain, Escarpment Footholds and Frome River Valley);
 - Undulating Lowlands (including Little Avon Basin, Little Avon Mid-Valley, Wooded Lowlands and Lowland Ridges);
 - Severn Vale Hillocks;
 - Severn Vale Grazing Marshes;
 - Sandstone Ridge;
 - Triassic Ridge;
 - Wooded Cambrian Ridge; and
 - Kingswood Vale (including Kingswood Vale – north and Kingswood Vale – south).
- A2.47 The adopted Stroud District Plan was supported by a Landscape Sensitivity Appraisal which appraised Potential Locations for growth (housing, mixed-use and employment). Those locations appraised as having the highest potential sensitivity to growth were those at Nortonwood by Nailsworth and to the east of Stonehouse. Locations which were identified as having a potential medium-high sensitivity to growth were those to the west of Cam, east of Rodborough and north of Stroud⁸⁰.
- A2.48 As part of work to support the Council's SALA, landscape sensitivity assessment work was undertaken across the District at locations around the principal settlements. This found that those land parcels within the Cotswolds AONB generally have higher sensitivities than those parcels

⁷⁷ Natural England (March 2015) *NCA Profile:107 Cotswolds*

⁷⁸ Natural England (December 2014) *NCA Profile:106 Severn and Avon Vales*

⁷⁹ Stroud District Council (2000) *Stroud District Landscape Assessment*

⁸⁰ URS on behalf of Stroud District Council (July 2013) *Landscape Sensitivity Appraisal*

outside of the designation. As such, many of the locations which have lower sensitivities in terms of landscape were identified at settlements to the west such as at Stonehouse, Eastington, Hardwicke and Cam (north)⁸¹ in particular.

- A2.49 The eastern portion of the District contains the Cotswolds AONB which covers just over half of its total land area. The boundary of the AONB is drawn to exclude many of the areas which display higher levels of development along the A419 corridor at Stonehouse and Stroud and towards Brimscombe, as well as along the A46 towards Nailsworth and at Cam and Dursley.
- A2.50 The AONB is characterised by its dramatic escarpment and expansive high wolds in particular and contains a number of nationally and internationally designated biodiversity assets. These include Rodborough Common (SAC and SSSI), Minchinhampton and Selsley Commons (SSSI) to the south of Stroud town and the areas of beech woodland which are present towards the boundary with Tewkesbury Borough which contain Cotswold Commons and Beechwoods NNR and SSSI as well as Cotswold Beechwoods SAC⁸². The Cotswolds Beechwoods SAC is recognised as potentially being particularly vulnerable to recreational pressures. The site is close to the city of Gloucester to the north west and is also accessible from the town of Stroud to the south.
- A2.51 The Cotswolds AONB Management Plan 2013-2018 was adopted by the Cotswolds Conservation Board in March 2013 to provide a vision for the future management of the area, together with clear objectives and policies. The Cotswolds Conservation Board is currently undertaking a review of the management plan and the new plan period will set out how the AONB will be managed up to 2023. Given the close proximity of the AONB to larger towns and cities and the trend towards a growing and ageing population the emerging management plan has identified increasing pressures on the area in terms of the need to provide housing, employment and services. Further pressures which are likely to result relate to recreational pressures associated with such new growth⁸³.
- A2.52 The Gloucestershire Nature Map sets out a vision for a robust ecological network in the County. Strategic Nature Areas (SNAs) have been identified through this work as selected landscape-scale areas of land which show where the characteristic habitats which typify the County can be expanded and linked to protect and enhance biodiversity assets. The Nature Map shows that within Stroud District there are important areas for wildlife within SNAs. These include areas for wet grassland (including areas for traditional orchards) mostly to the west towards the River Severn and along parts of a number of the other smaller water bodies (including the Berkeley Pill/Little Avon, River Cam and River Frome) as well as areas for woodland mosaic and lowland calcareous (limestone) grassland mostly towards the east and the edge of the Cotswolds AONB.
- A2.53 The SNAs within the County have been grouped together within Priority Landscapes where appropriate through work by the former Gloucestershire Biodiversity Partnership in 2010. In total six Priority Landscapes which contain important ecosystems and ecological networks have been identified. Within Stroud, Severn Vale has been identified as one of these areas recognising it as part of the "wildlife highway" with an overall aim to restore a continuous expanse of lowland wet grassland and other wetland habitats⁸⁴.
- A2.54 Based on the work to identify the SNAs through the Nature Map the Severn Vale as defined by the flood plain of the River Severn has also been set out as a national Nature Improvement Area (NIA)⁸⁵ as per the direction of Defra's Natural Environment White Paper. Cotswolds Scarp NIA also partly falls within the district boundary towards the east taking in the settled valleys in the District around the town of Stroud up to Ebrington Hill in Cotswold District. Such areas have been identified given that they provide good opportunities for ecological network restoration and improved habitat management.
- A2.55 Where the Severn Estuary passes into the western portion of Stroud, a number of important nationally and internationally designated biodiversity sites have been designated. The area has been declared as a Ramsar site, a SSSI, SAC and Special Protection Area (SPA). The Severn

⁸¹ Stroud District Council (December 2016) *Stroud District Landscape Sensitivity Assessment*

⁸² Joint Nature Conservation Committee (January 2016) *Natura 2000 - Standard Data Form: Cotswold Beechwoods*

⁸³ Cotswolds Conservation Board (February 2018) *Cotswolds AONB Management Plan 2018-2023 2nd Draft for Consultation*

⁸⁴ Gloucestershire Local Nature Partnership (Accessed March 2018) *Priority Landscapes* Online at: <http://gloucestershirenature.org.uk/actionplan/priority-landscapes.php>

⁸⁵ Gloucestershire Local Nature Partnership (August 2016) *Nature Improvement Areas In Gloucestershire*

estuary is exceptional in that it has the second largest tidal range in the world. The estuary area has been recognised for importance for habitats including sandbanks, mudflats and sandflats, Atlantic salt meadows, and Reefs⁸⁶. Severn Estuary SSSI is generally in favourable condition with 95.88% of the units reported on meeting the criteria for favourable or unfavourable recovering condition⁸⁷.

- A2.56 Habitats Regulations Assessments (HRAs) undertaken by Stroud District Council have concluded that proposed residential growth identified in the existing Local Plan within a defined catchment zone around Rodborough Common SAC and Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar could have a likely significant effect in terms of recreation pressures on their areas, in the absence of appropriate mitigation. Stroud District Council has therefore worked with Natural England, landowners and other bodies to develop appropriate avoidance strategies which involve all housing developments within identified catchment zones paying per net additional dwelling to fund alternative recreation provision elsewhere or to mitigate the effects on-site through funding appropriate management activities⁸⁸⁸⁹. A separate Habitats Regulations Assessment for the new Local Plan will also be undertaken and reported on as the Local Plan is prepared.
- A2.57 Gloucestershire has some of the most varied geology seen within the U.K. The District of Stroud takes in parts of the Cotswolds escarpment at its eastern edge. Within this portion of the District the rocks evident are from mainly the Quaternary (Alluvium, River Terrace Gravels and Glacial Deposits), and Jurassic periods (Oxford Clay and Kellaway Beds, Great Oolite Group, Inferior Oolite Group, Whitby Mudstone, Marlstone Rock, Dyrham and Charmouth Mudstone). To the west within the portion of the District which falls within Severn Vale there is geological evidence of the Quaternary (Alluvium, River Terrace Gravels and Glacial Deposits), Jurassic (Charmouth Mudstone and Blue Lias), Triassic (Penarth Group, Mercia Mustone Group and Sherwood Sandstone), Permian (Bridgnorth Sandstone), Devonian (Old Red Sandstone), Silurian (Ludlow, Wenlock and Llandovery) and Ordovician periods (Igneous intrusions, Breadstone Shales and Bronsil Shale)⁹⁰.
- A2.58 The Cotswold Hills Geopark which was formed in 2004 takes in areas towards the east of the District. In its entirety the boundaries stretch from Stroud in the south west towards areas outside of the District at the settlements of Tetbury and Cirencester in the south east and Bourton on the Water and Chippin Campden in the east and north east respectively. The geopark extends to include land within the District as far west as Painswick to the north and land around Stroud and Stonehouse as well as Cam and Dursley and Wotton-under-Edge further to the south. It comprises an area of diverse and significant geology; a swathe of land approximately 95km in length. The SSSIs of Rodborough Common, Selsley Common, Woodchester Park and Minchinhampton Common⁹¹ are all within the geopark having been recognised at least in part for the importance of the geodiversity on display.
- A2.59 Across the entirety of Stroud there are 259 locally designated biodiversity and geodiversity sites. Of these sites 125 are in positive condition. This total is broken down between 122 Key Wildlife Sites which are in positive condition and three RIGSs which are in positive condition. In Gloucestershire 44.84% of the local sites are in positive condition as of March 2017. This represents a small decrease in those sites which were in positive condition from 2010 to 2015 when the figure was 45.17%⁹². The distribution of sites in Stroud which are designated for their biodiversity or geodiversity value is shown in **Figure A2.3: Biodiversity Designations** and **Figure A2.4: Geodiversity Sites** respectively at the end of this chapter. Stroud District also

⁸⁶ Natural England (February 2016) *European Site Conservation Objectives for Severn Estuary*

⁸⁷ Natural England (march 2018) *SSSI Condition Summary Site: Severn Estuary SSSI* online at: <https://designatedsites.naturalengland.org.uk/ReportConditionSummary.aspx?SiteCode=S1002284&ReportTitle=Severn%20Estuary%20SSSI>

⁸⁸ Stroud District Council (March 2015) *Interim Strategy for Avoidance of Likely Significant Effects on Rodborough Common Special Area of Conservation (SAC)*

⁸⁹ Stroud District Council (December 2017) *Strategy for Avoidance of Likely Significant Adverse Effects on the Severn Estuary SAC, SPA and Ramsar Site*

⁹⁰ Gloucestershire Geoconservation Trust (Accessed April 2018) *Gloucestershire Geodiversity* Online at: http://www.glosgeotrust.org.uk/glos_geodiversity.shtml

⁹¹ Cotswold Hills Geopark Partnership (Accessed April 2018) *Cotswold Hills Geopark* <http://www.cotswoldhillsgeopark.net>

⁹² Gloucestershire Local Nature Partnership (Accessed August 2018) *Gloucestershire Local Sites Summary Data 2017* Online at: <http://www.gloucestershirenature.org.uk/publications/index.php>

contains Priority Habitats, protected species, Priority Species and Ancient Woodland which make a significant contribution to the District's biodiversity.

Historic Environment

- A2.60 Sustaining the high quality of townscapes in Stroud is important to defining the character of the District. Furthermore, preserving the cultural and historic environment benefits communities in additional ways:
- It provides an essential educational resource for the understanding of the past and its legacy.
 - It contributes to the national and local economy as it promotes tourism and provides jobs.
 - It provides people with a sense of belonging to a unique and special place – a sense of identity.
- A2.61 This is particularly true of Stroud where tourism is an important component of the economy. English Heritage (now Historic England) reported that in 2014 in the south west the indirect and induced heritage GVA was £2.53 million and contributed to the employment of 41,300 people⁹³.
- A2.62 There are currently 41 Conservation Areas designated in the District. Of these, 15 have adopted Conservation Area Statements. Many of these areas are focussed on the more developed centre of Stroud.
- A2.63 The Industrial Heritage Conservation Area (IHCA) which covers the length of the Cotswold Canals for approximately 23km from Sapperton in the east to Saul in the west is noted as being a particularly large, complex and potentially vulnerable heritage asset. This is one of the largest conservation areas in Britain⁹⁴. The IHCA Conservation Area Statement has been adopted as a Supplementary Planning Document (SPD)⁹⁵ and the IHCA also benefits from an adopted Design Guide⁹⁶. The IHCA passes through some 19 'sub areas' identified as having distinct characteristics through the IHCA Conservation Area Statement - Volume 2: Character Parts⁹⁷.
- A2.64 Two of the Conservation Areas in the District have remained on Historic England's Heritage at Risk List from 2016 to 2017. These are the IHCA and Stanley Mills Conservation Areas, which both have a trend of 'deteriorating' recorded for them. There is a total of 36 Conservation Areas in the south west on the Heritage at Risk List⁹⁸ meaning that those in Stroud make up approximately 6% of the figure for the entire south west region.
- A2.65 At present there are 3,303 Listed Buildings in Stroud, with a further 69 Scheduled Monuments and 15 Registered Parks and Gardens also designated⁹⁹. Of the Listed Buildings in the District 11 are on the Heritage at Risk List. There are a further six Scheduled Monuments on the Heritage at Risk List¹⁰⁰ at present in the District. **Figure A2.5: Heritage Assets** at the end of this chapter shows the location of the heritage assets in the District.
- A2.66 Details of the heritage assets (including Conservation Areas) identified as being at risk and their respective conditions are provided in **Table A2.2** below.

⁹³ Historic England on behalf of the Historic Environment Forum (September 2017) *Heritage Counts: Heritage and the Economy 2017*

⁹⁴ Stroud District Council (July 2017) *A Heritage Strategy for Stroud District*

⁹⁵ Stroud District Council (November 2008) *Industrial Heritage Conservation Area Management Proposals SPD*

⁹⁶ Stroud District Council (November 2008) *The Industrial Heritage Conservation Area Design Guide*

⁹⁷ Stroud District Council (November 2008) *The Industrial Heritage Conservation Area Volume 2: Character Parts*

⁹⁸ Historic England (October 2017) *Heritage at Risk: South West Register 2017*

⁹⁹ Historic England (Accessed March 2018) *National Heritage List for England* online at: <https://historicengland.org.uk/listing/the-list>

¹⁰⁰ Historic England (Accessed March 2018) *Heritage at Risk List* online at: <https://historicengland.org.uk/advice/heritage-at-risk/search-register>

Table A2.2: Heritage assets at risk in Stroud District

Designated Site Name	Heritage Category	Condition
Stanley Mills	Conservation Area	Very bad - deteriorating
Stroud Industrial Heritage (IHCA)	Conservation Area	Poor - deteriorating
Main Building at Stanley Mills	Listed Building Grade I	Fair
The Mansion, Woodchester Park	Listed Building Grade I	Very bad
Church of St Mary the Virgin, Church Lane	Listed Building Grade I	Very bad
Old Mill Building at Longfords Mills	Listed Building Grade II*	Fair
St Marys House, Wing Cottage and Ivy Cottage, London Road	Listed Building Grade II*	Poor
Church of St James, Church Lane	Listed Building Grade II*	Very bad
Church of St Andrew	Listed Building Grade II*	Poor
Congregational Church, Bedford Street	Listed Building Grade II*	Poor
Church of St Mary Magdalene Gates and Wall	Listed Building Grade II*	Poor
Church of St John the Baptist, B4072	Listed Building Grade II	Poor
Church of St Giles, High Street	Listed Building Grade II	Poor
Leonard Stanley Priory	Scheduled Monument	Very bad
Bowl barrow 450m south east of Upper Hyde Farm	Scheduled Monument	Extensive significant problems - declining
Gatcombe long barrow, 400m east of Gatcombe Farm	Scheduled Monument	Generally unsatisfactory with major localised problems - declining
Bowl barrow 330m north of Symonds' Hall Farm	Scheduled Monument	Extensive significant problems - declining
Miserden Castle mound	Scheduled Monument	Generally satisfactory but with significant localised problems - declining
Bowl barrow 720m south east of Longwood Farm	Scheduled Monument	Extensive significant problems - declining

Air and Water

- A2.67 The impacts of air quality in the UK are recognised not only in terms of health alone but also associated economic impacts. The health cost of particulate matter alone in the UK has been estimated to be around £16 billion¹⁰¹. Road traffic has been identified as the primary influence on air quality in Stroud and the primary polluter of concern is Nitrogen Dioxide. The air quality in the District for 2016 has been reported as being very good with levels of Nitrogen Dioxide recorded as being well below national limits and generally stable. At the small number of sites where increases in levels of Nitrogen Dioxide were reported, the increases recorded were marginal¹⁰².
- A2.68 There are currently no AQMAs declared in the District. An AQMA had previously been established jointly with Tewkesbury District Council for the NO₂ annual mean objective, along the M5 corridor but this was revoked in 2004 following a return of air quality to acceptable limits.
- A2.69 Much of the western portion of the District has been classified by the Environment Agency as Surface Water Nitrate Vulnerable Zones (NVZs) and/or Ground Water NVZs. Such areas are designated where land drains into nitrate polluted waters or waters which could become polluted by nitrates¹⁰³.

¹⁰¹ Defra (May 2013) *Abatement cost guidance for valuing changes in air quality*

¹⁰² Stroud District Council (October 2017) *2017 Air Quality Annual Status Report*

¹⁰³ Environmental Agency (Accessed March 2018) *Nitrate Vulnerable Zones* Online at: http://maps.environment-agency.gov.uk/wiyby/wiybyController?x=357683&y=355134&scale=1&layerGroups=default&ep=map&textonly=off&lang=_e&topic=nvz

- A2.70 Much of the eastern portion of the District is classified as a Drinking Water Safeguard Zones (Surface Water) as it has been identified as being at risk of failing the drinking water protection objectives. There are also areas to the east (by Minchinhampton and Nailsworth and to the south of Cam and Dursley) which are also defined as Source Protection Zones given that there is a risk of contamination from any activities that might cause pollution in the area¹⁰⁴. **Figure A2.6: Water Quality** at the end of this chapter shows where the Source Protection Zones fall within the District as well as the location of the Surface Water NVZs and Ground Water NVZs.
- A2.71 Facilities for the treatment of waste water in Stroud fall under the responsibility of Gloucestershire County Council. Gloucestershire Waste Core Strategy provides policies for the safeguarding of such facilities and other waste related objectives and policies up to the year 2027. There are currently 84 operational waste water treatment facilities in Gloucestershire. The two main sewage treatment works for Gloucestershire are located outside of Stroud at Netheridge in Gloucester and Hayden to the south west of Cheltenham respectively¹⁰⁵.
- A2.72 Water quality at the Severn Estuary is an important indicator of the overall health of the Estuary's ecosystem. This indicator is also an important factor in influencing tourism, recreational activities and the commercial/industrial sectors. In recent years the closure of major industries and the introduction of stricter pollution controls has meant that the levels of most contaminant which the estuary is subject to are much lower than previously. Major industries discharging into the estuary include (or have included until recently) smelters, incinerators, fertiliser and numerous other chemical plants in the Avonmouth area; coal and steel industry, paper mills, chemical and pharmaceutical manufacturers in south Wales; and nuclear power plants at Hinkley, Berkeley and Oldbury. Dissolved oxygen levels are generally high in the estuary, with levels above 8 mg/l throughout the whole Estuary and concentrations above 95% at the seaward end with no widespread severe oxygen depletion reported. Reporting by the Environment Agency also shows that in the waters of the estuary average concentrations of dissolved metals such as cadmium, copper, nickel, lead and zinc are all below Environmental Quality Standards thresholds.
- A2.73 Water abstraction needs to be managed responsibly at the estuary to meet the reasonable needs of water users. Whilst human requirements are important there is a need to ensure that enough water remains in the environment to conserve the water body habitats. Major rivers feeding the Severn Estuary are subject to freshwater abstraction to varying degrees with the large abstraction from the Severn at Gloucester feeding the Gloucester – Sharpness Canal, requiring carefully management to prevent the uptake of saline water¹⁰⁶.

Flood Risk

- A2.74 The River Severn and its tributaries are prominent features in the District and as such areas of Stroud particularly to the west display a high risk of fluvial flooding. Areas surrounding the River Severn as well as other larger water bodies such as the River Frome and Nailsworth Stream through Stonehouse, Stroud and Nailsworth as well as the River Cam through Cam and Dursley are within Flood Zone 3. Flood defences are present along much of the length of the River Severn within the District at areas to the west of Berkeley surrounding Berkeley Pill and at the areas surrounding the Gloucester and Sharpness Canal by the wetlands to the west of Slimbridge and to the west of Frampton on Severn. There is a flood storage area within the District to the north of Slimbridge and to the west of the A38. The **Figure A2.7: Hydrology** at the end of this chapter shows those areas in the District which are at high risk of flooding.
- A2.75 Flooding events have occurred at the River Severn Estuary where land was reclaimed from high tides since the Roman times and there are records of further historic flooding events occurring across the District. These including records along the River Frome notably in July 1968 around the areas of Whitminster and Ryeford. The area towards the River Severn Estuary at Sharpness Docks was also affected by flooding during this same period. At Stroud adjacent to the

¹⁰⁴ Environmental Agency (Accessed March 2018) *Groundwater Protection Zones* Online at: http://maps.environment-agency.gov.uk/wiyby/wiybyController?x=531500.0&y=181500.0&topic=groundwater&ep=map&scale=5&location=London,%20City%20of%20London&lang=_e&layerGroups=default&distance=&textonly=off#x=419032&y=227848&lg=1,10,&scale=4

¹⁰⁵ Gloucestershire County Council (November 2012) *Gloucestershire Waste Core Strategy*

¹⁰⁶ Severn Estuary Partnership (Autumn 2011) *State of the Severn Estuary Report*

Stroudwater Canal and River Frome this event resulted in further flooding. As recent as July 2007, fluvial flooding has been recorded along the Slad Brook along Painswick Stream to the north of the town. Towards the northern part of the District historic flooding events have been recorded at Shorn Brook to the south of Quedgeley.

- A2.76 The Environment Agency has produced climate change allowances to support the NPPF. This includes advice on peak river flow by river basin district. **Table A2.3** shows the Environment Agency's¹⁰⁷ predicted peak river flow allowances for the Severn River Basin which is of relevance in terms of both flood risk assessments and strategic flood risk assessments. These predictions are to be made use of with consideration for the flood zone and the appropriate flood risk vulnerability classification to decide which allowances applies to certain types of development or plans.

Table A2.3 Peak river flow allowances by river basin district (using 1961 to 1990 baseline)

River basin district	Allowance category	Total potential change anticipated for the '2020s' (2015 to 2039)	Total potential change anticipated for the '2050s' (2040 to 2069)	Total potential change anticipated for the '2080s' (2070 to 2115)
Severn	Upper end (90th percentile)	25%	40%	70%
	Higher central (70th percentile)	15%	25%	35%
	Central (50th percentile)	10%	20%	25%

- A2.77 The canal system in Stroud acts to provide flood alleviation in the District. At present water from watercourses within the Stroud District area is pumped into the Gloucester and Sharpness Canal to help manage water levels. Any failure of the canal could potentially cause or exacerbate flooding problems within the District¹⁰⁸. The reinstatement of the Stroudwater Canal is part of the current strategy to remove brownfield allocated development sites within the Stroud Valleys out of the floodplain.
- A2.78 Stroud District Council has led on the Stroud Rural SuDS project to use Natural Land Management techniques to reduce flood risk while enhancing water quality and biodiversity in the River Frome Catchment. Such techniques include promoting water attenuation, infiltration and slowing channel flow using woody debris dams¹⁰⁹. As the Lead Local Flood Authority for the area, Gloucestershire County Council has identified parishes and wards in Stroud and the other local authority areas which are considered to be of priority in terms of alleviating flood risk prioritising residential properties over non-residential. Within Stroud District Arlingham Civil Parish (CP), Brimscombe and Thrupp CP, Cainscross CP, Cam CP, Chalford CP, Dursley CP, Eastington CP, Frampton on Severn CP, Fretherne with Saul CP, Kingswood CP, Minchinhampton CP, Nailsworth CP, Rodborough CP, Slimbridge CP, Stonehouse CP, Stroud CP and Wotton-under-Edge CP all lie within areas which have been identified as having medium-high or high risk of flooding¹¹⁰.

Energy and Climate Change

- A2.79 Stroud District Energy Strategy has been developed to "improve the energy efficiency across its housing stock portfolio". Within the District it is estimated that approximately 1,700 (30%) of homes will require significant investment to improve energy efficiency given that they are either

¹⁰⁷ Environment Agency (February 2017) *Flood risk assessments: climate change allowances* Online at: <https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-assessments-climate-change-allowances>

¹⁰⁸ Stroud District Council (March 2012) *Strategic Flood Risk Assessment for Local Development Framework Level 2*

¹⁰⁹ Gloucestershire County Council (October 2016) *Local Flood Risk Management Strategy Annual Progress and Implementation Plan 2016/17*

¹¹⁰ Gloucestershire County Council (November 2017) *Local Flood Risk Management Strategy Annual Progress and Implementation Plan 2017/18*

off the gas network, of solid wall construction, of non-traditional construction, have no loft space and/or are located within restricted locations such as conservation areas or the AONB¹¹¹.

- A2.80 850 Renewable Heat Incentive (RHI) eligible installations were established in Gloucestershire between April 2014 and January 2017. These include heating sources such as biomass boilers, solar water heating and certain heat pumps. Significant proportions (269) of these were established in Stroud during this period. Stroud has the highest rate of heat pump installations in the Country with 1.4% of households having a heat pump.
- A2.81 In terms of renewable electricity, the Feed-in Tariff register shows that Stroud District has the highest number of domestic renewable installations in Gloucestershire with a total of 2,646 comparative to the next highest total for Forest of Dean District which is 1,948. These installations have predominantly been solar photovoltaic which accounted for 2,638 installations giving the District 530 solar photovoltaic installations per 10,000 households. The average number of solar photovoltaic installations per 10,000 households for Gloucestershire is just over 250. During this same period in the District five wind installations and three hydro installations were put in place¹¹².
- A2.82 The South West region has the highest number of sites generating electricity from renewable sources of all regions in England at 113,166 out of 682,705. The South West does not, however, produce the highest amount of electricity from renewable sources of all regions considered with 3,948.3GWh out of the total 54,609.6GWh produced throughout England produced in the South West as shown in **Table A2.4** below. Of this total for the region, 2,481.5GWh are produced from solar photovoltaic sources. The highest technology growth in capacity throughout England in 2016 was solar photovoltaic and it is noted that growth in the South-West, driven by large-scale schemes contributed significantly to the overall high level of national growth¹¹³.

Table A2.4: Installed capacity of sites generating electricity from renewable sources by region, 2016

Region	Generation in GWh
East Midlands	4,780.6
East of England	8,160.0
North East	1,945.6
North West	6,275.0
London	1,048.1
South East	7,450.2
South West	3,948.3
West Midlands	1,685.9
Yorkshire and the Humber	19,315.9
England total	54,609.6

- A2.83 Stroud District has seen a steady fall in CO₂ emissions per capita from 2005 to 2015 with records for these years showing 7.0kt CO₂ and 5.1kr CO₂ respectively for those emissions within the scope of the local authority. Of the total CO₂ emissions within the scope of the local authority (591.8kt CO₂) 169.5kt CO₂ were as a result of transport¹¹⁴.
- A2.84 While the overall trend in the District is towards a reduced rate of CO₂ emissions per year from 2011 to 2015, taking into account all sources of transport CO₂ emissions Stroud has seen an increase in those CO₂ emissions attributed to journeys made on motorways (231.79kt CO₂ to 247.06kt CO₂), A-roads (67.47kt CO₂ to 68.62kt CO₂) and minors roads (90.78kt CO₂ to 91.34kt CO₂)¹¹⁵. The decrease recorded in overall CO₂ emissions in the District is reflective of the national trend with CO₂ emissions recorded as 374mt CO₂ for 2016 which was a decrease of 7% from the

¹¹¹ Stroud District Council (March 2017) *Energy Strategy*

¹¹² Cheltenham Borough Council, Forest of Dean District Council, Gloucester City Council, Stroud District Council and Tewkesbury Borough Council April 2017) *Home Energy Conservation Act Report April 2017- March 2019*

¹¹³ ONS (September 2017) *Renewable electricity in Scotland, Wales, Northern Ireland and the regions of England in 2016*

¹¹⁴ ONS (June 2017) *UK local authority and regional carbon dioxide emissions national statistics: 2005-2015*

¹¹⁵ National Atmospheric Emissions Inventory (Accessed March 2018) *Local Authority CO2 interactive maps (2015)*

previous year. This decrease has been mainly attributed to the decrease in the use of coal for electricity generation¹¹⁶.

- A2.85 Changes to the climate will bring new challenges to the District's built and natural environments. Hotter, drier summers may have adverse health impacts and may exacerbate the adverse environmental effects of air and water pollution. The UK Climate Projections (UKCP09) show that in 2050 the climate in the South West will be warmer with wetter winters and drier summers than at present¹¹⁷. Specifically:
- A2.86 Under medium emissions, the increase in winter mean temperature is estimated to be 2.1°C; it is unlikely to be less than 1.1°C and is very unlikely to be more than 3.2°C.
- A2.87 Under medium emissions, the increase in summer mean temperature is estimated to be 2.7°C; it is unlikely to be less than 1.3°C and is very unlikely to be more than 4.6°C.
- A2.88 A changing climate may place pressure on some native species and create conditions suitable for new species, including invasive non-native species.

Soils

- A2.89 The Agricultural Land Classification (ALC)¹¹⁸ system provides a framework for classifying land according to the extent to which its physical or chemical characteristics impose long-term limitations on agricultural use. The principal factors influencing agricultural production are climate, site and soil. These factors together with interactions between them form the basis for classifying land into one of five grades, where 1 describes land as 'Excellent' (land of high agricultural quality and potential) and 5 describes land as 'Very Poor' (land of low agricultural quality and potential). Land falling outside of these scores is deemed to be 'primarily in non-agricultural use', or 'land predominantly in urban use'.
- A2.90 Most of the land in Stroud District is classed as Grade 3 (Good to Moderate) Agricultural Land. Relatively large areas of Grade 2 (Very Good) Agricultural Land are present in Stroud most notably to the west by Slimbridge, Frampton on Severn, Arlingham and the parish of Elmore. There are swathes of land which are Grade 4 (Poor) Agricultural Land mostly towards the central and eastern parts of the District by the town of Stroud and southerly towards Cam and Dursley. The distribution of different grades of agricultural soils in Stroud is shown in **Figure A2.8: Agricultural Land Classification** at the end of this chapter.
- A2.91 The Council maintains a list of the previously developed land in the District which is considered appropriate for residential development as per The Town and Country Planning (Brownfield Land Register) Regulations 2017. The Stroud District Brownfield Land Register contains those sites of at least 0.25ha in area and those capable of supporting at least 5 dwellings with further information available relating to those sites which would be considered suitable for a grant of permission in principle for residential development. Many of these sites are located within the more developed locations of the District particularly Stroud and Stonehouse and within the industrial bottoms of the Stroud Valleys. The largest of these brownfield sites which does not have planning permission is the former Standish Hospital site which is 13.07ha at the edge of Standish¹¹⁹.

Resource Use/Waste and Recycling

- A2.92 In 2012 Gloucestershire County Council adopted the Gloucestershire Waste Core Strategy to guide future waste management development throughout up to 2027. The Waste Core Strategy should be read in conjunction with the remaining save policies of the Gloucestershire Waste Local Plan

¹¹⁶ ONS (march 2017) *2016 UK Greenhouse Gas Emissions, Provisional Figures*

¹¹⁷ UK Climate Projections (Accessed March 2018) *Maps & key findings* Online at: <http://ukclimateprojections.metoffice.gov.uk/21708?projections=23679>

¹¹⁸ Natural England (December 2012) *Agricultural Land Classification: protecting the best and most versatile agricultural land*

¹¹⁹ Stroud District Council (December 2017) *Stroud District Brownfield Land Register* Online at:

<https://www.stroud.gov.uk/environment/planning-and-building-control/planning-strategy/brownfield-land-register>

2002-2012. Most of the County's waste arises in or near to a central corridor set out in the Core Strategy particular at Gloucester and Cheltenham and to a lesser extent Tewkesbury and Stroud. The Waste Core Strategy allocates two strategic sites within the District boundaries at Javelin Park, Harefield and Moreton Valence respectively¹²⁰. The Gloucestershire Waste Core Strategy (WCS) indicates, however, that local capacity is presently sufficient to meet the county's landfill needs through to at least the end of the 2020s.

- A2.93 In Gloucestershire responsibility for waste management is shared between the County Council, which is responsible for waste disposal and the individual District, City and Borough Councils which have responsibility for collecting household waste. The Gloucestershire Waste Partnership is a partnership of all seven District, City and Borough Councils who work together to provide waste management services across the County¹²¹.
- A2.94 The latest figures relating to recycling and waste collection in Stroud show that between 2016 and 2017 the percentage of household waste sent for reuse, recycling or composting was 45.5%. This was an increase of 14.0% from the previous reporting period. Of all local authorities in England Stroud was ranked 136 out of 326 in relation to recycling rates. Recycling rates recorded for the nearby authorities of Bristol City (43.4%) and South Gloucestershire (45.5%) were similar to those recorded for Stroud while the Cotswolds (59.6%) and Tewkesbury (53.3%) performed markedly better during the same reporting period. Recycling rates in Gloucester City during the same period were significantly lower at 39.7%¹²².
- A2.95 Gloucestershire County Council has set a target of reducing waste produced by residents to 228kg per person by 2020. Stroud District Council has reported that this target has already been met with waste per resident reduced to 114kg. This has been achieved by increasing household rates of recycling and composting for glass, plastics and cans (from 1.96kg to 2.14kg per person), for paper and cardboard (from 2.34kg to 2.49kg per person) and food composting (2.25kg per person following its introduction)¹²³.

Employment and Economic Activity

- A2.96 Between October 2016 and September 2017 the percentage of economically active people in Stroud was 83.4%¹²⁴. This is above the national average of 78.1%, and the regional average of 81.0%. During the same period, the unemployment rate of 3.5% of the economically active population across the South West region was lower than the national average of 4.4%. The number of people claiming Jobseeker's Allowance as a percentage of the working age resident population as of November 2016 was 0.3% in Stroud which is lower than the regional (0.8%) and national figures (1.1%) for the same period.
- A2.97 The two main employment sectors within Stroud between October 2016 and Sep 2017 were professional occupations (20.9%) and skilled trade occupations (14.0%). Of the 6,685 enterprises within Stroud in 2017, 89.4% were considered as 'micro' size (0-9 employees), 8.9% were considered to be 'small' (10-49 employees), 1.5% were considered to be 'medium' (50-249 employees) and 0.3% were considered to be 'large' (250+ employees).
- A2.98 In 2015, the average gross weekly pay for residents for aged 16 and above in full time work in Stroud was £545.00. This figure is higher than the regional average (£527.00); however it is lower than the national average of £552.70¹²⁵. From census data across the individual authorities of Gloucestershire, Stroud recorded the highest median earned income which was £28,017, comparative to the county figure of £26,012¹²⁶.

¹²⁰ Gloucestershire County Council (November 2012) *Gloucestershire Waste Core Strategy*

¹²¹ Gloucestershire Waste Partnership (July 2009) *Gloucestershire Waste Partnership - Partnership Agreement*

¹²² SUEZ with Lichfields (Accessed March 2018) *Recycling in the UK (2016-17)* Online at: <http://www.sita.co.uk/individuals/recycling-in-the-uk/>

¹²³ Stroud District Council (March 2017) *Recycling More And Reducing Waste*

¹²⁴ Nomis (Accessed March 2018) *Labour Market Profile – Stroud* Online at: <https://www.nomisweb.co.uk/reports/lmp/la/1946157376/report.aspx>

¹²⁵ Nomis (Accessed March 2018) *Labour Market Profile – Stroud* Online at: <https://www.nomisweb.co.uk/reports/lmp/la/1946157376/report.aspx>

¹²⁶ Local Authorities of Gloucestershire (March 2014) *Strategic Housing Market Assessment Update*

A2.99 The District sees large flows of commuters travelling into and out of the District with a daily net flow of 7,239 workers out of the District. The most important employment locations for people in the District which are outside of its boundaries include the areas of Gloucester City, South Gloucestershire, Cotswold, Cheltenham, Tewkesbury and City of Bristol. Stroud has negative commuter flows with all of these areas as recorded in the most recent census and shown in **Table A2.5** below¹²⁷. Internal commuter flows show that the towns of Stroud and Stonehouse and to a lesser extent Cam and Dursley and Nailsworth are important employment centres within the District for residents¹²⁸.

Table A2.5: Daily commuter flows into and out of Stroud District

Authority area	Number of commuters travelling out of Stroud	Number of commuters travelling into Stroud
Gloucester City	5,492	4,699
South Gloucestershire	3,132	1,568
Cotswold	2,334	957
Cheltenham	1,947	1,191
Tewkesbury	1,791	946
City of Bristol	1,511	630

A2.100 Stroud District Council is a key local authority stakeholder in the Gloucestershire Local Enterprise Partnership (LEP) which sets out to grow the Gloucestershire economy by £493 million and create 33,909 jobs and protect a further 2,125 jobs from 2015-2021. The LEP strategy and objectives have been set out in the SEP for Gloucestershire¹²⁹. The SEP has identified that there has been a degree of stagnation in economic productivity in Gloucestershire relative to the rest of the UK. GVA per hour worked in Gloucestershire increased from £22.70 in 2004 to £25.70 in 2011; however over the same period, the national increase was from £22.30 to £27.30. The trend towards a degree of stagnation in productivity year-on-year from 2004 up to 2011 is confirmed through data presented in the SEP given that GVA per filled job is approximately 8% lower than at the national level.

A2.101 ONS figures for the same measure of productivity in terms of GVA per work worked across the County from 2012 up to 2016, however, show that there has been a degree of recovery in terms of the rate of increase in productivity in Gloucestershire. For this period in Gloucestershire an increase of £26.30 to £28.60 was recorded while at the national level an increase from £27.70 to £30.10¹³⁰ was recorded over the same time period. Viewing the LEP's relative productivity comparative to the rate for the UK for the period (2012 to 2016) in terms of GVA per hour work indices demonstrates that productivity in the area has been growing almost as fast as that reported at a national level, as is demonstrated in **Table A2.6** below. The table shows that productivity was increasing at a markedly less favourably rate up to 2010-2011 comparative to UK levels. It should be noted that a decrease in the productivity index number of an area does not necessarily mean a decrease in productivity in actual terms but rather that the area has performed relatively worse than the rest of the UK over the period. In other words, its actual productivity level may have improved, but at a slower rate than the UK overall.

Table A2.6: GVA per hour worked indices for Gloucestershire Local Enterprise Partnership comparative to UK

Year	Gloucestershire	UK
2004	100.1	100.0
2005	100.2	100.0
2006	99.5	100.0
2007	98.7	100.0
2008	97.1	100.0

¹²⁷ ONS (2011) *Census WU03UK - Location of usual residence and place of work by method of travel to work* Online at: <https://www.nomisweb.co.uk/census/2011/wu03uk/chart>

¹²⁸ ONS (2011) *2011 Census* Online at: <http://commute.datashine.org.uk>

¹²⁹ Gloucestershire LEP (March 2014) *Strategic Economic Plan for Gloucestershire*

¹³⁰ ONS (February 2018) *Subregional Productivity: Labour Productivity (GVA per hour worked and GVA per filled job) indices by UK NUTS2 and NUTS3 subregions*

2009	96.4	100.0
2010	96.0	100.0
2011	95.7	100.0
2012	95.4	100.0
2013	94.6	100.0
2014	94.5	100.0
2015	94.3	100.0
2016	94.5	100.0

A2.102 Between 2006 and March 2017 65.04ha of land was developed in Stroud District for employment generating uses. 24.80ha of this land was developed for "B" uses. From a base date of 2006, Stroud District is reported as having a net provision of employment land of about 94.50ha and a net provision of land for "B" uses of about 64.66ha in March 2017. Given that the Local Plan requirement for B class employment land for the period 2006-2031 for Stroud has been set out to be 58.00ha, a total surplus of employment land at April 2017 has been recorded as 6.66ha. Whilst this figure takes account of actual losses to other uses, there are potential losses of existing employment sites mainly to residential uses which have yet to be implemented including at Dudbridge Industrial Estate and Wimberley Mill. Five employment allocations are set out in the adopted Local Plan at West of Stonehouse, North East Cam, Quedgeley East, Sharpness and South of Severn Distribution Park. These sites account for a total area of 51.2ha of employment land¹³¹.

A2.103 There are five town centres within the District at Stroud, Cam/Dursley, Nailsworth, Stonehouse and Wotton-under-Edge. In line with national trends the traditional role of high streets in relation to providing for a majority of shopping needs has seen a decline in the District given the rise of e-retailing, e-banking and a general shift towards the acquisition of services online. In general the town centres of the District reflect a similar or slightly lower proportion of vacant commercial properties to the national average which is 11% for a similar period (recorded at January 2017) as shown in **Table A2.7** below.

Table A2.7: Total number of commercial properties and vacancy rates in Stroud's town centres (as at end of recording period 2016)

Town centre name	Total number of commercial properties	Proportion of vacant commercial properties
Stroud	320	10%
Nailsworth	116	5%
Dursley	102	9%
Stonehouse	70	9%
Wotton-under-Edge	90	10%

A2.104 Stroud town centre is by far the largest of those in the District as indicated by the number of commercial properties. The town centre, however, has a small food store offer at 4% of town centre commercial properties¹³² and a non-food sector which may be under threat by the potential withdrawal of national retailers¹³³. The food store offer through convenience outlets is significantly lower than the national average, which is 13% of town centre commercial properties. The town does not attract a high number of national retailers and access to the centre during the evening has been identified as a barrier to the night time economy. The town does, however, benefit from a well-attended Saturday market.

A2.105 The most recent information (December 2016) relating to vacancy rates in the town centre show that 10% of commercial properties are unoccupied which is slightly higher than the previous year (8%) but slightly lower than national vacancy rate (11%) for a comparable period. Future projections relating to the make-up of the town centre suggest that it is likely to experience marked contraction of comparison floorspace as well as a reduction in the number of service units

¹³¹ Stroud District Council (April 2017) *Employment Land Availability*

¹³² Stroud District Council (August 2017) *Future Of Town Centres Stroud, Nailsworth, Stonehouse, Dursley, Wotton-Under-Edge*

¹³³ Stroud District Council (September 2017) *Stroud District Local Plan Review: Issues and Options Paper*

and an increase in the number of vacant commercial properties. The popularity of the market and current lack of convenience floorspace in the town centre may however provide opportunities to limit the level of underutilised space. Other potential strengths and opportunities for the town centre include capitalising on the successful integration of new housing in the town centre for “young professionals” and canal side opportunities.

- A2.106 Nailsworth is the second largest centre in the District being approximately three times smaller than Stroud when considering the overall number of retail properties. It functions as a local centre with a considerable number of existing leisure and tourist uses. The centre, however, has no comparison national retailers of a large scale present but supports a numbers of cafes, independent retailers and gift shops. Vacancy levels in Nailsworth for 2016 were well below the national level at 5%, although it is worth highlighting that this figure saw a notable increase from the previous year’s figure which was only 1%.
- A2.107 In Dursley the number of convenience and comparison properties has remained relatively constant from 2005 to 2016. Vacancies in the centre according to the most recent available information in 2016 at 9% are similar to those observed pre-recession. Recent years have seen the number of service units decrease while leisure uses in the centre have increased slightly. Future potential opportunities for the town centre include increasing its tourism potential due to its attractive landscape setting and location on the Cotswolds Way.
- A2.108 Comparison and convenience uses in Wotton-under-Edge have remained relatively constant in recent years, which is similar to the trend identified in Dursley. The trend towards a slight increase in the leisure offer of the town has also been recorded while the number of service uses has fallen reflecting a withdrawal of banking uses from the centre. While there has been a significant increase from the 4% figure for 2005, the percentage of vacant uses in Wotton-under-Edge is broadly in line with smaller towns in the District given that it is recorded as 10% for 2016. As the town sits at the southern historic gateway to the Cotswolds there may be potential to identify potential growth opportunities for its tourism offer.
- A2.109 Stonehouse is the second larger town in the District by population but it has the fewest number of total retail outlets. The proportion of convenience uses in the town have remained relatively constant in recent years while there has been growth in leisure uses and a decrease in the proportion of service uses. This is in line with a number of the other smaller town centres in the District and leisure uses rose from 18% of commercial uses in 2005 to 20% in 2016 in the town while the proportion of service uses fell from 31% in 2005 to 21% in 2016. Options for marketing for the town centre include its promotion as benefiting from strong links to the A38/M5 corridor and as an entrance to the Stroud valleys.
- A2.110 It is expected that new retail commitments outside the District’s boundaries will have a further impact on the demand for retail capacity within the town centres of Stroud. These include a new John Lewis store which is to open in Cheltenham and a further 100,000 sqft retail offer which is to be developed in the town. Further retail developments which have the potential to impact on the role of town centres in the District include the expansion of the sub regional shopping centre at Cribbs Causeway and the expansion of the retail offer at Gloucester Docks¹³⁴.
- A2.111 In total, 2640 sqm of convenience goods floorspace capacity and 4840sqm of comparison goods floorspace capacity by 2031 has been forecasted as required for the District by the Town Centres and Retailing Study and Update¹³⁵. The majority of this capacity is to be delivered at Stroud where 1,390sqm net additional convenience floorspace capacity and 3,630sqm net additional comparison floorspace capacity are required.

Transport

- A2.112 The District has motorway access towards its western edge at the M5 junctions 12 (Gloucester) and 13 (Stroud). The A38 runs parallel to this route through the length of the District. These routes run south towards Bristol and the M4 and M48 which then provide access to South Wales.

¹³⁴ Stroud District Council (February 2017) *Environment Committee Agenda Paper: Future of Town Centres Stroud, Nailsworth, Stonehouse, Dursley, Wotton Under Edge*

¹³⁵ GVA on behalf of Stroud District Council (July 2013) *Stroud Retail Study Update 2013*

To the north the A38 and M5 provide access to Gloucester as well as Cheltenham and Worcester and further afield towards Birmingham. There are current capacity issues at peak times at junctions 12, 13 and at 14 serving the south of the District within South Gloucestershire.

A2.113 The town of Stroud is accessible from these routes via the A419 which first passes through Stonehouse. The A419 between the M5 Junction 13 and Stroud currently experiences significant congestion and delays with Gloucestershire County Council considering proposals for improvements to address these issues¹³⁶. The road network through the Cotswolds AONB to the east is less developed consisting of a network of smaller A-roads, B-roads (most notably the A4173, A46 and portion of the A419 to the east of Stroud) and narrow country lanes many of which converge towards the larger settlements outside the AONB's boundaries at Stroud towards the north and Cam and Dursley to the south.

A2.114 The District is also served by a railway station at Cam and Dursley on the mainline between Bristol and Birmingham and railway stations at Stonehouse and Stroud linking to Birmingham and to the south via Swindon to the Great Western Mainline which runs westwards from London Paddington to Bristol Temple Meads.

A2.115 The medium term priorities for Network Rail in Gloucestershire include exploring effective approaches to station development and stopping patterns on the Bristol-Gloucester line, with options for improvements including the development of the existing Cam and Dursley station over the period 2019-2029. Potential new station(s) at Hunt's Grove and/or Stonehouse are to be investigated as long term priorities (i.e. beyond 2029)¹³⁷. The currently adopted Local Plan¹³⁸ (Site Allocations Policy SA4) safeguarded land for the provision of a potential future railway station at the Hunts Grove Extension. The adopted Local Plan (Site Allocations Policy SA2) also safeguarded land for a new railway station at Stonehouse Bristol Road.

Figure A2.9: Transport Links at the end of this chapter shows the main transport links in the District.

A2.116 The Local Plan identifies a number of existing cycle routes for protection from harmful development:

- The Eastington to Chalford cycle route.
- The Eastington to Nailsworth cycle route.
- The Cam and Dursley cycle route (and any proposed future extension to Uley).
- The National Cycle Network Route 41 (Bristol to Stratford) and Route 45 (Salisbury to Chester) which cross the District and connecting routes to and from the Stroud Valleys Pedestrian Cycle Trail and the Cam and Dursley cycle route.

A2.117 The District is currently served by a network of Public Rights of Way (PRoWs) which provide access to the Cotswolds AONB to the east. The National Trail Cotswold Way which passes along the western edge of the AONB begins at Bath before running into the southern portion of Gloucestershire by Wotton-under-Edge. It provides access by foot to Cam and Dursley and Stonehouse. Stonehouse is one of the few locations can easily be accessed by public transport in the District meaning that it is potentially an important link for tourists making use of this route. The Cotswolds Way passes in close proximity to Cheltenham to the north of the District before finishing at Chipping Campden. A dense network of footpaths and bridleways also provide access by alternative modes of transport beyond this route.

A2.118 The length of the Stroudwater Navigation is accessible to the public, providing walking and cycling routes along the towpath apart from at two locations. At present a one mile section between Westfield Bridge and Bristol Road Wharf by the M5 motorway and one other much shorter section by the River Severn at Framilode Swing Bridge do not provide access to such routes. The section of the canal by the M5 motorway is currently subject to plans to be reinstated which would include the provision of a new surfaced towpath.

¹³⁶ Gloucestershire County Council (August 2017) *Stonehouse A419 Improvements Full Business Case*

¹³⁷ Gloucestershire County Council (June 2016) *Gloucestershire's Local Transport Plan 2015-2031 PD 5 - Rail*

¹³⁸ Stroud District Council (November 2015) *Stroud District Local Plan*

- A2.119 The strategy for transport provision within the District is set out through Gloucestershire’s Local Transport Plan 2015-31 with Gloucestershire County Council acting as the local transport authority. Important development proposals for Stroud (some of which have confirmed funding to proceed) set out in the Local Transport Plan include improvements to the A419 corridor and Berkeley bridges at the A38. Gloucestershire County Council is to produce a Local Cycle and Walking Investment Strategy in roll out phases with phase 2 to cover Stroud and Tewkesbury. Strategic Cycle Highway improvements in the County are targeted for the M5 Growth Zone and are eventually to link Gloucester to Stroud¹³⁹. Specific locations within Stroud town which would benefit from improvements for cycle access include the town centre and Cainscross roundabout¹⁴⁰.
- A2.120 Within Gloucestershire approximately 17% of households do not own a car. This is significantly lower than the national average of 26%. At the county level, however, the percentage of those who cycle to work is 4.5% which is above the national average of 2%. It is also reported that across the county much of the population are located within 5km of services, employment opportunities and education which would be accessible by bicycle.
- A2.121 Stroud District Council has recently announced plans to invest in two cycling and walking projects: A cycle track linking Uley, Dursley and Cam with the Cam and Dursley railway station. The Cam, Dursley and Uley Greenway cycle route is currently being worked on by volunteers; and a cycle track from Sustrans national network 41 to Stonehouse Wharf, Ebley, Stroud, Thrupp and Brimscombe Port. The path will also have sections leading to Stonehouse, Stonehouse railway station, Stroud railway station and Nailsworth.
- A2.122 Specific emerging pressures within the Cotswolds AONB relate to increasing traffic volume and vehicle sizes which result in greater air and noise pollution as well as detrimental impacts on tranquillity, roadside verges, drainage. The AONB also faces potential pressures from non-motorised users travelling on foot, by bike or on horse. The good level of access to the AONB from nearby railway stations and international airports of Bristol, Birmingham and Heathrow as well as from military airports of Fairford and Brize Norton and the more local Gloucestershire, Oxfordshire and Cotswolds airports are likely to continue to have both positive and negative impacts on the AONB¹⁴¹.

Tourism

- A2.123 In Stroud District, business rate figures show that £18,870,666 of income is generated through the service sector. This includes revenue from campsites and hotels as well as licensed premises, markets, restaurants, shops, museums, clubs and community and sports facilities and represents 28% of the total revenue for the District.
- A2.124 Across Gloucestershire, the total visitor related spend for tourists in 2016 was £1,112,779,000. The figure for Stroud District was £144,246,000 for the same period. The estimated number of jobs supported by the tourist trade in the District was 3,057 which accounted for 5% of all employment in Stroud. Day visits in the District were split fairly evenly between both countryside visits (1,381,000) and urban visits (1,335,000) demonstrating the variety of attractions in Stroud. Stroud was, however, the lowest performing of the local authority areas in Gloucestershire County during this period of time in relation to both domestic spend and overseas spend, with the Cotswolds performing mostly strongly in relation to both of these measures as shown below in **Table A2.8**¹⁴².

Table A2.8: Stroud - Staying visits in the Gloucestershire County context

Area	Domestic trips (000’s)	Overseas trips (000’s)	Domestic spend (millions)	Overseas spend (millions)
------	---------------------------	---------------------------	------------------------------	------------------------------

¹³⁹ Gloucestershire County Council (December 2017) *Local Transport Plan Implementation Report 2017*

¹⁴⁰ Gloucestershire County Council (June 2016) *Gloucestershire’s Local Transport Plan 2015-2031 Gloucestershire’s Cycle Network*

¹⁴¹ Cotswolds Conservation Board (February 2018) *Cotswolds AONB Management Plan 2018-2023 2nd Draft for Consultation*

¹⁴² South West Research Company on behalf of Cotswold District Council (January 2018) *The Economic Impact of Gloucestershire’s Visitor Economy 2016*

Cheltenham	316	51	£57	£20
Cotswold	504	69	£105	£33
Forest of Dean	254	40	£44	£20
Gloucester	294	48	£50	£18
Stroud	232	37	£36	£14
Tewkesbury	276	42	£50	£16

A2.125 Stroud District Council recognises the importance of the Cotswolds brand as a draw for tourists given its international recognition and reputation. As such, considering that it forms part of the Cotswolds AONB, the District aims to strengthen its position within the Cotswolds for tourism marketing purposes so that it remains an integral part of the tourism offer for the wider area. Research suggests the Cotswolds could be considered a destination for older visitors meaning that there is potentially future need to consider whether the area's core markets should be concentrated on this category of visitor or whether there is requirement to adopt a strategy to promote the District to a younger audience¹⁴³.

A2.126 The updated challenges which town centres in the District face partially reflecting the national trend of the increased importance of e-retail impacts means there are likely to be evolving town centre roles within the District with emerging opportunities for leisure uses and tourism. The Stroud Town Centre Neighbourhood Development Plan 2015 – 2035¹⁴⁴ identifies the strong traditional market presence, independent shops, cafes and cultural street life and festivals as current strengths and potential opportunities to be built upon for the town centre. A rise in leisure uses has been discernible at all of the town centres in the District up to the end of 2016 most notably at Dursley, Wotton-under-Edge and Stonehouse¹⁴⁵. The potential for future tourism growth at Dursley and Wotton-under-Edge is recognised by the Council through the Local Plan Review Issues and Options paper¹⁴⁶. These towns benefit from attractive landscape setting and sit on the Cotswolds Way and as a southern historic gateway to the Cotswolds respectively.

¹⁴³ Stroud District Council (March 2017) *Community Services And Licensing Committee Information Sheet: Tourism Update*

¹⁴⁴ Stroud Town Council (October 2016) *Stroud Town Centre Neighbourhood Development Plan 2015 – 2035*

¹⁴⁵ Stroud District Council (February 2017) *Environment Committee Agenda Paper: Future of Town Centres Stroud, Nailsworth, Stonehouse, Dursley, Wotton Under Edge*

¹⁴⁶ Stroud District Council (September 2017) *Stroud District Local Plan Review: Issues and Options Paper*

Figure A2.1: Recreation

Figure A2.2: Landscape Features

Figure A2.3: Biodiversity Designations

Figure A2.4: Geodiversity Sites

Figure A2.5: Heritage Assets

Figure A2.6: Water Quality

Figure A2.7: Hydrology

Figure A2.8: Land Classification

Figure A2.9: Transport Links

Appendix 3

SA Matrices for the Policy Options

Table A3.1: SA matrix for the Future Growth Strategy Options for Stroud Local Plan

SA Objective	Option 1: Concentrated development	Option 2: Wider distribution	Option 3: Dispersal	Option 4: Focus on a single growth point	Justification
SA 1: To provide affordable, sustainable and decent housing to meet local needs.	++	++/-	++/-	++	<p>The effects of the distribution of new development within the district on ensuring that the housing stock meets the needs of local people will be mainly determined by the amount and type of housing that is developed and the proportion that is affordable. The housing requirement for Stroud District is assumed to be the government figure of 12,700 dwellings for the 20 year period 2016-2036 with a residual requirement set at approximately 5,500 dwellings taking completions, commitments (i.e. sites with planning permission and under construction or sites subject to resolutions to grant permission) and allocations into consideration. Duty to cooperate means that there may be a requirement for development in Stroud (particularly towards the Gloucester fringe) to meet Gloucester’s future need, however some sites which were originally considered for inclusion to meet Stroud’s need, which could more appropriately meet Gloucester’s future need have been removed from the four options, as this consideration is a separate process from deciding upon the strategy for growth to meet Stroud’s need.</p> <p>Option 1: This option would provide 5,550 new homes over the plan period mainly at Tier 1 settlements thereby meeting the housing requirement for Stroud up to 2036. Housing development focussed mainly at a smaller number of concentrated locations will allow for a large proportion of this development to proceed at larger sites. It is expected that this approach would help to support the delivery of affordable housing at such sites given that viability would be less likely to be a significant obstacle particularly when compared to development which might be spread across a higher number of smaller sites at rural locations. A significant positive effect is therefore expected in relation to this SA objective.</p> <p>Option 2: This option would provide 5,520 new homes over the plan period mainly at Tier 1 and 2 settlements thereby meeting the housing requirement for Stroud up to 2036. This approach would result in a wider distribution of housing development mainly between small and medium sites with some sites to provide up to a maximum of approximately 800 homes. It is expected that this approach may result in some obstacles relating to viability emerging with regard to the provision of affordable housing particularly at smaller housing sites. It should, however, be noted that the inclusion of a higher number of small and medium housing sites through this option may facilitate the more rapid building-out of sites to maintain local housing supply. A mixed overall effect</p>

SA Objective	Option 1: Concentrated development	Option 2: Wider distribution	Option 3: Dispersal	Option 4: Focus on a single growth point	Justification
					<p>(significant positive/ minor negative) is therefore expected on this SA objective.</p> <p>Option 3: This option would provide 5,695 new homes over the plan period mainly across Tier 1, 2 and 3 settlements with further development dispersed across Tier 4 and 5 settlements thereby meeting the housing requirement for Stroud up to 2036. The lack of suitable sites at smaller villages means that this approach requires the shortfall to be met through approximately 2,000 new homes being delivered at a new growth point to the south of Sharpness however beyond this the approach allows for a greater dispersal of development with medium and smaller sites being of increased importance in terms of delivering growth. As such this option may present obstacles in terms of viability of affordable housing particularly considering the emphasis the approach places on housing at smaller sites. It should, however, be noted that the inclusion of a higher number of small and medium housing sites through this option may facilitate the more rapid building-out of sites to maintain local housing supply. A mixed overall effect (significant positive/ minor negative) is therefore expected on this SA objective.</p> <p>Option 4: This option would provide 6,010 new homes over the plan period mainly at new growth points in the district thereby meeting the housing requirement for Stroud up to 2036. This approach would result in very large sites accommodating the vast majority of new housing development. It is expected that this approach would help to support the delivery of affordable housing at such sites given that viability would be less likely to be a significant obstacle particularly when compared to development which might be spread across a higher number of smaller sites at rural locations. A significant positive effect is therefore expected in relation to this SA objective</p>
SA 2: To maintain and improve the community's health with accessible healthcare for residents, including increasing levels of physical activity,	++/-	+/-	+/-	++/- -?	<p>Option 1: By providing new residential development mainly at Tier 1 settlements in the district it is likely that this approach would result in new residents having a good level of access to existing health care facilities and other facilities such as sports facilities and open spaces which could help to improve public health. The provision of the majority of new development in and around the edges of the district's large settlements which allow for access to existing services and facilities may also encourage new residents to undertake journeys by more active modes of transport. Furthermore concentrating a high level of development at larger sites would likely help to support the funding of new infrastructure through S106/CIL to the benefit of health. However, directing a high level of development to the large settlements would also compound access issues for people in rural areas, and</p>

SA Objective	Option 1: Concentrated development	Option 2: Wider distribution	Option 3: Dispersal	Option 4: Focus on a single growth point	Justification
especially among the young.					<p>would mean that potential opportunities to stimulate the provision of new facilities (including healthcare facilities) in those areas are lost. Overall a mixed effect (significant positive/ minor negative) is expected in relation to this SA objective.</p> <p>Option 2: Allowing for a more widely distributed pattern of development at the towns and villages of the district across smaller and some medium sized sites is less likely to provide a majority of new residents with a good level of access to larger, existing district-level health care facilities and other facilities such as sports facilities and open spaces which could help to improve public health. A more distributed pattern of development is also expected to be less likely to encourage journeys to be undertaken by more active modes of transport given the longer distances which are likely to be involved for daily journeys to employment sites and services and facilities. Smaller and medium sized sites would also be less likely to support the provision of new facilities through S106/CIL which might otherwise be provided to the benefit of health. This approach may however help to support the stimulation of existing and provision of new facilities (including healthcare facilities) in rural areas of the district to the benefit of residents at these locations. Overall a mixed effect (minor positive/ minor negative) is expected in relation to this SA objective.</p> <p>Option 3: By providing a more dispersed pattern of development across Tier 1, 2 and 3 settlements with further development across Tier 4 and 5 settlements and a significant level of development also to be provided at a new growth point to the south of Sharpness it is expected that many new residents would not be provided with immediate access to existing healthcare facilities. Furthermore new residents at rural villages would not be located within close proximity of other essential services and facilities and therefore would be unlikely to undertake journeys by more active modes of transport. The delivery of much of the development across a number of smaller sites is less likely to result in S106/CIL coming forward to deliver significant levels of new infrastructure which might support health and well-being in the district. It is expected that the large site required to deliver the higher level of development at the new growth point by Sharpness would however be capable of supporting new facilities and services and as such could help to promote health and well-being at this location. Travel by active modes of transport may also be encouraged at this location given that it will be a mixed-use development offering employment opportunities in close proximity to residential areas. Overall a mixed effect (minor positive/ significant negative) is expected in relation to this SA objective.</p>

SA Objective	Option 1: Concentrated development	Option 2: Wider distribution	Option 3: Dispersal	Option 4: Focus on a single growth point	Justification
					<p>Option 4: By providing development at new growth points in the district this approach would result in very large sites being used to accommodate the vast majority of new development in the district. New development at Hardwicke would be located within the South Gloucester Fringe and a significant portion of the new development would be in close proximity to the north of Cam. As such new residents would be provided with a good level of access to existing health care facilities and other facilities such as sports facilities and open spaces at these locations, which could help to improve public health. It is however noted that the high level of development to be concentrated at either location may result in current services and facilities at the settlements' edge being overwhelmed. However, the potential pressure on existing services and facilities in the Gloucester Fringe and at Cam will be dependent on how and at what stage these new services and facilities are provided at the new growth points near these settlements. Those new residents at the new growth point to the south of Sharpness would not be provided with immediate access to a high level of existing services and facilities, however it is expected that the size of each growth point and the level of development to be delivered would support the delivery of the new services and facilities in these locations. Furthermore the level of growth supported through this option is likely to allow for funding for new infrastructure to be secured through S016/CIL and for the delivery of mixed-use developments. As such new residents at the growth point locations are likely to be located in close proximity to employment opportunities and new services and facilities which may help to encourage journeys to be undertaken by more active modes of transport. However, directing a high level of development to just three areas in the district would compound access issues for people in rural areas, and would mean that potential opportunities to stimulate the provision of new facilities (including healthcare facilities) in those areas are lost. Overall a mixed effect (significant positive/ uncertain significant negative) is expected in relation to this SA objective.</p>
SA 3: To encourage social inclusion, equity, the promotion of equality and a respect for diversity and meet the	++/-	+/-	+/--	++/- -?	<p>Option 1: By providing new residential development mainly by Tier 1 settlements in the district it is likely that this approach would result in all new residents (including older people and people with accessibility issues) having a good level of access to existing community services. Furthermore concentrating a high level of development at larger sites would likely help to support the funding of new infrastructure including community facilities and service to the benefit the wider population through S106/CIL. However, directing a high level of development to the large settlements would also compound access issues for people in rural areas, and would mean that potential opportunities to stimulate the provision of new facilities (including community services) in</p>

SA Objective	Option 1: Concentrated development	Option 2: Wider distribution	Option 3: Dispersal	Option 4: Focus on a single growth point	Justification
challenge of a growing and ageing population					<p>those areas are lost. Overall a mixed effect (significant positive/ minor negative) is expected in relation to this SA objective.</p> <p>Option 2: Allowing for a more widely distributed pattern of development at the towns and villages of the district across smaller and some medium sized sites is less likely to provide a majority of new residents with access to the larger, existing district-level community services. Smaller and medium sized sites would also be less likely to support the provision of new facilities through S016/CIL which might otherwise be to the benefit of social inclusion. This approach may however help to support the provision of new and stimulation of existing community services in more rural areas of the district to the benefit of residents at these locations. Overall a mixed effect (minor positive/ minor negative) is expected in relation to this SA objective.</p> <p>Option 3: By providing a more dispersed pattern of development across Tier 1, 2 and 3 settlements with further development across Tier 4 and 5 settlements and a significant level of development also to be provided at a new growth point to the south of Sharpness it is expected that many new residents would not be provided with immediate access to existing community services. The delivery of much of the development across a number of smaller sites is also less likely to result in S106/CIL coming forward to deliver significant levels of new infrastructure which might include new community services. It is expected that the large site required to deliver the higher level of development at the new growth point by Sharpness would however be capable of supporting new community services and facilities which is likely to be of particular benefit at this location to older people and people who might otherwise have problems travelling to access these types of facilities. Overall a mixed effect (minor positive/ significant negative) is expected in relation to this SA objective.</p> <p>Option 4: By providing development at new growth points this approach would result in very large sites being used to accommodate the majority of new development in the district. New development at Hardwicke would be located within the South Gloucester Fringe and a significant portion of the new development would be in close proximity to the north of Cam. As such, new residents would be provided with a good level of access to existing community services which would be of particular benefit to older people and people who might have issues travelling to access facilities and services. It is however noted that the high level of development to be concentrated at either location may result in current services and facilities at the settlements' edge being overwhelmed. However, the potential pressure on existing services and facilities in the Gloucester Fringe and at</p>

SA Objective	Option 1: Concentrated development	Option 2: Wider distribution	Option 3: Dispersal	Option 4: Focus on a single growth point	Justification
					<p>Cam will be dependent on how and at what stage these new services and facilities are provided at the new growth points by these settlements. New residents at the new growth point to the south of Sharpness would not be provided with immediate access to a high level of existing community services, however it is expected that the size of each growth point and the level of development to be delivered would support the delivery of the new community facilities at these locations. Overall, the level of growth supported by this option is likely to allow for funding to be secured through S106/CIL and for the delivery of mixed-use development, including new community facilities and services at the growth point locations. However, directing a high level of development to just three areas in the district would compound access issues for people (including older people) in rural areas, and would mean that potential opportunities to stimulate the provision of new facilities (including community facilities) in those areas are lost. Overall a mixed effect (significant positive/ uncertain significant negative) is expected in relation to this SA objective.</p>
SA 4: To reduce crime, anti-social behaviour and disorder and the fear of crime.	0	0	0	0	<p>The distribution of development within Stroud District will not have a direct effect on this SA objective. Effects will be determined by the design of new development rather than the overall quantum and spatial distribution of growth over the plan period.</p>
SA 5: To create and sustain vibrant communities.	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	<p>Option 1: By providing new development mainly by Tier 1 settlements in the district it is likely that this approach will help to enhance the vitality and viability of such centres, to regenerate these areas and improve their liveability. Delivering a high level of development at larger sites in the district may also help to incorporate a higher level of new infrastructure, services and facilities (including for cultural activities) given the increased potential to secure funding through S106/CIL. Amenity issues relating to noise and light pollution associated with construction of new development would be concentrated near the Tier 1 settlements and limited in rural locations. While this approach may help to safeguard the identity of rural communities as less development will take place at the rural villages, it would not help to enhance them as regeneration opportunities would be less likely to come forward at these locations. Overall a mixed effect (minor positive/ minor negative) is therefore expected in relation to this SA objective.</p>

SA Objective	Option 1: Concentrated development	Option 2: Wider distribution	Option 3: Dispersal	Option 4: Focus on a single growth point	Justification
					<p>Option 2: Allowing for a more widely distributed pattern of development at the Tier 1 and 2 settlements of the district will help to enhance the vitality and viability of a larger number of smaller centres in the district and also will help to regenerate these areas and improve their liveability. It is however expected that spreading development across smaller and some medium sized sites would present fewer opportunities for the delivery of new infrastructure, services and facilities (including for cultural activities) through S106/CIL and this may hinder the progress of regeneration at larger settlements. Delivering a higher level of development at the more rural Tier 2 settlements of the district is likely to result in amenity issues relating to noise and light pollution associated with construction of new development in these locations. While this option may help to safeguard the identity of rural communities as less development will take place at the rural villages, it would not help to enhance them as regeneration opportunities would be less likely to come forward at these locations. Overall a mixed effect (minor positive/ minor negative) is therefore expected in relation to this SA objective.</p> <p>Option 3: This option would provide a more dispersed pattern of development across Tier 1, 2 and 3 settlements with further development across Tier 4 and 5 settlements and a significant level of development also to be provided at a new growth point to the south of Sharpness. This approach could be of benefit to the vitality and viability, liveability of the more rural villages in the district as new development could help to promote regeneration and enhancement of community identity in these villages. The delivery of much of the development across a number of smaller sites is however less likely to result in S106/CIL funding coming forward to deliver significant levels of new infrastructure, services and facilities (including for cultural activities) which may impede the regeneration of the wider district. Furthermore delivering a significant proportion of development across the more rural villages of the district could affect the identity of rural communities and is more likely to result in amenity issues relating to noise and light pollution associated with construction of new development in these locations. Overall a mixed effect (minor positive/ minor negative) is therefore expected in relation to this SA objective.</p> <p>Option 4: By providing development at new growth points this approach would result in very large sites at three new growth points being used to accommodate the vast majority of new development in the district. Therefore any benefits to the vitality and viability, liveability of existing settlements in the district would be limited to those in proximity to the north of Cam and Sharpness growth points, and would not help to serve regeneration targets at rural villages or other large settlements in the district. The high level of new development to be provided at</p>

SA Objective	Option 1: Concentrated development	Option 2: Wider distribution	Option 3: Dispersal	Option 4: Focus on a single growth point	Justification
					<p>each location is however likely to support the delivery of new infrastructure, services and facilities (including for cultural activities) through S106/CIL funding and will also help to provide a sense of community at the large sites at these locations. Amenity issues relating to noise and light pollution associated with construction of new development would be concentrated at the new locations and therefore less likely to affect existing settlements within the district. While this option may help to safeguard the identity of rural communities as less development will take place at the rural villages, it would not help to enhance them as regeneration opportunities would be less likely to come forward at these locations. Overall a mixed effect (minor positive/ minor negative) is therefore expected in relation to this SA objective.</p>
<p>SA 6: To maintain and improve access to all services and facilities.</p>	<p>++/-</p>	<p>++/-</p>	<p>+/--</p>	<p>++/-</p>	<p>Option 1: By providing new residential development mainly by Tier 1 settlements in the district it is likely that this approach would result in all new residents having a good level of access to existing services and facilities. It would also likely encourage travel to the larger town centres of the district thereby helping to ensure their vitality and viability. Furthermore concentrating a high level of development at larger sites would likely help to support the funding of new infrastructure including services and facilities to the benefit the wider population through S106/CIL. However, directing a high level of development to the large settlements would also compound access issues for people in rural areas, and would mean that potential opportunities to stimulate the provision of new services and facilities in those areas are lost. Overall a mixed effect (significant positive/ minor negative) is expected in relation to this SA objective.</p> <p>Option 2: Allowing for a more widely distributed pattern of development at the towns and villages of the district across smaller and some medium sized sites is less likely to provide a majority of new residents with access to the larger, existing district-level services and facilities. Smaller and medium sites would also be less likely to support the provision of new services and facilities through S106/CIL. This approach is expected to be of particular benefit in terms of protecting the vitality and viability the existing centres in the district including such as Minchinhampton, Wotton under Edge and Berkeley which are beyond the Tier 1 settlements. It is expected that this approach would also help support the provision of new and stimulation of existing services and facilities in some of the settlements in more rural areas of the district to the benefit of residents at these locations. Overall a mixed effect (significant positive/ minor negative) is expected in relation to this SA objective.</p> <p>Option 3: By providing a more dispersed pattern of development across Tier 1, 2 and 3 settlements with further</p>

SA Objective	Option 1: Concentrated development	Option 2: Wider distribution	Option 3: Dispersal	Option 4: Focus on a single growth point	Justification
					<p>development across Tier 4 and 5 settlements and a significant level of development also to be provided at a new growth point to the south of Sharpness it is expected that many new residents would not be provided with immediate access to existing services and facilities. It is also expected that providing for a more dispersed pattern of development would not help to support the vitality and viability of town centres in the district. The spread of new development at the smaller villages of the district is unlikely to result in the creation of critical mass to draw in footfall on a regular basis. The delivery of much of the development across a number of smaller sites is also less likely to result in S106/CIL coming forward to deliver significant levels of new infrastructure which might include new services and facilities. It is however expected that the large site required to deliver the higher level of development at the new growth point by Sharpness would be capable of supporting new services and facilities. Depending on how the development came forward at this location it might allow for a new viable town or district centre. Overall a mixed effect (minor positive/ significant negative) is expected in relation to this SA objective.</p> <p>Option 4: By providing development at new growth points in the district this approach would result in very large sites being used to accommodate the vast majority of new development in the district. New development at Hardwicke would be located within the South Gloucester Fringe and a significant portion of new development would also be in close proximity to the north of Cam. As such new residents would be provided with a good level of access to existing services and facilities. It is however noted that the high level of development to be concentrated at either location may result in current services and facilities at the settlements' edge being overwhelmed. The potential pressure on existing services and facilities in the Gloucester Fringe and at Cam will be dependent on how and at what stage these new services and facilities are provided at the new growth points by these settlements. Those new residents at the new growth point to the south of Sharpness would not be provided with immediate access to a high level of existing services and facilities. However, it is expected that the level of development at each growth point to be delivered would support compact, mixed-use development and the delivery of new services and facilities through S106/CIL funding. The manner in which the new development is provided at the new growth point by Sharpness may also allow for a new viable town or district centre in the district. However, directing all development to three new growth points would compound access issues for people in rural areas, and would mean that potential opportunities to stimulate the provision of new services and facilities in those areas are lost. Overall a mixed effect (significant positive/ minor negative) is expected in</p>

SA Objective	Option 1: Concentrated development	Option 2: Wider distribution	Option 3: Dispersal	Option 4: Focus on a single growth point	Justification
					relation to this SA objective.
SA 7: To create, protect, enhance, restore and connect habitats, species and/or sites of biodiversity or geological interest.	-?	--?	--?	--?	<p>The effects of development on this SA objective will depend more on the specific location of the new development in relation to areas of biodiversity and geodiversity value with respect to sites of known biodiversity value, whereas these options include broad locations for growth rather than specific sites. Therefore, proximity to specific biodiversity/geodiversity sites has been considered in the region of the broad locations, but all effects are uncertain as they will depend on the final specific locations for new development, as well as the design of new development which may have opportunities for positive effects if it includes retention or creation of green infrastructure. The effects on ecological networks, including supporting and connecting habitats, and non-designated sites and species, are difficult to predict at the strategic level.</p> <p>Option 1: By providing new growth in the district mainly by Tier 1 settlements and focussing much of the development by the main transport links towards the west this approach would limit the level of development delivered in close proximity to the Severn Estuary SPA, SAC and Ramsar site. The provision of approximately 400 new homes by Stroud could however result in environmental pressures resulting on Rodborough Common which has been designated as a SSSI and SAC and also contains Rodborough Common Local Geological Site to the south of the settlement. There is also potential for adverse effects to result at Cotswold Commons and Beechwoods National Nature Reserve (parts of which have been designated as a SAC) due to the provision of new development by Brockworth although this is noted to be a low level of growth. Furthermore while focussing new development in and around the larger settlements might encourage the use of brownfield land, the high level of new development required in the district over the plan period is likely to result in the loss of large areas of greenfield land which otherwise may provide habitats and support habitat connectivity in the district. Overall a minor negative effect is expected in relation to this SA objective. The effect is currently uncertain, depending on the design and specific location of development.</p> <p>Option 2: Allowing for a more widely distributed pattern of development at the towns and villages of the district across smaller and some medium sized sites would involve the delivery of new development in proximity to a number of sensitive sites. The provision of a higher level of development by the settlements of Minchinhampton and Nailsworth may result in further adverse impacts on Rodborough Common which has been designated as a SSSI and SAC and also contains Rodborough Common Local Geological Site as well as Woodchester Park SSSI</p>

SA Objective	Option 1: Concentrated development	Option 2: Wider distribution	Option 3: Dispersal	Option 4: Focus on a single growth point	Justification
					<p>and Minchinhampton Common SSSI. The relatively high level of development at Wotton under Edge may also result in detrimental impacts on Wotton Hill and Coombe Hill SSSI. There is also potential for adverse effects to result at Cotswold Commons and Beechwoods National Nature Reserve (parts of which have been designated as a SAC) due to the provision of new development by Brockworth although this is noted to be a low level of growth. This approach would allow for growth in close proximity to the Severn Estuary SPA, SAC and Ramsar site at Berkeley and Frampton respectively, however this is also a low level of growth. Furthermore while focussing a portion of new development in and around some of the larger towns and villages might encourage the use of brownfield land, the high level of new development required in the district over the plan period is likely to result in the loss of large areas of greenfield land which otherwise may provide habitats and support habitat connectivity in the district. Overall a significant negative effect is expected in relation to this SA objective. The effect is currently uncertain, depending on the design and specific location of development.</p> <p>Option 3: This option would provide for a more dispersed pattern of development across Tier 1, 2 and 3 settlements with further development across Tier 4 and 5 settlements and a significant level of development also to be provided at a new growth point to the south of Sharpness. Dispersal of development could result in adverse impacts on a number of designated sites: Rodborough Common SSSI and SAC; Rodborough Common Local Geological Site; Woodchester Park SSSI; and Minchinhampton Common SSSI. While development at Wotton under Edge would occur through this option it is noted to be small scale and therefore the potential for detrimental impacts on Wotton Hill and Coombe Hill SSSI is likely to be reduced. There is also potential for adverse effects to result at Cotswold Commons and Beechwoods National Nature Reserve (parts of which have been designated as a SAC) due to the provision of new development by Brockworth although this is also small scale. This option would allow for a dispersal of growth in close proximity to the sensitive Severn Estuary SPA, SAC and Ramsar site, most notably at the new growth point by Sharpness, where a high level of development is proposed. In addition to potential effects on designated sites, the greater dispersal of development and the new development to be provided at a new growth point is likely to result in the loss of large areas of greenfield land which otherwise may provide habitats and support habitat connectivity in the district. Overall a significant negative effect is expected in relation to this SA objective. The effect is currently uncertain, depending on the design and specific location of development.</p> <p>Option 4: This option would provide development mostly at new growth points in the district incorporating the</p>

SA Objective	Option 1: Concentrated development	Option 2: Wider distribution	Option 3: Dispersal	Option 4: Focus on a single growth point	Justification
					<p>use of three very large sites to accommodate the vast majority of new development. As such the option would prevent the vast majority of new development having adverse impacts on important environmentally designated sites in the east of the district such as Rodborough Common SSSI and SAC and Cotswold Commons and Beechwoods National Nature Reserve (parts of which have been designated as a SAC). The approach would however deliver a high level of new growth to the south of Sharpness which is located within close proximity to the sensitive Severn Estuary SPA, SAC and Ramsar site. Therefore, there could be some adverse impacts on these internationally designated sites. Furthermore it is expected that the new development to be provided at new growth points is likely to result in the loss of large areas of greenfield land which otherwise may provide habitats and support habitat connectivity in the district. Overall a significant negative effect is expected in relation to this SA objective. The effect is currently uncertain, depending on the design and specific location of development.</p>
<p>SA 8: To conserve and enhance the local character and distinctiveness of landscapes and townscapes and provide sustainable access to countryside in the District.</p>	-?	--?	--?	--?	<p>Option 1: Providing new growth in the district mainly by Tier 1 settlements and minimising the extent of development in rural areas may help to preserve the character of villages and the quality of rural landscapes in the district. It would also help to minimise the potential for development to occur at locations which would impact upon the setting of the Cotswolds AONB. Furthermore this option would result in a high proportion of the new development occurring by settlements (Stonehouse, Hardwicke and Cam) which have been identified through the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken to support the Council’s SALA as having lower sensitivity to development. However, this approach would still result in a high level of new development being delivered at large greenfield sites. Therefore, a minor negative effect is identified for this SA objective, although this is uncertain depending on the specific location of development in relation to the areas of highest landscape sensitivity. Effects will also depend on the design of the development and the incorporation of mitigation measures.</p> <p>Option 2: Allowing for a more widely distributed pattern of development at the larger towns and villages of the district across smaller and some medium sized sites is less likely to help preserve the character of villages in particular. This approach would still result in a significant proportion of new development occurring by the larger towns (notably Stonehouse, Hardwicke and Cam) towards the west of the district where adverse impacts in terms of landscape sensitivity would be less likely to occur. However, it would also allow for development to the</p>

SA Objective	Option 1: Concentrated development	Option 2: Wider distribution	Option 3: Dispersal	Option 4: Focus on a single growth point	Justification
					<p>east of the district at villages which lie within or in close proximity to the Cotswolds AONB including Minchinhampton, Nailsworth and Wotton under Edge. As such there is potential for greater impacts to result on the existing character of rural villages in the district as well as on the setting of the AONB. This approach would also result in a high level of new development being delivered at greenfield sites. Therefore, a significant negative effect is identified for this SA objective, although this is uncertain depending on the specific location of development in relation to the areas of highest landscape sensitivity. Effects will also depend on the design of the development and the incorporation of mitigation measures.</p> <p>Option 3: Allowing for a dispersed pattern of development at the towns and villages of the district may allow for a more 'organic' pattern of growth which the District has historically experienced. However, this approach, which would result in most villages accommodating at least one small to medium site, is considered to be out of line with a plan-led approach which otherwise would help preserve the character of villages as well as that of the wider countryside. This approach might also lead to the merging of some smaller settlements depending on specific development locations. While this option would deliver some development by the larger settlements, significant levels of development would be spread throughout the rest of the district including a high number of locations towards the east which lie within the Cotswolds AONB. This option would also incorporate a new growth point to the south of Sharpness which would result in the development of large area of greenfield land. As such this option would result in a significantly lower level of development taking place at settlements identified as having lower sensitivity to development dispersing development to more sensitive locations such as the AONB as well as resulting in the loss of large areas of greenfield land particularly at the growth point by Sharpness. Therefore, a significant negative effect is identified for this SA objective, although this is uncertain depending on the specific location of development in relation to the areas of highest landscape sensitivity. Effects will also depend on the design of the development and the incorporation of mitigation measures.</p> <p>Option 4: Delivering high levels of new development at very large sites at three growth points in the district is likely to help prevent the adverse impacts from occurring on the character of existing settlements and quality of rural landscapes. There is also limited potential for development to occur at locations which would impact upon the setting of the Cotswolds AONB. This approach would provide a high proportion of the new development by settlements (Stonehouse, Hardwicke and Cam) which have been identified through the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken to support the Council's SALA as having lower sensitivity to development. However, the</p>

SA Objective	Option 1: Concentrated development	Option 2: Wider distribution	Option 3: Dispersal	Option 4: Focus on a single growth point	Justification
					<p>high level of development which would occur by these settlements may result in adverse impacts on the current character and townscapes of these settlements dependent on how this development is delivered considering the high level of growth required. Furthermore the incorporation of a new growth point to the south of Sharpness as part of this option would result in the development of large area of greenfield land. Overall a significant negative effect is identified for this SA objective, although this is uncertain depending on the specific location of development in relation to the areas of highest landscape sensitivity. Effects will also depend on the design of the development and the incorporation of mitigation measures.</p>
<p>SA 9: To conserve and/or enhance the significant qualities, fabric, setting and accessibility of the District's historic environment.</p>	<p>+?/-- ?</p>	<p>+?/-- ?</p>	<p>+?/- ?</p>	<p>+/-?</p>	<p>Option 1: Providing the majority of new development at Tier 1 settlements focussed mostly at a smaller number of concentrated locations will allow for a large proportion of this development to proceed at larger sites. This approach could help to preserve the historic character of the rural villages in the district. However, the effects of development will depend mainly on the specific location, rather than the broad distribution, in particularly the proximity of development to sensitive heritage features. There is a particularly high number of listed buildings within the settlements of Stroud, Stonehouse and Dursley in particular and this option would allow for high levels of development within and adjacent to these settlements. The Industrial Heritage Conservation Area also runs from west to east through the settlements of Stonehouse and Stroud and there is also potential for adverse impacts to occur on the setting of this heritage asset dependent upon the precise location of development and the design of any development which comes forward. This option would also allow for a high level of development by Hardwicke within the south Gloucester Fringe which has a lower concentration of heritage assets in the vicinity of the M5. The loss of greenfield land generally may have adverse impacts on undesignated archaeological features but may also offer opportunities to preserve and record them. It is also recognised that high quality new development may offer the opportunity to enhance the setting of nearby heritage features. Therefore, an overall mixed effect (minor positive/ significant negative) is expected in relation to this SA objective although this is uncertain depending on the design of development and its specific location.</p> <p>Option 2: Providing the majority of new development at Tier 1 and 2 settlements but allowing for a wider distribution of housing development mainly between small and medium sites would be of less benefit in terms of preserving the historic character of the rural villages in the district. However, the effects of development will depend mainly on the specific location, rather than the broad distribution, in particularly the proximity of</p>

SA Objective	Option 1: Concentrated development	Option 2: Wider distribution	Option 3: Dispersal	Option 4: Focus on a single growth point	Justification
					<p>development to sensitive heritage features. There is a high number of listed buildings within the settlements of Stroud, Stonehouse and Dursley in particular as well as at the Tier 2 settlements of Minchinhampton, Berkeley, Wotton-under-Edge, Nailsworth and Frampton and this option would allow for medium to high levels of development within an adjacent to these settlements. The Industrial Heritage Conservation Area also runs from west to east through the settlements of Stonehouse and Stroud and there is also potential for adverse impacts to occur on the setting of this heritage asset dependent upon the precise location of development and the design of any development which comes forward. This option would provide a reduced level of growth by Hardwicke within the south Gloucester Fringe which has a lower concentration of heritage assets towards the path of the M5. The loss of greenfield land generally may have adverse impacts on undesignated archaeological features but may also offer opportunities to preserve and record them. It is also recognised that high quality new development may offer the opportunity to enhance the setting of nearby heritage features. Therefore, an overall mixed effect (minor positive/ significant negative) is expected in relation to this SA objective although this is uncertain depending on the design of development and its specific location.</p> <p>Option 3: This approach would provide new growth mainly across Tier 1, 2 and 3 settlements with further development spread between Tier 4 and 5 settlements as well as at a new growth point to the south of Sharpness. As such it would result in a much more dispersed pattern of new development, meaning that smaller settlements at Tier 4 and Tier 5 would be required to accommodate higher levels of new development which may result in adverse effects on existing settlement patterns and the established rural setting of these locations. Significantly less development would be provided by Cam, Stonehouse and within the south Gloucester Fringe by Hardwicke. A large amount of the dispersed development would still result at locations which may have a relationship with the Industrial Heritage Conservation Area at the west-east corridor towards the central portion of the district. Tier 2 settlements of Minchinhampton, Berkeley, Wotton-under-Edge, Nailsworth and Frampton would however see lower levels of development due to the dispersal of development meaning that there would be greater potential for such development to be integrated at these smaller settlements without impacting on local character and adversely affecting the setting of the high number of heritage assets present. This however would be dependent upon the design and precise location of new development. While this option provides less development at the potentially less sensitive location of the Gloucester Fringe, the location by Sharpness also contains a reduced concentration of heritage assets. The loss of greenfield land generally may have adverse</p>

SA Objective	Option 1: Concentrated development	Option 2: Wider distribution	Option 3: Dispersal	Option 4: Focus on a single growth point	Justification
					<p>impacts on undesignated archaeological features but may also offer opportunities to preserve and record them. It is also recognised that high quality new development may offer the opportunity to enhance the setting of nearby heritage features. Therefore, an overall mixed effect (minor positive/minor negative) is expected in relation to this SA objective although this is uncertain depending on the design of development and its specific location.</p> <p>Option 4: This option would result in new growth in the district mainly occurring at three new growth points with very large sites accommodating the majority of new development. The new growth point locations would avoid providing high levels of new development at Stroud and Stonehouse as well as within the smaller rural villages. As such this approach would avoid providing new development in locations which contain higher concentrations of heritage assets (including the Industrial Heritage Conservation Area) and would also help to preserve the character of the rural villages. The locations of high levels of growth within the south Gloucester Fringe and by Sharpness in particular would help to make use of land which contains a lower concentration of heritage assets. The loss of greenfield land generally may have adverse impacts on undesignated archaeological features but may also offer opportunities to preserve and record them. It is also recognised that high quality new development may offer the opportunity to enhance the setting of nearby heritage features. Therefore, an overall mixed effect (minor positive/ minor negative) is expected in relation to this SA objective although this is uncertain.</p>
SA 10: To ensure that air quality continues to improve.	+	+/-	-	+/-	<p>The effects of the distribution of development within the district on ensuring ensure that air quality continues to improve will be mainly determined by the transport habits which it helps to encourage. Impacts on air quality are expected to be more positive where a greater decrease in journeys undertaken and modal shift is encouraged.</p> <p>Option 1: This option would deliver the majority of new development over the plan period in close proximity to Tier 1 settlements focussed mainly at a smaller number of locations. A large amount of the new development</p>

SA Objective	Option 1: Concentrated development	Option 2: Wider distribution	Option 3: Dispersal	Option 4: Focus on a single growth point	Justification
					<p>would be provided in close proximity to the southern Gloucester Fringe and the high level transport assessment work¹⁴⁷ undertaken by the council has identified this area as the most sustainable location in the district in terms of existing passenger transport services. In addition, new development along the transport corridor between Stonehouse and Stroud presents an opportunity to achieve a high level of self-containment in terms of travel (i.e. less out-commuting for work). This option would also provide a reduced level of development within the southern portion of the district which is more rural and dependent upon journeys by private car. Furthermore, as this option would provide a high level of new development at strategic sites there is greater potential to attract government funding to address the cumulative impacts of the development. Given that this approach would help to reduce the need to travel longer distances from rural locations and encourage modal shift in the district a minor positive effect is expected in relation to this SA objective.</p> <p>Option 2: This option would provide new development in a more widely distributed pattern mainly at Tier 1 and 2 settlements at smaller sites in the district. A degree of development would occur in close proximity to the southern Gloucester Fringe which the council has identified as the most sustainable location in the district in terms of existing passenger transport services through high level transport assessment work. Furthermore new development along the transport corridor between Stonehouse and Stroud presents an opportunity to achieve a high level of self-containment in terms of travel. New development within the rural south of district would be provided at and beyond the focus around Cam and Dursley where there is potential for rail improvements to be provided. As this option would result in a smaller number of large sites coming forward there would be reduced potential for government funding to address the cumulative impacts of the development. As such there may be a reliance upon S106/CIL which may raise issues to do with viability. This approach would result in elements of development proceeding at locations which might encourage modal shift but this will require a degree of investment in passenger transport options for which funding may prove difficult to secure. A mixed effect (minor positive/minor negative) is therefore expected on this SA objective.</p> <p>Option 3: This option would provide the majority of development across Tier 1, 2 and 3 settlements with further development dispersed across Tier 4 and 5 settlements and at the new growth point by Sharpness. Beyond the</p>

¹⁴⁷ Stroud Local Plan Review Strategy Options Transport Discussion Paper. Stroud District Council, June 2018.

SA Objective	Option 1: Concentrated development	Option 2: Wider distribution	Option 3: Dispersal	Option 4: Focus on a single growth point	Justification
					<p>new growth point, medium and smaller sites would provide for the majority of new development. This option would result in the lowest level of growth occurring within the south Gloucester Fringe which has been identified through the council's high level transport assessment work as the most sustainable location in the district in terms of existing passenger transport services. However, it would also provide new development along the transport corridor between Stonehouse and Stroud which presents an opportunity to achieve a high level of self-containment in terms of travel. The inclusion of the new growth point by Sharpness would result in impacts on connectivity issues by Berkeley which the transport assessment work has identified. In all the more dispersed approach to development is likely to be the least sustainable in terms of reliance on journeys by private car and the distribution of development across smaller sites is likely to mean improvements to support travel by sustainable modes is less likely to come forward from government funding. As such a minor negative effect is expected in relation to this SA objective.</p> <p>Option 4: This option would provide new development in the district at very large sites at three new growth points. A high level of the new development would be provided in close proximity to the southern Gloucester Fringe and the high level transport assessment work undertaken by the council has identified this area as the most sustainable location in the district in terms of existing passenger transport services. Furthermore while new development resulting in approximately 55% of new traffic generated would be provided in the more rural south of the district where existing travel patterns would see an increase in journeys by private car, there is potential for the development of new passenger transport services. The large scale of the sites which this option would involve means that the government funding could be attracted for transport mitigation schemes, however the cost of the mitigation package required would likely to be higher. A mixed effect (minor positive/minor negative) is therefore expected on this SA objective.</p>
SA 11: To maintain and enhance the quality of ground and surface waters and to achieve sustainable water	-	--	--	0	The potential for new development to impact water quality and sustainable water use in the district is dependent to an extent on design of new development and the provision of new infrastructure which is required to avoid increased pressure on waste water facilities and adverse effects of increased discharge from those facilities. At present no waste water issues have been identified for the district, with responsibility for treatment of waste water in Stroud outside of the responsibility of the District Council. The two main sewage treatment works for Gloucestershire are located outside of Stroud at Netheridge in Gloucester and Hayden to the south west of

SA Objective	Option 1: Concentrated development	Option 2: Wider distribution	Option 3: Dispersal	Option 4: Focus on a single growth point	Justification
resources management in the District.					<p>Cheltenham. Proximity of the broad locations for development to Drinking Water Safeguarding Zones has been taken into account.</p> <p>Option 1: This option would result in new development being provided within or in close proximity to the Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone (Surface Water) at Stroud and also around Cam and Stonehouse. Furthermore development provided at Dursley through this option may impact upon the Source Protection Zone at this location. As such while most of the development would be distributed within areas outside of Source Protection Zones in the district it is likely to impact upon other designations relating to the protection of water quality. A minor negative effect is therefore expected in relation to this SA objective.</p> <p>Option 2: This option would result in new development being provided within or in close proximity to the Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone (Surface Water) by Stroud, by Cam and also around Stonehouse. Furthermore development provided at Dursley, Minchinhampton, Brimscombe and Nailsworth through this option may impact upon the Source Protection Zone designated across these locations. As such portions of development would be distributed within or in close proximity to areas in Source Protection Zones in the district through this option and may impact upon other designations relating to the protection of water quality. A significant negative effect is therefore expected in relation to this SA objective.</p> <p>Option 3: This option would result in new development being more dispersed across the district. While the development to the south of Sharpness and the development to the north west of the district is away from water quality protection zones, the remaining development to be provided would be within locations where water quality vulnerabilities have been identified. High levels of development would be provided within or in close proximity to the Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone (Surface Water) by Stroud, by Cam and also around Stonehouse. Furthermore development at Dursley, Minchinhampton, Brimscombe and Nailsworth and dispersed across the smaller settlements within the eastern part of the Cotswolds AONB through this option may impact upon the Source Protection Zone at these locations. In addition, the water quality of the internationally designated Severn Estuary biodiversity site could be affected by the large development at Sharpness. As such portions of development would be distributed within or in close proximity to areas in Source Protection Zones in the district and may impact upon other designations relating to the protection of water quality. A significant negative effect is therefore expected in relation to this SA objective.</p>

SA Objective	Option 1: Concentrated development	Option 2: Wider distribution	Option 3: Dispersal	Option 4: Focus on a single growth point	Justification
					<p>Option 4: Concentrating development mostly by Hardwicke, Cam and the new growth point to the south of Sharpness would mean that development would be unlikely to be delivered in Source Protection Zones in the district. Furthermore only the development by Cam would be provided at a location which would be within a Surface Water Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone. It is considered likely that despite the high level of development to be provided by Hardwicke and to the south of Sharpness it could be delivered to avoid the Surface Water Drinking Water Safeguarding Zones which are to the north of both locations respectively. Given that this option would avoid the provision of new development within a Source Protection Zone but that high level of development by Cam would be provided within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone (Surface Water) a minor negative effect is expected in relation to this SA objective.</p>
SA 12: To manage and reduce the risk of flooding and resulting detriment to public wellbeing, the economy and the environment.	+/-	-	--	-	<p>Option 1: Allowing for development within and adjoining the Tier 1 settlements in the district may result in a proportion of development occurring on brownfield land. Given the scale of development which is to be accommodated this is expected to be a small percentage of the overall growth required over the plan period and as such, a high level of development is likely to proceed on greenfield which could increase flood risk due to the creation of more impermeable surfaces. Locations at Stonehouse and Stroud along the River Frome and Stroudwater Navigation Canal fall within Flood Zones 2 and 3. There are also smaller areas of Flood Zones 2 and 3 in close proximity to the settlements of Hardwicke, Cam and Dursley where this option would also deliver development. This option would avoid development to the west however where significant areas of Flood Zone 2 and 3 are present in close proximity to the River Severn. Overall a mixed effect (minor positive/minor negative) is expected in relation to this SA objective.</p> <p>Option 2: Allowing for a wider distribution of development within and adjoining the larger villages and towns may present some opportunities for development to occur on brownfield land. As such the high level loss of greenfield land could increase flood risk due to the creation of more impermeable surfaces. Locations at Stonehouse and Stroud along the River Frome and Stroudwater Navigation Canal fall within Flood Zones 2 and 3. There are also smaller areas of Flood Zones 2 and 3 in close proximity to the settlements of Hardwicke, Cam and Dursley where this option would also deliver development. This option would also deliver new development by Berkeley which falls in close proximity to the significant areas of Flood Zone 2 and 3 present by the River Severn. The level of development to be delivered at this location is not significant and furthermore parts of the</p>

SA Objective	Option 1: Concentrated development	Option 2: Wider distribution	Option 3: Dispersal	Option 4: Focus on a single growth point	Justification
					<p>area benefit from flood defences. Overall a minor negative effect is expected in relation to this SA objective.</p> <p>Option 3: Allowing for a dispersed pattern of development with most villages including at least one small to medium site may present limited opportunities for development to occur on brownfield land. The area of greenfield land which would be developed as a result of this option is likely to be increased given that the smaller tier settlements would have limited opportunities for brownfield development and it would include development at the new growth point the south of Sharpness. As such the high level loss of greenfield land could increase flood risk due to the creation of more impermeable surfaces. Locations at Stonehouse and Stroud along the River Frome and Stroudwater Navigation Canal fall within Flood Zones 2 and 3. There are also smaller areas of Flood Zones 2 and 3 in close proximity to the settlements of Hardwicke, Cam and Dursley where this option would also deliver development. This option would also deliver a high level of new development to the south of Sharpness however this area would likely avoid the significant areas of Flood Zone 2 and 3 present by the River Severn. Development would be delivered by Berkeley which is in close proximity to these areas of Flood Zone 2 and 3 however it is noted that parts of this area benefit from flood defences. Other smaller levels of development which are to be delivered to the west by Arlingham, Longney and Frampton have the potential to fall within Flood Zones 2 or 3 by the River Severn depending on their precise location, although it is noted that there are flood defences present at some of these locations. Overall a significant negative effect is expected in relation to this SA objective.</p> <p>Option 4: Providing development at new large sites at the edge of large settlements as well as at new growth points in the district is likely to result in the development of a large area of greenfield land. This is expected to be to the detriment of flood risk in the district. This option avoids the significant area of Flood Zones 2 and along the River Frome and Stroudwater Navigation Canal at Stonehouse and Stroud. However, there are smaller areas of Flood Zones 2 and 3 in close proximity to the settlements of Hardwicke and Cam where this option would provide high levels of new development. Development would also be delivered by Berkeley which is in close proximity to areas of Flood Zone 2 and 3 by the River Severn however it is noted that parts of this area benefit from flood defences. Overall a minor negative effect is expected in relation to this SA objective.</p>
SA 13: To improve efficiency in land use	+/--	--	--	--	<p>Option 1: Allowing for development within and adjacent to the Tier 1 settlements in the district may result in reasonable opportunities for development occurring on brownfield land. Given the scale of development which is</p>

SA Objective	Option 1: Concentrated development	Option 2: Wider distribution	Option 3: Dispersal	Option 4: Focus on a single growth point	Justification
and protection of soil quality through the re-use of previously developed land and existing buildings and encouraging urban renaissance.					<p>to be accommodated this is expected to be a small percentage of the overall growth required over the plan period. The notable larger levels of development by Hardwicke and Cam in particular are likely to result in loss of significant areas of Grade 3 agricultural soils. Overall a mixed effect (minor positive/ significant negative) is expected in relation to this SA objective.</p> <p>Option 2: Allowing for a wider distribution of development at the edge of the larger villages and towns may present some opportunities for development to occur on brownfield land. While there are significant swathes of Grade 4 agricultural soils to the east within the Cotswolds AONB where some of the development would be delivered through this option, development at areas such as Hardwicke, Cam and Minchinhampton are surrounded by larger areas of Grade 3 agricultural soils which might be lost as a result of development. Overall a significant negative effect is expected in relation to this SA objective.</p> <p>Option 3: Allowing for a dispersed pattern of development with most villages including at least one small to medium site allocated may present limited opportunities for development to occur on brownfield land. The area of greenfield land which would be developed as a result of this option is likely to be increased given that it would include development at the new growth point the south of Sharpness. This approach would allow for higher levels of development to occur within the undeveloped east within the boundaries of the Cotswolds AONB. While there are significant swathes of Grade 4 agricultural soils within the boundaries of the AONB development at areas such as Hardwicke, Cam and Minchinhampton are surrounded by larger areas of Grade 3 agricultural soils which might be lost as a result of development. Overall a significant negative effect is expected in relation to this SA objective.</p> <p>Option 4: Providing development at new large sites at the edge of large settlements and new growth points in the district may result in a limited amount of development occurring at brownfield land. However, given the scale of development which is to be accommodated this is expected to be a small percentage of the overall growth required over the plan period. Furthermore the provision of a high level of new development at the growth point to the south of Sharpness is likely to result in the development of a large additional area of greenfield land. All areas identified to accommodate the higher levels of development through this option have been identified as containing Grade 3 agricultural land. A significant negative effect is therefore expected on this SA objective.</p>

SA Objective	Option 1: Concentrated development	Option 2: Wider distribution	Option 3: Dispersal	Option 4: Focus on a single growth point	Justification
SA 14: To implement strategies that help mitigate global warming by actively reducing greenhouse gases and adapt to unavoidable climate change within the District.	+	+/-?	-	+/-?	<p>Promotion of energy efficiency cannot be known until detailed planning applications come forward, and the generation of clean, low carbon, decentralised and renewable electricity and heat is not directly part of these strategic growth options. The effects of the distribution of development within the district in terms of helping to limit the release of greenhouse gases will be mainly determined by the transport habits which it helps to encourage. Impacts on climate change are expected to be more positive where a greater decrease in journeys undertaken and modal shift is encouraged.</p> <p>Option 1: This option would deliver the majority of new development over the plan period in close proximity to Tier 1 settlements focussed mainly at a smaller number of locations. A large amount of the new development would be provided in close proximity to the southern Gloucester Fringe and the high level transport assessment work¹⁴⁸ undertaken by the council has identified this area as the most sustainable location in the district in terms of existing passenger transport services. In addition, new development along the transport corridor between Stonehouse and Stroud presents an opportunity to achieve a high level of self-containment in terms of travel (i.e. less out-commuting for work). This option would also provide a reduced level of development within the southern portion of the district which is more rural and dependent upon journeys by private car. Furthermore as this option would provide a high level of new development at strategic sites there is greater potential to attract government funding to address the cumulative impacts of the development. It is also noted that providing the majority of new development at larger sites may provide better opportunities for incorporating low carbon or renewable energy infrastructure, as this may be more viable at large development sites. Furthermore, providing the majority of new homes at a smaller number of larger sites may increase the potential to overcome logistical issues relating to the provision of physical space to incorporate required infrastructure and relating to the linking of new homes provided by a higher number of developers to these types of infrastructure. Mostly importantly, this approach would help to reduce the need to travel longer distances from rural locations and encourage modal shift in the district and therefore a minor positive effect is expected in relation to this SA objective.</p> <p>Option 2: This option would provide new development in a more widely distributed pattern mainly at Tier 1 and 2 settlements at smaller sites in the district. A degree of development would occur in close proximity to the</p>

¹⁴⁸ Stroud District Council (June 2018) *Stroud Local Plan Review Strategy Options Transport Discussion Paper*.

SA Objective	Option 1: Concentrated development	Option 2: Wider distribution	Option 3: Dispersal	Option 4: Focus on a single growth point	Justification
					<p>southern Gloucester Fringe which the council has identified as the most sustainable location in the district in terms of existing passenger transport services through high level transport assessment work. Furthermore new development along the transport corridor between Stonehouse and Stroud presents an opportunity to achieve a high level of self-containment in terms of travel. New development within the rural south of district would be provided at and beyond the focus around Cam and Dursley where there is potential for rail improvements to be provided. As this option would result in a smaller number of large sites coming forward there would be reduced potential for government funding to address the cumulative impacts of the development. As such there may be a reliance upon S106/CIL which may raise issues to do with viability. This approach would result in elements of development proceeding at locations which might encourage modal shift but this will require a degree of investment in passenger transport options for which funding may prove difficult to secure. A mixed effect (minor positive/minor negative) is therefore expected on this SA objective.</p> <p>Option 3: This option would provide the majority of development across Tier 1, 2 and 3 settlements with further development dispersed across Tier 4 and 5 settlements and at the new growth point by Sharpness. Beyond the new growth point, medium and smaller sites would provide for the majority of new development. This option would result in the lowest level of growth occurring within the south Gloucester Fringe which has been identified through the council's high level transport assessment work as the most sustainable location in the district in terms of existing passenger transport services. However, it would also provide new development along the transport corridor between Stonehouse and Stroud which presents an opportunity to achieve a high level of self-containment in terms of travel. The inclusion of the new growth point by Sharpness would result in impacts on connectivity issues by Berkeley which the transport assessment work has identified. In all, the more dispersed approach to development is likely to be the least sustainable in terms of reliance on journeys by private car and the distribution of development across smaller sites is likely to mean improvements to support travel by sustainable modes is less likely to come forward from government funding. As such a minor negative is expected in relation to this SA objective.</p> <p>Option 4: This option would provide new development in the district mainly at very large sites at three new growth points. A large amount of the new development would be provided in close proximity to the southern Gloucester Fringe and the high level transport assessment work undertaken by the council has identified this area as the most sustainable location in the district in terms of existing passenger transport services.</p>

SA Objective	Option 1: Concentrated development	Option 2: Wider distribution	Option 3: Dispersal	Option 4: Focus on a single growth point	Justification
					<p>Furthermore while new development resulting in approximately 55% of new traffic generated would be provided in the more rural south of the district where existing travel patterns would see an increase in journeys by private car, there is potential for the development of new passenger transport services. The large scale of the sites which this option would involve the development of means that the government funding could be attracted however the cost of the mitigation package required would likely to be higher. It is also noted that providing the majority of new development at larger sites may provide better opportunities for incorporating low carbon or renewable energy infrastructure, as this may be more viable at large development schemes. Furthermore, providing the majority of new homes at a smaller number of larger sites may increase the potential to overcome logistical issues relating to the provision of physical space to incorporate required infrastructure and relating to the linking of new homes provided by a higher number of developers to these types of infrastructure. A mixed effect (minor positive/minor negative) is therefore expected on this SA objective.</p>
<p>SA 15: To minimise the amount of waste produced, maximise the amount that is reused or recycled, and seek to recover energy from the largest proportion of the residual material, and achieve the sustainable management of waste.</p>	+?	0	0	+?	<p>The total amount of household waste generated would be unaffected by the distribution of development within the district, and per capita waste generation would not be affected. This, and levels of recycling, would instead be influenced by consumer behaviour and the incorporation of sustainable waste management systems within new developments.</p> <p>Option 1: This option would deliver the majority of new development over the plan period in close proximity to Tier 1 settlements focussed mainly at a smaller number of locations. This approach would provide the majority of the new development over the plan period at larger sites in the district. It is expected that this approach could offer good opportunities to incorporate new sustainable waste disposal solutions at such sites as they would be more viable and there would be more space for the required infrastructure. A minor positive effect is therefore expected in relation to this SA objective although this is uncertain depending on whether new developments would in fact support the delivery of infrastructure which would facilitate more sustainable waste management in the district.</p> <p>Option 2: This option would provide new development in a more widely distributed pattern mainly at Tier 1 and 2 settlements at smaller sites in the district. While this approach would rely on a higher number of smaller sites to deliver a significant proportion of growth over the plan period, it is expected that the district's waste management practices which include bin and recycling kerbside collection would be extended to address new</p>

SA Objective	Option 1: Concentrated development	Option 2: Wider distribution	Option 3: Dispersal	Option 4: Focus on a single growth point	Justification
					<p>growth. As such a negligible effect is expected in relation to this SA objective.</p> <p>Option 3: This option would provide the majority of development across Tier 1, 2 and 3 settlements with further development dispersed across Tier 4 and 5 settlements and at the new growth point by Sharpness. Beyond the new growth point, medium and smaller sites would provide for the majority of new development. While this approach would rely on a higher number of smaller sites to deliver a significant proportion of growth over the plan period, it is expected that the district's waste management practices which involve bin and recycling kerbside collection would be extended to address new growth. As such a negligible effect is expected in relation to this SA objective.</p> <p>Option 4: This option would provide new development in the district mainly at very large sites at three new growth points. This approach would provide a majority of the new development over the plan period at larger sites in the district. It is expected that this approach could encourage the incorporation of new sustainable waste disposal solutions at such sites as they would be more viable and there would be more space for the physical infrastructure required. A minor positive effect is therefore expected in relation to this SA objective although this is uncertain depending on whether new developments would in fact support the delivery of infrastructure which would facilitate more sustainable waste management in the district.</p>
SA 16: To deliver, maintain and enhance sustainable and diverse employment opportunities, to meet both current and future needs.	++/-	++/-	+/-	++?/-	<p>Option 1: This option would result in delivery of 30ha B class employment spread between sites in close proximity to Stonehouse and Hardwicke within the Gloucester Fringe. The high level transport assessment work undertaken by the council has identified Gloucester's southern fringe as the most sustainable location in the district in terms of existing passenger transport services. New employment land to be provided in close proximity to Stonehouse would be accessible to a high number of existing residents including those in Stroud. However, this option would result in increased traffic pressures from development along the A419 which serves Stonehouse. Overall this option would deliver a high level of new employment land in relatively accessible locations. While transport pressure resulting from further new growth may impact upon the viability of the location by Stonehouse in particular, the larger size of the sites used to deliver the new growth would support funding of required new infrastructure through government funding and S106/CIL. This option would also help to deliver new infrastructure to facilitate further employment growth around the larger settlements, however, it would not help to support the rural economy in the district. As such a mixed effect (significant positive/minor</p>

SA Objective	Option 1: Concentrated development	Option 2: Wider distribution	Option 3: Dispersal	Option 4: Focus on a single growth point	Justification
					<p>negative) is expected in relation to this SA objective.</p> <p>Option 2: This option would result in delivery of 30ha B class employment spread between sites in close proximity to Stonehouse, and Hardwicke within the Gloucester Fringe. The high level transport assessment work undertaken by the council has identified Gloucester's southern fringe as the most sustainable location in the district in terms of existing passenger transport services. Furthermore new employment in close proximity to Stonehouse would be accessible to existing residents at this settlement as well as those at the town of Stroud. However, this option would result in the greatest proportion of new development traffic along the A419 which serves Stonehouse which could adversely impact the viability of the employment land at this location. Given that this approach would result in new sites being of a small or medium size it is expected that there will be reduced opportunities for government funding or S106/CIL coming forward to deliver new transport infrastructure to facilitate further employment growth. This option would also not specifically help to support the rural economy in the district. As such a mixed effect (significant positive/minor negative) is expected in relation to this SA objective.</p> <p>Option 3: This option would result in delivery of 40ha B class employment spread between sites in close proximity to Stonehouse and Hardwicke within the Gloucester Fringe and at the new growth point to the south of Sharpness. The high level transport assessment work undertaken by the council has identified Gloucester's southern fringe as the most sustainable location in the district in terms of existing passenger transport services. Furthermore new employment in close proximity to Stonehouse would be accessible to existing residents at this settlement as well as those at the town of Stroud. However, this option would result in a significant level of new development traffic along the A419 which serves Stonehouse and would also place employment development near Sharpness which is noted to have connectivity issues. As such while this option would deliver a high level of new employment land in relatively accessible locations, transport pressure resulting from further new growth may impact upon the viability of development near Sharpness as well as the development location by Stonehouse. As this approach would result in a dispersed pattern of development across the district the reduced number of larger sites involved would mean that opportunities to deliver new transport infrastructure supported by government funding or S106/CIL which might support further employment growth would be reduced. This option would also not specifically help to support the rural economy in the district. As such a mixed effect (minor</p>

SA Objective	Option 1: Concentrated development	Option 2: Wider distribution	Option 3: Dispersal	Option 4: Focus on a single growth point	Justification
					<p>positive/significant negative) is expected in relation to this SA objective.</p> <p>Option 4: This option would result in deliver of 40ha B class employment spread between sites in close proximity to Hardwicke within the Gloucester Fringe and at the new growth points by Cam and Sharpness. The high level transport assessment work undertaken by the council has identified Gloucester's southern fringe as the most sustainable location in the district in terms of existing passenger transport services. Furthermore new employment in close proximity to Stonehouse would be accessible to existing residents at this settlement as well as those at the town of Stroud. This option has been identified as having the least proportion of new development traffic to impact the A419 corridor with much of the development focussed on the south of the district. While the option may require strong transport links to Bristol and the West of England the scale of growth proposed means that there is the opportunity to provide improved non-car based transport improvements. Furthermore as this option would involve the delivery of the vast majority of new growth in the district at larger sites there are likely to increased numbers of opportunities to secure government funding or S106/CIL to support transport infrastructure provision which would benefit further employment growth. While this option would not specifically help to support the rural economy in the district, it would deliver a high level of new employment land in accessible locations dependent to a degree on the delivery of new transport infrastructure. As such a mixed (uncertain significant positive/ minor negative) effect is expected in relation to this SA objective.</p>
SA 17: To allow for sustainable economic growth within environmental limits and innovation, an educated/ skilled workforce and support the long term	+/-	+/-	+/-	++?/ -	<p>Option 1: This option would result in deliver of 30ha B class employment spread between sites in close proximity to the settlements of Stonehouse, and Hardwicke within the Gloucester Fringe. The provision of new employment land will help to encourage inwards economic investment in the district. Concentrating the majority of employment growth over the plan period at two locations however is unlikely to help promote the economic growth or the vitality and viability of the town centres of those settlements which have not been identified to accommodate new growth. Furthermore this approach is unlikely to benefit the rural economy in Stroud. Overall a mixed effect (minor positive/minor negative) is expected in relation to this SA objective.</p> <p>Option 2: This option would result in deliver of 30ha B class employment spread between sites in close proximity to the settlements of Stonehouse and Hardwicke within the Gloucester Fringe. The provision of new employment land will help to encourage inwards economic investment in the district. Concentrating the majority</p>

SA Objective	Option 1: Concentrated development	Option 2: Wider distribution	Option 3: Dispersal	Option 4: Focus on a single growth point	Justification
competitiveness of the District.					<p>of employment growth over the plan period at two locations however, is unlikely to help promote the economic growth or the vitality and viability of the town centres of those settlements which have not been identified to accommodate new growth. Furthermore this approach is unlikely to benefit the rural economy in Stroud. As such a mixed effect (minor positive/minor negative) is expected in relation to this SA objective.</p> <p>Option 3: This option would result in deliver of 40ha B class employment spread between sites in close proximity to the settlements of Stonehouse and Hardwicke within the Gloucester Fringe and at the new growth point by Newtown and Sharpness. The provision of new employment land will help to encourage inwards economic investment in the district. Concentrating the majority of employment growth over the plan period at three locations however, is unlikely to help promote the economic growth or the vitality and viability of the town centres of those settlements which have not been identified to accommodate new growth. This option would provide employment land to the south of Sharpness which might better serve the southern part of the district but this is dependent on whether or not the connectivity issues identified at this location through the council's high level transport assessment can be successfully addressed. However, it is unlikely that this approach would benefit the wider rural economy in Stroud. As such a mixed effect (minor positive/minor negative) is expected in relation to this SA objective.</p> <p>Option 4: This option would result in deliver of 40ha B class employment spread between sites in close proximity to the settlements of Stonehouse and Hardwicke within the Gloucester Fringe and at the new growth point by Newtown and Sharpness. The provision of new employment land will help to encourage inward economic investment in the district. Concentrating the majority of employment growth over the plan period at three locations however, is unlikely to help promote the economic growth or the vitality and viability of the town centres of those settlements which have not been identified to accommodate new growth. This option would provide employment land to the south of Sharpness which might better serve the southern part of the district although this is dependent on whether or not the connectivity issues identified at this location through the council's high level transport assessment can be successfully addressed. Given that this approach would provide development at a smaller number of large scale sites in the district it is expected that new transport infrastructure required to make the Sharpness site viable in terms of its connectivity would be more likely to be supported through the securing of government funding. However, it is unlikely that this approach would benefit the wider rural economy in Stroud. As such a mixed effect (uncertain significant positive/minor negative) is</p>

SA Objective	Option 1: Concentrated development	Option 2: Wider distribution	Option 3: Dispersal	Option 4: Focus on a single growth point	Justification
					expected in relation to this SA objective.

Appendix 4

Assumptions Informing the Appraisal of Site Options

Table A4.1: Assumptions for the appraisal of residential site options

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
<p>SA 1: To provide affordable, sustainable and decent housing to meet local needs.</p>	<p>All of the residential site options are expected to have positive effects on this objective, due to the nature of the proposed development. Larger sites will provide opportunities for the development of a larger number of homes and so would have significant positive effects.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sites with capacity for more than 600 homes will have a significant positive (++) effect. Sites with capacity for fewer than 600 homes will have a minor positive (+) effect. 	<p>Stroud District Council site options</p>
<p>SA 2: To maintain and improve the community's health with accessible healthcare for residents, including increasing levels of physical activity, especially among the young.</p>	<p>Residential sites that are within close proximity of existing healthcare facilities (i.e. GP surgeries) will ensure that residents have good access to healthcare services. If a number of sites are allocated within close proximity of one another, this could lead to existing healthcare facilities becoming overloaded. If at any point information becomes available regarding the capacity of existing healthcare facilities, this will be taken into account in the SA. It is also recognised that new development could stimulate the provision of new healthcare facilities, but this cannot be assumed at this stage.</p> <p>Public health will also be influenced by the proximity of sites to open spaces, walking and cycle paths, easy access to which can encourage participation in active outdoor recreation.</p> <p>Therefore:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sites that are within 400m of a GP surgery will have a significant positive (++) effect. Sites that are within 400-800m of a GP surgery will have a minor positive (+) effect. Sites that are not within 800m of a GP surgery will have a minor negative (-) effect. <p>In addition, which could lead to mixed effects overall¹⁴⁹:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sites that are within 800m of an area of open space <u>and</u> within 400m of a walking or cycle path will have a significant positive (++) effect. Sites that are within 800m of an area of open space <u>or</u> within 400m of a walking or cycle path (but not both) will have a minor positive (+) effect. Sites that are more than 800m from an area of open space and more than 400m from a walking or cycle path will have a minor negative (-) effect. Sites that contain an existing area of open space or a walking or cycle path which could 	<p>GIS data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> GP surgeries Council play areas Cycle routes National cycle network Green spaces Country parks National trails Protected outdoor playspaces

¹⁴⁹ In all cases, if the two parts of a score are the same type of effect, e.g. positive and negative, then a best or worst case scenario will be recorded, i.e. a score comprising '+' and '++' would be recorded as '++', while a score comprising '-' and '--' would be recorded as '--'. Mixed effects will only be recorded where a score comprises both positive and negative effects e.g. '+/' or '++/--'.

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
	therefore be lost as a result of new development could have a significant negative (--?) effect, although this is uncertain depending on whether the development of the site would in fact result in the loss of that facility.	
SA 3: To encourage social inclusion, equity, the promotion of equality and a respect for diversity and meet the challenge of a growing and ageing population	The location of residential development will not affect the achievement of this objective (proximity to services and facilities is considered under SA objective 6 below). The likely effects of all residential site options on this objective are therefore negligible (0).	Stroud District Council site options
SA 4: To reduce crime, anti-social behaviour and disorder and the fear of crime.	The effects of new residential development on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within development sites which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of residential development; rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site. Therefore, the effects of all of the residential site options on this SA objective will be negligible (0).	Stroud District Council site options
SA 5: To create and sustain vibrant communities.	<p>The location of residential development will not have a significant effect on the achievement of this objective – effects will depend largely on the detailed proposals for sites and their design, which are not known at this stage. However, residential development on brownfield land could be seen as promoting regeneration.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sites that are on brownfield land will have a minor positive (+) effect. • Sites that are on greenfield land will have a negligible (0) effect. 	Satellite imagery
SA 6: To maintain and improve access to all services and facilities.	<p>Sites that are located at the larger settlements within the District will generally have better access to a wider range of existing services and facilities compared to sites located at smaller settlements. While new services and facilities may be provided in association with new residential development, particularly at larger sites, this cannot be assumed at this stage. The settlement hierarchy set out in the adopted Stroud Local Plan may be amended as part of the Local Plan Review; however it still provides a good proxy indication of the range of services and facilities available in each location. Therefore:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sites that are located at a first tier settlement would have a significant positive (++) effect. • Sites that are located at a second tier settlement would have a minor positive (+) effect. • Sites that are located at a third tier settlement would have a negligible (0) effect. 	<p>GIS data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Settlement locations <p>Stroud District Settlement Hierarchy</p>

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sites that are located at a fourth or fifth tier settlement would have a minor negative (-) effect. Sites that are not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement would have a significant negative (--) effect. <p><u>New evidence presented in the Emerging Strategy Paper¹⁵⁰ has identified a number of changes to the settlement hierarchy for the District. It also divided the previously identified third tier settlements between Tier 3a and Tier 3b settlements. Tier 3a settlements are those which have been identified as providing access to a good range of local services and facilities. Tier 3b settlements have been identified as providing access to a more basic level of services and facilities. As such given that this new evidence has been included as part of the Emerging Strategy Paper it has been considered for the appraisal of the potential sites only. The majority of this assumption remains applicable for the appraisal of the potential sites, however the following modifications have been considered in addition:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>Sites that are located at a Tier 3a settlement would have a minor positive (+) effect.</u> <u>Sites that are located at a Tier 3b settlement would have a negligible (0) effect.</u> 	
SA 7: To create, protect, enhance, restore and connect habitats, species and/or sites of biodiversity or geological interest.	<p>Development sites that are within close proximity of an international, national or local designated conservation site have the potential to affect the biodiversity or geodiversity of those sites/features, e.g. through habitat damage/loss, fragmentation, disturbance to species, air pollution, increased recreation pressure etc. Conversely, there may be opportunities to promote habitat connectivity if new developments include green infrastructure. Therefore, while proximity to designated sites provides an indication of the potential for an adverse effect, uncertainty exists, as appropriate mitigation may avoid adverse effects and may even result in beneficial effects. In addition, the potential impacts on biodiversity present on each site, or undesignated habitats and species adjacent to the potential development sites, cannot be determined at this strategic level of assessment. This would be determined once more specific proposals are developed and submitted as part of a planning application.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Residential sites that are within 250m of one or more internationally or nationally designated biodiversity or geodiversity sites may have a significant negative (--?) effect. Residential sites that are between 250m and 1km of one or more internationally or nationally designated biodiversity or geodiversity sites, or that are within 250m of a locally designated site may have a minor negative (-?) effect. In addition, residential sites 	<p>GIS data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ramsar sites SPAs SACs SSSIs NNRs Key wildlife sites Green spaces Country parks Protected outdoor playspaces

¹⁵⁰ Stroud District Council (November 2018) *Stroud District Local Plan Review: Emerging Strategy Paper*

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
	<p>that are within 250m-3km from Rodborough Common SAC or 250m-7.7km from the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site could have a minor negative effect as these are existing zones of recognised recreational impact from residential development.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sites that are more than 1km from any internationally or nationally designated biodiversity or geodiversity sites, or that are over 250m from a locally designated site could have a negligible (0?) effect. <p>In addition:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Residential sites that contain an existing green infrastructure asset that could be lost as a result of new development may have a significant negative effect (--?) although this is currently uncertain as it may be possible to conserve or even enhance that asset through the design and layout of the new development. 	
<p>SA 8: To conserve and enhance the local character and distinctiveness of landscapes and townscapes and provide sustainable access to countryside in the District.</p>	<p>A Landscape Sensitivity Assessment was carried out for Stroud District Council in December 2016 by White Consultants. It assessed the sensitivity of parcels of land located around the Tier 1, 2 and 3 settlements in the District. Residential development in more sensitive locations could have adverse impacts on the character and quality of the landscape, although effects will be uncertain as they will also depend on factors such as the design of the development.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sites that are in an area of low sensitivity could have a negligible (0?) effect. Sites that are in an area of medium/low or medium sensitivity could have a minor negative (-?) effect. Sites that are in an area of medium/high or high sensitivity could have a significant negative (--?) effect. Sites in locations that are not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment would have an uncertain (?) effect. <p>In addition, proximity to the Cotswolds AONB can provide an indication of the potential for development to have adverse impacts on that designated landscape.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sites that are within the AONB could have a significant negative (--?) effect. Sites that are not within the AONB, but that are within 500m of it, could have a minor negative (-?) effect. 	<p>GIS data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> AONB <p>Landscape Character Assessment</p>
<p>SA 9: To conserve and/or enhance the significant qualities, fabric, setting and accessibility of the District's</p>	<p>Residential site options for the Stroud District Local Plan Review have been subject to a heritage assessment as part of the SALA process. Each site option has been attributed a score based on its sensitivity with respect to the historic environment.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sites that were screened out of the SALA heritage assessment because they have no 	<p>SALA heritage assessment</p>

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
historic environment.	<p>heritage impacts, or sites that were assessed but scored '1' (i.e. that have no significant heritage constraints) would have a negligible (0) effect.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sites that are scored '2' (i.e. that have some impact on heritage interest) would have a minor negative (-) effect. • Sites that are scored '3' or '4' (i.e. that have significant or very significant heritage constraints) would have a significant negative (--) effect. • Sites that were not included in the SALA heritage assessment would have uncertain (?) effects. <p>For some sites, the SALA identified opportunities for sites to have potential for heritage benefits as a result of development. For these sites, a potential but uncertain minor positive (+?) effect is identified. This could result in mixed effects overall.</p>	
SA 10: To ensure that air quality continues to improve.	<p>There are no existing Air Quality Management Areas in Stroud District. The effects of new residential development on this objective will therefore largely depend on the extent to which their location facilitates walking or the use of sustainable transport in place of car travel.</p> <p>The proximity of development sites to sustainable transport links will affect the extent to which people are able to make use of non-car based modes of transport although the actual use of sustainable transport modes will depend on people's behaviour. Furthermore, the proximity of sites to town/district/local centres and employment sites as well as services and facilities (for example such as schools, supermarkets and community facilities) will reduce the need for residents to travel long distances on a regular basis.</p> <p>It is possible that new transport links such as bus routes or cycle paths may be provided as part of new developments, particularly at larger sites, but this cannot be assumed. It is also recognised that many cyclists will travel on roads as well as dedicated cycle routes, and that the extent to which people choose to do so will depend on factors such as the availability of cycle storage facilities at their end destination, which are not determined by the location of development sites. How safe or appealing particular roads are for cyclists cannot be determined at this strategic level of assessment.</p> <p>An assessment of the accessibility of each site option was undertaken by Gloucestershire County Council on behalf of Stroud District Council as part of the SALA. This work rated each site option in terms of its accessibility to town/district/local centres, employment sites and services and facilities that people may be required to access on a regular basis. Sites were assessed in terms of accessibility to 14 such features by walking, by car and by bus (including walking journey time to the relevant bus stop). The assessment assigned a score of 1, 2 or 3 to sites for each method of transport where it was located within 15 minutes, between 15-30</p>	SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
	<p>minutes or over 30 minutes of each of the 14 features respectively. These scores were then added to given a total score for each site. Even though the assessment took car use into account, scores were lower where journeys would be shorter; therefore a lower score is still an indication of lower likely overall emissions from traffic. Therefore:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sites achieving a score of below 50 in the SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment work are likely to have a significant positive (++) effect. Sites achieving a score of between 50-60 in the SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment work are likely to have a minor positive (+) effect. Sites achieving a score of between 60-70 in the SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment work are likely to have a negligible (0) effect. Sites achieving a score of between 70-80 in the SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment work are likely to have a minor negative (-) effect. Sites achieving a score of over 80 in the SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment work are likely to have a significant negative (--) effect. 	
<p>SA 11: To maintain and enhance the quality of ground and surface waters and to achieve sustainable water resources management in the District.</p>	<p>Levels of water consumption within new development will be determined by its design and onsite practices, rather than the location of the site. However, the location of residential development could affect water quality during construction depending on its proximity to Drinking Water Safeguarding Zones and Source Protection Zones¹⁵¹. The extent to which water quality is affected would depend on construction techniques and the use of sustainable drainage systems (SuDS) within the design, therefore effects are uncertain at this stage.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Development within Drinking Water Safeguarding Zones and Source Protection Zones could result in significant negative (--?) effects on water quality although this is uncertain at this stage of assessment. Development outside of Drinking Water Safeguarding Zones and Source Protection Zones would have a negligible (0) effect. 	<p>GIS data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Drinking Water Safeguard Zones Source Protection Zones
<p>SA 12: To manage and reduce the risk of flooding and resulting detriment to public wellbeing, the economy and the environment.</p>	<p>The effects of new development on this SA objective will depend to some extent on its design, for example whether it incorporates SuDS, which is unknown and cannot be assessed at this stage. Where site options are located in areas of high flood risk, it could increase the risk of flooding in those areas (particularly if the sites are not previously developed) and would increase the number of people and assets at risk from flooding. National Planning Practice Guidance identifies which types of land uses are considered to be appropriate in Flood Zones</p>	<p>GIS data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Flood Zones <p>Satellite imagery</p>

¹⁵¹ As the consideration of Nitrate Vulnerable Zones (NVZs) is most appropriate for agricultural related development it was not considered appropriate to include the proximity of residential development to these areas within the assumptions.

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
	<p>2, 3a and 3b. Residential properties are classed as a 'more vulnerable use', which is suitable in areas of flood zone 1 and 2 but would require an exception test in flood zone 3a, and is unsuitable in flood zone 3b. Therefore:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sites that are entirely or mainly (i.e. >50%) on greenfield land that is within flood zones 3a or 3b are likely to have a significant negative (--) effect. Sites that are either entirely or mainly on greenfield outside of flood zones 3a and 3b, or that are entirely or mainly on brownfield within flood zones 3a or 3b are likely to have a minor negative (-) effect. Sites that are on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b are likely to have a negligible (0) effect. 	
<p>SA 13: To improve efficiency in land use and protection of soil quality through the re-use of previously developed land and existing buildings and encouraging urban renaissance.</p>	<p>Development on brownfield land represents more efficient use of land in comparison to the development of greenfield sites. Therefore:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Residential sites that are relatively large in size (they would provide more than 600 homes) and that are mainly or entirely (i.e. >50%) on greenfield land would have a significant negative (--) effect. Residential sites that are relatively small in size (they would provide fewer than 600 homes) and that are mainly or entirely on greenfield land would have a minor negative (-) effect. Residential sites that are relatively small in size (they would provide fewer than 600 homes) and that are mainly or entirely on brownfield land would have a minor positive (+) effect. Residential sites that are relatively large in size (they would provide more than 600 homes) and that are mainly or entirely on brownfield land would have a significant positive (++) effect. <p>In addition:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sites that are on greenfield land classed as high quality agricultural land (Grades 1, 2 or 3a) would have a significant negative (--) effect regardless of size. This will be uncertain (--?) if the site is within Grade 3 land, as only Grade 3a is classed as high quality but the GIS data available does not distinguish between Grades 3a and 3b. 	<p>Stroud District Council site options</p> <p>Satellite imagery</p>
<p>SA 14: To implement strategies that help mitigate global warming by actively reducing greenhouse gases</p>	<p>The location of residential development will not affect the achievement of this objective – effects will depend largely on the detailed proposals for sites and their design, which are not known at this stage. The extent to which the location of residential sites would facilitate the use of sustainable modes of transport in place of cars is considered under SA objective 10</p>	<p>Stroud District Council site options</p>

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
and adapt to unavoidable climate change within the District.	above. The likely effects of all residential site options on this objective are therefore negligible (0).	
SA 15: To minimise the amount of waste produced, maximise the amount that is reused or recycled, and seek to recover energy from the largest proportion of the residual material, and achieve the sustainable management of waste.	<p>The effects of new residential development on waste generation will depend largely on resident's behaviour. However, where development takes place on previously developed land there may be opportunities to reuse onsite buildings and materials, thereby reducing waste generation. Therefore:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sites that are on brownfield land could have a minor positive (+?) effect on reducing waste generation although this is uncertain. • Sites that are on greenfield land would have a negligible (0) effect on reducing waste generation. 	Satellite imagery
SA 16: To deliver, maintain and enhance sustainable and diverse employment opportunities, to meet both current and future needs.	<p>The location of residential sites will influence the achievement of this objective by determining how easily residents would be able to access job opportunities at existing employment sites. As part of the SALA work, the Council has assessed the proximity of residential site options to key employment sites. In addition, proximity to a Tier 1 or 2 settlement could indicate good access to employment opportunities, as they tend to be focussed mainly at the larger settlements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sites that are within 600m of a key employment site <u>and</u> that are at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement would have a significant positive (++) effect. • Sites that are within 600m of a key employment site <u>or</u> that are at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement (but not both) would have a minor positive (+) effect. • Sites that are within 600m-1km of a key employment site but that are not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement would have a minor negative (-) effect. • Sites that are more than 1km from a key employment site and that are not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement would have a significant negative (--) effect. <p>In addition, if a residential site option would result in the loss of an existing employment site, a negative effect would occur in relation to the protection of existing employment sites. Therefore (which could result in mixed effects overall):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sites that are currently in employment use would have a significant negative (--) effect. 	<p>GIS data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Settlement locations <p>Stroud District Settlement Hierarchy</p> <p>List of sites currently in employment use</p>
SA 17: To allow for sustainable economic growth	The specific location of residential sites within the District will not influence sustainable	GIS data:

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
<p>within environmental limits and innovation, an educated/skilled workforce and support the long term competitiveness of the District.</p>	<p>economic growth. The effects of residential sites on the educational element of this objective will depend on the access that they provide to existing educational facilities, although there are uncertainties as the effects will depend on there being capacity at those schools to accommodate new pupils. New residential development could stimulate the provision of new schools/school places, particularly larger sites, but this cannot be assumed at this stage. Therefore:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sites that are within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school may have a significant positive (++) effect. • Sites that are within 800m of one of either an existing primary or an existing secondary school (but not both), may have a minor positive (+?) effect. • Sites that are not within 800m of an existing school may have a minor negative (-?) effect. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Secondary schools • Primary schools

Table A4.2: Assumptions for the appraisal of employment site options

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
SA 1: To provide affordable, sustainable and decent housing to meet local needs.	The location of employment site options will not have a direct effect on this objective, due to the nature of the development proposed. All employment site options will therefore have negligible (0) effects.	Stroud District Council site options
SA 2: To maintain and improve the community's health with accessible healthcare for residents, including increasing levels of physical activity, especially among the young.	<p>Employment sites that are within close proximity of walking or cycle paths may offer good opportunities for people to travel to work via active modes of transport, benefitting health. In addition, proximity to open spaces may benefit employee's health as a result of being able to access outdoor recreation opportunities during breaks.</p> <p>Therefore:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Employment sites that are within 800m of an area of open space <u>and</u> 400m of a walking or cycle path will have a significant positive (++) effect. • Employment sites that are within 800m of an area of open space <u>or</u> 400m of walking or cycle path (but not both) will have a minor positive (+) effect. • Employment sites that are more than 800m from an area of open space and 400m from a walking or cycle path will have a minor negative (-) effect. • Employment sites that contain an existing area of open space or a walking or cycle path could result in the loss of those facilities and so may have a significant negative (--?) effect, although this is uncertain depending on whether the development of the site would in fact result in the loss of that facility. 	<p>GIS data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Council play areas • Cycle routes • National cycle network • Green spaces • Country parks • National trails • Protected outdoor playspaces
SA 3: To encourage social inclusion, equity, the promotion of equality and a respect for diversity and meet the challenge of a growing and ageing population	The location of employment development will not affect the achievement of this objective (proximity to services and facilities is considered under SA objective 6 below). The likely effects of all employment site options on this objective are therefore negligible (0).	Stroud District Council site options
SA 4: To reduce crime, anti-social behaviour and disorder and the fear of crime.	The effects of new employment development on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within development sites which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of employment development; rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site. Therefore, the effects of all of the employment site options on this SA	Stroud District Council site options

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
	objective will be negligible (0).	
SA 5: To create and sustain vibrant communities.	<p>The location of employment development will not have a significant effect on the achievement of this objective – effects will depend largely on the detailed proposals for sites and their design, which are not known at this stage. However, employment development on brownfield land could be seen as promoting regeneration.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sites that are on brownfield land will have a minor positive (+) effect. • Sites that are on greenfield land will have a negligible (0) effect. 	Satellite imagery
SA 6: To maintain and improve access to all services and facilities.	<p>The location of employment development will not affect the achievement of this objective as employees would generally be at the sites for work purposes, rather than seeking to access nearby services and facilities. The likely effects of all employment site options on this objective are therefore negligible (0).</p>	Stroud District Council site options
SA 7: To create, protect, enhance, restore and connect habitats, species and/or sites of biodiversity or geological interest.	<p>Development sites that are within close proximity of an international, national or local designated conservation site have the potential to affect the biodiversity or geodiversity of those sites/features, e.g. through habitat damage/loss, fragmentation, disturbance to species, air pollution, increased recreation pressure etc. Conversely, there may be opportunities to promote habitat connectivity if new developments include green infrastructure. Therefore, while proximity to designated sites provides an indication of the potential for an adverse effect, uncertainty exists, as appropriate mitigation may avoid adverse effects and may even result in beneficial effects. In addition, the potential impacts on biodiversity present on each site, or undesignated habitats and species adjacent to the potential development sites, cannot be determined at this strategic level of assessment. This would be determined once more specific proposals are developed and submitted as part of a planning application.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Employment sites that are within 250m of one or more internationally or nationally designated biodiversity or geodiversity sites may have a significant negative (--?) effect. • Employment sites that are between 250m and 1km of one or more internationally or nationally designated biodiversity or geodiversity sites, or that are within 250m of a locally designated site may have a minor negative (-?) effect. • Employment sites that are more than 1km from any internationally or nationally designated biodiversity or geodiversity sites, or that are over 250m from a locally designated site could have a negligible (0?) effect. <p>In addition:</p>	<p>GIS data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ramsar sites • SPAs • SACs • SSSIs • NNRs • Key wildlife sites • Green spaces • Country parks • Protected outdoor playspaces

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sites that contain an existing green infrastructure asset that could be lost as a result of new development may have a significant negative effect (--?) although this is currently uncertain as it may be possible to conserve or even enhance that asset through the design and layout of the new development. 	
<p>SA 8: To conserve and enhance the local character and distinctiveness of landscapes and townscapes and provide sustainable access to countryside in the District.</p>	<p>A Landscape Sensitivity Assessment was carried out for Stroud District Council in December 2016 by White Consultants. It assessed the sensitivity of parcels of land located around the Tier 1, 2 and 3 settlements in the District. Employment development in more sensitive locations could have adverse impacts on the character and quality of the landscape, although effects will be uncertain as they will also depend on factors such as the design of the development.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sites that are in an area of low sensitivity could have a negligible (0?) effect. Sites that are in an area of medium/low or medium sensitivity could have a minor negative (-?) effect. Sites that are in an area of medium/high or high sensitivity could have a significant negative (--?) effect. Sites in locations that are not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment would have an uncertain (?) effect. <p>In addition, proximity to the Cotswolds AONB can provide an indication of the potential for development to have adverse impacts on that designated landscape.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sites that are within the AONB could have a significant negative (--?) effect. Sites that are not within the AONB, but that are within 500m of it, could have a minor negative (-?) effect. 	<p>GIS data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> AONB <p>Landscape Character Assessment</p>
<p>SA 9: To conserve and/or enhance the significant qualities, fabric, setting and accessibility of the District's historic environment.</p>	<p>Employment site options for the Stroud District Local Plan Review have been subject to a heritage assessment as part of the SALA process. Each site option has been attributed a score based on its sensitivity with respect to the historic environment.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sites that were screened out of the SALA heritage assessment because they have no heritage impacts, or sites that were assessed but scored '1' (i.e. that have no significant heritage constraints) would have a negligible (0) effect. Sites that are scored '2' (i.e. that have some impact on heritage interest) would have a minor negative (-) effect. Sites that are scored '3' or '4' (i.e. that have significant or very significant heritage constraints) would have a significant negative (--) effect. 	<p>SALA heritage assessment</p>

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sites that were not included in the SALA heritage assessment would have uncertain (?) effects. <p>For some sites, the SALA identified opportunities for sites to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development. For these sites, a potential but uncertain minor positive (+?) effect is identified. This could result in mixed effects overall.</p>	
SA 10: To ensure that air quality continues to improve.	<p>There are no existing Air Quality Management Areas in Stroud District. The effects of new employment development on this objective will therefore largely depend on the extent to which their location facilitates the use of sustainable transport in place of private cars for commuting. While some commercial activities could have adverse impacts on air quality, the specific nature of employment uses that may come forward at each site option is not yet known.</p> <p>The proximity of employment sites to sustainable transport links will affect the extent to which people are able to make use of non-car based modes of transport to commute, although the actual use of sustainable transport modes will depend on people's behaviour. It is possible that new transport links such as bus routes or cycle paths may be provided as part of new developments, particularly at larger sites, but this cannot be assumed. It is also recognised that many cyclists will travel on roads as well as dedicated cycle routes, and that the extent to which people choose to do so will depend on factors such as the availability of cycle storage facilities at their end destination, which are not determined by the location of sites. How safe or appealing particular roads are for cyclists cannot be determined at this strategic level of assessment. However, the proximity of employment site options to existing cycle routes can be taken as an indicator of how likely people are to commute by bicycle.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Employment sites that are within 1km of a railway station and 400m of a bus stop (regardless of proximity to cycle routes) are likely to have a significant positive (++) effect. Employment sites that are within either 1km of a railway station <u>or</u> 400m of a bus stop, but not both, (regardless of proximity to cycle routes) are likely to have a minor positive (+) effect. Employment sites that are more than 1km from a railway station and 400m from a bus stop but that have an existing cycle route within 200m of the site could have a minor negative (-?) effect although this is uncertain depending on whether the nearby cycle route(s) could be used for the purposes of commuting. Employment sites that are more than 1km from a railway station and 400m from a bus stop and that do not have an existing cycle route within 200m of the site are likely to 	<p>GIS data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Railway stations Bus stops

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
<p>SA 11: To maintain and enhance the quality of ground and surface waters and to achieve sustainable water resources management in the District.</p>	<p>have a significant negative (--) effect.</p> <p>Levels of water consumption within new development will be determined by its design and onsite practices, including the nature of the commercial activities onsite, rather than the location of the site. However, the location of employment development could affect water quality during construction depending on its proximity to Drinking Water Safeguarding Zones and Source Protection Zones¹⁵². The extent to which water quality is affected would depend on construction techniques and the use of sustainable drainage systems (SuDS) within the design; therefore effects are uncertain at this stage.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Development within Drinking Water Safeguarding Zones and Source Protection Zones could result in significant negative (--?) effects on water quality although this is uncertain at this stage of assessment. • Development outside of Drinking Water Safeguarding Zones and Source Protection Zones would have a negligible (0) effect. 	<p>GIS data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Drinking Water Safeguard Zones • Source Protection Zones
<p>SA 12: To manage and reduce the risk of flooding and resulting detriment to public wellbeing, the economy and the environment.</p>	<p>The effects of new development on this SA objective will depend to some extent on its design, for example whether it incorporates SuDS, which is unknown and cannot be assessed at this stage. Where site options are located in areas of high flood risk, it could increase the risk of flooding in those areas (particularly if the sites are not previously developed) and would increase the number of people and assets at risk from flooding. National Planning Practice Guidance identifies which types of land uses are considered to be appropriate in Flood Zones 2, 3a and 3b. Buildings used for financial, professional and other services; offices; general industry, storage and distribution are classed as 'less vulnerable uses', which are suitable in areas of flood zone 1, 2 and 3a but are unsuitable in flood zone 3b. Therefore:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sites that are entirely or mainly (i.e. >50%) on greenfield land that is within flood zone 3 could have a significant negative (--?) effect although this is uncertain depending on whether the land is flood zone 3a or 3b which cannot be determined at this stage. • Sites that are either entirely or mainly on greenfield outside of flood zone 3b, or that are entirely or mainly on brownfield within flood zone 3 could have a minor negative (-?) effect although this is uncertain depending on whether the land is flood zone 3a or 3b. • Sites that are on brownfield land outside of flood zone 3 are likely to have a negligible (0) effect. 	<p>GIS data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Flood Zones <p>Satellite imagery</p>

¹⁵² As the consideration of Nitrate Vulnerable Zones (NVZs) is most appropriate for agricultural related development it was not considered appropriate to include the proximity of residential development to these areas within the assumptions.

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
<p>SA 13: To improve efficiency in land use and protection of soil quality through the re-use of previously developed land and existing buildings and encouraging urban renaissance.</p>	<p>Development on brownfield land represents more efficient use of land in comparison to the development of greenfield sites. Therefore:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Employment sites that are relatively large in size (they would provide more than 10ha of employment land) and that are mainly or entirely (i.e. >50%) on greenfield land would have a significant negative (--) effect. • Employment sites that are relatively small in size (they would provide more than 10ha of employment land) and that are mainly or entirely on greenfield land would have a minor negative (-) effect. • Employment sites that are relatively small in size (they would provide more than 10ha of employment land) and that are mainly or entirely on brownfield land would have a minor positive (+) effect. • Employment sites that are relatively large in size (they would provide more than 10ha of employment land) and that are mainly or entirely on brownfield land would have a significant positive (++) effect. <p>In addition:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sites that are on greenfield land classed as high quality agricultural land (Grades 1, 2 or 3a) would have a significant negative (--) effect regardless of their size. This will be uncertain (--?) if the site is within Grade 3 land, as only Grade 3a is classed as high quality but the GIS data available does not distinguish between Grades 3a and 3b. 	<p>Stroud District Council site options</p> <p>Satellite imagery</p>
<p>SA 14: To implement strategies that help mitigate global warming by actively reducing greenhouse gases and adapt to unavoidable climate change within the District.</p>	<p>The location of employment development will not affect the achievement of this objective – effects will depend largely on the detailed proposals for sites and their design, which are not known at this stage. The extent to which the location of employment sites would facilitate the use of sustainable modes of transport in place of cars is considered under SA objective 10 above. The likely effects of all employment site options on this objective are therefore negligible (0).</p>	<p>Stroud District Council site options</p>
<p>SA 15: To minimise the amount of waste produced, maximise the amount that is reused or recycled, and seek to recover energy from the largest proportion of the residual material, and achieve the sustainable</p>	<p>The effects of new employment development on waste generation will depend largely on people’s behaviour while using the new development, as well as the nature of commercial activities onsite which is not yet known. However, where employment development takes place on previously developed land there may be opportunities to reuse onsite buildings and materials, thereby reducing waste generation. Therefore:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sites that are on brownfield land could have a minor positive (+?) effect on reducing waste generation although this is uncertain. 	<p>Satellite imagery</p>

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
management of waste.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sites that are on greenfield land would have a negligible (0) effect on reducing waste generation. 	
SA 16: To deliver, maintain and enhance sustainable and diverse employment opportunities, to meet both current and future needs.	<p>All of the employment site options are expected to have positive effects on this objective, due to the nature of the proposed development. Larger sites will provide opportunities for the creation of more new jobs and so would have significant positive effects. Therefore:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sites that are more than 10ha in size will have a significant positive (++) effect. Sites that are smaller than 10ha in size will have a minor positive (+) effect. 	Stroud District Council site options
SA 17: To allow for sustainable economic growth within environmental limits and innovation, an educated/skilled workforce and support the long term competitiveness of the District.	<p>All of the employment site options are expected to have positive effects on this objective, as they may provide opportunities for work-based training and skills development, and would help to contribute to sustainable economic growth and competitiveness of the District.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sites that are more than 10ha in size will have a significant positive (++) effect. Sites that are smaller than 10ha in size will have a minor positive (+) effect. 	Stroud District Council site options

Table A4.3: Assumptions for the appraisal of mixed use site options

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
<p>SA 1: To provide affordable, sustainable and decent housing to meet local needs.</p>	<p>All of the mixed use site options that would incorporate residential development are expected to have positive effects on this objective, due to the nature of the proposed development. Larger sites will provide opportunities for the development of a larger number of homes as part of the mixed use development and so would have significant positive effects.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sites with capacity for more than 600 homes will have a significant positive (++) effect. • Sites with capacity for fewer than 600 homes will have a minor positive (+) effect. 	<p>Stroud District Council site options</p>
<p>SA 2: To maintain and improve the community's health with accessible healthcare for residents, including increasing levels of physical activity, especially among the young.</p>	<p>Mixed use sites (incorporating residential development) that are within close proximity of existing healthcare facilities (i.e. GP surgeries) will ensure that residents have good access to healthcare services. If a number of sites are allocated within close proximity of one another, this could lead to existing healthcare facilities becoming overloaded. If at any point information becomes available regarding the capacity of existing healthcare facilities, this will be taken into account in the SA. It is also recognised that new development could stimulate the provision of new healthcare facilities, but this cannot be assumed at this stage.</p> <p>Public health will also be influenced by the proximity of sites to open spaces, walking and cycle paths, easy access to which can encourage participation in active outdoor recreation, both for residents and employees at mixed use sites.</p> <p>Therefore:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sites that are within 400m of a GP surgery will have a significant positive (++) effect. • Sites that are within 400-800m of a GP surgery will have a minor positive (+) effect. • Sites that are not within 800m of a GP surgery will have a minor negative (-) effect. <p>In addition, which could lead to mixed effects overall¹⁵³:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sites that are within 800m of an area of open space <u>and</u> within 400m of a walking or cycle path will have a significant positive (++) effect. • Sites that are within 800m of an area of open space <u>or</u> within 400m of a walking or cycle path (but not both) will have a minor positive (+) effect. • Sites that are more than 800m from an area of open space and more than 400m from a walking or cycle path will have a minor negative (-) effect. 	<p>GIS data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • GP surgeries • Council play areas • Cycle routes • National cycle network • Green spaces • Country parks • National trails • Protected outdoor playspaces

¹⁵³ In all cases, if the two parts of a score are the same type of effect, e.g. positive and negative, then a best or worst case scenario will be recorded, i.e. a score comprising '+' and '++' would be recorded as '++', while a score comprising '-' and '--' would be recorded as '--'. Mixed effects will only be recorded where a score comprises both positive and negative effects e.g. '+/-' or '++/--'.

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sites that contain an existing area of open space or a walking or cycle path which could therefore be lost as a result of new development could have a significant negative (--?) effect, although this is uncertain depending on whether the development of the site would in fact result in the loss of that facility. 	
SA 3: To encourage social inclusion, equity, the promotion of equality and a respect for diversity and meet the challenge of a growing and ageing population	The location of mixed use development will not affect the achievement of this objective (proximity to services and facilities is considered under SA objective 6 below). The likely effects of all mixed use site options on this objective are therefore negligible (0).	Stroud District Council site options
SA 4: To reduce crime, anti-social behaviour and disorder and the fear of crime.	The effects of new mixed use development on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within development sites which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of mixed use development; rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site. Therefore, the effects of all of the mixed use site options on this SA objective will be negligible (0).	Stroud District Council site options
SA 5: To create and sustain vibrant communities.	<p>The location of mixed use development will not have a significant effect on the achievement of this objective – effects will depend largely on the detailed proposals for sites and their design, which are not known at this stage. However, mixed use development on brownfield land could be seen as promoting regeneration.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sites that are on brownfield land will have a minor positive (+) effect. Sites that are on greenfield land will have a negligible (0) effect. 	Satellite imagery
SA 6: To maintain and improve access to all services and facilities.	<p>Sites that are located at the larger settlements within the District will generally have better access to a wider range of existing services and facilities compared to sites located at smaller settlements. While new services and facilities may be provided as part of new mixed use development, this cannot be assumed at this stage. The settlement hierarchy set out in the adopted Stroud Local Plan may be amended as part of the Local Plan Review; however it still provides a good proxy indication of the range of services and facilities available in each location. Therefore:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sites that are located at a first tier settlement would have a significant positive (++) effect. Sites that are located at a second tier settlement would have a minor positive (+) effect. 	<p>GIS data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Settlement locations <p>Stroud District Settlement Hierarchy</p>

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sites that are located at a third tier settlement would have a negligible (0) effect. Sites that are located at a fourth or fifth tier settlement would have a minor negative (-) effect. Sites that are located in the open countryside would have a significant negative (--) effect. <p><u>New evidence presented in the Emerging Strategy Paper¹⁵⁴ has identified a number of changes to the settlement hierarchy for the District. It also divided the previously identified third tier settlements between Tier 3a and Tier 3b settlements. Tier 3a settlements are those which have been identified as providing access to a good range of local services and facilities. Tier 3b settlements have been identified as providing access to a more basic level of services and facilities. As such given that this new evidence has been included as part of the Emerging Strategy Paper it has been considered for the appraisal of the potential sites only. The majority of this assumption remains applicable for the appraisal of the potential sites, however the following modifications have been considered in addition:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>Sites that are located at a Tier 3a settlement would have a minor positive (+) effect.</u> <u>Sites that are located at a Tier 3b settlement would have a negligible (0) effect.</u> 	
<p>SA 7: To create, protect, enhance, restore and connect habitats, species and/or sites of biodiversity or geological interest.</p>	<p>Development sites that are within close proximity of an international, national or local designated conservation site have the potential to affect the biodiversity or geodiversity of those sites/features, e.g. through habitat damage/loss, fragmentation, disturbance to species, air pollution, increased recreation pressure etc. Conversely, there may be opportunities to promote habitat connectivity if new developments include green infrastructure. Therefore, while proximity to designated sites provides an indication of the potential for an adverse effect, uncertainty exists, as appropriate mitigation may avoid adverse effects and may even result in beneficial effects. In addition, the potential impacts on biodiversity present on each site, or undesignated habitats and species adjacent to the potential development sites, cannot be determined at this strategic level of assessment. This would be determined once more specific proposals are developed and submitted as part of a planning application.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mixed use sites that are within 250m of one or more internationally or nationally designated biodiversity or geodiversity sites may have a significant negative (--?) effect. Mixed use sites that are between 250m and 1km of one or more internationally or nationally designated biodiversity or geodiversity sites, or that are within 250m of a 	<p>GIS data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ramsar sites SPAs SACs SSSIs NNRs Key wildlife sites Green spaces Country parks Protected outdoor playspaces

¹⁵⁴ Stroud District Council (September 2018) *Stroud District Local Plan Review Emerging Strategy Paper*

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
	<p>locally designated site may have a minor negative (-?) effect. In addition, mixed use sites that are within 250m-3km from Rodborough Common SAC or 250m-7.7km from the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site could have a minor negative effect as these are existing zones of recognised recreational impact from residential development.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mixed use sites that are more than 1km from any internationally or nationally designated biodiversity or geodiversity sites, or that are over 250m from a locally designated site could have a negligible (0?) effect. <p>In addition:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mixed use sites that contain an existing green infrastructure asset that could be lost as a result of new development may have a significant negative effect (--?) although this is currently uncertain as it may be possible to conserve or even enhance that asset through the design and layout of the new development. 	
<p>SA 8: To conserve and enhance the local character and distinctiveness of landscapes and townscapes and provide sustainable access to countryside in the District.</p>	<p>A Landscape Sensitivity Assessment was carried out for Stroud District Council in December 2016 by White Consultants. It assessed the sensitivity of parcels of land located around the Tier 1, 2 and 3 settlements in the District. Mixed use development in more sensitive locations could have adverse impacts on the character and quality of the landscape, although effects will be uncertain as they will also depend on factors such as the design of the development.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sites that are in an area of low sensitivity could have a negligible (0?) effect. Sites that are in an area of medium/low or medium sensitivity could have a minor negative (-?) effect. Sites that are in an area of medium/high or high sensitivity could have a significant negative (--?) effect. Sites in locations that are not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment would have an uncertain (?) effect. <p>In addition, proximity to the Cotswolds AONB can provide an indication of the potential for development to have adverse impacts on that designated landscape.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sites that are within the AONB could have a significant negative (--?) effect. Sites that are not within the AONB, but that are within 500m of it, could have a minor negative (-?) effect. 	<p>GIS data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> AONB <p>Landscape Character Assessment</p>
<p>SA 9: To conserve and/or enhance the significant qualities, fabric, setting and accessibility of the District's</p>	<p>Mixed use site options for the Stroud District Local Plan Review have been subject to a heritage assessment as part of the SALA process. Each site option has been attributed a score based on its sensitivity with respect to the historic environment.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sites that were screened out of the SALA heritage assessment because they have no 	<p>SALA heritage assessment</p>

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
historic environment.	<p>heritage impacts, or sites that were assessed but scored '1' (i.e. that have no significant heritage constraints) would have a negligible (0) effect.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sites that are scored '2' (i.e. that have some impact on heritage interest) would have a minor negative (-) effect. • Sites that are scored '3' or '4' (i.e. that have significant or very significant heritage constraints) would have a significant negative (--) effect. • Sites that were not included in the SALA heritage assessment would have uncertain (?) effects. <p>For some sites, the SALA identified opportunities for sites to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development. For these sites, a potential but uncertain minor positive (+?) effect is identified. This could result in mixed effects overall.</p>	
SA 10: To ensure that air quality continues to improve.	<p>There are no existing Air Quality Management Areas in Stroud District. The effects of new mixed use development on this objective will therefore largely depend on the extent to which their location facilitates walking and the use of sustainable transport in place of car travel. By nature, mixed use developments should promote higher levels of walking and reduced car travel, by co-locating residential and other types of development.</p> <p>The proximity of development sites to sustainable transport links will affect the extent to which people are able to make use of non-car based modes of transport, although the actual use of sustainable transport modes will depend on people's behaviour. Furthermore, the proximity of sites to town/district/local centres and employment sites as well as services and facilities (for example such as schools, supermarkets and community facilities) will reduce the need for residents to travel long distances on a regular basis.</p> <p>It is possible that new transport links such as bus routes or cycle paths may be provided as part of new developments, particularly at larger sites, but this cannot be assumed. It is also recognised that many cyclists will travel on roads as well as dedicated cycle routes, and that the extent to which people choose to do so will depend on factors such as the availability of cycle storage facilities at their end destination, which are not determined by the location of development sites. How safe or appealing particular roads are for cyclists cannot be determined at this strategic level of assessment.</p> <p>An assessment of the accessibility of each site option was undertaken by Gloucestershire County Council on behalf of Stroud District Council as part of the SALA. This work rated each site option in terms of its accessibility to town/district/local centres, employment sites and services and facilities that people may be required to access on a regular basis. Sites were assessed in terms of accessibility to 14 such features by walking, by car and by bus (including</p>	SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
	<p>walking journey time to the relevant bus stop). The assessment assigned a score of 1, 2 or 3 to sites for each method of transport where it was located within 15 minutes, between 15-30 minutes or over 30 minutes of each of the 14 features respectively. These scores were then added to given a total score for each site. Even though the assessment took car use into account, scores were lower where journeys would be shorter; therefore a lower score is still an indication of lower likely emissions from traffic. Therefore:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sites achieving a score of below 50 in the SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment work are likely to have a significant positive (++) effect. • Sites achieving a score of between 50-60 in the SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment work are likely to have a minor positive (+) effect. • Sites achieving a score of between 60-70 in the SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment work are likely to have a negligible (0) effect. • Sites achieving a score of between 70-80 in the SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment work are likely to have a minor negative (-) effect. • Sites achieving a score of over 80 in the SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment work are likely to have a significant negative (--) effect. 	
<p>SA 11: To maintain and enhance the quality of ground and surface waters and to achieve sustainable water resources management in the District.</p>	<p>Levels of water consumption within new development will be determined by its design and onsite practices, rather than the location of the site. However, the location of mixed use development could affect water quality during construction depending on its proximity to Drinking Water Safeguarding Zones and Source Protection Zones¹⁵⁵. The extent to which water quality is affected would depend on construction techniques and the use of sustainable drainage systems (SuDS) within the design; therefore effects are uncertain at this stage.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Development within Drinking Water Safeguarding Zones and Source Protection Zones could result in significant negative (--?) effects on water quality although this is uncertain at this stage of assessment. • Development outside of Drinking Water Safeguarding Zones and Source Protection Zones would have a negligible (0) effect. 	<p>GIS data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Drinking Water Safeguard Zones <p>Source Protection Zones</p>
<p>SA 12: To manage and reduce the risk of flooding and resulting detriment to public wellbeing, the economy and the</p>	<p>The effects of new development on this SA objective will depend to some extent on its design, for example whether it incorporates SuDS, which is unknown and cannot be assessed at this stage. Where site options are located in areas of high flood risk, it could increase the risk of flooding in those areas (particularly if the sites are not previously developed) and would</p>	<p>GIS data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Flood Zones <p>Satellite imagery</p>

¹⁵⁵ As the consideration of Nitrate Vulnerable Zones (NVZs) is most appropriate for agricultural related development it was not considered appropriate to include the proximity of residential development to these areas within the assumptions.

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
environment.	<p>increase the number of people and assets at risk from flooding. National Planning Practice Guidance identifies which types of land uses are considered to be appropriate in Flood Zones 2, 3a and 3b. Residential properties are classed as a 'more vulnerable use', which is suitable in areas of flood zone 1 and 2 but would require an exception test in flood zone 3a, and is unsuitable in flood zone 3b. Buildings used for financial, professional and other services; offices; general industry, storage and distribution are classed as 'less vulnerable uses', which are suitable in areas of flood zone 1, 2 and 3a but are unsuitable in flood zone 3b. Therefore, on a precautionary basis:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sites that are entirely or mainly (i.e. >50%) on greenfield land that is within flood zones 3a or 3b are likely to have a significant negative (--) effect. • Sites that are either entirely or mainly on greenfield outside of flood zones 3a and 3b, or that are entirely or mainly on brownfield within flood zones 3a or 3b are likely to have a minor negative (-) effect. • Sites that are on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b are likely to have a negligible (0) effect. 	
SA 13: To improve efficiency in land use and protection of soil quality through the re-use of previously developed land and existing buildings and encouraging urban renaissance.	<p>Development on brownfield land represents more efficient use of land in comparison to the development of greenfield sites. Therefore:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mixed use sites that are relatively large in size (i.e. they could accommodate more than 600 homes or more than 10ha of employment land) and that are mainly or entirely (i.e. >50%) on greenfield land would have a significant negative (--) effect. • Mixed use sites that are relatively small in size (i.e. they could accommodate fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land) and that are mainly or entirely on greenfield land would have a minor negative (-) effect. • Mixed use sites that are relatively small in size (i.e. they could accommodate fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land) and that are mainly or entirely on brownfield land would have a minor positive (+) effect. • Mixed use sites that are relatively large in size (i.e. they could accommodate more than 600 homes or more than 10ha of employment land) and that are mainly or entirely on brownfield land would have a significant positive (++) effect. <p>In addition:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sites that are on greenfield land classed as high quality agricultural land (Grades 1, 2 or 3a) would have a significant negative (--) effect regardless of size. This will be uncertain (---?) if the site is within Grade 3 land, as only Grade 3a is classed as high quality but the 	<p>Stroud District Council site options</p> <p>Satellite imagery</p>

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
	GIS data available does not distinguish between Grades 3a and 3b.	
SA 14: To implement strategies that help mitigate global warming by actively reducing greenhouse gases and adapt to unavoidable climate change within the District.	The location of mixed use development will not affect the achievement of this objective – effects will depend largely on the detailed proposals for sites and their design, which are not known at this stage. The extent to which the location of mixed use sites would facilitate the use of sustainable modes of transport in place of cars is considered under SA objective 10 above. The likely effects of all mixed use site options on this objective are therefore negligible (0).	Stroud District Council site options
SA 15: To minimise the amount of waste produced, maximise the amount that is reused or recycled, and seek to recover energy from the largest proportion of the residual material, and achieve the sustainable management of waste.	<p>The effects of new mixed use development on waste generation will depend largely on resident’s behaviour, as well as the nature of any commercial activities onsite. However, where development takes place on previously developed land there may be opportunities to reuse onsite buildings and materials, thereby reducing waste generation. Therefore:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sites that are on brownfield land could have a minor positive (+?) effect on reducing waste generation although this is uncertain. • Sites that are on greenfield land would have a negligible (0) effect on reducing waste generation. 	Satellite imagery
SA 16: To deliver, maintain and enhance sustainable and diverse employment opportunities, to meet both current and future needs.	<p>Mixed use sites that incorporate both residential and employment development will have positive effects on this objective due to the nature of the development which would involve co-locating housing and job opportunities. Larger sites will have particularly positive effects. Therefore:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sites that would deliver more than 600 homes and 10ha of employment land will have a significant positive (++) effect. • Sites that would deliver fewer than 600 homes and/or less than 10ha of employment land will have a minor positive (+) effect. <p>New mixed used development at sites which currently accommodate some form of employment use may result in the loss of employment opportunities in the District, depending on the proportion of employment development which is to be provided at the mixed used sites which is unknown at this stage. As such, the effects of mixed use sites which are currently in employment use are uncertain.</p>	<p>GIS data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Settlement locations <p>Stroud District Settlement Hierarchy</p> <p>List of sites currently in employment use</p>
SA 17: To allow for sustainable economic growth within environmental limits	The effects of mixed use sites on this objective will depend partly on the access that they provide to existing educational facilities for residents of the site, although there are uncertainties as the effects will depend on there being capacity at those schools to	<p>GIS data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Secondary schools

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
<p>and innovation, an educated/skilled workforce and support the long term competitiveness of the District.</p>	<p>accommodate new pupils. New development could stimulate the provision of new schools/school placed, particularly larger sites, but this cannot be assumed at this stage. Therefore:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sites that are within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school may have a significant positive (++) effect. • Sites that are within 800m of one of either an existing primary or an existing secondary school (but not both), may have a minor positive (+?) effect. • Sites that are not within 800m of an existing school may have a minor negative (-?) effect. <p>In addition, the provision of employment development as part of mixed use sites could have positive effects on this objective as a result of providing new opportunities for work-based learning and skills development. This will particularly be the case at larger mixed use sites which could incorporate more commercial development. Therefore, which could lead to mixed effects overall:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sites that would deliver more than 10ha of employment land will have a significant positive (++) effect. • Sites that would deliver less than 10ha of employment land will have a minor positive (+) effect. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Primary schools <p>Stroud District Council site options</p>

Table A4.4: Assumptions for the appraisal of retail/community use site options

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
SA 1: To provide affordable, sustainable and decent housing to meet local needs.	The location of retail/community use sites will not have an effect on this SA objective; therefore all site options will have a negligible (0) effect.	Stroud District Council site options
SA 2: To maintain and improve the community's health with accessible healthcare for residents, including increasing levels of physical activity, especially among the young.	The location of retail/community use sites will not have an effect on this SA objective; therefore all site options will have a negligible (0) effect unless the site is proposed for a relevant use such as a healthcare facility, in which case a minor (+) or significant positive (++) effect will be identified as appropriate.	Stroud District Council site options
SA 3: To encourage social inclusion, equity, the promotion of equality and a respect for diversity and meet the challenge of a growing and ageing population	All sites proposed for retail and community uses will have a minor positive (+) effect on this SA objective regardless of their location, due to the nature of the proposed development.	Stroud District Council site options
SA 4: To reduce crime, anti-social behaviour and disorder and the fear of crime.	The effects of new development on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within development sites which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of development; rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site. Therefore, the effects of all of the retail/community use site options on this SA objective will be negligible (0).	Stroud District Council site options
SA 5: To create and sustain vibrant communities.	All sites proposed for retail and community uses will have a minor positive (+) effect on this SA objective regardless of their location, due to the nature of the proposed development.	Stroud District Council site options
SA 6: To maintain and improve access to all services and facilities.	Most sites proposed for retail and community uses will have a minor positive (+) effect on this SA objective, due to the nature of the proposed development. Sites within town centres will have particularly positive effects as they will help to protect and enhance the vitality and viability of those areas. Town centres are also generally accessible for more people via public	GIS data: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Town centre locations Stroud District Council site

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
	<p>transport. Therefore:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sites that are within a town centre will have a significant positive (++) effect. Sites that are not within a town centre will have a minor positive (+) effect. 	options
<p>SA 7: To create, protect, enhance, restore and connect habitats, species and/or sites of biodiversity or geological interest.</p>	<p>Development sites that are within close proximity of an international, national or local designated conservation site have the potential to affect the biodiversity or geodiversity of those sites/features, e.g. through habitat damage/loss, fragmentation, disturbance to species, air pollution, increased recreation pressure etc. Conversely, there may be opportunities to promote habitat connectivity if new developments include green infrastructure. Therefore, while proximity to designated sites provides an indication of the potential for an adverse effect, uncertainty exists, as appropriate mitigation may avoid adverse effects and may even result in beneficial effects. In addition, the potential impacts on biodiversity present on each site, or undesignated habitats and species adjacent to the potential development sites, cannot be determined at this strategic level of assessment. This would be determined once more specific proposals are developed and submitted as part of a planning application.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Retail/community use sites that are within 250m of one or more internationally or nationally designated biodiversity or geodiversity sites may have a significant negative (--?) effect. Retail/community use sites that are between 250m and 1km of one or more internationally or nationally designated biodiversity or geodiversity sites, or that are within 250m of a locally designated site may have a minor negative (-?) effect. Retail/community use sites that are more than 1km from any internationally or nationally designated biodiversity or geodiversity sites, or that are over 250m from a locally designated site could have a negligible (0?) effect. <p>In addition:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Retail/community use sites that contain an existing green infrastructure asset that could be lost as a result of new development may have a significant negative effect (--?) although this is currently uncertain as it may be possible to conserve or even enhance that asset through the design of the new development. 	<p>GIS data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ramsar sites SPAs SACs SSSIs NNRs Key wildlife sites Green spaces Country parks Protected outdoor playspaces
<p>SA 8: To conserve and enhance the local character and distinctiveness of landscapes and townscapes</p>	<p>A Landscape Sensitivity Assessment was carried out for Stroud District Council in December 2016 by White Consultants. It assessed the sensitivity of parcels of land located around the Tier 1, 2 and 3 settlements in the District. Development in more sensitive locations could have adverse impacts on the character and quality of the landscape, although effects will be</p>	<p>GIS data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> AONB <p>Landscape Character</p>

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
and provide sustainable access to countryside in the District.	<p>uncertain as they will also depend on factors such as the design of the development.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sites that are in an area of low sensitivity could have a negligible (0?) effect. Sites that are in an area of medium/low or medium sensitivity could have a minor negative (-?) effect. Sites that are in an area of medium/high or high sensitivity could have a significant negative (--?) effect. Sites in locations that are not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment would have an uncertain (?) effect. <p>In addition, proximity to the Cotswolds AONB can provide an indication of the potential for development to have adverse impacts on that designated landscape.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sites that are within the AONB could have a significant negative (--?) effect. Sites that are not within the AONB, but that are within 500m of it, could have a minor negative (-?) effect. 	Assessment
SA 9: To conserve and/or enhance the significant qualities, fabric, setting and accessibility of the District's historic environment.	<p>Retail/community use site options for the Stroud District Local Plan Review have been subject to a heritage assessment as part of the SALA process. Each site option has been attributed a score based on its sensitivity with respect to the historic environment.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sites that were screened out of the SALA heritage assessment because they have no heritage impacts, or sites that were assessed but scored '1' (i.e. that have no significant heritage constraints) would have a negligible (0) effect. Sites that are scored '2' (i.e. that have some impact on heritage interest) would have a minor negative (-) effect. Sites that are scored '3' or '4' (i.e. that have significant or very significant heritage constraints) would have a significant negative (--) effect. Sites that were not included in the SALA heritage assessment would have uncertain (?) effects. <p>For some sites, the SALA identified opportunities for sites to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development. For these sites, a potential but uncertain minor positive (+?) effect is identified. This could result in mixed effects overall.</p>	SALA heritage assessment
SA 10: To ensure that air quality continues to improve.	There are no existing Air Quality Management Areas in Stroud District. The effects of new retail/community use sites on this objective will therefore largely depend on the extent to which their location facilitates the use of sustainable transport in place of car travel. Town	GIS data: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Town centre locations

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
	<p>centres are generally accessible for more people via public transport. Therefore:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sites that are within a town centre will have a significant positive (++) effect. Sites that are not within a town centre will have a minor positive (+) effect. 	
<p>SA 11: To maintain and enhance the quality of ground and surface waters and to achieve sustainable water resources management in the District.</p>	<p>Levels of water consumption within new development will be determined by its design and onsite practices, rather than the location of the site. However, the location of development could affect water quality during construction depending on its proximity to Drinking Water Safeguarding Zones and Source Protection Zones¹⁵⁶. The extent to which water quality is affected would depend on construction techniques and the use of sustainable drainage systems (SuDS) within the design; therefore effects are uncertain at this stage.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Development within Drinking Water Safeguarding Zones and Source Protection Zones could result in significant negative (--?) effects on water quality although this is uncertain at this stage of assessment. Development outside of Drinking Water Safeguarding Zones and Source Protection Zones would have a negligible (0) effect. 	<p>GIS data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Drinking Water Safeguard Zones Source Protection Zones
<p>SA 12: To manage and reduce the risk of flooding and resulting detriment to public wellbeing, the economy and the environment.</p>	<p>The effects of new development on this SA objective will depend to some extent on its design, for example whether it incorporates SuDS, which is unknown and cannot be assessed at this stage. Where site options are located in areas of high flood risk, it could increase the risk of flooding in those areas (particularly if the sites are not previously developed) and would increase the number of people and assets at risk from flooding. National Planning Practice Guidance identifies which types of land uses are considered to be appropriate in Flood Zones 2, 3a and 3b. Buildings used for retail and community uses are classed as either 'more vulnerable' or 'less vulnerable', depending on their exact use which is not known at this stage, Therefore, on a precautionary basis:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sites that are entirely or mainly (i.e. >50%) on greenfield land that is within flood zones 3a or 3b are likely to have a significant negative (--) effect. Sites that are either entirely or mainly on greenfield outside of flood zones 3a and 3b, or that are entirely or mainly on brownfield within flood zones 3a or 3b are likely to have a minor negative (-) effect. Sites that are on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b are likely to have a 	<p>GIS data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Flood Zones Satellite imagery

¹⁵⁶ As the consideration of Nitrate Vulnerable Zones (NVZs) is most appropriate for agricultural related development it was not considered appropriate to include the proximity of residential development to these areas within the assumptions.

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
	negligible (0) effect.	
SA 13: To improve efficiency in land use and protection of soil quality through the re-use of previously developed land and existing buildings and encouraging urban renaissance.	<p>Development on brownfield land represents more efficient use of land in comparison to the development of greenfield sites. However, retail/community use sites are likely to be relatively small in scale. Therefore:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Retail/community use sites that are mainly or entirely (i.e. >50%) on greenfield land classed as Grade 1 or 2 agricultural land would have a significant negative (--) effect. • Retail/community use sites that are mainly or entirely (i.e. >50%) on greenfield land classed as Grade 3 agricultural land may have a significant negative (--?) effect although this is uncertain depending on whether the land is Grade 3a or 3b which cannot be determined at this stage. • Retail/community use sites that are mainly or entirely on greenfield land classed as Grade 4 or 5 agricultural land, or urban land, would have a minor negative (-) effect. • Retail/community use sites that are mainly or entirely on brownfield land would have a minor positive (+) effect. 	Satellite imagery
SA 14: To implement strategies that help mitigate global warming by actively reducing greenhouse gases and adapt to unavoidable climate change within the District.	The location of retail/community use sites will not affect the achievement of this objective – effects will depend largely on the detailed proposals for sites and their design, which are not known at this stage. The likely effects of all retail/community use site options on this objective are therefore negligible (0).	Stroud District Council site options
SA 15: To minimise the amount of waste produced, maximise the amount that is reused or recycled, and seek to recover energy from the largest proportion of the residual material, and achieve the sustainable management of waste.	<p>The effects of new development on waste generation will depend largely on people’s behaviour. However, where development takes place on previously developed land there may be opportunities to reuse onsite buildings and materials, thereby reducing waste generation. Therefore:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sites that are on brownfield land could have a minor positive (+?) effect on reducing waste generation although this is uncertain. • Sites that are on greenfield land would have a negligible (0) effect on reducing waste generation. 	Satellite imagery
SA 16: To deliver, maintain and enhance sustainable and diverse employment opportunities, to meet both	Retail/community use site options are expected to provide some employment opportunities, although these are unlikely to be significant in scale. Therefore, the likely effects of all site options, regardless of their location, will be minor positive (+).	Stroud District Council site options

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
current and future needs.		
SA 17: To allow for sustainable economic growth within environmental limits and innovation, an educated/skilled workforce and support the long term competitiveness of the District.	Retail/community use site options are expected to provide some employment opportunities which could have associated opportunities for work-based learning and skills development, although these are unlikely to be significant in scale. Therefore, the likely effects of all site options, regardless of their location, will be minor positive (+).	Stroud District Council site options

Table A4.5: Assumptions for the appraisal of open space site options

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
SA 1: To provide affordable, sustainable and decent housing to meet local needs.	The location of open space allocations will not have an effect on this SA objective; therefore all open space site options will have a negligible (0) effect.	Stroud District Council site options
SA 2: To maintain and improve the community's health with accessible healthcare for residents, including increasing levels of physical activity, especially among the young.	The provision of new open space sites will benefit public health by providing areas for active outdoor recreation; therefore all open space site options will have a minor positive (+) effect.	Stroud District Council site options
SA 3: To encourage social inclusion, equity, the promotion of equality and a respect for diversity and meet the challenge of a growing and ageing population	The location of open space allocations will not have an effect on this SA objective; therefore all open space site options will have a negligible (0) effect.	Stroud District Council site options
SA 4: To reduce crime, anti-social behaviour and disorder and the fear of crime.	The effects of open space allocations on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as design and the use of appropriate lighting, which could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of open spaces. Therefore, the effects of all of the open space site options on this SA objective will be negligible (0).	N Stroud District Council site options
SA 5: To create and sustain vibrant communities.	The allocation of new open space will benefit residential amenity and should enhance peoples' satisfaction with their neighbourhoods. Therefore, all open space site options will have a minor positive (+) effect.	Stroud District Council site options
SA 6: To maintain and improve access to all services and facilities.	The location of open space allocations will not have an effect on this SA objective; therefore all open space site options will have a negligible (0) effect.	Stroud District Council site options
SA 7: To create, protect, enhance, restore and connect habitats, species and/or sites	The allocation of new open space sites will benefit biodiversity by creating new habitat, avoiding habitat fragmentation and potentially improving habitat connectivity. Open space allocations will also prevent those areas being used for built development, which could	Stroud District Council site options

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
of biodiversity or geological interest.	otherwise have adversely impacted on biodiversity. Therefore, all open space site options will have a minor positive (+) effect.	
SA 8: To conserve and enhance the local character and distinctiveness of landscapes and townscapes and provide sustainable access to countryside in the District.	The allocation of new open space sites may benefit the landscape by improving the setting of built development. However, effects will be uncertain depending on the nature of the open space and the setting. Open space allocations will also prevent those areas being used for built development, which could otherwise have adversely impacted on the landscape. All open space site options could therefore have a minor positive (+?) effect.	Stroud District Council site options
SA 9: To conserve and/or enhance the significant qualities, fabric, setting and accessibility of the District's historic environment.	The allocation of new open space sites could benefit the historic environment by improving the setting of built heritage. However, effects will be uncertain depending on the nature of the open space and their proximity to heritage features. Open space allocations will also prevent those areas being used for built development, which could otherwise have adversely impacted on cultural heritage. All open space site options could therefore have a minor positive (+?) effect.	Stroud District Council site options
SA 10: To ensure that air quality continues to improve.	Although provision of open space may help to mitigate air pollution if trees are provided within the open space, the location of open space allocations will not have an effect on this SA objective; therefore all open space site options will have a negligible (0) effect.	Stroud District Council site options
SA 11: To maintain and enhance the quality of ground and surface waters and to achieve sustainable water resources management in the District.	Although provision of open space could benefit water quality and water retention if permeable surfaces are provided, or if SuDS are included in the design of the open space, the location of open space allocations will not have an effect on this SA objective; therefore all open space site options will have a negligible (0) effect.	Stroud District Council site options
SA 12: To manage and reduce the risk of flooding and resulting detriment to public wellbeing, the economy and the environment.	The allocation of new open space sites could benefit flood risk by increasing the area of permeable surfaces and facilitating infiltration, particularly where they are in areas of higher flood risk. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Open space site options within flood zones 3a or 3b will have a significant positive (++) effect. Open space site options outside of flood zones 3a and 3b will have a minor positive (+) effect. 	GIS data: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Flood Zones
SA 13: To improve efficiency	The location of open space allocations will not have an effect on this SA objective; therefore	Stroud District Council site

SA Objective	Assumption	Data Source
in land use and protection of soil quality through the re-use of previously developed land and existing buildings and encouraging urban renaissance.	all open space site options will have a negligible (0) effect.	options
SA 14: To implement strategies that help mitigate global warming by actively reducing greenhouse gases and adapt to unavoidable climate change within the District.	The location of open space allocations will not have an effect on this SA objective; therefore all open space site options will have a negligible (0) effect.	Stroud District Council site options
SA 15: To minimise the amount of waste produced, maximise the amount that is reused or recycled, and seek to recover energy from the largest proportion of the residual material, and achieve the sustainable management of waste.	The location of open space allocations will not have an effect on this SA objective; therefore all open space site options will have a negligible (0) effect.	Stroud District Council site options
SA 16: To deliver, maintain and enhance sustainable and diverse employment opportunities, to meet both current and future needs.	The location of open space allocations will not have an effect on this SA objective; therefore all open space site options will have a negligible (0) effect.	Stroud District Council site options
SA 17: To allow for sustainable economic growth within environmental limits and innovation, an educated/skilled workforce and support the long term competitiveness of the District.	The location of open space allocations will not have an effect on this SA objective; therefore all open space site options will have a negligible (0) effect.	Stroud District Council site options

Appendix 5

Detailed SA Matrices for the Site Options

Residential site options

BER002: Garage court r/o Marybrook Street car park, Berkeley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 5 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	This site is located within 400m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is located within 400m of cycle route and a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 59 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Rigestate, Station Road).
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

BER003: Former Berkeley hospital site, Berkeley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 12 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	This site is located within 400m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is located within 400m of cycle route and a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 58 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Rigestate, Station Road).
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

BER004: Land to the rear of Canonbury Street, Berkeley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 188 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	This site is located within 400m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is located within 400m of cycle route and a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 250m of Berkeley Heath Water Meadows KWS and within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 74 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Rigestate, Station Road) and is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

BER005: Land off Fitzhardinge Way, Berkeley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 45 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	This site is located within 400m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is located within 400m of cycle route and a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 59 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Rigestate, Station Road) and is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

BER006: Land north of Berkeley and south west of bypass, Berkeley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 110 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	This site is located between 400m and 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is located within 400m of cycle route and a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 76 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Rigestate, Station Road) and is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

BER011: Land between B4066 and Station Road

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 50 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	This site is located between 400m and 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is located within 400m of cycle route and a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is 250m of Tintock Wood (including Pitbrook Brake & Penny Grove) KWS and within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 74 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Rigestate, Station Road) and is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

BER014: Land East of Berkeley Heath Motors filling station, Berkeley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 8 homes.
SA 2: Health	-	This site is not located within close proximity of a GP, an area of open space, or a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not at or adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is located outside of Berkeley).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not assessed in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	?	The site was not included in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

BIS001: Graduate Gardeners, Bisley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 10 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium/low sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 76 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

BIS002: Land off Calway Lane, Bisley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 5 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site scored 1 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 76 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

BRI004: Land off Dalloway, Brimscombe

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 78 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space, a council play area and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and Minchinhampton and Rodborough Common SSSIs. It is also within 250m of a number of KWSSs.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not assessed in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 86 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Phoenix Industrial Estate; Hope Mills Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

BRI005: Land north-east of London Road, Brimscombe

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 10 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space, a council play area and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and Minchinhampton and Rodborough Common SSSIs. It is also within 250m of a number of KWSSs.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not assessed in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 63 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Phoenix Industrial Estate; Hope Mills Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

BRI007: Land south of Bourne Lane, Brimscombe

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 25 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space, a council play area and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and Minchinhampton and Rodborough Common SSSIs. The site is also within 250m of Hillsdon Meadows KWS, Stroudwater Canal - Brimscombe Port KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium – medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 86 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Phoenix Industrial Estate; Hope Mills Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

BRI008: Brimscombe Mills & Mill Pond, Brimscombe

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 30 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space, a council play area and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and Minchinhampton and Rodborough Common SSSIs. The site is also within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Brimscombe Port KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 68 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Phoenix Industrial Estate; Hope Mills Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

BRI010: Brimscombe Farm, Brimscombe

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 20 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space, a council play area and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and Minchinhampton and Rodborough Common SSSIs. The site is also within 250m of Hillsdon Meadows KWS, Stroudwater Canal - Brimscombe Port KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 60 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Hope Mills Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

BRI011: Dockyard Works, Brimscombe

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 30 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also within 250m of Hillsdon Meadows KWS, Stroudwater Canal - Brimscombe Port KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 67 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Hope Mills Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

BRI012: Val D'Or Works, Brimscombe

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 20 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also within 250m of Knapp Farm Meadows and Alder Grove KWS, Blackness Banks KWS, Stroudwater Canal - Brimscombe Port KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	-/+?	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 66 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

BRI013: Queens Court, Brimscombe

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 30 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also within 250m of Knapp Farm Meadows and Alder Grove KWS, Blackness Banks KWS, Stroudwater Canal - Brimscombe Port KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 66 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

BRI014: Land North of Queens Court, Brimscombe

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 33 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also within 250m of several KWSs.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 68 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

BRI015: Land east of Toadsmoor Road, Brimscombe

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 21 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also within 250m of Lawrenceland KWS, Blackness Banks KWS, and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 64 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

BRI016: Land at Gussage Mill, Brimscombe

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 8 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also within 250m of Lawrenceland KWS, Blackness Banks KWS, and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 64 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

BRI017: Land at Bowbridge Lock, Brimscombe

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 67 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement (Thrupp, although the site address is Brimscombe).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. The site is also within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Bowbridge and Thrupp KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 56 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Phoenix Industrial Estate; Griffin Mills Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

BRI019: Quarry Hill Farm Fields, Brimscombe

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 42 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement (Thrupp, although the site address is Brimscombe).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. The site is also within 250m of Claypits Wood (South) KWS, Stroudwater Canal - Bowbridge and Thrupp KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 54 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Phoenix Industrial Estate; Griffin Mills Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

BRI020: Field on the east side of London Road, Brimscombe

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 60 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement (Thrupp, although the site address is Brimscombe).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. The site is also within 250m of Claypits Wood (South) KWS, Stroudwater Canal - Bowbridge and Thrupp KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 55 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Phoenix Industrial Estate; Griffin Mills Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

BRI022: Lakeside Depot, Brimscombe

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 20 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and Minchinhampton and Rodborough Common SSSIs. The site is also within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Bowbridge and Thrupp KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 64 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Phoenix Industrial Estate; Hope Mills Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

BRO001: Land south east of Pear Tree Cottage, Brookthorpe

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 9 homes.
SA 2: Health	-	This site is not located within close proximity of a GP, an area of open space, or a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is located outside of Brookthorpe).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is however within 250m of Upper Wells Fields KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 80 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

CAM001: Cam Sports Club, Everlands, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 89 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace, but also contains a green space and a protected outdoor playspace which could be lost to development. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m-1km of Stinchcombe Hill SSSI. It is also within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. There are existing GI assets (a green space and a protected outdoor playspace) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 63 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Cam Mills, Everlands) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

CAM003: Upthorpe Farm, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 300 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 85 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Cam Mills, Everlands) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

CAM004: 14 St. George's Close, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 8 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 55 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Cam Mills, Everlands; Littlecombe Business Park) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

CAM005: Land east of Old Court, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 25 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 55 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Littlecombe Business Park) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing secondary school but is not within 800m of an existing primary school.

CAM006: Land south of Old Court, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 50 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 77 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Littlecombe Business Park) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing secondary school but is not within 800m of an existing primary school.

CAM007: Land at Norman Hill playing fields, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 32 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains a green space which could be lost to development. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m-1km of Stinchcombe Hill SSSI. It is also within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. There is an existing GI asset (green space) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 76 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Cam Mills, Everlands; Littlecombe Business Park) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

CAM008: Land adjacent to Tilsdown House, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 16 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Stinchcombe Hill SSSI. It is also within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 58 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of key employment sites (Cam Mills, Everlands; Draycott / Middle Mill Industrial Estate).
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

CAM009: 4 Tilsdown/ Indoor & Outdoor, Tilsdown, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 11 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Stinchcombe Hill SSSI. It is also within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 58 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+/--	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of key employment sites (Cam Mills, Everlands; Draycott / Middle Mill Industrial Estate). The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

CAM010: Land off Elsteb Lane, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 215 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Stinchcombe Hill SSSI. It is also within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 82 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Draycott / Middle Mill Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

CAM011: Land parcel to south west of Manor Close, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 100 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium/low – high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 66 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Draycott / Middle Mill Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

CAM013: Land west of Draycott Crescent, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 375 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium/low sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 89 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 and Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Draycott / Middle Mill Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

CAM015: Land west of Cam and Dursley Train Station, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 30 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not at or adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is outside of Cam).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 68 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Draycott / Middle Mill Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

CAM016: Land north east of Box Road Avenue, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 90 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not at or adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is outside of Cam).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 88 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Draycott / Middle Mill Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

CAM021: Land east of Court House Gardens, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 265 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 80 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Cam Mills, Everlands; Draycott / Middle Mill Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

CAM022: Street Farm, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 28 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 55 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Littlecombe Business Park) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+++	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

CAM023: Land adjacent to Orchard Leaze, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 5 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 85 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

CAM024: Land at Bowlers Lea, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 50 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 63 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Draycott / Middle Mill Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

CAM025: Land NW Cam, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 175 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not at or adjoin a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is outside of Cam).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium/low sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 90 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 and Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Draycott / Middle Mill Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

CAM026: Land west of A4135 Draycott, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 210 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium/low sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 86 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 and Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Draycott / Middle Mill Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

Broad Location CAM A: South west of Manor Close, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 146 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium/low sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	Most of this broad area lies on site which was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 66 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is mostly within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Draycott / Middle Mill Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

Broad Location CAM E: East of River Cam, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 265 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This location was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	Much of the site scored 83 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Cam Mills, Everlands; Draycott / Middle Mill Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

CBR002: Land west of Greenacre, Cambridge

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 16 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 68 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

CBR003: Land rear of Caterham House, Cambridge

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 3 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 72 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

CHA001: Land west of Middle Hill, Chalford

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 20 homes.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is located between 400m and 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is however within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 64 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Chalford Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+++	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

COA001: Betworthy Farm, Coaley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 19 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 80 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

COA002: Land at Coaley, Coaley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 5 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 94 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

Broad Location COA A: Land between Betworthy Farm and The Close, Coaley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 19 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This location scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 80 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

CRA001: Simmonds Hall Farm Field, Cranham

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 51 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not at or adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is outside of Cranham).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	This site is within 250m of the Cotswold Commons and Beechwoods SSSI and NNR.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 90 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

DUR001: Land behind Kingshill House, Dursley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 12 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains all of these features which could be lost to development. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m-1km of Stinchcombe Hill SSSI. It is also within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. There are existing GI assets (a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 54 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Littlecombe Business Park) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+++	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

DUR002: Land off Acacia Drive/ Oak Drive, Dursley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 15 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains a council play area which could be lost to development. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m-1km of Stinchcombe Hill SSSI. It is also within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. There is an existing GI asset (council play area) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 51 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Littlecombe Business Park) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

DUR005: Land north of Brownings Lane, Dursley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 10 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is located between 400m and 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Trail route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 72 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Littlecombe Business Park) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+++	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

DUR006: 13 and 23 Bull Pitch, Dursley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 5 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is located between 400m and 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Trail route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 52 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Littlecombe Business Park).
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

DUR007: Henlow House/ 54 - 60 Silver Street, Dursley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 20 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is located within 400m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Trail route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 52 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+/--	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Littlecombe Business Park). The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing secondary school but is not within 800m of an existing primary school.

DUR008: 18 Woodmancote, Dursley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 10 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is located between 400m and 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Trail route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is however within 250m of Hermitage Wood KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	-/+?	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 53 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Littlecombe Business Park).
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

DUR009: Land at 27 - 29 Uley Road, Dursley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 20 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is located between 400m and 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Trail route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 59 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

DUR010: Land south and east of Downham View, Durlsey

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 50 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is however within 250m of Dursley Woods KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 85 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

DUR011: Land at Castle Stream Farm, Dursley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 15 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is however within 250m of Dursley Woods KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 89 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

DUR012: Castle Stream Farm, Dursley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 10 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not at or adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is outside of Dursley).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is however within 250m of Dursley Woods KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 85 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

DUR013: Land east of Shakespeare Road, Dursley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 100 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is however within 250m of Gravelpits Wood KWS and Dursley Woods KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 69 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

DUR014: Recreation ground east of School Road, Dursley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 38 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains all of these features which could be lost to development. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. There are existing GI assets (a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 85 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

DUR017: The Old Dairy/ Land off Prospect Place, Dursley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 12 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is located within 400m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Trail route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Stinchcombe Hill SSSI and within 250m of Hermitage Wood KWS. It is also within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site scored 48 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Littlecombe Business Park) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing secondary school but is not within 800m of an existing primary school.

DUR020: Blackboys Farm, Dursley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 322 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Trail route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Stinchcombe Hill SSSI and within 250m of Sheep Path, Westfield and Bownace Woods KWS. It is also within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 78 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Littlecombe Business Park) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

DUR021: Land to the rear of the police station, Dursley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 10 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains a green space which could be lost to development. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Stinchcombe Hill SSSI. It is also within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. There is an existing GI asset (green space) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 50 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Littlecombe Business Park) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+++	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

DUR022: 11/11a May Lane, Dursley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 5 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is located within 400m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Trail route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Stinchcombe Hill SSSI. The site is within 250m of Sheep Path, Westfield and Bownace Woods KWS and Hermitage Wood KWS. It is also within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site scored 48 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Littlecombe Business Park).
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing secondary school but is not within 800m of an existing primary school.

Broad Location DUR A: North of Ganzell Lane, Dursley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 50 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is however within 250m of Gravelpits Wood KWS and Dursley Woods KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This location was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	Much of the site scored 85 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

EAS001: Land to rear of Alkerton garage, Eastington

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 155 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 89 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Meadow Mill Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

EAS003: Alkerton Farm, Eastington

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 40 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains all of these features which could be lost to development. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of Wickster's Brook and Ditch (part) KWS. There are existing GI assets (a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium – high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 89 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Meadow Mill Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

EAS004: Land south east of Swallowcroft, Eastington

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 120 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium/low sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 89 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Meadow Mill Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

EAS005: Land to north of Millend Land, Eastington

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 24 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 71 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Meadow Mill Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

EAS007: Land at Junction 13 of the M5, Eastington

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site has capacity for 1263 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is outside of Eastington).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Stonehouse KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium/low – medium – high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 90 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Meadow Mill Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

EAS008: Land at Chipmans Platt, Eastington

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 32 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is outside of Eastington).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Stonehouse KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium – high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 71 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Meadow Mill Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

EAS009: Land west of Nupend, Eastington

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 371 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is not located within 800m of an open space. The site is located within 400m of a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is outside of Eastington).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of Mole Grove KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 95 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of key employment sites (Meadow Mill Industrial Estate; Stroudwater Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

EAS011: Land at Nupend (Parcel A), Eastington

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 135 homes.
SA 2: Health	-	This site is not located within close proximity of a GP, an area of open space, or a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is outside of Eastington).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 95 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Stroudwater Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

EAS012: Land at South View, Eastington

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 6 homes.
SA 2: Health	-	This site is not located within close proximity of a GP, an area of open space, or a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is outside of Eastington).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 96 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Stroudwater Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

EAS013: Land at Westend Farm, Eastington

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 140 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is not located within 800m of an open space. The site is located within 400m of a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is outside of Eastington).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of Mole Grove KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 92 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Meadow Mill Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

EAS014: Land north of Westend Farm, Eastington

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site has capacity for 1049 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is not located within 800m of an open space. The site is located within 400m of a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is outside of Eastington).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of Mole Grove KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 93 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of key employment sites (Meadow Mill Industrial Estate; Stroudwater Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

EAS015: Land at Claypits

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 77 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is outside of Eastington).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 73 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

Broad Location EAS A: South east of Swallowcroft, Eastington

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 45 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium/low sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This location scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 89 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Meadow Mill Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

Broad Location EAS B1: South of Alkerton:South of Bath Road, Eastington

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 40 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 89 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Meadow Mill Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

FRA001: Old Dairy site, Frampton

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 21 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is located within 400m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Cycle Network route and a cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Frampton Pools SSSI. It is also within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 85 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Frampton Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

FRA004: Fields northwest of Whitminster Lane, Frampton

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 80 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is located within 400m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Cycle Network route and a cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Frampton Pools SSSI and within 250m of Saul Gravel Pits KWS and Gloucester and Sharpness Canal KWS. It is also within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 85 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Frampton Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

FRL001: Land south of Bakers Farm, France Lynch

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 5 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is outside of France Lynch).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Strawberry Banks SSSI. It is also within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 78 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

HAR001: Land at Hardwicke, Hardwicke

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site has capacity for 1500 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains a green space and a protected outdoor playspace which could be lost to development. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of Gloucester and Sharpness Canal KWS. There are existing GI assets (a green space and a protected outdoor playspace) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium/low – medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 83 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Quedgeley West; Hunts Grove / Quadrant Distribution Centre) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

HAR002: Land at Church Lane, Hardwicke

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 24 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is separate from the main built up area of Hardwicke).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of Gloucester and Sharpness Canal KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 89 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Quedgeley West) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

HAR004: SA4 Hunts Grove Extension, Hardwicke

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 750 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is separate from the main built up area of Hardwicke).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 89 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Quedgeley West; Quedgeley Trading Estate East; Hunts Grove / Quadrant Distribution Centre) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

HAR005: Land East of Waterwells Business Park, Hardwicke

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 23 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 69 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Hunts Grove / Quadrant Distribution Centre) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

HAR007: Land at Hiltmead Lane, Hardwicke

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site has capacity for 823 homes.
SA 2: Health	-	This site is not located within close proximity of a GP, an area of open space, or a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is separate from the main built up area of Hardwicke).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 96 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 and Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Quedgeley Trading Estate East; Javelin Park) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

HAR008: Land at Parkend Farm, Hardwicke

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 312 homes.
SA 2: Health	-	This site is not located within close proximity of a GP, an area of open space, or a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is separate from the main built up area of Hardwicke).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 85 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Javelin Park) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

HAR010: Land on east side of M5 at Moreton Valence, Hardwicke

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 247 homes.
SA 2: Health	-	This site is not located within close proximity of a GP, an area of open space, or a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is separate from the main built up area of Hardwicke).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	?	The site was not included in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 and Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Javelin Park) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

HAR011: Land on west side of M5 at Moreton Valence, Hardwicke

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 62 homes.
SA 2: Health	-	This site is not located within close proximity of a GP, an area of open space, or a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is separate from the main built up area of Hardwicke).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	?	The site was not included in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

HAR012: Land at M5 Junction 12, Hardwicke

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 19 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is separate from the main built up area of Hardwicke).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	?	The site was not included in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Quedgeley West; Quedgeley Trading Estate East; Javelin Park) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

HAR014: Mayos Land Phase 3, Hardwicke

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 20 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium/low sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 64 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Quedgeley West; Hunts Grove / Quadrant Distribution Centre) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

HFD001: Land west of the railway, Harefield

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 25 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fifth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 88 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Quedgeley Trading Estate East) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of Haresfield C of E Primary School but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

HFD002: Land to east of Round House Farm, Haresfield

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 12 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fifth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 88 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Quedgeley Trading Estate East) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

HFD003: Land north of Starsmead House, Haresfield

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 34 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fifth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 88 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Quedgeley Trading Estate East) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

HFD004: Land to north of Merryfields & Upper Green Cottage, Haresfield

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 28 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fifth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 88 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Quedgeley Trading Estate East) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

HFD005: Land south and east of Haresfield Playing Field, Haresfield

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 80 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fifth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 89 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

HFD006: Former Walled Garden to Haresfield court, Haresfield

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 14 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fifth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 96 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Javelin Park) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

HIL001: Land to the west of Hawkesbury Road, Hillesley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 42 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fifth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Yarley Meadows SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 97 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 and Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

HOR001: Land west of St Martin's Church, Horsley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 3 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Kingscote and Horsley Woods SSSI. It is also located within 250m of Horsley Wood Banks KWS and Sandgrove Cottages and Hartley Bridge Wood KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 77 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

HOR002: Fields south of Wormwood Hill, Horsley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 5 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Kingscote and Horsley Woods SSSI. It is also located within 250m of Horsley Wood Banks KWS, Hartley Bridge Wood (Park Wood) KWS and Sandgrove Cottages and Hartley Bridge Wood KWS
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 72 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

Broad Location HOR A: South of The Street, Horsley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 3 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Kingscote and Horsley Woods SSSI. It is also located within 250m of Sandgrove Cottages and Hartley Bridge Wood KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This location was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 77 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

KST001: Land to the north of Kings Stanley Primary School, Kings Stanley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 15 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Cycle Network route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is however located within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium – high sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 84 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Ryeford Industrial Area) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

KST002: Land opposite King's Stanley Primary School, Kings Stanley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 100 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/--?	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Cycle Network route but also contains a National Trail which could be lost to development.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m-1km of Selsey Common SSSI and within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS. It is also within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. There is an existing GI asset (National Trail) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 84 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Ryeford Industrial Area) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

KST003: Land to rear of Borough Close, Kings Stanley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 20 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is however located within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium – high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 82 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

KST004: Land rear of 24-30 Borough Close, Kings Stanley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 10 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is however located within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 67 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

KST006: Rear of Borough Close, Kings Stanley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 16 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is however located within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 69 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

KIN001: Land north of Pennwood Lodge, Kingswood

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 131 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is however located within 250m of Nind Trout Farm and Ozleworth Brook KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 81 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Abbey Mill Industrial Area; Orchestra Works) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+++	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

KIN002: Land south of Vineyard Lane, Kingswood

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 71 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is however located within 250m of Nind Trout Farm and Ozleworth Brook KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 60 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Abbey Mill Industrial Area; Orchestra Works) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

KIN003: Land south of 13 & 15 Hillesley Road, Kingswood

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 21 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 86 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Orchestra Works) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

KIN004: Land at Hillesley Road, Kingswood

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 50 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 86 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Abbey Mill Industrial Area; Orchestra Works) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

KIN005: Land at Cloverlea Barn, Kingswood

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 62 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 84 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Abbey Mill Industrial Area; Orchestra Works) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

KIN007: Land off Charfield Road, Kingswood

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 95 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 60 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Abbey Mill Industrial Area; Orchestra Works) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

KIN008: Land north of Charfield Road, Kingswood

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 150 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is outside of Kingswood).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 91 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Abbey Mill Industrial Area; Renishaw, New Mills; Orchestra Works) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

KIN009: Neathwood Yard, Kingswood

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 6 homes.
SA 2: Health	-	This site is not located within close proximity of a GP, an area of open space, or a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is outside of Kingswood).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	?	The site was not included in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

KIN010: Land and yard at Walk Mill Lane, Kingswood

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 100 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is however located within 250m of Nind Trout Farm and Ozleworth Brook KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 67 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+/--	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Abbey Mill Industrial Area; Orchestra Works) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

KIN011: Land south of Westfield House, Kingswood

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 10 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 84 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Abbey Mill Industrial Area; Orchestra Works) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

LE0001: Land at Bath Road, Leonard Stanley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 15 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is also located within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 61 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 and Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of key employment sites (Upper Mills Industrial Estate; Ryeford Industrial Area) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

LE0002: Brimley, Leonard Stanley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 97 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Trail route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also located within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 67 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of key employment sites (Upper Mills Industrial Estate; Ryeford Industrial Area) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

LE0004: Land to the north of Bath Road, Leonard Stanley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 60 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also located within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 68 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 and Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Upper Mills Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

LE0005: Land at East Grange, Leonard Stanley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 120 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also located within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 66 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 and Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Upper Mills Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

LE0007: Land at Leonard Stanley, Leonard Stanley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 28 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also located within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 67 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Ryeford Industrial Area) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

Broad Location LEO B: Severn Waters, Leonard Stanley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 60 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also located within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 68 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 and Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

MAN001: Manor Farm, Manor Village

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 5 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 61 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+++	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

MAN002: Land at Middle Hill, Eastcombe, Manor Village

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 18 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	The site is not located between 400m and 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspac but also contains a green space which could be lost to development. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. There is an existing GI asset (green space) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 64 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+++	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

MAN003: Frith Wood House & Cottage, Manor Village

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 19 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is located within 400m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also located within 250m of Frith Wood (Bussage) KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 66 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Chalford Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

Broad Location MAN A: East of Middle Hill, Manor Village

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 18 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains both of these features which could be lost to development. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. There are existing GI assets (a green space and a protected outdoor playspace) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium – high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 64 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

MID001: Land north of Coldwell Close, Middleyard

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 34 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Selsey Common SSSI and within 250m of River Frome Mainstream & Tributaries KWS. It is also within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 69 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

MIN001: Land off 17 Butt Street, Minchinhampton

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 34 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/--?	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains a greenspace which could be lost to development. There is a cycle route within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Minchinhampton Common SSSI. It is also within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. There is an existing GI asset (green space) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 62 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

MIN002: Land at The Knapp, Minchinhampton

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 24 homes.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Minchinhampton Common SSSI and within 250m of Besbury Common KWS. It is also within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 65 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

MIN003: Land East of Besbury Park, Minchinhampton

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 78 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. It is also within 250m of Besbury Common KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 90 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

MIN004: Field 0013, Cirencester Road, Minchinhampton

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 50 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 89 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

MIN005: Land at Glebe Farm, Minchinhampton

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 105 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle route within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Minchinhampton Common SSSI. It is also within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 84 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

MIN006: The Lemon Field, Minchinhampton

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 12 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle route within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Minchinhampton Common SSSI. It is also within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 61 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

MIN007: Land off Common Road, Minchinhampton

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 228 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains a council play area and a protected outdoor space which could be lost to development. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Minchinhampton Common SSSI and within 250m-1km of Box Farm Meadows SSSI. It is also within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. There are existing GI assets (a council play area and a protected outdoor space) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium – high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 68 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

MIN008: Seymour House/ Westfield Cottage, Minchinhampton

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 5 homes.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Minchinhampton Common SSSI and within 250m-1km of Box Farm Meadows SSSI and Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 87 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

MIN009: Field north east of Deans Quarry, Minchinhampton

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 11 homes.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is outside of Minchenhampton).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Minchinhampton Common SSSI and within 250m-1km of Box Farm Meadows SSSI and Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	?	The site was not included in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Hope Mills Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

MIN013: Land to rear of Tranquility, Houndscroft, Minchinhampton

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 26 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is outside of Minchenhampton).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI and Minchinhampton Common SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	?	The site was not included in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of key employment sites (Phoenix Industrial Estate; Griffin Mills Industrial Estate; Hope Mills Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

NAI001: Garden land on the west side of Wood Lane, Nailsworth

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 9 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Minchinhampton Common SSSI and within 250m of Nailsworth Brook KWS. The site is also located within 3.0km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 60 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone and a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Nailsworth Mill Industrial Estate; Spring Mill Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

NAI004: Between Old Bristol Rd & Bath Rd, Nailsworth

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 8 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Minchinhampton Common SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 59 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone and a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Nailsworth Mill Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

NAI006: Land between Worley Ridge & New Market Rd, Nailsworth

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 10 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Minchinhampton Common SSSI. The site is also located within 3.0km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 73 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Nailsworth Mill Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

NAI007: Land adjacent to Lower Newmarket Road, Nailsworth

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 9 homes.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Woodchester Park SSSI and within 250m of Bunting Hill KWS. The site is also located within 3.0km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 80 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

NAI008: The New Lawn, Nailsworth

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 150 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. However, the site contains a protected outdoor playspace which could be lost to development. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Woodchester Park SSSI and within 250m of High Wood and Bowlas Wood KWS and Bunting Hill KWS. The site is also located within 3.0km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 64 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Inchbrook Industrial Estate).
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

NAI009: Land north of Nortonwood, Nailsworth

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 47 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Woodchester Park SSSI. The site is also located within 3.0km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 83 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Inchbrook Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

NAI010: Land between Northfield Road & Stroud Road, Nailsworth

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 62 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Woodchester Park SSSI and Minchinhampton Common SSSI. The site is also located within 250m of Nailsworth Brook KWS. It is located within 3.0km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 78 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Inchbrook Industrial Estate; Nailsworth Mill Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

NAI011: Land off Jubilee Road, Nailsworth

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 30 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Woodchester Park SSSI and Minchinhampton Common SSSI. The site is also located within 250m of Nailsworth Brook KWS. It is located within 3.0km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 78 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Inchbrook Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

NAI012: Land north of Nympsfield Road/Nortonwood Junction, Nailsworth

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 40 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Woodchester Park SSSI and within 250m of High Wood & Bowlas Wood KWS. The site is also located within 3.0km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 63 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Inchbrook Industrial Estate).
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

NPT001: Land south of Newport Towers Hotel, Newport

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 6 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	?	The site was not included in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

NEW003a: Land south of primary school, Newtown and Sharpness

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 50 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 77 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Severn Distribution Park) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

NEW003b: Land at Saniger Lane, Newtown and Sharpness

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 110 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is outside of Newtown and Sharpness).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 85 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Severn Distribution Park) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

NEW005: Land to the north of Oakfield Way, Newtown and Sharpness

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 18 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site and within 250m of Sharpness Docks KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 74 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Severn Distribution Park) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

NEW006: Land at Focus School-Berkeley Campus, Station Road, Newtown and Sharpness

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 150 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/--?	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains a protected outdoor play space which could be lost to development. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is outside of Newtown and Sharpness).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of Tintock Wood (including Pitbrook Brake & Penny Grove) KWS. There is an existing GI asset (protected outdoor playspace) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 74 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Rigestate, Station Road) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

NIB001: Land south west of 19 Highlands Drive, North Nibley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 20 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Nibley Knoll SSSI and within 250m of Nibley Knoll Grass Banks KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 69 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

NIB002: Land north of Warren Croft, North Nibley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 12 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Nibley Knoll SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium – high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 72 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

NIB003: Land adjacent 38 Barrs Lane, North Nibley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 7 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Nibley Knoll SSSI and within 250m of Nibley Knoll Grass Banks KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 87 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

NW0001: Land off Selsley Road, North Woodchester

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 15 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. It is also within 250m of Rabbit Warren Wood KWS and Nailsworth Brook KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 83 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of key employment sites (South Woodchester Industrial Area; Bath Road Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

NW0003: Land east of Rooksmoor Hill, North Woodchester

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 142 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. It is also within 250m of North Woodchester Rail Cutting KWS and RIGS, Nailsworth Brook KWS and North Woodchester Rail Cutting LGS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 64 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of key employment sites (South Woodchester Industrial Area; Bath Road Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

NYM001: Land at The Cross, Nympsfield

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 74 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Woodchester Park SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 74 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

NYM002: Land west of Benton Court, Nympsfield

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 30 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Easter Park Farm Quarry SSSI and Woodchester Park SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 74 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

OAK001: Land north of the Crescent, France Lynch

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 50 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/-	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Strawberry Banks SSSI. The site is also within 250m of Oakridge Recreation Ground KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 80 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

OAK002: Birds Frith Farm, France Lynch

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 5 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/-	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is outside of France Lynch).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is however within 250m of Ile's Green Meadow KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 92 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

Broad Location OAK A: North of The Crescent, Oakridge Lynch

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 13 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Strawberry Banks SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This location was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 80 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

PAI001: Land on east side of Gyde Road, Painswick

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 8 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Cotswold Commons and Beechwoods SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 81 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

PAI002: Land south of Gyde House, Painswick

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 13 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Cotswold Commons and Beechwoods SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 81 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

PAI004: Washwell Fields, Painswick

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 17 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Cotswold Commons and Beechwoods SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site scored 1 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 83 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

PAI005: Land north-east of Lower Washwell Lane, Painswick

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 150 homes.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Cotswold Commons and Beechwoods SSSI and NNR as well as Cotswold Commons SAC and Bull Cross, The Frith and Juniper Hill SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 84 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

PAI006: Painswick Mill, Painswick

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 20 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 800m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Cotswold Commons and Beechwoods NNR and Bull Cross, The Frith and Juniper Hill SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 83 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

PAI007: Land at Stamages, Painswick

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 4 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Bull Cross, The Frith and Juniper Hill SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 83 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

PAI008: Richmond Care Village, Painswick

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 56 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Bull Cross, The Frith and Juniper Hill SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 61 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

PAI009: Lower Broadhams, Painswick

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 50 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/--?	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains a protected outdoor playspace which could be lost to development. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is outside of Painswick).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m-1km of Cotswold Commons and Beechwoods NNR and Bull Cross, The Frith and Juniper Hill and Edge Common SSSIs. There is an existing GI asset (protected outdoor playspace) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	?	The site was not included in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

PAI010: Crab Orchard, Painswick

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 1 home.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is outside of Painswick).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Cotswold Commons and Beechwoods NNR and Bull Cross, The Frith and Juniper Hill and Edge Common SSSIs.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	?	The site was not included in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

PAI011: Land north of Groves Close, Painswick

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 7 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is outside of Painswick).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Cotswold Commons and Beechwoods NNR and Edge Common SSSI. The site is also located within 250m of Scottsquar & Halliday's Woods KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 90 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

PAI012: Land to the east of Stamages Lane, Painswick

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 20 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is outside of Painswick).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Bull Cross, The Frith and Juniper Hill SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 82 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

Broad Location PAI A: Washwell Fields, Painswick

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 17 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Cotswold Commons and Beechwoods SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site scored 1 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 83 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

Broad Location PAI B: Stamages, Painswick

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 4 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Bull Cross, The Frith and Juniper Hill SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This location scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 83 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

RAN001: Old chicken farm, Randwick

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 86 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is outside of Randwick).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is however located within 250m of Ruscombe Wood KWS, Standish Wood and Randwick Grassland KWS, Ruscombe Farm Meadows KWS and Cockshoot Fields KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 92 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

SAU001: Land at Saul Farm, Saul

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 30 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fifth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is also located within 250m of Saul Gravel Pits KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 88 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on brownfield land outside within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Frampton Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

SLI001: Land east of St John's Road, Slimbridge

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 350 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 91 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

SLI003: Rear of Tying Crescent/ South of Moorend Lane, Slimbridge

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 50 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle route within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 80 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

SWO002: Frogmarsh Meadows, north of Frogmarsh Mill, South Woodchester

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 29 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC as well as Minchinhampton Common, Rodborough Common and Woodchester Park SSSIs. The site is also located within 250m of Nailsworth Brook KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 67 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (South Woodchester Industrial Area; Inchbrook Industrial Estate; Frogmarsh Mill Industrial Area) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

STI001: Land at Townsend Farm, Stinchcombe

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 423 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Stinchcombe Hill SSSI and within 250m of The Quarry, Dursley LGS. It is also within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 90 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

STN002: Land at Damery Lane, Stone

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 16 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 77 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

STN003: Green Farm, Falfield, Stone

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 45 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 80 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

STO002: Magpies site, Oldends Lane, Stonehouse

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 9 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains a protected outdoor playspace which could be lost to development. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. There is an existing GI asset (protected outdoor playspace) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 80 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Stroudwater Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

STO003: Land at Park Road/Severn Road, Stonehouse

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 5 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle route within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is also located within 250m of Stonehouse Newt Pond KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 54 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Bonds Mill Industrial Estate; Stroudwater Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

STO004: Land to rear of Regent Street, Stonehouse

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 20 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is also located within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Stonehouse KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 73 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Upper Mills Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

STO007: Nutshell House, Stonehouse

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 8 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle route within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is also located within 250m of Stonehouse Newt Pond KWS, Stroudwater Canal - Stonehouse KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 80 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Upper Mills Industrial Estate; Stroudwater Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

STO008: Land at Lower Mills, Stonehouse

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 34 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle route within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is also located within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Stonehouse KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 61 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Upper Mills Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

STO009: Rear gardens on north side of Pearcroft Road, Stonehouse

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 12 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are walking and cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 73 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Upper Mills Industrial Estate; Ryeford Industrial Area) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

STO010: Land on south side of Pearcroft Road, Stonehouse

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 43 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are walking and cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is also located within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Stonehouse KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. . It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 51 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Upper Mills Industrial Estate; Ryeford Industrial Area) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

STO012: Land north of The Glen, Woodcock Lane, Stonehouse

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 32 homes.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is also located within 250m of Verney Meadows KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 56 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

STO013: Land at Horsemarling Farm, Standish, Stonehouse

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 455 homes.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is also located within 250m of Verney Meadows KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium – high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 88 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Stroudwater Industrial Estate).
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

STO014: Former Standish Hospital Site, Stonehouse

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 125 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not at a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is outside of Stonehouse).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is also located within 250m of Standish Wood and Randwick Grassland KWS and River Frome Mainstream & Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 92 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing secondary school but is not within 800m of an existing primary school.

STO015: Land at Stagholt Farm, West of B4008, Standish, Stonehouse

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 105 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is outside of Stonehouse).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is also located within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 61 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Stroudwater Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing secondary school but is not within 800m of an existing primary school.

STO017: Land at Nupend (Parcel B), Stonehouse

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 50 homes.
SA 2: Health	-	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is not within 800m of any areas of open space and there are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not at a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is outside of Stonehouse).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 98 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Stroudwater Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

STO018: Stagholt Playing Field Car park, Stonehouse

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 7 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains a greenspace which could be lost to development. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is also located within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS. There is an existing GI asset (green space) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium – high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 56 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Stroudwater Industrial Estate).
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing secondary school but is not within 800m of an existing primary school.

STO019: Paper Mill, Lower Mills, Stonehouse

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 33 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle route within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is also located within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Stonehouse KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 83 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Upper Mills Industrial Estate; Stroudwater Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

STO020: Land at Stroud Green, Stonehouse

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 926 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not at a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is outside of Stonehouse).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is also located within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 91 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Stroudwater Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

Broad Location STO B1: North/ North west of Stonehouse: East of railway, Stonehouse

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 105 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is also located within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development and high/medium sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	The site in this location was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 61 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Stroudwater Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

STR001: Land between Hillfield and Downfield, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 6 homes.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 53 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Bath Road Industrial Estate).
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

STR003: Avocet & Goldcrest Business Parks/ Stroud Metals, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 350 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also located within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Stroud KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 51 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++/--	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Bath Road Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

STR005: Land adjacent to Fromehall, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 5 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough SAC and SSSI. The site is also located within 250m of Gannicox Toad Pond KWS, Stroudwater Canal - Stroud KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 73 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Bath Road Industrial Estate; Fromeside Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

STR007: Lower Wharf Industrial Estate, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 21 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough SAC and SSSI. The site is also located within 250m of several KWSs and a GWT Reserve.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site scored 45 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++/--	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Fromeside Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

STR008: Wallbridge Fields, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 42 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough SAC and SSSI. The site is also located within 250m of several KWSs and a GWT Reserve.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 50 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Fromeside Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

STR010: Beeches Green area, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 375 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/--?	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains a protected outdoor playspace which could be lost to development. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough SAC and SSSI. The site is also located within 250m of Frome Banks GWT Reserve, Stroudwater Canal - Stroud KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS. There is an existing GI asset (protected outdoor playspace) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-/+?	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site scored 46 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++/--	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Salmon Springs Industrial Estate; Fromeside Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

STR018: Police station/ Magistrates Court, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 45 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	-/+?	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site scored 46 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land. .
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++/--	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Fromside Industrial Estate; New Mills / Libby Drive) and is at a Tier 1 settlement. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

STR019: Middle Leazes, off Parliament Street, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 6 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 74 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Fromeside Industrial Estate; New Mills / Libby Drive) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

STR020: Rear gardens at Park Road, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 22 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of the Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. The site is also located within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Stroud KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 54 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Fromeside Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

STR021: Land between 23 and 67 Summer Street, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 100 homes.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also located within 250m of Slade Wood, Stroud KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 66 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (New Mills / Libby Drive) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

STR022: Land to north of Butterow West, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 60 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/--?/	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains a greenspace which could be lost to development. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of the Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI and within 250m of several KWSs. There is an existing GI asset (greenspace) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 79 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Fromeside Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

STR023: Land at Grange Fields, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 80 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium – high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 74 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Salmon Springs Industrial Estate; Fromside Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

STR024: Land to the rear of 87 Summer Street, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 20 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also located within 250m of Slade Wood, Stroud KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 67 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (New Mills / Libby Drive) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

STR025: Land adjacent to rear of 188 Bisley Road, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 46 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also located within 250m of The Horns Bank KWS, The Horns Wood KWS, River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS and Conygre Quarry LGS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 62 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

STR026: Land at Kilminster Farm, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 184 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also located within 250m of Slade Wood, Stroud KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 88 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (New Mills / Libby Drive).
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

STR030: Land off Summer Crescent, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 4 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains a council play area and a protected outdoor playspace which could be lost to development. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also located within 250m of Slade Wood, Stroud KWS. There are existing GI assets (a council play area and a protected outdoor playspace) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 67 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (New Mills / Libby Drive) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

STR031: Land at Slad Brook, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 104 homes.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 62 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (New Mills / Libby Drive) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

STR033: Land to the rear of Thrupp House, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 568 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI and is within 250m of Bisley Road Cemetery LNR. The site is also located within 250m of several KWSs.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 83 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Griffin Mills Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

STR034: Land north of Farmhill Crescent, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 133 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also located within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 78 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Salmon Springs Industrial Estate).
SA 17: Economic growth	+++	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

STR035: Callowell Farm, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 447 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 81 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Salmon Springs Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+++	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

STR036: Land opposite Salmon Springs, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 9 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is outside the main built up area of Stroud).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 55 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Salmon Springs Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

STR037: Hammonds Farm, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site has capacity for 2312 homes.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on mainly greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is outside the main built up area of Stroud).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium – high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 86 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on mainly greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Salmon Springs Industrial Estate; New Mills / Libby Drive) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

STR038: Land east of Painswick Old Road, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 308 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium – high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 74 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Salmon Springs Industrial Estate; New Mills / Libby Drive) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

STR039: Land north of Folly Lane, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 226 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also within 250m of The Folly, Stroud KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 58 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (New Mills / Libby Drive) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

STR040: Land to the north east of Vatch View, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 105 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also within 250m of The Folly, Stroud KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 85 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (New Mills / Libby Drive) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

STR041: Land off Gunhouse Lane, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 70 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. The site is also within 250m of Claypits Wood North KWS, Stroudwater Canal - Bowbridge and Thrupp KWS, Stroudwater Canal - Stroud KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 62 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Griffin Mills Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

STR043: Rodborough, Lightpill/Rooksmoor, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 120 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/--?	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace which could be lost to development. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI and within 250m-1km of Selsey Common SSSI. The site is also within 250m of North Woodchester Rail Cutting KWS and RIGS, Nailsworth Brook KWS and North Woodchester Rail Cutting LGS. There are existing GI assets (a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 63 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Bath Road Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

STR044: Land behind The Snow Mill, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 30 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are walking and cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Selsey Common SSSI and within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Stroud KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS. It is also within 3.0km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 87 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+/--	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Ryeford Industrial Area). The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

STR045: Jefferies Field, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 20 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are walking and cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also located within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Stonehouse KWS, Stroudwater Canal - Stroud KWS and River Frome Mainstream & Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 59 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Ryeford Industrial Area) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

STR046: Land east of Jefferies Field, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 30 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are walking and cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also located within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Stonehouse KWS, Stroudwater Canal - Stroud KWS and River Frome Mainstream & Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 58 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Ryeford Industrial Area) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

STR047: Playing field/Tily's Field, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 42 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/--?	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are walking and cycle routes within 400m. The site also contains a greenspace, a protected outdoor playspace and a National Trail which could be lost to development.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAS and Ramsar site. The site is also located within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Stonehouse KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS. There are existing GI assets (a greenspace, a protected outdoor playspace and a National Trail) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site scored 1 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 63 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Upper Mills Industrial Estate; Ryeford Industrial Area) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

STR048: Land off Ebley Road, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 21 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are walking and cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also located within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Stonehouse KWS, Stroudwater Canal - Stroud KWS and River Frome Mainstream & Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 65 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Ryeford Industrial Area) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

STR053: Land at Rose Cottage, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 5 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also located within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 67 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+++	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

STR054: Land off Meadow Lane, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 12 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are walking and cycle paths within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Selsley Common SSSI and within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Stroud KWS, River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/++?	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 50 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Bath Road Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

STR055: Land south of Bisley Road, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 150 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle paths within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also located within The Horns Bank KWS, The Horns Wood KWS, River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS and Conygre Quarry LGS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	?	The site was not included in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

STR056: Land west of Devereaux Crescent, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 50 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC. The site is also within Stroudwater Canal - Stonehouse KWS and Stroudwater Canal - Stroud KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 87 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Ryeford Industrial Area) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

STR057: Land at Woodhouse Farm, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 200 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle paths within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI and within 250m of Rodborough Common Fort Quarry LGS and several KSWs.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 78 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Fromeside Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

Broad Location STR D: North of Grange View/ Delmont Grove, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 308 homes.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle paths within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This location was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 78 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Salmon Springs Industrial Estate; New Mills / Libby Drive) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

UPT001: Land at Nuthill, Upton St Leonards

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 3 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle paths within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	There are no designated sites within 1km of this site and it is more than 3.0km from Rodborough Common SAC and 7.7km from the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-/+?	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 87 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

UPT002: Land South of Bondend Road, Upton St Leonards

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 32 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle paths within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	There are no designated sites within 1km of this site and it is more than 3.0km from Rodborough Common SAC and 7.7km from the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 85 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

UPT003: The Stanley, Upton St Leonards

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 8 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle paths within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	There are no designated sites within 1km of this site and it is more than 3.0km from Rodborough Common SAC and 7.7km from the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 86 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

UPT004: Land east of Perry Orchard, Upton St Leonards

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 56 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle paths within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	There are no designated sites within 1km of this site and it is more than 3.0km from Rodborough Common SAC and 7.7km from the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 86 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

UPT005: Land south of High Street, Upton St Leonards

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 249 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle paths within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	There are no designated sites within 1km of this site and it is more than 3.0km from Rodborough Common SAC and 7.7km from the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 73 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

UPT006: Land south of Hucclecote Meadows, Upton St Leonards

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 101 homes.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle paths within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is outside of Upton St Leonards). Although it is close to Gloucester, the M5 acts as a barrier.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	This site is within 250m of Hucclecote Meadows SSSI and LNR.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 91 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

UPT007: Land at Brockworth, Upton St Leonards

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 398 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle paths within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is outside of Upton St Leonards). Although it is close to Gloucester, the M5 acts as a barrier.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	This site is within 250m of Hucclecote Meadows SSSI and LNR.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 91 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

UPT008: Land adj to Brockworth Airfield, Upton St Leonards

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 759 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle paths within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is outside of Upton St Leonards).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	This site is within 250m-1km of Hucclecote Meadows SSSI and LNR.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 93 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

UPT009: Hardwick Farm, Upton St Leonards

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 200 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace. There are no walking or cycle paths within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is outside of Upton St Leonards).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	This site is within 250m-1km of Cotswold Beechwoods SAC, Range Farm Fields SSSI, Cotswold Commons and Beechwoods SSSI and NNR. It is also located within 250m of Watery Lane Meadows KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	?	The site was not included in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

UPT010: Prinknash Abbey, Upton St Leonards

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 11 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/--?	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace but contains this feature which could be lost to development. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is outside of Upton St Leonards).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Cotswold Beechwoods SAC and SSSI and Cotswold Commons and Beechwoods NNR. There is an existing GI asset (greenspace) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	?	The site was not included in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

UPT011: Land at Birchall Lane, Upton St Leonards

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 23 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle paths within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is outside of Upton St Leonards). Although the site is close to Gloucester the M5 acts as a barrier.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	There are no designated sites within 1km of this site and it is more than 3.0km from Rodborough Common SAC and 7.7km from the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 71 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

UPT012: Field south of Fieldway, Upton St Leonards

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 46 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle paths within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is outside of Upton St Leonards).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	There are no designated sites within 1km of this site and it is more than 3.0km from Rodborough Common SAC and 7.7km from the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 87 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

WHI001: Land East of School Lane, Whitminster

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 45 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle path within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 80 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

WHI002: Land north of Hyde Lane, Whitminster

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 45 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle path within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 78 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

WHI004: Land west of Upton's Garden, Whitminster

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 30 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle path within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 82 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

WHI005: Land West of School Lane, Whitminster

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 40 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle path within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 81 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

WHI006: Highfield Nurseries, Whitminster

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 110 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle path within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on mainly greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is outside of Whitminster).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 87 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on mainly greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

WHI008: Land to west of Paynes Meadow, Whitminster

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 45 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle path within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 89 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

Broad Location WHI A: North of Whitminster Playing field, Whitminster

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 40 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle path within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 81 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

Broad Location WHI E: East of School Lane, Whitminster

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 45 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle path within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This location was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 80 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

WUE001: Land at Holywell Farm, Wotton under Edge

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 40 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Coombe Hill SSSI and within 250m-1km of Wotton Hill SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 79 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Renishaw Old Town) and is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

WUE002: Land north east of Cherry Orchard, Wotton under Edge

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 40 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Coombe Hill SSSI and Wotton Hill SSSI. The site is also located within 250m of Hentley Wood KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 82 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of key employment sites (Renishaw Old Town; Tabernacle Road).
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

WUE003: Land off Fountain Crescent, Wotton under Edge

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 8 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Coombe Hill SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 54 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Renishaw Old Town) and is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

WUE004: Land south of Bearlands, Wotton under Edge

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 214 homes.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 400m-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle paths within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	There are no designated sites within 1km of this site and it is more than 3.0km from Rodborough Common SAC and 7.7km from the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 67 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 and Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of key employment sites (Renishaw Old Town; Tabernacle Road; Orchestra Works).
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

WUE005: 45 Water Lane, Wotton under Edge

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 13 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle paths within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Coombe Hill SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 57 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Renishaw Old Town) and is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

WUE006: Land west of Water Lane, Wotton under Edge

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 133 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Coombe Hill SSSI and Wotton Hill SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site scored 1 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 56 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Renishaw Old Town; Tabernacle Road) and is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

WUE007: Land south of Symn Lane, Wotton under Edge

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site has capacity for 750 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Coombe Hill SSSI and Wotton Hill SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 82 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Renishaw Old Town; Tabernacle Road) and is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

WUE008: Land off The Chipping, Wotton under Edge

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 9 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Coombe Hill SSSI and Wotton Hill SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-/+?	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 52 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++/--	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Renishaw Old Town; Tabernacle Road) and is at a Tier 2 settlement. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

Employment site options

BER012: Old Piggery, Berkeley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	++	This site is within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. This site is also within 400m of cycle routes.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of any designated sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-?	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station or within 400m of a bus stop. It is located within 200m of a cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--?	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is small in size and on greenfield land. It is also within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

BER013: Former Berkeley Power Station, Berkeley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	This site is within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace but not within 400m of a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	This site is within 250m of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station or within 200m of a cycle route. It is located within 400m of a bus stop (one is located within the site boundaries).
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-?	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	++	The site is large in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	This site is more than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	++	This site is more than 10ha in size.

BRI001: Griffin Mills and Eagle Works Industrial Estates, Brimscombe

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	This site is within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace, but not within 400m of a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	This site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and Minchinhampton and Rodborough Common SSSIs. It is also within 250m of two Key Wildlife Sites (Stroudwater Canal - Bowbridge and Thrupp; and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries).
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops and within 200m of a cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-?	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

BRI006: Lower Brimscombe Mills, Brimscombe

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 800m of a council play area, a green space and protected outdoor playspace but not within 400m of a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	This site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and Minchinhampton and Rodborough Common SSSIs. It is also within 250m of a number of Key Wildlife Sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops and within 200m of a cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-?	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

BRI018: Stafford Mills Industrial Estate, Brimscombe

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 800m of a council play area, a green space and protected outdoor playspace but not within 400m of a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	This site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. It is also within 250m of two Key Wildlife Sites (Stroudwater Canal - Bowbridge and Thrupp; and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries).
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops and within 200m of a cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-?	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

CAM002: Cam Mills, Everlands

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 800m of a council play area, a green space and protected outdoor playspace but not within 400m of a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of any designated sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA Heritage Assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops and within 200m of a cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-?	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

CAM019: Draycott/ Middle Mill Industrial Estate, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	The site is within 800m of a council play area, a green space and protected outdoor playspace but not within 400m of a walking or cycle route. The site also contains a green space, which could be lost to development.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	There are no designated sites within 1km of this site. There is an existing GI asset (green space) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA Heritage Assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops and within 200m of a cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-?	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

CAM020: Rear of 4-60 Draycott, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 800m of a council play area, a green space and protected outdoor playspace but not within 400m of a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on mainly greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of any designated sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops and within 200m of a cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--?	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is small in size and on mainly greenfield land. It is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on mainly greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

EAS006: Land at Meadow Mill, Eastington

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	++	This site is within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. This site is also within 400m of a cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m of two Key Wildlife Sites (Stroudwater Canal – Stonehouse and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries).
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops and within 200m of a cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--?	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is small in size and on greenfield land. It is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

EAS007: Land at Junction 13 of the M5, Eastington

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	++	This site is within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. This site is also within 400m of a cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m of two Key Wildlife Sites (Stroudwater Canal – Stonehouse and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries).
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is partly in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops and within 200m of a number of cycle routes (one of these cycle routes runs through the site).
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--?	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is large in size and on greenfield land. It is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	This site is more than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	++	This site is more than 10ha in size.

EAS010: Motorway Depot at M5 Junction 13, Eastington

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	-	The site is more than 800m from an area of open space and more than 400m from a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries Key Wildlife Site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site scored 1 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-?	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station or within 400m of a bus stop. It is located within 200m of a cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-?	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

FRA003: Netherhills Depot, Frampton

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	-	The site is more than 800m from an area of open space and more than 400m from a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Frampton Pools SSSI. It is also within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries Key Wildlife Site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station or within 200m of a cycle route. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

Broad Location FRA C: North of Perry Way, A38, Frampton

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	-	The site is more than 800m from an area of open space and more than 400m from a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Frampton Pools SSSI. It is also within 250m of Netherhills Sand and Gravel Pit Local Geological Site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This location was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station or within 200m of a cycle route. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

HAR003: Land at Shorn Brook, Hardwicke

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 800m of a council play area, a green space and protected outdoor playspace but not within 400m of a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	There are no designated sites within 1km of this site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA Heritage Assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station or within 200m of a cycle route. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-?	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is small in size and on greenfield land. It is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

HAR006: Summerhill Equestrian Centre, Hardwicke

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 800m of a green space but not within 400m of a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of any designated sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA Heritage Assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station or within 200m of a cycle route. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-?	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

HAR009: Moreton Valence Airfield, Hardwicke

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	-	The site is more than 800m from an area of open space and more than 400m from a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of any designated sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station or within 200m of a cycle route. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	++	The site is large in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	This site is more than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	++	This site is more than 10ha in size.

HFD007: Blooms Garden Centre, Haresfield

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 800m of a green space but not within 400m of a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of any designated sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station or within 200m of a cycle route. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-?	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

HFD008: SA4a Quedgeley East, Haresfield

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 800m of a green space but not within 400m of a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of any designated sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station or within 200m of a cycle route. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--?	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is large in size and on greenfield land. It is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	This site is more than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	++	This site is more than 10ha in size.

HFD009: Quedgeley East Extension, Haresfield

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace but not within 400m of a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of any designated sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station or within 200m of a cycle route. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-?	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is small in size and on greenfield land. It is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

MIN011: Land parcel south of Old Aerodrome Farm, Minchinhampton

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	-	The site is more than 800m from an area of open space and more than 400m from a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m of Westley Farm Meadows Key Wildlife Site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA Heritage Assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station or within 200m of a cycle route. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone and a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

MIN012: Land adjoining Aston Down Business Park, Minchinhampton

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	-	The site is more than 800m from an area of open space and more than 400m from a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	There are no designated sites within 1km of this site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA Heritage Assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station or within 200m of a cycle route. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone and a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-?	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is small in size and on greenfield land. It is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

NAI002: Nailsworth Garden Centre, Nailsworth

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	++	This site is within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. This site is also within 400m of a cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Minchinhampton Common SSSI and is within 250m-1km of Box Farm Meadows SSSI. It is also within 250m of Scar Hill Quarry Local Geological Site and Nailsworth Brook and Hazel Wood Meadows Key Wildlife Sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to employment development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-/+?	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops and within 200m of a cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-?	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

NAI005: Land at Gigg Mill, between Old Bristol Rd & Old Horsley Rd, Nailsworth

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace but not within 400m of a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Minchinhampton Common SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is partly in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station or within 200m of a cycle route. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone and a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-?	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

NEW004: SA5a South of Severn Distribution park, Newtown and Sharpness

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace but not within 400m of a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site and SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station or within 200m of a cycle route. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--?	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is small in size and on greenfield land. It is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

SWO001: South Woodchester Industrial Area, South Woodchester

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	++/--?	This site is within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. This site is also within 400m of cycle routes, but contains these features, which could be lost to development.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	This site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI and Minchinhampton Common SSSI. It is within 250m of Nailsworth Brook Key Wildlife Site. There is also a GI asset within the site (cycle routes) which could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops and within 200m of a cycle route (the cycle route runs through the site).
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-?	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

STO001: Land adj ABB/Kent, Oldends Lane, Stonehouse

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace but not within 400m of a cycling or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries Key Wildlife Site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site is located within 1km of a railway station (Stonehouse Station) and within 400m of a number of bus stops. It is not located within 200m of a cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-?	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is small in size and on greenfield land. It is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

STR012: Fromeside, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	++	This site is within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. This site is also within 400m of cycle routes.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. It is also within 250m of a number of Key Wildlife Sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site is located within 1km of a railway station (Stroud Station), within 400m of a number of bus stops and within 200m of a number of cycle routes.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

STR027: Land at Libbys Drive/ Slad Road, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace but not within 400m of a cycling or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	There are no designated sites within 1km of this site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site is located within 1km of a railway station (Stroud Station) and within 400m of a number of bus stops. It is not located within 200m of a cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--?	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is small in size and on greenfield land. It is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

STR029: New Mills Trading Estate/ Libbys Drive, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace but not within 400m of a cycling or walking route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	There are no designated sites within 1km of this site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site is located within 1km of a railway station (Stroud Station) and within 400m of a number of bus stops. It is not located within 200m of a cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-?	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

STR042: Bath Road Trading Estate, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	++	This site is within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. This site is also within 400m of cycle routes.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI and Selsley Common SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops and within 200m of cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-?	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

STR049: Brunsdons Yard, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	++	This site is within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. This site is also within 400m of cycle and walking routes.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on mainly greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m of Stroudwater Canal – Stonehouse and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries Key Wildlife Sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site is located within 1km of a railway station (Stonehouse Station), within 400m of a number of bus stops and within 200m of a cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--?	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is small in size and on mainly greenfield land. It is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on mainly greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

Broad Location STR B2: Bath Road Industrial Estate, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	++	This site is within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. This site is also within 400m of cycle routes.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI and Selsley Common SSSI. It is also within 250m of Nailsworth Brook Key Wildlife Site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This location scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the location to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops and within 200m of a number of cycle routes.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-?	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

Mixed use site options

BRI002: Ham Mill, Brimscombe

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes as part of mixed use development.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement (the site lies within Thrupp although the site address is Brimscombe).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI and Minchinhampton Common SSSI. It is also within 250m of a number of Key Wildlife Sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 59 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Brimscombe C of E Primary School. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

BRI003: Land at Hope Mill Lane, Brimscombe

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement (the site lies within Thrupp although the site address is Brimscombe).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI and Minchinhampton Common SSSI. It is also within 250m of a number of Key Wildlife Sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 60 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Brimscombe C of E Primary School. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

BRI009: Brimscombe Port Industrial Estate, Brimscombe

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace, but there is green space within the site that could be lost to development. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI and Minchinhampton Common SSSI. It is also within 250m of a number of Key Wildlife Sites. The site also contains a GI asset (green space) which could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development and high sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 67 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+?	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Brimscombe C of E Primary School. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

BRI021: Bourne Mills, Brimscombe

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Minchinhampton Common SSSI. It is also within 250m of a number of Key Wildlife Sites. The site is also within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 64 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+?	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Brimscombe C of E Primary School. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

BRO002: Land at Whaddon, Brookthorpe

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site has capacity for more than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Country Park, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	Although this site is at a fourth tier settlement it is adjacent to Quedgeley and Gloucester across the local authority boundary.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Robin's Wood Hill Quarry SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 99 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 and 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	This site could deliver more than 600 homes and more than 10ha of employment land.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?/++	The site is not within 800m of an existing primary or secondary school. This site could deliver more than 10ha of employment land.

CAM014: Coaley Junction, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development and high/medium sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-/+?	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 64 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+?	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?/+	The site is not within 800m of an existing primary or secondary school. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

CAM017: SA3 North east of Cam, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development and high sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 84 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 and 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+?	This site could deliver more than 10ha of employment land but fewer than 600 homes. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	+++	The site is within 800m of Cam Hopton C of E Primary School. It could deliver more than 10ha of employment land.

CAM018: Land north of Upton, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium – high/medium sensitivity to residential development and high/medium – high sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 83 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Cam Everlands Primary School and Cam Hopton C of E Primary School. It could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

CAM027: Land at Cambridge/ Coaley B, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site has capacity for more than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is located outside of Coaley).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 89 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 and 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver more than 600 homes but less than 10ha of employment land.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?/+	The site is not within 800m of an existing primary or secondary school. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

Broad Location CAM D: South of Draycott Farm, Cam

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development and high sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This location was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 83 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?/+	The site is not within 800m of an existing primary or secondary school. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

DUR003: 1-25 Long Street, Dursley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	There is a GP within 400m of the site. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	-/+?	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 50 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Rednock secondary school. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

DUR004: Reliance House, Dursley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	There is a GP surgery within 400m-800m of the site. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	-/+?	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 53 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Rednock secondary school. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

DUR018: Land to the rear of 12 - 14 Parsonage Street, Dursley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	There is a GP surgery within 400m of the site. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Stinchcombe Hill SSSI and is also within 250m of two Key Wildlife Sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 50 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+?	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Rednock secondary school. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

EAS002: Land rear of Alkerton Court, Eastington

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development and high/medium sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 90 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+?	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?/+	The site is not within 800m of an existing primary or secondary school. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

Broad Location EAS B2: West of Alkerton: Alkerton Court, Eastington

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development and high/medium sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This location scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 90 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+?	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?/+	The site is not within 800m of an existing primary or secondary school. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

FRA002: Land at Whitminster Lane/ Church Lane, Frampton

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/--?	The site is within 400m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace, but there is protected outdoor playspace within the boundary of the site which could be lost to development. There are cycle routes within 400m of the site.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m-1km of Frampton Pools SSSI and is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of a number of Key Wildlife Sites. The site also contains a GI asset (protected outdoor playspace) which could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development and high/medium sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 84 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Lakefield C of E Primary School. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

HAR013: Land at Quadrant Distribution Centre. Hardwicke

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	Although this site is at a third tier settlement it is adjacent to Quedgeley across the local authority boundary.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of any designated sites and is more than 3km from Rodborough Common SAC and more than 7.7km from the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 81 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+?	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?/+	The site is not within 800m of an existing primary or secondary school. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

KST007: Land parcel north west of Stanley Mills, Kings Stanley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than homes as part of mixed use development.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There cycle routes and a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of two Key Wildlife Sites (Stroudwater Canal – Stonehouse and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries).
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium – high sensitivity to residential development and medium – high sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not assessed in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 82 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 and 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	This site has capacity for more than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development.
SA 17: Economic growth	+++	This site is within 800m of King's Stanley C of E Primary School and Leonard Stanley C of E Primary School. It has capacity for more than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development.

MIN010: Aston Down Business Park, Minchinhampton

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a green space. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is located outside of Minchinhampton).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of any designated sites and is more than 3km from Rodborough Common SAC and more than 7.7km from the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 97 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone and a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+?	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?/+	The site is not within 800m of an existing primary or secondary school. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

NPT002: Land off the A38 at Actrees Farm, Newport

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 96 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?/+	The site is not within 800m of an existing primary or secondary school. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

NEW001: Sharpness Docks, Newtown and Sharpness

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace, but includes a Council play area and protected outdoor playspace within the boundary of the site which could be lost to development. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site and SSSI. It is also within 250m of Sharpness Docks Key Wildlife Site and Sharpness Cliffs Local Geological Site. The site also contains a GI asset (Council play area and protected outdoor playspace) which could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium/low sensitivity to residential development and medium sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 98 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+?	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Sharpness Primary school. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development.

NEW002: Land at Sharpness (Sanigar Farm), Newtown and Sharpness

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site has capacity for more than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/--?	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace but includes these assets within the boundary of the site meaning that they could be lost to development. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site and SSSI as well as a number of Key Wildlife Sites. The site also contains a GI asset (a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace) which could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium – high/medium – high sensitivity to residential development and high sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 85 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	This site could deliver more than 600 homes and more than 10ha of employment land.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	This site is within 800m of Berkeley Primary School and Sharpness Primary School. The site could deliver more than 10ha of employment land.

SAU002: Fretherne Nurseries, Saul

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a green space. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is located outside of Saul).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250-1km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site and the Upper Severn Estuary SSSI. It is also within 250m of the River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries Key Wildlife Site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 94 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+?	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?/+	The site is not within 800m of an existing primary or secondary school. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

SLI002: Land at Cambridge/ Coaley A, Slimbridge

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site has capacity for more than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 90 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver more than 600 homes but less than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Slimbridge Primary school. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development.

SLI004: Land east of Gossington, Slimbridge

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is located outside of Slimbridge).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 89 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 and 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Slimbridge Primary School. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development.

SLI005: Land South of Cambridge

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site has capacity for more than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is located outside of Slimbridge).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 95 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large and is on greenfield land. It is within an area of Grade 2 and 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver more than 600 homes but less than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Slimbridge Primary School. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development.

SLI002, SLI004 and SLI005: Land at Cambridge/ Coaley A, Slimbridge, , Land east of Gossington and Land south of Cambridge

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site has capacity for more than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	The component sites included in this combined site option were all screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The portions of the site scored 91, 89 and 95 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 and 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	This site could deliver more than 600 homes and more than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is within 800m of Slimbridge Primary school. This site could deliver more than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development.

STN001: Land adjoining the Pines, Stone

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	-	This site is at a fourth tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 77 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Stone with Woodford C of E primary School. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development.

STO006: Land South of Bristol Road, Stonehouse

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400-800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle route within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of a number of Key Wildlife Sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development and medium sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 67 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Park Junior School and Stonehouse Park Infant School. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

STO016: Land North West of Stonehouse, Stonehouse

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site has capacity for more than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is located outside of Stonehouse).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries Key Wildlife Site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development and medium sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 95 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?/+	The site is not within 800m of an existing primary or secondary school. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

STR002: Tricorn House, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC. It is also within 250m of two Key Wildlife Sites (Stroudwater Canal - Stroud and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries).
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-/+?	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	?	The site was not included in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	++	The site is relatively large in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is within 800m of St Matthew's C of E Primary School and Marling School (College) and Stroud High School (College) secondary schools. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

STR004: Land to the rear of Avocet Business Park, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/--?	The site is within 400m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace, although it includes a protected outdoor playspace within the boundary of the site which could be lost to development. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. It is also within 250m of three Key Wildlife Sites (Gannicox Toad Pond, Stroudwater Canal - Stroud and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries). The site also contains a GI asset (protected outdoor playspace) which could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/++?	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 58 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	++?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++?	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	+++	The site is within 800m of Rodborough Community Primary School, St Matthew's C of E Primary School and The Rosary Catholic Primary Academy, as well as Marling School (College) and Stroud High School (College). This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

STR006: Lodgemore/Fromehall Mills, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. It is also within 250m of three Key Wildlife Sites (Gannicox Toad Pond, Stroudwater Canal - Stroud and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries).
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site scored 46 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+?	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	+++	The site is within 800m of Rodborough Community Primary School and The Rosary Catholic Primary Academy, as well as Marling School (College) and Stroud High School (College). This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

STR014: Railway Land/ car parks, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is within 400m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. It is also within 250m of a number of Key Wildlife Sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site scored 46 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Rodborough Community Primary School, Stroud Valley Community Primary School and The Rosary Catholic Primary Academy. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

STR015: Merrywalks Arches (former Cotswold Indoor Bowls), Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. It is also within 250m of a number of Key Wildlife Sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site scored 44 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Rodborough Community Primary School, Stroud Valley Community Primary School and The Rosary Catholic Primary Academy. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

STR016: Merrywalks area (surgery, McDonalds, car park), Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. It is also within 250m of a number of Key Wildlife Sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	+?	This site scored 1 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site scored 44 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+?	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Rodborough Community Primary School, Stroud Valley Community Primary School and The Rosary Catholic Primary Academy. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

STR017: Market Tavern, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. It is also within 250m of a number of Key Wildlife Sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site scored 45 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Rodborough Community Primary School, Stroud Valley Community Primary School and The Rosary Catholic Primary Academy. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

STR032: Daniels Industrial Estate, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI as well as Selsley Common SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	-/+?	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 54 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+?	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is within 800m of Gastrells Community Primary School and Rodborough Community Primary School as well as Marling Secondary School. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

WHI003: Highfield Garden Centre, Whitminster

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle route within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to residential development and high sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 77 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+?	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?/+	The site is not within 800m of any existing primary or secondary schools. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

WHI007: Grove End Farm, Whitminster

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for fewer than 600 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle route within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site is at a third tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of two Key Wildlife Sites (Stroudwater Canal – Whitminster and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries).
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development and medium sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 91 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. It is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver more than 10ha of employment land but fewer than 600 homes.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?/++	The site is not within 800m of any existing primary or secondary schools. This site could deliver more than 10ha of employment land.

Community and retail site options

EAS007: Land at Junction 13 of the M5, Eastington (Football stadium for Forest Green Rovers)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	This site option is for a new football stadium and so will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 2: Health	+?	The development of a new football stadium may provide improved access to sporting facilities which would benefit public health but this will be dependent upon whether or not the new stadium

SA Objective	Score	Justification
		would include facilities that could be used more widely.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	This site option is for a new football stadium and so will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	This site option is for a new football stadium and so will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site option is for a new football stadium and so will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site option is for a new football stadium and so will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Stonehouse Key Wildlife Site and River Frome Mainstream & Tributaries Key Wildlife Site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	It is assumed that a detailed assessment of landscape impacts would be carried out as part of any specific proposal for the development of a new football stadium at this site. Part of the area of this site was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to employment development. The site is not within 500m of the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-?	The site is not within a town centre and a football stadium would be likely to generate high levels of traffic, depending on the extent to which sustainable transport links are incorporated as part of the development proposal.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is on greenfield land classed as Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	The location of a new football stadium in the district will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	A new football stadium would provide new jobs, although the number is unlikely to be significant.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	A new football stadium would provide new jobs which could have associated opportunities for work-based learning and skills development, although these are unlikely to be significant in scale.

NAI003: Land at Avening Road, Nailsworth (Football stadium for Forest Green Rovers)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	This site option is for a new football stadium and so will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 2: Health	+?	The development of a new football stadium may provide improved access to sporting facilities which would benefit public health but this will be dependent upon whether or not the new stadium would include facilities that could be used more widely.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	This site option is for a new football stadium and so will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	This site option is for a new football stadium and so will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site option is for a new football stadium and so will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	This site option is for a new football stadium and so will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of the Minchinhampton SSSI and within 250m-1km of Box Farm Meadows SSSI. It is also within 250m of a number of Key Wildlife Sites including Nailsworth Brook, Box House Wood, Hazel Wood and Hazel Wood Meadows.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	It is assumed that a detailed assessment of landscape impacts would be carried out as part of any specific proposal for the development of a new football stadium at this site. The site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to both residential and employment development. The site is also within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	?	This site is within approximately 500m of the centre of Nailsworth so may offer reasonable opportunities for sustainable transport use. Effects on traffic generation would depend on the extent to which sustainable transport links are incorporated as part of the development proposal.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone and a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is mostly on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is on mostly greenfield land classed as Grade 4 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	The location of a new football stadium in the district will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	A new football stadium would provide new jobs, although the number is unlikely to be significant.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	A new football stadium would provide new jobs which could have associated opportunities for work-based learning and skills development, although these are unlikely to be significant in scale.

STR009: Capel Mill, Stroud (retail use)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	New retail development will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 2: Health	0	New retail development will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	New retail development will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	New retail development will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	New retail development in the district is likely to help improve the satisfaction of people with their neighbourhoods.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	New retail development in close proximity to the centre of Stroud will be accessible.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. It is also within 250m of a number of Key Wildlife Sites including Frome Banks GWT reserve, Rodborough Fields and Wood, Frome Banks, Stroudwater Canal – Stroud, and River Frome Mainstream & Tributaries.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	The site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high sensitivity to employment development. The site is within 500m of the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	This site is within close proximity of the town centre of Stroud so opportunities for people to travel to the site without using a car should be good.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is on greenfield land but it is not high agricultural quality land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	The location of new retail development in the district will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	New retail development would provide new jobs, although these are unlikely to be significant in scale.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	New retail development would provide jobs which could have associated opportunities for work-based learning and skills development, although these are unlikely to be significant in scale.

WUE009: Land south of Symn Lane, Wotton under Edge (Potential extension to Katharine Lady Berkeley's School)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	A school extension will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 2: Health	0	A school extension will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 3: Social inclusion	+	A school extension will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	A school extension will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	A school extension will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	A school extension will have a minor positive effect on this SA objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Wotton Hill SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-?	The extension of this school may result in increased traffic to the site although effects depend to a large extent on school bus provision.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is on greenfield land classed as Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	The extension of education facilities in the district will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	0	A school extension will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 17: Economic growth	++	A school extension would provide additional school places.

Open space site options

BER001: Land west of Parkview play area, Berkeley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	+?	All open space site options could have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 9: Historic environment	+?	All open space site options could have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 11: Water quality	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 12: Flooding	++	This site is within flood zone 3.
SA 13: Efficient land use	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 14: Climate change	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 16: Employment	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 17: Economic growth	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.

BER008: Land north of Canon Park sports ground, Berkeley

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	+?	All open space site options could have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 9: Historic environment	+?	All open space site options could have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 11: Water quality	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 12: Flooding	+	This site is outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 14: Climate change	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 16: Employment	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 17: Economic growth	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.

CHA002: Land at the west side of Middle Hill, Chalford

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	+?	All open space site options could have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 9: Historic environment	+?	All open space site options could have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 11: Water quality	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 12: Flooding	+	This site is outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 14: Climate change	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 16: Employment	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 17: Economic growth	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.

STO005: Land north of Bristol Road, Stonehouse

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	+?	All open space site options could have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 9: Historic environment	+?	All open space site options could have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 11: Water quality	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 12: Flooding	+	This site is outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 14: Climate change	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 16: Employment	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 17: Economic growth	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.

STO011: Cotswold Green, Stonehouse

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	+?	All open space site options could have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 9: Historic environment	+?	All open space site options could have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 11: Water quality	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 12: Flooding	+	This site is outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 14: Climate change	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 16: Employment	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 17: Economic growth	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.

STR028: School playing field, Stroud

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	+	All open space site options would have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	+?	All open space site options could have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 9: Historic environment	+?	All open space site options could have a minor positive effect on this objective.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 11: Water quality	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 12: Flooding	+	This site is outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 14: Climate change	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 16: Employment	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.
SA 17: Economic growth	0	All open space site options will have a negligible effect on this objective.

Appendix 6

Detailed SA Matrices for the Emerging Strategy Paper

Table A6.1: SA matrix for the emerging growth strategy for Stroud Local Plan

SA Objective	Emerging Growth strategy	Justification
SA 1: To provide affordable, sustainable and decent housing to meet local needs.	++	<p>The effects of the distribution of new development within the district on ensuring that the housing stock meets the needs of local people will be mainly determined by the amount and type of housing that is developed and the proportion that is affordable. The housing requirement for Stroud District is assumed to be the government figure of 12,700 dwellings for the 20 year period 2016-2036 with a residual requirement set at approximately 5,500 dwellings taking completions, commitments (i.e. sites with planning permission and under construction or sites subject to resolutions to grant permission) and allocations into consideration. Duty to cooperate means that there may be a requirement for development in Stroud (particularly towards the Gloucester fringe) to meet Gloucester's future need, however some sites which were originally considered for inclusion to meet Stroud's need, which could more appropriately meet Gloucester's future need have been removed from the four options, as this consideration is a separate process from deciding upon the strategy for growth to meet Stroud's need.</p> <p>The emerging growth strategy will support the provision at least 12,800 additional dwellings over the plan period. This development will mainly be concentrated towards the main towns of Cam and Dursley, Stonehouse and Stroud as well as at new settlements at Sharpness and at Wisloe within the Severn Vale. The strategy would therefore meet the housing requirement for Stroud up to 2036. The strategy allows for some development at small and medium sized sites which a high proportion of growth expected to occur mainly at a smaller number of concentrated locations. This approach will allow for a large proportion of this development to proceed at larger sites. It is expected that this approach would help to support the delivery of affordable housing at such sites given that viability would be less likely to be a significant obstacle particularly when compared to development which might be spread across a higher number of smaller sites at rural locations. The inclusion of some growth at smaller sites in the District will allow for the delivery of new dwellings through schemes which are more likely to achieve faster build out rates and may help to encourage self-build schemes. A significant positive effect is therefore expected in relation to this SA objective.</p>
SA 2: To maintain and improve the community's health with accessible healthcare for residents, including increasing levels of physical activity, especially among	++/-	<p>The emerging growth strategy would provide residential development mainly at the main towns of Cam and Dursley, Stonehouse and Stroud as well as at new settlements at Sharpness and at Wisloe within the Severn Vale. It is likely that this approach would result in new residents having a good level of access to existing health care facilities and other facilities such as sports facilities and open spaces which could help to improve public health which are more prevalent at the district's larger settlements. The provision of the majority of new development in and around the edges of the district's large settlements which allow for access to existing services and facilities may also encourage new residents to undertake journeys by more active modes of transport. Furthermore concentrating a high level of development at larger sites would likely help to support the funding of new infrastructure through S106/CIL to the benefit of public health. It is expected that the new settlements to be delivered as part of the emerging growth strategy would provide the critical</p>

SA Objective	Emerging Growth strategy	Justification
the young.		mass to support the delivery of new services and facilities including healthcare provisions. However, while the emerging growth strategy would allow for some development at the smaller settlements of the district this is to be limited to small sites of up to 10 dwellings. Directing a high level of development to the large settlements has the potential to compound access issues for people in rural areas and would mean that potential opportunities to stimulate the provision of new facilities of a more substantial nature (including healthcare facilities) in those areas are less likely to come forward. Overall a mixed effect (significant positive/ minor negative) is expected in relation to this SA objective.
SA 3: To encourage social inclusion, equity, the promotion of equality and a respect for diversity and meet the challenge of a growing and ageing population	+ +/ -	The emerging growth strategy would provide residential development mainly at the main towns of Cam and Dursley, Stonehouse and Stroud as well as at new settlements at Sharpness and at Wisloe within the Severn Vale. The larger settlements of the district are those which provide access to the widest range of existing community facilities. Furthermore concentrating a high level of development at larger sites at such locations would likely help to support the funding of new infrastructure including community facilities and service to the benefit the wider population through S106/CIL. It is also expected that the delivery of new settlements would provide the critical mass to support the delivery of new community facilities. However, while the emerging growth strategy would allow for some development at the smaller settlements of the district this is to be limited to small sites of up to 10 dwellings. Directing a high level of development to the large settlements has the potential to compound access issues for people in rural areas, and would mean that potential opportunities to stimulate the provision of new facilities of a more substantial nature (including community facilities) in those areas are less likely to come forward. Overall a mixed effect (significant positive/ minor negative) is expected in relation to this SA objective.
SA 4: To reduce crime, anti-social behaviour and disorder and the fear of crime.	0	The distribution of development within Stroud District will not have a direct effect on this SA objective. Effects will be determined by the design of new development rather than the overall quantum and spatial distribution of growth over the plan period.
SA 5: To create and sustain vibrant communities.	+	The emerging growth strategy would provide residential development mainly at the main towns of Cam and Dursley, Stonehouse and Stroud as well as at new settlements at Sharpness and at Wisloe within the Severn Vale. By providing new development mainly at the larger settlements in the district it is likely that this approach will help to enhance the vitality and viability of such centres, to regenerate these areas and improve their liveability. Delivering a high level of development at larger sites in the district at these locations may also help to incorporate a higher level of new infrastructure, services and facilities (including for cultural activities) given the increased potential to secure funding through S106/CIL. The high level of new development to be provided at each of the new settlements included in the emerging growth strategy is furthermore likely to support the delivery of new infrastructure, services and facilities (including for cultural activities) through S106/CIL funding and will also help to provide a sense of community at the large

SA Objective	Emerging Growth strategy	Justification
		sites at these locations. This approach would allow for some development at the more rural settlements of the district however this development is to be limited to mostly small sites of up to 10 dwellings. It is expected that this approach to development at more rural locations may help to safeguard the identity of rural communities and will also help to enhance these locations by allowing for a limited amount of regeneration opportunities to come forward. Overall a minor positive effect is therefore expected in relation to this SA objective.
SA 6: To maintain and improve access to all services and facilities.	++/-	The emerging growth strategy would provide residential development mostly at the main towns of Cam and Dursley, Stonehouse and Stroud as well as at new settlements at Sharpness and at Wisloe within the Severn Vale. By providing new residential development mainly at the larger settlements in the district it is likely that this approach would result in new residents having a good level of access to existing services and facilities. It would also likely encourage travel to the larger town centres of the district thereby helping to ensure their vitality and viability. Furthermore concentrating a high level of development at larger sites at the larger settlements would likely help to support the funding of new infrastructure including services and facilities to the benefit the wider population through S106/CIL. The delivery of new settlements at Slimbridge and Wisloe is expected to result in the creation of critical mass to support the delivery of new services and facilities and the high level of development and large sites involved is likely to support the funding of these types of provision through S106/CIL. This approach would allow for some development at the more rural settlements of the district however this development is to be limited to mostly small sites of up to 10 dwellings. As such directing a high level of development to the large settlements may compound some issues of access for people in rural areas, and potential opportunities to stimulate the provision of substantial new services and facilities in those areas may be lost. Overall a mixed effect (significant positive/ minor negative) is expected in relation to this SA objective.
SA 7: To create, protect, enhance, restore and connect habitats, species and/or sites of biodiversity or geological interest.	--?	The emerging growth strategy would provide residential development mainly at the main towns of Cam and Dursley, Stonehouse and Stroud as well as at new settlements at Sharpness and at Wisloe within the Severn Vale. Development at Sharpness would be located in close proximity to the Severn Estuary SPA, SAC, SSSI and Ramsar site. The provision a high level of development at Stroud could result in environmental pressures resulting on Rodborough Common which has been designated as a SSSI and SAC and also contains Rodborough Common Local Geological Site to the south of the settlement. Similarly the level of development required by Stonehouse may result in adverse impacts occurring in relation to Selsley Common SSSI, while development at Cam has the potential to result in adverse impacts occurring in relation to Stinchcombe Hill SSSI. Furthermore while focussing new development in and around the larger settlements might encourage the use of brownfield land, the high level of new development required in the district over the plan period is likely to result in the loss of large areas of greenfield land which otherwise may provide habitats and support habitat connectivity in the district. Overall a significant negative effect is expected in relation to this SA objective. The effect is currently uncertain, depending on the design of development which comes forward over the plan period.

SA Objective	Emerging Growth strategy	Justification
SA 8: To conserve and enhance the local character and distinctiveness of landscapes and townscapes and provide sustainable access to countryside in the District.	-?	The emerging growth strategy would provide residential development mainly at the main towns of Cam and Dursley, Stonehouse and Stroud as well as at new settlements at Sharpness and at Wisloe within the Severn Vale. Development at the smaller and more rural settlements of the district is to be limited mainly to small sites of up to 10 dwellings which is likely to help preserve the character and setting of the villages and the quality of rural landscapes. The emerging growth strategy would direct much of the future growth in the district towards the west and as such it would also help to minimise the potential for development to occur at locations which would impact upon the setting of the Cotswolds AONB. Furthermore this option would result in a high proportion of the new development occurring by settlements (Stonehouse, Sharpness and Cam) which have been identified through the landscape sensitivity assessment undertaken to support the Council's SALA as having lower sensitivity to development. However, this approach would still result in a high level of new development being delivered at large greenfield sites and it is also noted that the landscape sensitivity assessment identified that much of the land around Stroud has a high sensitivity to development. Therefore, a minor negative effect is identified for this SA objective, although this is uncertain depending on the design of new development which comes forward over the plan period. Effects will also depend on the incorporation of mitigation measures.
SA 9: To conserve and/or enhance the significant qualities, fabric, setting and accessibility of the District's historic environment.	+?/--?	The emerging growth strategy would provide residential development mainly at the main towns of Cam and Dursley, Stonehouse and Stroud as well as at new settlements at Sharpness and at Wisloe within the Severn Vale. Providing the majority of new development at the larger settlements focussed mostly at a smaller number of concentrated locations will allow for a large proportion of this development to proceed at larger sites. This approach could help to preserve the historic character of the rural villages in the district. However, there is a particularly high number of Listed Buildings within the settlements of Stroud, Stonehouse and Dursley in particular and this option would allow for high levels of development within and adjacent to these settlements. The Industrial Heritage Conservation Area also runs from west to east through the settlements of Stonehouse and Stroud and there is also potential for adverse impacts to occur on the setting of this heritage asset dependent upon the design of any development which comes forward. The new settlements at Sharpness and Wisloe would be located in close proximity to a lower concentration of Listed Buildings however it is noted that land at Sharpness is likely to take in a number of listed properties including Abwell farmhouse, Oakhunter Farmhouse and Saniger Farmhouse. The new settlement at this location would also be in relatively close proximity to the high number of Listed Buildings and Berkeley Castle Registered Park and Garden at Berkeley. The loss of greenfield land generally may have adverse impacts on undesignated archaeological features but may also offer opportunities to preserve and record them. It is also recognised that high quality new development may offer the opportunity to enhance the setting of nearby heritage features. Therefore, an overall mixed effect (minor positive/ significant negative) is expected in relation to this SA objective although this is uncertain depending on the design of development.
SA 10: To ensure that air quality continues to	+	The effects of the distribution of development within the district on ensuring ensure that air quality continues to improve will be mainly determined by the transport habits which it helps to encourage. Impacts on air quality are expected to be

SA Objective	Emerging Growth strategy	Justification
improve.		<p>more positive where a greater decrease in journeys undertaken and modal shift is encouraged.</p> <p>The emerging growth strategy would provide residential development mainly at the main towns of Cam and Dursley, Stonehouse and Stroud as well as at new settlements at Sharpness and at Wisloe within the Severn Vale. New development along the transport corridor between Stonehouse and Stroud presents an opportunity to achieve a high level of self-containment in terms of travel (i.e. less out-commuting for work) as identified through the high level transport assessment work¹⁵⁷ undertaken by the council. A degree of self-containment has also been reported in the area around Cam and Dursley and this area has also been identified as having the potential for rail to provide an alternative option for longer distances which residents may be required to undertake. This approach would also provide a reduced level of development within the southern portion of the district beyond Cam and Dursley which is more rural and dependent upon journeys by private car. The new settlements at Sharpness and Wisloe are to be located in relatively close proximity to A38/M5 corridor and furthermore would provide the critical mass to deliver new services and facilities which may help to reduce the need for residents to travel on a frequent basis. The emerging approach would limit the level of development which would be provided at the more rural settlements of the district which otherwise could result in a high number of new residents being required to travel long distances on a regular basis to access services and facilities. Furthermore, as this approach would provide a high level of new development at larger sites there is greater potential to attract government funding to address the cumulative impacts of the development. Given that this approach would help to reduce the need to travel longer distances from rural locations and encourage modal shift in the district a minor positive effect is expected in relation to this SA objective.</p>
SA 11: To maintain and enhance the quality of ground and surface waters and to achieve sustainable water resources management in the District.	-	<p>The potential for new development to impact water quality and sustainable water use in the district is dependent to an extent on design of new development and the provision of new infrastructure which is required to avoid increased pressure on waste water facilities and adverse effects of increased discharge from those facilities. At present no waste water issues have been identified for the district, with responsibility for treatment of waste water in Stroud outside of the responsibility of the District Council. The two main sewage treatment works for Gloucestershire are located outside of Stroud at Netheridge in Gloucester and Hayden to the south west of Cheltenham. Proximity of the broad locations for development to Drinking Water Safeguarding Zones has been taken into account.</p> <p>The emerging growth strategy would provide residential development mainly at the main towns of Cam and Dursley, Stonehouse and Stroud as well as at new settlements at Sharpness and at Wisloe within the Severn Vale. As such this approach would result in new development being provided within or in close proximity to the Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone (Surface Water) at this settlement and also around Cam and Stonehouse. Furthermore development provided at Dursley through this option may impact upon the Source Protection Zone at this location. Of the new growth required to deliver the new settlements, development at Wisloe would also fall within the Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone (Surface</p>

¹⁵⁷ Stroud Local Plan Review Strategy Options Transport Discussion Paper. Stroud District Council, June 2018.

SA Objective	Emerging Growth strategy	Justification
		Water), while development at Sharpness would not fall within or in close proximity to a designation of this type. As such while most of the development would be distributed within areas outside of Source Protection Zones in the district it is likely to impact upon other designations relating to the protection of water quality. A minor negative effect is therefore expected in relation to this SA objective.
SA 12: To manage and reduce the risk of flooding and resulting detriment to public wellbeing, the economy and the environment.	-	The emerging growth strategy would provide residential development mainly at the main towns of Cam and Dursley, Stonehouse and Stroud as well as at new settlements at Sharpness and at Wisloe within the Severn Vale. At the larger settlements of Cam, Dursley, Stonehouse and Stroud an emphasis is to be placed on the regeneration of brownfield sites. Considering the overall high level of development which is to be accommodated in the district much of which would be provided at new settlements at Sharpness and Wisloe the overall proportion of growth which would take place on brownfield land would be a comparatively small component of the overall growth required over the plan period. The development of a high amount of greenfield land could increase flood risk due to the creation of more impermeable surfaces in the district. Furthermore locations at Stonehouse and Stroud along the River Frome and Stroudwater Navigation Canal could potentially be affected by areas of Flood Zones 2 and 3. There are also smaller areas of Flood Zones 2 and 3 in close proximity to the settlements of Cam and Dursley at which development would also be delivered. This option would also include development which may be at risk of flooding from the River Severn by Sharpness however it is noted that parts of this area benefit from flood defences. Overall a minor negative effect is expected in relation to this SA objective.
SA 13: To improve efficiency in land use and protection of soil quality through the re-use of previously developed land and existing buildings and encouraging urban renaissance.	+/--	The emerging growth strategy would provide residential development mainly at the main towns of Cam and Dursley, Stonehouse and Stroud as well as at new settlements at Sharpness and at Wisloe within the Severn Vale. At the larger settlements of Cam, Dursley, Stonehouse and Stroud an emphasis is to be placed on the regeneration of brownfield sites. Considering the overall high level of development which is to be accommodated in the district much of which would be provided at new settlements at Sharpness and Wisloe the overall proportion of growth which would take place on brownfield land would be a comparatively small component of the overall growth required over the plan period. The settlements at Sharpness and Wisloe could potentially result in the development of large areas of Grade 3 and Grade 2 agricultural soils. Overall a mixed effect (minor positive/ significant negative) is expected in relation to this SA objective.
SA 14: To implement strategies that help mitigate global warming by actively reducing greenhouse	+	Promotion of energy efficiency cannot be known until detailed planning applications come forward, and the generation of clean, low carbon, decentralised and renewable electricity and heat is not directly part of these strategic growth options. The effects of the distribution of development within the district in terms of helping to limit the release of greenhouse gasses will be mainly determined by the transport habits which it helps to encourage. Impacts on climate change are expected to be more positive where a greater decrease in journeys undertaken and modal shift is encouraged.

SA Objective	Emerging Growth strategy	Justification
gases and adapt to unavoidable climate change within the District.		<p>The emerging growth strategy would provide residential development mainly at the main towns of Cam and Dursley, Stonehouse and Stroud as well as at new settlements at Sharpness and at Wisloe within the Severn Vale. New development along the transport corridor between Stonehouse and Stroud presents an opportunity to achieve a high level of self-containment in terms of travel (i.e. less out-commuting for work) as identified through the high level transport assessment work¹⁵⁸ undertaken by the council. A degree of self-containment has also been reported in the area around Cam and Dursley and this area has also been identified as having the potential for rail to provide an alternative option for longer distances which residents may be required to undertake. This approach would also provide a reduced level of development within the southern portion of the district beyond Cam and Dursley which is more rural and dependent upon journeys by private car. The new settlements at Sharpness and Wisloe are to be located in relatively close proximity to A38/M5 corridor and furthermore would provide the critical mass to deliver new services and facilities which may help to reduce the need for residents to travel on a frequent basis. The emerging approach would limit the level of development which would be provided at the more rural settlements of the district which otherwise could result in a high number of new residents being required to travel long distances on a regular basis to access services and facilities. Furthermore, as this approach would provide a high level of new development at larger sites there is greater potential to attract government funding to address the cumulative impacts of the development. It is also noted that providing the majority of new development at larger sites may provide better opportunities for incorporating low carbon or renewable energy infrastructure, as this may be more viable at large development sites. Furthermore, providing the majority of new homes at a smaller number of larger sites may increase the potential to overcome logistical issues relating to the provision of physical space to incorporate required infrastructure and relating to the linking of new homes provided by a higher number of developers to these types of infrastructure. Most importantly given that this approach would help to reduce the need to travel longer distances from rural locations and encourage modal shift in the district a minor positive effect is expected in relation to this SA objective.</p>
SA 15: To minimise the amount of waste produced, maximise the amount that is reused or recycled, and seek to recover energy from the largest proportion of the residual material, and	+?	<p>The total amount of household waste generated would be unaffected by the distribution of development within the district, and per capita waste generation would not be affected. This, and levels of recycling, would instead be influenced by consumer behaviour and the incorporation of sustainable waste management systems within new developments.</p> <p>The emerging growth strategy would provide residential development mainly at the main towns of Cam and Dursley, Stonehouse and Stroud as well as at new settlements at Sharpness and at Wisloe within the Severn Vale. By concentrating much of the development required over the plan period at the larger settlements and making use of larger sites in the district it is expected that this approach could offer good opportunities to incorporate new sustainable waste disposal solutions. It is expected that such larger sites would be more viable and there would be more space for the infrastructure required. It is expected that the provision of new settlements at Sharpness and Wisloe would have a similar</p>

¹⁵⁸ Stroud Local Plan Review Strategy Options Transport Discussion Paper. Stroud District Council, June 2018.

SA Objective	Emerging Growth strategy	Justification
achieve the sustainable management of waste.		effect in terms of ability to support the delivery of new infrastructure of this type considering the large scale of development which would be delivered. A minor positive effect is therefore expected in relation to this SA objective although this is uncertain depending on whether new developments would in fact support the delivery of infrastructure which would facilitate more sustainable waste management in the district.
SA 16: To deliver, maintain and enhance sustainable and diverse employment opportunities, to meet both current and future needs.	++/-	The emerging growth strategy will provide sufficient new employment land to meet needs for the next 20 years. Employment growth is to be centred at two new settlements at Sharpness and at Wisloe within the Severn Vale in relatively close proximity to the A38/M5 corridor. Further strategic employment growth will be concentrated at accessible locations within the A38/M5 corridor. As such much of the employment development to be provided over the plan period would be located in close proximity to strategic transport infrastructure in the district. While the initial transport work to support the plan has identified the likely need for major improvements at M5 junctions 12, 13 and 14, together with improvements along the A419 and A38 corridors as this option would involve the delivery of much of the new growth at larger sites there are likely to increased numbers of opportunities to secure government funding or S106/CIL. However many residents at the larger settlements of the district where much of new housing growth will be provided would be required to travel longer distances to access new employment opportunities at the least locations. As such it is considered that this option would deliver a new employment land in locations which have variable levels of accessibility for residents in the district. As such a mixed effect (significant positive/minor negative) is expected in relation to this SA objective.
SA 17: To allow for sustainable economic growth within environmental limits and innovation, an educated/ skilled workforce and support the long term competitiveness of the District.	++/-	The emerging growth strategy will provide sufficient new employment land to meet needs for the next 20 years. Employment growth is to be centred at two new settlements at Sharpness and at Wisloe within the Severn Vale in relatively close proximity to the A38/M5 corridor. The provision of new employment land in close proximity to strategic transport infrastructure and at larger sites which would help to secure government funding and S106/CIL to support the delivery of required infrastructure improvements is likely to help encourage inwards economic investment in the district. Concentrating the majority of employment growth over the plan period at a limited number of locations however is unlikely to help promote the economic growth or the vitality and viability of the town centres of those settlements which have not been identified to accommodate new growth. Furthermore this approach is unlikely to benefit the rural economy in Stroud. Overall a mixed effect (significant positive/minor negative) is expected in relation to this SA objective.

Sites in the Emerging Strategy Paper

PS01: Brimscombe Mill, Brimscombe (dwellings and employment uses)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 40 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space, a council play area and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and Minchinhampton and Rodborough Common SSSIs. The site is also within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Brimscombe Port KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	The majority of this site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The majority of this site scored 68 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Phoenix Industrial Estate; Hope Mills Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

PS02: Brimscombe Port (dwellings, canal related tourism and employment)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity 150 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace, but there is green space within the site that could be lost to development. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI and Minchinhampton Common SSSI. It is also within 250m of a number of Key Wildlife Sites. The site also contains a GI asset (green space) which could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development and high sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 67 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+?	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Brimscombe C of E Primary School. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

PS03: Land at Hope Mill, Brimscombe (dwellings and open space)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 40 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m. The site would include an area of open space.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI and Minchinhampton Common SSSI. It is also within 250m of a number of Key Wildlife Sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 60 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Brimscombe C of E Primary School but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

PS04: South of Cirencester Road, Minchinhampton (self build/custom dwellings)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 50 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 89 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

PS05: East of Tobacconist Road, Minchinhampton (dwellings, doctors surgery/ community uses and open space)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 100 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle route within 400m. The site would include an area of open space and a doctor's surgery.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement. This site would include community uses but is not within a town centre.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Minchinhampton Common SSSI. It is also within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 84 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

PS06: The New Lawn, Nailsworth (dwellings and community uses subject to relocation of football ground)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 80 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. However, the site contains a protected outdoor playspace which could be lost to development. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a second tier settlement. This site would include community uses but is not located within a town centre.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Woodchester Park SSSI and within 250m of High Wood and Bowlas Wood KWS and Bunting Hill KWS. The site is also located within 3.0km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 64 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Inchbrook Industrial Estate).
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

PS07: North of Nympsfield Road/Nortonwood junction, Nailsworth (dwellings and open space)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 25 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m. The site would include an area of open space.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Woodchester Park SSSI and within 250m of High Wood & Bowlas Wood KWS. The site is also located within 3.0km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 63 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Inchbrook Industrial Estate).
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

PS08: North of Avening Road, Nailsworth (garden centre or 1.5 ha employment)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	++	This site is within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. This site is also within 400m of a cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of Minchinhampton Common SSSI and is within 250m-1km of Box Farm Meadows SSSI. It is also within 250m of Scar Hill Quarry Local Geological Site and Nailsworth Brook and Hazel Wood Meadows Key Wildlife Sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to employment development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-/+?	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops and within 200m of a cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-?	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+?	The site is small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

PS10: Railway land / car parks, Cheapside, Stroud (dwellings and town centre uses)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 75 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is within 400m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement. The site would include town centre uses.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. It is also within 250m of a number of Key Wildlife Sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site scored 46 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Rodborough Community Primary School, Stroud Valley Community Primary School and The Rosary Catholic Primary Academy. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

PS11: Merrywalks Arches, Merrywalks, Stroud (dwellings and town centre uses)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 25 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement. This site would include town centre uses.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. It is also within 250m of a number of Key Wildlife Sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site scored 44 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Rodborough Community Primary School, Stroud Valley Community Primary School and The Rosary Catholic Primary Academy. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

PS12: Police station/ Magistrates Court, Parliament St, Stroud (dwellings and town centre uses)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 45 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement. The site would include town centre uses.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	-/+?	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site scored 46 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++/--	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Fromeside Industrial Estate; New Mills / Libby Drive) and is at a Tier 1 settlement. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

PS13: Central river / canal corridor, Stroud (dwellings, canal related tourism and employment)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 120 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/--?	The site is within 400m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace, although it includes a protected outdoor playspace within the boundary of the site which could be lost to development. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. It is also within 250m of three Key Wildlife Sites (Gannicox Toad Pond, Stroudwater Canal - Stroud and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries). The site also contains a GI asset (protected outdoor playspace) which could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	Most of the site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for a large portion of the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The majority of the site scored 58 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+?	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is within 800m of Rodborough Community Primary School, St Matthew's C of E Primary School and The Rosary

SA Objective	Score	Justification
		Catholic Primary Academy, as well as Marling School (College) and Stroud High School (College). This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

PS15: Land to the north of Kings Stanley Primary School, Kings Stanley (dwellings and open space)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 15 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Cycle Network route. The site would include open space.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is however located within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium – high sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 84 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Ryeford Industrial Area) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

PS16: South of Leonard Stanley Primary School, Leonard Stanley (dwellings and open space)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 30 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path. The site would include open space.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also located within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 67 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Ryeford Industrial Area) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

PS17: Magpies site, Oldends Lane, Stonehouse (dwellings, community uses and open space)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 10 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/--?	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains a protected outdoor playspace which could be lost to development. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m. The site would include open space.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement. The site would include community uses.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. There is an existing GI asset (protected outdoor playspace) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 80 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Stroudwater Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

PS18: Land to rear of Regent Street, Stonehouse (dwellings and open space)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 20 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m. The site would include open space.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is also located within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Stonehouse KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 73 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Upper Mills Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

PS19: North/northwest of Stonehouse (dwellings and open space and employment)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site has capacity for 650 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m. This site would include an area of open space.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is located outside of Stonehouse).
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries Key Wildlife Site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development and medium sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The most inaccessible and largest portion of this site scored 95 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The majority of this site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site could deliver more than 600 homes but less than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of at least one secondary school but it is not located within 800m of a primary school. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

PS20: M5 Junction 13, Stonehouse (employment, canal, open space, sports stadium and community uses)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	This site would not result in delivery of new homes in the district.
SA 2: Health	--?/++	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Cycle Network route. This route runs through the site however meaning that it could be lost to development. The site would include an area of open space.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--/+	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is outside of Eastington). The site would include community uses but is not within a town centre.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Stonehouse KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium/low – medium – high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops and within 200m of a cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	This site could deliver more than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development.

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 17: Economic growth	++	This site could deliver more than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development.

PS21: Land adjacent to Tilsdown House, Cam (dwellings)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 15 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Stinchcombe Hill SSSI. It is also within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 58 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of key employment sites (Cam Mills, Everlands; Draycott / Middle Mill Industrial Estate).
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

PS22: Coaley Junction, Cam (dwellings and open space)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 40 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m. The site would include an area of open space.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development and high/medium sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-/+?	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 64 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+?	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

PS23: Rear of 4-60 Draycott, Cam (employment)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 800m of a council play area, a green space and protected outdoor playspace but not within 400m of a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on mainly greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of any designated sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops and within 200m of a cycle route.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--?	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is small in size and on mainly greenfield land. It is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on mainly greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

PS24: West of Draycott, Cam (dwellings and open space)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site has capacity for 700 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium/low sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	A portion of this site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The majority of this site scored 89 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 and Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Draycott / Middle Mill Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

PS25: East of River Cam, Cam (dwellings and open space)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 180 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path. This site would include an area of open space.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This location was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	Much of the site scored 83 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Cam Mills, Everlands; Draycott / Middle Mill Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

PS26: Land off Acacia Drive/ Oak Drive, Kingshill, Dursley (dwellings)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 15 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains a council play area which could be lost to development. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m-1km of Stinchcombe Hill SSSI. It is also within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. There is an existing GI asset (council play area) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site scored 51 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Littlecombe Business Park) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

PS27: 1-25 Long Street, Dursley (town centre uses)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	This site is proposed for town centre uses.
SA 2: Health	++	There is a GP within 400m of the site. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Site options providing town centre uses will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Site options providing town centre uses will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement and would provide town centre uses.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	-/+?	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station. It is located within 200m of a cycle route and within 400m of a number of bus stops.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site would include town centre uses which are likely to provide some employment opportunities.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site would include town centre uses which are likely allow for work based training opportunities as part of employment opportunities which come forward.

PS28: The Old Dairy/ Land off Prospect Place, Dursley (dwellings and town centre uses)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 10 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is located within 400m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Trail route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement. The site would include town centre uses.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Stinchcombe Hill SSSI and within 250m of Hermitage Wood KWS. It is also within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	The site scored 48 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Littlecombe Business Park) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing secondary school but is not within 800m of an existing primary school.

PS29: North of Ganzell Lane, Dursley (dwellings and open space)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 80 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path. The site would include an area of open space.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is however within 250m of Gravelpits Wood KWS and Dursley Woods KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This location was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	Much of the site scored 85 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

PS30: SA4 Hunts Grove extension, Hardwicke (dwellings, community uses and open space)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site has capacity for 750 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path. The site would include open space
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--/+	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is separate from the main built up area of Hardwicke). The site would include community uses but is not within a town centre.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 89 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Quedgeley West; Quedgeley Trading Estate East; Hunts Grove / Quadrant Distribution Centre) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

PS31: SA4a Quedgeley East, Hardwicke (employment)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 800m of a green space but not within 400m of a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of any designated sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station or within 200m of a cycle route. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--?	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is large in size and on greenfield land. It is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	This site is more than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	++	This site is more than 10ha in size.

PS32: South of M5 / J12, Hardwicke (employment)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	The site is within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace but not within 400m of a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of any designated sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station or within 200m of a cycle route. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-?	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is small in size and on greenfield land. It is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	This site is less than 10ha in size.

G1: South of Hardwicke (dwellings)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site has been identified as having potential to meet Gloucester's unmet housing needs.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains a green space and a protected outdoor playspace which could be lost to development. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of Gloucester and Sharpness Canal KWS. There are existing GI assets (a green space and a protected outdoor playspace) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	The majority of the site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium/low – medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The majority of the site scored 83 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Quedgeley West; Hunts Grove / Quadrant Distribution Centre) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least

SA Objective	Score	Justification
		one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

G2: Land at Whaddon, (dwellings)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site has been identified as having potential to meet Gloucester's unmet housing needs.
SA 2: Health	-/+	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Country Park, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	Although this site is at a tier 5 settlement it is adjacent to Quedgeley and Gloucester across the local authority boundary.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Robin's Wood Hill Quarry SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 99 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 and 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school.

PS33: North-west of Berkeley (dwellings and open space)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 120 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	This site is located between 400m and 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is located within 400m of cycle route and a National Cycle Network route. The site would include open space.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 76 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Rigestate, Station Road) and is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

PS34: Sharpness Docks, Newtown and Sharpness (dwellings, employment, tourism, leisure and recreation uses)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 300 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/--?	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace, but includes a Council play area and protected outdoor playspace within the boundary of the site which could be lost to development. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m. This site would include recreation uses.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site and SSSI. It is also within 250m of Sharpness Docks Key Wildlife Site and Sharpness Cliffs Local Geological Site. The site also contains a GI asset (Council play area and protected outdoor playspace) which could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium/low sensitivity to residential development and medium sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 98 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+?	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development. The site is currently in employment use.

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Sharpness Primary school. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development.

PS35: Land at Focus School, Wanswell, Newtown and Sharpness (dwellings, community uses and open space)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 70 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/--?	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains a protected outdoor play space which could be lost to development. There are cycle routes within 400m. This site would include an area of open space.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--/+	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-5 settlement (it is outside of Newtown and Sharpness). This site would include community uses but is not located within a town centre.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of Tintock Wood (including Pitbrook Brake & Penny Grove) KWS. There is an existing GI asset (protected outdoor playspace) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	The site scored 74 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Rigestate, Station Road) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

PS36: Land south and east of Sharpness Docks and Newtown, Newtown and Sharpness (dwelling, employment, retail, community uses and open space)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site has capacity for up to 2400 homes by 2040.
SA 2: Health	++/--?	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace but includes a Council play area and a protected outdoor playspace within the boundary of the site meaning that they could be lost to development. There are cycle routes within 400m. This site would include an area of open space.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement. This site would include community uses but is not located within a town centre.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	The site is within 250m of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site and SSSI as well as a number of Key Wildlife Sites. The site also contains a GI asset (a Council play area and a protected outdoor playspace) which could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This majority of the site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium – high/medium – high sensitivity to residential development and high sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	The majority of the site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The majority of the site scored 85 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	This site could deliver more than 600 homes and more than 10ha of employment

SA Objective	Score	Justification
		land.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	This site is within 800m of Berkeley Primary School and Sharpness Primary School. The site could deliver more than 10ha of employment land.

PS37: Land at Wisloe (dwellings, employment, retail, community use and open space)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	This site has capacity for up to 1500 dwellings by 2040.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m. This site would include an area of open space.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3b settlement. This site would include community uses but is not within a town centre.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The portions of the site scored 91, 89 and 95 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 and 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	This site would deliver less than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is within 800m of Slimbridge Primary school. This site would deliver less than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development.

PS38: South of Wickwar Road, Kingswood (dwellings and open space)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 50 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path. This site would include an area of open space.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 84 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Abbey Mill Industrial Area; Orchestra Works) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

PS39: South of Walkmill Lane, Kingswood (dwellings and open space)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 50 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path. This site would include an area of open space.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. The site is however located within 250m of Nind Trout Farm and Ozleworth Brook KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswold AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	The site scored 67 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+/--	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Abbey Mill Industrial Area; Orchestra Works) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

PS40: North of Katharine Lady Berkeley's School, Wotton under Edge (school and/or community and open space uses))

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	The uses detailed for this site will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 2: Health	++	This site would include an area of open space.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	The uses detailed for this site will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	The uses detailed for this site will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	The uses detailed for this site will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site would include a school and/or community uses but is not within a town centre.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Wotton Hill SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswold AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	This site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	-?	The provision of a new school or community uses may result in increased traffic to the site although effects depend to a large extent on school bus and other sustainable transport provision.
SA 11: Water quality	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is on greenfield land classed as Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	The provisions of new education facilities or community facilities in the district will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	0	The provision of a new school or community uses will not have an effect on this SA objective.
SA 17: Economic growth	++	The provision of a new school would provide additional school places in the District.

PS41: Washwell Fields, Painswick (dwellings and open space)

SA Objective	Score	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	This site has capacity for 20 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m. This site would include an area of open space.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	This site is at a tier 2 settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Cotswold Commons and Beechwoods SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswold AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	This site scored 1 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	The site scored 83 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

Appendix 7

Audit Trail of Policy and Site Options

Table A7.1 Stroud District Council's Reasons for considering reasonable alternative policy options and for including policies in the Local Plan Review Emerging Strategy Paper

Key Issue/Need included in the Local Plan Review Issues and Options Paper (October 2017)	Options considered and reason for considering as alternative	Policy approach in the Local Plan Review: Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018)	Reasons for following the approach in the Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018)
The Issues and Option Paper suggested 40 key issues for the district and consultees to state if there were any new issues emerging which were missed. The top five key issues identified by consultees were then included in the Chapter 1 of the Emerging Strategy Paper with details how they will be addressed			
Key issues for Stroud District	A review of the key issues was undertaken following preparation of the SA Scoping Report (April 2018) in order to ensure that there were no inconsistencies and that an appropriate range of key issues was identified in the Local Plan. This review did not result in the SA team recommending that any changes should be made. A range of key issues was consulted on, based around the key issues identified in the current Local Plan and the Council's Corporate Delivery Plan Key Priorities.	<p>Key Issue 1: Ensuring that new housing development is located in the right place, supported by the right services and infrastructure to create sustainable development was identified as the most significant issue / challenge to address in the District.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concentrating housing development at locations where there is currently the best access to services, facilities, jobs and infrastructure; • Creating new sustainable communities at locations where development can transform existing access to services and infrastructure; • Concentrating employment growth within the A38/M5 corridor and at locations in tandem with housing growth. 	Identified by public consultation as the most significant key issue facing Stroud District, to deliver sustainable development linked to existing infrastructure or where there is potential to improve infrastructure and public transport links.
	See above.	<p>Key Issue 2: Conserving and enhancing Stroud District's countryside and biodiversity, including maximising the potential for a green infrastructure network across the District.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Robust policy framework for protecting and conserving the green network and extensions and improvements to the existing network; • Identifying opportunities through careful development to achieve net gains to the natural environment; • Supporting farm diversification, rural business growth and the tourism potential in rural areas which respect 	Identified by public consultation as one of the top 5 key issues facing Stroud District, to continue to protect and enhance the natural environment and countryside within the District.

Key Issue/Need included in the Local Plan Review Issues and Options Paper (October 2017)	Options considered and reason for considering as alternative	Policy approach in the Local Plan Review: Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018)	Reasons for following the approach in the Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018)
		the countryside character.	
	See above.	<p>Key Issue 3: Maximising the potential of brownfield and underused sites to contribute to housing supply.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regenerating large scale brownfield sites for housing, employment and canal related tourism; Allocating smaller brownfield sites within settlements for redevelopment and exploring other opportunities through the Brownfield Register; Prioritising the use of brownfield, under used and infill land through the use of settlement boundaries. 	Identified by public consultation as one of the top 5 key issues facing Stroud District, to deliver sustainable development through maximising the use of brownfield land.
	See above.	<p>Key Issue 4: Developing strategies to avoid, reduce and mitigate the indirect impacts of development on the natural environment.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Safeguarding local wildlife-rich habitats and wider ecological networks/areas identified for habitat management; enhancement, restoration or creation. Promoting conservation, restoration and enhancement of priority habitats and ecological networks, and protection and recovery of priority species; Managing growth to secure mitigation and measurable net gains for biodiversity. 	Identified by public consultation as one of the top 5 key issues facing Stroud District, to continue to protect the natural environment and biodiversity of the District from the direct and indirect impacts of development.
	See above.	<p>Key Issue 5: Tackling the acute lack of affordable housing in the District.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ensuring a proportion of affordable homes on all sites of 10 dwellings or above in urban areas and on all sites of above 5 dwellings in designated rural areas; Identifying opportunities for additional affordable homes, working with parish councils, co-operatives, community land trusts and community housing 	Identified by public consultation as one of the top 5 key issues facing Stroud District, to address long term housing affordability issues and deliver development to meet local housing needs.

Key Issue/Need included in the Local Plan Review Issues and Options Paper (October 2017)	Options considered and reason for considering as alternative	Policy approach in the Local Plan Review: Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018)	Reasons for following the approach in the Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018)
		groups; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Encouraging rural exception sites and exception sites for first time buyers and renters, subject to local needs. 	
Need: Economy and jobs Question 2.1c	Option 1: Locating growth adjacent to M5 junctions. To reflect the Local Enterprise Partnership (LEP) focus on the M5 corridor as a location for growth. Option 2: Continuing expansion of employment land at existing settlements/sites. To reflect current Local Plan policy to provide employment close to where local people live.	Hybrid approach set out in Section 2.1 of the Emerging Strategy Paper: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Growth and additional jobs on and adjacent to existing high value employment sites and within the M5/A38 growth corridor; Opportunities to foster on-going employment-education links; New employment sites of varying sizes and locations to meet the specific locational requirements of different sectors 	In accordance with SA findings to make use of the M5 corridor to facilitate economic growth and reflect public consultation support for the M5 corridor as the most popular locational choice for employment growth alongside continued expansion of employment land at existing sites and settlements.
Need: Economy and jobs Question 2.1d	Option 1: Increased flexibility to allow other job generating uses on all employment sites. Option 2: Increased flexibility allowed on some sites only. Option 3: Identify a percentage threshold for non B class employment uses. To explore alternative options for accommodating a wider range of employment uses on key employment sites in accordance with Government policy for flexibility in land use.	Section 2.1 of the Emerging Strategy Paper: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regeneration of under-utilised or low value employment sites for other uses provided this does not undermine key employment sectors 	To boost local economic productivity, in the light of SA findings, and reflect public consultation support for increased flexibility in job generating uses on employment sites on a case by case basis, prioritising the re-use or redevelopment of derelict buildings, where the employment needs of B Class uses can be safeguarded. To reflect the NPPF focus on supporting key employment sectors.
Need: Economy and jobs Question 2.1e	Option 1: Promote further home working, encourage development of live-work units and co-working facilities.	Section 2.1 of the Emerging Strategy Paper <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Support for co-working facilities particularly at town centres; Support for the faster roll out of broadband. 	To boost local economic productivity, in the light of SA findings, and reflect public consultation support for facilitating home working alongside tackling broadband provision

Key Issue/Need included in the Local Plan Review Issues and Options Paper (October 2017)	Options considered and reason for considering as alternative	Policy approach in the Local Plan Review: Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018)	Reasons for following the approach in the Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018)
	To understand local employment needs promoting home working as an alternative to large scale office space provision		as the major limiting factor.
Need: Economy and jobs Question 2.1f	<p>Option 1: Promote further farm diversification.</p> <p>Option 2: Control pattern of rural development more closely. Based on adopted Local Plan policy, to consider the alternative options of relaxing current policy to promote further development or increasing policy controls on rural development.</p>	<p>Section 2.1 of the Emerging Strategy Paper:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Continued support for appropriate farm diversification proposals subject to environmental criteria. New employment together with new housing to create sustainable communities and to reduce the potential for further out commuting. 	To reduce the net outflow of commuters and enable the tourism industry to contribute fully to economic growth, as identified in the SA, and reflect strong public consultation support for protecting rural areas and safeguarding agricultural land.
Need: Town centres	A 'mix and match' approach to options was taken. Town centre specific options were included reflecting the different roles of the top tier town centres as identified in evidence base studies. The relevance of suggested options to all town centres was also highlighted offering a range of alternative town centre strategies.	<p>Section 2.2 in the Emerging Strategy Paper presents the approach to town centres in the District. This is to include the proviso of retail floorspace needed for at least a ten year period; prioritising retail uses within primary frontages; and town centres being used to provide main retail and leisure uses as well as office uses with flexible rental arrangements. The following specific approaches are set out for the town centre locations:</p> <p>Stroud:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Improvement to Merrywalks shopping centre Redevelopment of brownfield sites Improvements to Stroud station Increased walking and cycling links to and from Stroudwater canal and the wider Stroud valleys network <p>Nailsworth:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pedestrian improvements to Market Street Redevelopment of the town square Improved and centrally located town council, library 	To protect the changing economic and employment role of town centres, including tourism and leisure uses, as identified in the SA, and reflect public consultation support for an overall strategy alongside town centre specific proposals reflecting the character and function of each main town.

Key Issue/Need included in the Local Plan Review Issues and Options Paper (October 2017)	Options considered and reason for considering as alternative	Policy approach in the Local Plan Review: Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018)	Reasons for following the approach in the Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018)
		<p>and tourism facility</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Improved walking and cycling links <p>Dursley:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Redevelop brownfield sites Improve access to Market Hall Environmental improvements to Parsonage Street Increased walking and cycling links connecting Cam and Uley <p>Wotton-under-Edge:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Town centre improvements facilitated by the provision of additional parking Greenway cycle and walking route Priorities set out in Community Plan Update 2016 <p>Stonehouse:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Improved cycling and walking links from canal to the wider Stroud valleys network Proposals set out in the Stonehouse Neighbourhood Plan 	
Need: Local Housing	<p>No alternatives were considered at this stage.</p> <p>Comments were invited on a range of housing issues to better understand local housing need and alternative ways of meeting local housing need.</p> <p>Alternative sites for meeting local housing needs were requested.</p>	<p>As set out in Section 2.3 the Emerging Strategy will seek to deliver:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> At least 638 new homes per year for a 20 year period; A mix of brownfield and greenfield allocated housing sites of varying sizes to ensure delivery is maintained throughout the plan period; A proportion of affordable homes on all sites of 10 dwellings or above in urban areas and on all sites of above 5 dwellings in designated rural areas; A mix of dwelling types (1 bed, 2 bed, 3 bed, 4+ bed, flats, houses, bungalows, etc.) on Local Plan housing sites in proportion to identified local needs; Rural exception sites to meet local affordable needs; Small scale housing in rural areas in the interests of 	<p>To deliver sufficient housing in accordance with Central Government requirements; reflect the findings of the SA report highlighting affordability and housing stock issues; and respond to public consultation support for affordable housing in its widest sense across the District to meet a range of local housing needs.</p>

Key Issue/Need included in the Local Plan Review Issues and Options Paper (October 2017)	Options considered and reason for considering as alternative	Policy approach in the Local Plan Review: Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018)	Reasons for following the approach in the Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018)
		social sustainability, subject to local community support through the preparation of neighbourhood plans.	
Need: Local green spaces and facilities	No alternatives were considered at this stage. Communities were invited to identify local needs for community facilities, open space or sports facilities as well as important facilities or local facilities for protection.	<p>As set out in Section 2.3 the Emerging Strategy will seek to deliver:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Policy protection for important open spaces and facilities within settlements; • A mapped GI network linking urban areas to wider countryside, identifying important ecological and landscape features; • A set of standards for local open space and facilities to guide future development; • Site opportunities to address shortfalls in local open space, sport, recreation and community facility provision and to address shortfalls in local open space and gaps in the GI network; • Restoration of the derelict canal between Stonehouse and Saul Junction; • Improvements to Stonehouse to Nailsworth cycleway and creation of Cam, • Dursley and Uley Greenway; • Opportunities to address community needs in association with new development through the Community Infrastructure Levy and s106 agreements; • Support for the planned provision of community facilities alongside housing growth, through masterplanning of strategic and other major developments; • Continued protection of biodiversity, landscape and heritage areas of importance; • Mitigation strategy for the Cotswold Beechwoods SAC to assess and address recreational pressures. 	To respond to the range of issues identified in the SA relating to the wider environment and the role of local green spaces and community facilities, and reflect strong public consultation support for their protection as well as opportunities for maximising their use and accessibility.

Key Issue/Need included in the Local Plan Review Issues and Options Paper (October 2017)	Options considered and reason for considering as alternative	Policy approach in the Local Plan Review: Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018)	Reasons for following the approach in the Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018)
Vision for the future	The Vision and 8 parish cluster mini-visions are as identified in the current Local Plan.	The Vision to 2040 is set out in Section 3.1 and this is supported by the 'Mini Visions' for the Stroud Valleys, The Stroud Valleys, Cam & Dursley, Gloucester's rural fringe, Berkeley cluster, Severn Cluster, Wotton Cluster and Cotswold Cluster all of which are contained in Section 5 Making Places.	The Vision has been updated to reflect SA objectives identified in the SA scoping report and the revised Mini Visions for each cluster area (see Section 5) incorporating the views of Parish Council representatives in each Parish Cluster group.
Strategic Objectives	The Strategic Objectives are as identified in the current Local Plan and build on the range of issues consulted on in Section 1.	<p>Strategic Objective SO1: Accessible communities Maintaining and improving accessibility to services and amenities, with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Healthcare for all residents • Affordable and decent housing for local needs • Active social, leisure and recreation opportunities • Youth and adult learning opportunities <p>Strategic Objective SO1a: Healthy, inclusive and safe communities Developing communities that support healthy lifestyles, promote social interaction, ensure public safety and reduce the fear of crime.</p> <p>Strategic Objective SO2: Local economy and jobs Providing for a strong, diverse, vibrant local economy that enables balanced economic growth, coupled with enhancing skills and job opportunities across the District.</p> <p>Strategic Objective SO3: Town centres and rural hinterlands Improving the safety, vitality and viability of our town centres, which link to and support the needs of their rural hinterlands.</p> <p>Strategic Objective SO4: Transport and travel Promoting healthier alternatives to the use of the private car and seeking to reduce CO2 emissions by using new technologies, active travel and/or smarter choices, working towards a more integrated transport system to improve access to local goods and services.</p>	To reflect SA framework objectives and address the key issues identified through public consultation to deliver sustainable development. The Emerging Strategy includes new Strategic Objective SO1a promoting healthy and safe communities, in line with the NPPF, to deliver wider health and well being objectives as part of planned development.

Key Issue/Need included in the Local Plan Review Issues and Options Paper (October 2017)	Options considered and reason for considering as alternative	Policy approach in the Local Plan Review: Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018)	Reasons for following the approach in the Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018)
Future/Emerging Growth Strategy	<p>Option 1: Concentrated development – 5,500 dwellings and 30ha B class employment.</p> <p>Option 2: Wider distribution - 5,520 dwellings and 30ha B class employment.</p> <p>Option 3: Dispersal -5,695 dwellings and 40ha B class employment.</p> <p>Option 4: Growth Point -6,010 dwellings and 40ha B class employment.</p> <p>Three alternative growth strategies (Option 2,3,4), or the option of a hybrid strategy (Option 5) were consulted on to accommodate future housing and employment growth requirements as an alternative to the continuation of the current Local Plan Strategy for concentrated development (Option 1). The options were further developed to include specific sites with future potential so that specific impacts could be assessed.</p>	<p>As set out in Section 4.1 a hybrid approach to the Emerging Growth Strategy is proposed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Distribution of at least 12,800 additional dwellings and sufficient new employment land to meet needs for the next 20 years. • Development concentrated mostly at main towns of Cam and Dursley, Stonehouse and Stroud. • Housing and employment growth will also be centred at two new settlements at Sharpness and at Wisloe within the Severn Vale (A38/M5 corridor) where there is the potential to create new sustainable communities. • Further strategic employment growth will be concentrated at accessible locations within the A38/M5 corridor. • Modest levels of growth will be delivered at the local service centres of Berkeley, Minchinhampton, Nailsworth and Painswick. • Small amounts of growth will be delivered at the villages of Brimscombe, Kings Stanley, Kingswood, Leonard Stanley, North Woodchester and Thrupp. 	<p>In accordance with SA recommendations for a hybrid strategy capitalising on the overall sustainability benefits of Option 1, the environmental benefits of Option 4 and potential social benefits of Option 2.</p> <p>The hybrid approach reflects the results of the high level transport assessment which identified both Options 1 and 2 as highly sustainable, with Option 1 the most sustainable and most likely to benefit from the existing passenger network. Option 4 was identified as offering opportunities to provide non car based alternatives. Option 3 was found to be the least sustainable in terms of the location of planned growth.</p> <p>The hybrid growth strategy also reflects strong public support for Option 1 whilst incorporating elements of Options 2, 3 and 4 and providing future strategic employment growth along the M5 corridor.</p>
Settlement Boundaries Question 3.5a	<p>Option 1: Continue with existing settlement development limits, amended as necessary. Current Local Plan strategy for providing housing and employment development at the most sustainable locations.</p> <p>Option 2: Assess proposals on a</p>	<p>Section 4.4 contains the approach to managing growth in relation to settlement boundaries in the District:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Housing, employment and community uses within existing settlement development limits, updated, where appropriate, to reflect physical changes since they were last reviewed and to better reflect their intended function • Some limited development beyond settlement 	<p>The SA considered that continuing with the current Local Plan approach offers the best environmental protection but may have minor negative effects on housing and economy objectives if proposals for development outside of settlement development limits are prevented from coming forward.</p>

Key Issue/Need included in the Local Plan Review Issues and Options Paper (October 2017)	Options considered and reason for considering as alternative	Policy approach in the Local Plan Review: Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018)	Reasons for following the approach in the Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018)
	<p>case by case basis using broader criteria (e.g. landscape impact; form of settlement; proximity to services etc.).</p> <p>An alternative to current Local Plan policy relying on other criteria to judge the suitability of development.</p>	<p>development limits as set out in the Emerging Strategy, subject to satisfying detailed environmental and design criteria.</p>	<p>Public consultation indicated strongest support for the assessment of development proposals on a case by case basis.</p> <p>The Emerging Strategy proposes a hybrid approach to update settlement development limits where required and to allow increased flexibility in the type of development allowed beyond settlement development limits whilst seeking to minimise potential negative environmental impacts through tighter criteria (to be developed at policy drafting stage).</p>
	<p>Option 3: Continue with settlement development limits but expand the types of development that are allowed beyond them in the countryside.</p> <p>An alternative to current Local Plan policy to allow controlled flexibility in the use of land within the countryside to support smaller developments and provide for local needs.</p>		

Table A7.2 Stroud District Council's audit trail of site options and reasons for including sites in Local Plan Review Emerging Strategy Paper

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Is site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Residential use					
<i>Berkeley</i>					
Garage court r/o Marybrook Street car park	BER002		Yes	No	The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be appropriate subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available. Should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. It is not proposed at this stage to allocate small sites with a net capacity of less than 10 dwellings.
Former Berkeley hospital site	BER003		Yes	No	The site has received planning permission for residential development.
Land to the rear of Canonbury Street	BER004		Yes	No	The site has received planning permission for residential development.
Land off Fitzhardinge Way	BER005	BER A	Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
Land north of Berkeley and south west of bypass	BER006	BER B	Yes	Yes	The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.
Land between B4066 and Station Road	BER011	BER C	Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
Land East of Berkeley Heath Motors filling station	BER014		Yes	No	The site did not meet the SALA requirement for the location of sites no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.
<i>Bisley</i>					
Graduate Gardeners	BIS001	BIS A	Yes	No	Although the SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, the site is

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Is site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Reasons for Council's decision making
(shown Graduate)					actively used by a thriving business and there is no evidence to suggest that any suitable land will be made available for re-development in the future.
Land off Calfway Lane	BIS002	BIS A	Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of concentrating most development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest levels of growth at tier 2 settlements and lesser levels of development at tier 3a settlements.
<i>Brimscombe</i>					
Land off Dalloway	BRI004		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, narrowing the gap between Thrupp and Brimscombe and having a detrimental impact on the landcover pattern of the valley slopes. The site also slopes steeply making it physically difficult to develop. There are therefore potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land north-east of London Road	BRI005		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, narrowing the gap between Thrupp and Brimscombe and having a detrimental impact on the landcover pattern of the valley slopes. The site also slopes steeply making it physically difficult to develop. There are therefore potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land south of Bourne Lane	BRI007		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, having a detrimental impact on the landcover pattern of the valley slopes, the character and appearance of the area and the natural landscape beauty of the AONB. The site also slopes steeply making it physically difficult to develop. There are therefore potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location.
Brimscombe Mills & Mill Pond	BRI008	BRI A	Yes	Yes	The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.
Brimscombe Farm	BRI010	BRI D	Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
Dockyard Works	BRI011	BRI C	Yes	No	The site is allocated in the Local Plan for mixed use redevelopment. The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be appropriate subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether the site remains available for redevelopment. Should the site become available in the future, development could

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Is site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Reasons for Council's decision making
					come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy.
Val D'Or Works	BRI012	BRI C	Yes	No	The site is allocated in the Local Plan for mixed use redevelopment. The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be appropriate subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether the site remains available for redevelopment. Should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy.
Queens Court	BRI013		Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may be suitable and available for development in the future subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy.
Land North of Queens Court	BRI014		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because the western play area / amenity land is important to the character of the settlement and the eastern part is identified as an asset of community value for its use as allotment gardens.
Land east of Toadsmoor Road	BRI015		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact and impact on the conservation area. The steep slopes and lack of a suitable access also make development unfeasible without significant works. There are therefore potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land at Gussage Mill	BRI016		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact and impact on the conservation area. The watercourse and adjacent land is within flood zone 3. There are therefore potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land at Bowbridge Lock	BRI017		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because it constitutes a valuable wooded area with amenity and heritage value. The site also has physical constraints relating to vehicular access and flood risk. There are therefore constraints/potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Quarry Hill Farm Fields	BRI019		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, eroding the green gap between Stroud and Thrupp and adversely impacting upon the setting of the Cotswold AONB. The majority of the site slopes steeply and there are no obvious highway access points. There are therefore potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location.
Field on the east side of London Road	BRI020		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, eroding the green gap between Stroud and Thrupp and adversely impacting upon the setting of the Cotswold AONB. The site slopes steeply making development and access difficult. There are therefore potential impacts and

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Is site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Reasons for Council's decision making
					physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location.
Lakeside Depot	BRI022	BRI A	Yes	Yes	The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.
<i>Brookthorpe</i>					
Land south east of Pear Tree Cottage	BRO001		Yes	No	The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of strategic growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.
<i>Cam</i>					
Cam Sports Club, Everlands	CAM001		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because the site is identified in the Local Plan as Protected Open Space, provides important, well used recreational and sporting facilities and makes a significant contribution to local amenity. Development is likely to result in harm or loss to that interest. There are therefore constraints preventing sustainable development in this location.
Upthorpe Farm	CAM003		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact; highly visible rising up the slopes, would erode the separation between Cam and Upthorpe, and be visible in key views to and from Peaked Down and the AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
14 St. George's Close	CAM004		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. Development would have adverse effects due to visibility, setting and key views to and from Peaked Down, Cam Long Down and the wider AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land east of Old Court	CAM005		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact; encroaching into the green buffer, including well used public footpaths, between the AONB and the main settlement of Cam. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land south of Old Court	CAM006		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact; encroaching into the green buffer, including well used public footpaths, between the AONB and the main settlement of Cam. Development would adversely change the character of the valley sides and would be inappropriate. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land at Norman Hill playing fields	CAM007		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because it provides important, well used recreational and sporting facilities, constitutes an important open space within the wider built up area and contributes to breaking up the built up massing of Cam and Dursley. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land adjacent to Tilsdown House	CAM008		Yes	Yes	The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.
4 Tilsdown/	CAM009		Yes	No	The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Is site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Indoor & Outdoor, Tilsdown					development may be acceptable however there is no evidence that the site is currently available or likely to become available in the future.
Land off Elstub Lane	CAM010		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, highly visible as part of the escarpment footslopes, helping to separate Cam from the M5, and fulfilling a recreational role on the edge of the settlement. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land parcel to south west of Manor Close	CAM011	CAM A	Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
Land west of Draycott Crescent	CAM013	CAM B	Yes	Yes	The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.
Land west of Cam and Dursley Train Station	CAM015	CAM C	Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
Land north east of Box Road Avenue	CAM016	CAM C	Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
Land east of Court House Gardens	CAM021	CAM E	Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
Street Farm	CAM022		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact; encroaching into the green buffer, including well used public footpaths, between the AONB and the main settlement of Cam. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land adjacent to Orchard	CAM023		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact; open to view and helping to separate Cam from the M5, including well

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Is site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Leaze					used public footpaths. Access to the site, from Orchard Leaze, is off a single width access lane. There are therefore physical impacts and potential constraints preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land at Bowlers Lea	CAM024		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. Development on the slopes would impinge on their function as backcloth to the settlement as well as potentially being highly visible to the wider countryside and the M5 to the north. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land NW Cam	CAM025	CAM B	Yes	Yes	The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.
Land west of A4135 Draycott	CAM026	CAM B	Yes	Yes	The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.
East of River Cam		CAM E	Yes	Yes (N boundary of polygon amended)	The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.
<i>Cambridge</i>					
Land west of Greenacre	CBR002		Yes	No	The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.
Land rear of Caterham House	CBR003		Yes	No	The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.
<i>Chalford</i>					
Land west of Middle Hill	CHA001	CHA A	Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
<i>Coaley</i>					
Betworthy Farm	COA001	COA A	Yes	No	The northern half of the site has received planning permission for residential development.
Land at Coaley	COA002		Yes	No	Large housing or employment development in this location would be completely out of character with the rural nature of the village with significant landscape and heritage impacts. The SALA identified that the site may have limited future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of concentrating most development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest levels

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Is site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Reasons for Council's decision making
					of growth at tier 2 settlements and lesser levels of development at tier 3a settlements.
Land between Betworthy Farm and The Close		COA A	Yes	No	Although the site has been previously assessed as having future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of concentrating most development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest levels of growth at tier 2 settlements and lesser levels of development at tier 3a settlements.
<i>Cranham</i>					
Simmonds Hall Farm Field	CRA001		Yes	No	The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.
<i>Dursley</i>					
Land behind Kingshill House	DUR001		yes	No	The site is identified in the Local Plan as Protected Open Space and makes a significant contribution to the setting of Kingshill House . Development is likely to result in harm or loss to these interests. There are therefore constraints preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land off Acacia Drive/ Oak Drive	DUR002		Yes	Yes	The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.
Land north of Brownings Lane	DUR005		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, eroding and changing the character of an important pastoral corridor along the edge of the settlement and adjacent to the Cotswolds AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
13 and 23 Bull Pitch	DUR006		Yes	No	The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be acceptable however there is no evidence that the site is currently available or likely to become available in the future.
Henlow House/ 54 - 60 Silver Street	DUR007		Yes	No	The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be appropriate subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available for intensification, and if it is, whether there is capacity for an additional 10 dwellings. Should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. It is not proposed at this stage to allocate small sites with a net capacity of less than 10 dwellings.
18 Woodmancote	DUR008		Yes	No	The site has received planning permission for residential development.
Land at 27 - 29 Uley Road	DUR009		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, eroding and changing the character of an important pastoral corridor along the edge of the settlement and adjacent to the Cotswolds AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land south	DUR010	DUR A	Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Is site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Reasons for Council's decision making
and east of Downham View					subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
Land at Castle Stream Farm	DUR011		Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
Castle Stream Farm	DUR012	DUR A	Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
Land east of Shakespeare Road	DUR013	DUR A	Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
Recreation ground east of School Road	DUR014		Yes	No	The site is identified in the Local Plan as Protected Open Space, provides important, well used recreational and sporting facilities and makes a significant contribution to local amenity. Development is likely to result in harm or loss to that interest. There are therefore constraints preventing sustainable development in this location.
The Old Dairy/ Land off Prospect Place	DUR017		Yes	Yes	The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.
Blackboys Farm	DUR020		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, extending the suburban character into the Cotswold AONB and adversely affecting the setting of the wooded slopes.
Land to the rear of the police station	DUR021		Yes	No	The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be appropriate subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available and if it is, whether there is capacity for 10 dwellings. Should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. It is not proposed at this stage to allocate small sites with a net capacity of less than 10 dwellings.

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Is site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Reasons for Council's decision making
11/11a May Lane	DUR022		Yes	No	The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be appropriate, subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, and development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. However, It is not proposed at this stage to allocate small sites with a net capacity of less than 10 dwellings.
North of Ganzell Lane		DUR A	Yes	Yes (SE boundary of polygon amended)	The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy Strategy.
<i>Eastington</i>					
Land to rear of Alkerton garage	EAS001		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact on the north eastern part of the site and because of the likely significant heritage impact on listed buildings adjacent to the south western part of the site.
Alkerton Farm	EAS003	EAS B1	Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge.
Land south east of Swallowcroft	EAS004	EAS A	Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge.
Land to north of Millend Land	EAS005		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape and heritage impact, filling in the gap between the historic cluster of buildings at Millend and the 20c village and would adversely affect the setting of the listed buildings and character of the Conservation Area. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land at Junction 13 of the M5	EAS007	STO D1, STO D2	Yes	No	The site is not suitable for residential development, removed from the existing urban area of Stonehouse and its local services and facilities and because of the landscape impact. Housing would extend the settlement form and development corridor towards the Severn Vale and M5, closing the gap between Stonehouse and Eastington, and would have an adverse impact on the setting of the canal, the Industrial Heritage Conservation Area and the Thames and Severn Way. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land at Chipmans Platt	EAS008	STO C	Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Is site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Reasons for Council's decision making
					new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge.
Land west of Nupend	EAS009		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the remoteness of the area from local services and facilities and because of the likely high landscape impact. Development would impact on the character and setting of the listed buildings in Nupend and the hamlets' ancient settlement pattern. There are therefore physical constraints and potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land at Nupend (Parcel A)	EAS011		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely detrimental impact upon the setting of Nupend. The site currently functions as a valuable amenity space crossed by public rights of way.
Land at South View	EAS012		Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge.
Land at Westend Farm	EAS013		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely detrimental impact upon the character and landscape setting of the listed buildings and the landscape of Westend and the erosion of the countryside buffer between Stonehouse and the M5 and vale to the west.
Land north of Westend Farm	EAS014		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely detrimental impact upon the setting of Westend and the erosion of the countryside buffer between Stonehouse and the M5 and vale to the west.
Land at Claypits	EAS015		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely detrimental impact upon the local landscape. Development would materially extend built development into the countryside and impact on the adjacent listed Claypits farmhouse and historic character of Eastington's hamlet based pattern.
South east of swallowcroft		EAS A	Yes	No	Although the site has been previously assessed as having future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge.
South of Alkerton:South of Bath Road		EAS B1	Yes	No	Although the site has been previously assessed as having future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge.

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Is site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Reasons for Council's decision making
<i>Frampton</i>					
Old Dairy site	FRA001		Yes	No	The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be appropriate subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available. Should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy.
Fields northwest of Whitminster Lane	FRA004	FRA B	Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge.
<i>France Lynch</i>					
Land south of Bakers Farm	FRL001		Yes	No	The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.
<i>Oakridge Lynch</i>					
Birds Frith Farm	OAK002		Yes	No	The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.
Land north of the Crescent	OAK001	OAK A	Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of concentrating most development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest levels of growth at tier 2 settlements and lesser levels of development at tier 3a settlements.
<i>Hardwicke</i>					
Land at Hardwicke	HAR001	HAR A1, HAR A2, HAR A3, HAR A4	Yes	Yes	The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.
Land at Church Lane	HAR002	HAR A4	Yes	Yes	The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.
SA4 Hunts Grove Extension	HAR004		Yes	Yes	The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.
Land East of Waterwells Business Park	HAR005	HAR C	Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Is site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Land at Hiltmead Lane	HAR007		Yes	No	This large area is generally not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, isolated from any identified settlement and within open countryside. There are therefore constraints/potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land at Parkend Farm	HAR008		Yes	No	The site is not currently suitable for development because of the open rural character and relatively remote location relative to existing established settlements with services and facilities.
Land on east side of M5 at Moreton Valence	HAR010		Yes	No	The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of strategic growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.
land on west side of M5 at Moreton Valence	HAR011		Yes	No	The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of strategic growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.
Land at M5 Junction 12	HAR012		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because the site is surrounded by distributor roads and has other physical constraints relating to adjacent public rights of way use and flood risk. There are therefore constraints/potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location at present.
Mayos Land Phase 3	HAR014	HAR B	Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
<i>Haresfield</i>					
Land west of the railway	HFD001		Yes	No	The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.
Land to east of Round House Farm	HFD002		Yes	No	The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.
Land north of Starsmead House	HFD003		Yes	No	The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.
Land to north of Merryfields & Upper Green Cottage	HFD004		Yes	No	The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.
Land south and east of	HFD005		Yes	No	The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Is site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Haresfield Playing Field					for new residential development.
Former Walled Garden to Haresfield court	HFD006		Yes	No	The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.
<i>Hillesley</i>					
Land to the west of Hawkesbury Road	HIL001		Yes	No	The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.
<i>Horsley</i>					
Land west of St Martin's Church	HOR001	HOR A	Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of concentrating most development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest levels of growth at tier 2 settlements and lesser levels of development at tier 3a settlements.
Fields south of Wormwood Hill	HOR002		Yes	No	The land is not suitable for development because of the potential constraints and high landscape sensitivity of the site. The site is very steep with poor access. Development would extend the settlement beyond the natural boundary of the steep valley sides and would be out of scale with the small valley character. There are therefore physical constraints and potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
South of The Street		HOR A	Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of concentrating most development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest levels of growth at tier 2 settlements and lesser levels of development at tier 3a settlements.
<i>King Stanley</i>					
Land to the north of Kings Stanley Primary School	KST001	KST B	Yes	Yes	The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.
Land opposite King's Stanley Primary School	KST002		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, narrowing the gap between Kings Stanley and Middleyard and impacting on the setting of the listed buildings at Court Farm. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land to rear of Borough Close	KST003	KST C	Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Is site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Reasons for Council's decision making
					the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
Land rear of 24-30 Borough Close	KST004	KST C	Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
Rear of Borough Close	KST006	KST C	Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
<i>Kingswood</i>					
Land north of Pennwood Lodge	KIN001		Yes	No	The land is not suitable for development because of the high landscape sensitivity of the site, beyond the strong valley floor boundary to the settlement and Conservation Area. Development may impact on the flood zone in the valley floor. There are therefore physical constraints and potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land south of Vineyard Lane	KIN002		Yes	No	The land is not suitable for development because of the high landscape sensitivity and significant archaeological potential of the site. It is considered that development would adversely affect the historic landscape character as well as compromise the flood zone in the valley floor. There are therefore physical constraints and potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land south of 13 & 15 Hillesley Road	KIN003		Yes	No	The land is not suitable for development because of the landscape sensitivity of the site. It is considered that development would detract from the open pastoral character of the area from views from local footpaths and minor roads. There are therefore potential impacts, as well as access and accessibility issues, preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land at Hillesley Road,	KIN004		Yes	No	The land is not suitable for development because of the landscape sensitivity of the site. Development would detract from the open pastoral character of the area from views from local footpaths and minor roads. There are therefore potential impacts, as well as access and accessibility issues, preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land at Cloverlea Barn	KIN005	KIN A	Yes	Yes	The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.
Land off	KIN007		Yes	No	The land is not suitable for development because of the high landscape sensitivity

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Is site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Charfield Road, Kingswood					of the site, extending development over onto the skyline and highly visible to the west and north west. The potential impact would therefore prevent sustainable development in this location.
Land north of Charfield Road	KIN008		Yes	No	The land is not suitable for development because of the high landscape sensitivity of the site. Development would significantly extend the settlement form into the open vale countryside on higher ground and is inappropriate within the wider landscape. The potential impact would therefore prevent sustainable development in this location.
Neathwood Yard	KIN009		Yes	No	The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of strategic growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.
Land and yard at Walk Mill Lane	KIN010	KIN B	Yes	Only part of site (new polygon KIN B) identified as emerging option. KIN010 NOT included as a emerging option site	The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.
Land south of Westfield House	KIN011	KIN A	Yes	Yes	The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.
<i>Leonard Stanley</i>					
Land at Bath Road	LEO001	LEO A	Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
Brimley	LEO002		Yes	No	The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be acceptable however there is no evidence that the whole of the site is currently available or likely to become available in the future.
Land to the north of Bath Road	LEO004	LEO B	Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Is site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Land at East Grange	LEO005		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, extending the settlement onto high ground and narrowing the gap between Leonard Stanley and Stanley Downton. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land at Leonard Stanley	LEO007		Yes	Yes	The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.
Severn Waters		LEO B	Yes	No	Although the site has been previously assessed as having future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
<i>Manor Village</i>					
Manor Farm	MAN001		Yes	No	The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be appropriate subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available. Should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. It is not proposed at this stage to allocate small sites with a net capacity of less than 10 dwellings.
Land at Middle Hill, Eastcombe	MAN002	MAN A	Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge.
Frith Wood House & Cottage	MAN003		Yes	No	The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be acceptable however there is no evidence that the site is currently available or likely to become available in the future.
East of Middle Hill		MAN A	Yes	No	Although the site has been previously assessed as having future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge.
<i>Middleyard</i>					
Land north of Coldwell Close	MID001		Yes	No	The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.
<i>Minchinhampton</i>					
Land off 17	MIN001		Yes	No	The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Is site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Butt Street					development may be appropriate subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available. Should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. It is not proposed at this stage to allocate small sites with a net capacity of less than 10 dwellings.
Land at The Knapp	MIN002		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. Development would adversely affect the setting and character of Minchinhampton Common to the west and would impinge on the skyline on the wold edge which would be uncharacteristic of the main settlement form. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land East of Besbury Park	MIN003		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, impinging on the openness of the area, close to the wold edge, and would be inappropriate.
Field 0013, Cirencester Road	MIN004	MIN A	Yes	Yes	The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.
Land at Glebe Farm	MIN005	MIN B	Yes	Yes	The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.
The Lemon Field	MIN006		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the impact on the character of Minchinhampton Conservation Area and the settings of multiple listed buildings that surround the site. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land off Common Road	MIN007		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, damaging to the historical character of the area and Minchinhampton Common and extending the settlement form to join up to Fitzroy. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Seymour House/ Westfield Cottage	MIN008		Yes	No	The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be appropriate subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available. Should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. It is not proposed at this stage to allocate small sites with a net capacity of less than 10 dwellings.
Field north east of Deans Quarry	MIN009		Yes	No	The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of strategic growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.
Land to rear of Tranquility, Houndscroft	MIN013		Yes	No	The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of strategic growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Is site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Reasons for Council's decision making
<i>Nailsworth</i>					
Garden land on the west side of Wood Lane	NAI001		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development as there is no longer any access to the site and any development is likely to impact on the character and appearance of the adjacent conservation area.
Between Old Bristol Rd & Bath Rd	NAI004		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. It is located outside the settlement limits, adjacent to the conservation area and within the Cotswold AONB. Its steep wooded slope may prove a challenge to any excavation and engineering works. The site serves as an important separation of the close-knit core of the settlement from the loose-knit development beyond.
Land between Worley Ridge & New Market Rd	NAI006		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development. There are inadequate pedestrian links to local facilities and the site is accessed from a sub standard track which would be unsuitable to caTer for increased traffic.
Land adjacent to Lower Newmarket Road	NAI007	NAI B	Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
The New Lawn, Nailsworth	NAI008		Yes	Yes	The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.
Land north of Nortonwood	NAI009		Yes	No	This site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. Housing development would be detrimental to its character, landform sensitivity and tranquillity.
Land between Northfield Road & Stroud Road	NAI010		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for housing development because of the likely high landscape and heritage impact. Housing development in this area would remove the openness of the valley side, erode the distinctive character of the steep valley slopes and have a detrimental effect on all visual receptors and users of public rights of way.
Land off Jubilee Road	NAI011		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape and heritage impact. Housing development in this area would remove the openness of the valley side, erode the distinctive character of the steep valley slopes and have a detrimental effect on all visual receptors and users of public rights of way.
Land north of Nymphsfield Road/Nortonwood Junction	NAI012	NAI A	Yes	Yes	The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.
<i>Newport</i>					
Land south of	NPT001		Yes	No	The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Is site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Newport Towers Hotel					or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.
<i>Newtown and Sharpness</i>					
Land south of primary school	NEW003a	NEW A2	Yes	Yes	The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.
Land at Saniger Lane	NEW003b	NEW A1	Yes	Yes	The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.
Land to the north of Oakfield Way	NEW005		Yes	No	Development would need to be considered as part of a wider development strategy for the area for it to have a coherent relationship with the existing settlement but on its own the site should not be developed as it provides a pastoral setting to Luggs Farm.
Land at Focus School- Berkeley Campus, Station Road	NEW006		Yes	Yes	The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.
<i>North Nibley</i>					
Land south west of 19 Highlands Drive	NIB001		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely detrimental impacts on an area of high landscape sensitivity and likely harmful impacts on the setting of nearby heritage assets. In addition, site access is unsatisfactory and the site's topography would make development conspicuous and difficult to integrate with the locally distinctive character of its surroundings. There are therefore physical constraints and potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land north of Warren Croft	NIB002	NIB A	Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of concentrating most development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest levels of growth at tier 2 settlements and lesser levels of development at tier 3a settlements.
Land adjacent 38 Barrs Lane	NIB003		Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of concentrating most development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest levels of growth at tier 2 settlements and lesser levels of development at tier 3a settlements.
<i>North Woodchester</i>					
Land off Selsley Road	NWO001	NWO A	Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Is site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Reasons for Council's decision making
					sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
Land east of Rooksmoor Hill	NWO003		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. The site is very steep and housing development would impinge on the openness and rural character of the slopes and reduce the gap between settlements. There are therefore physical constraints/potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
<i>Nymphsfield</i>					
Land at The Cross	NYM001		Yes	No	The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.
Land west of Benton Court	NYM002		Yes	No	The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.
<i>Oakridge Lynch</i>					
North of The Crescent		OAK A	Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of concentrating most development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest levels of growth at tier 2 settlements and lesser levels of development at tier 3a settlements.
<i>Painswick</i>					
Land on east side of Gyde Road	PAI001		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape and heritage impact, impacting on the setting of the listed buildings at and surrounding Gyde House within the Conservation Area. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land south of Gyde House	PAI002		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape and heritage impact, impacting on the setting of the listed buildings at Gyde House within the Conservation Area. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Washwell Fields	PAI004	PAI A	Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
Land north-east of Lower Washwell Lane	PAI005		Yes	No	Housing development would result in a clear extension of the settlement up the slopes resulting in a significant adverse effect on the open, rural character of the valley within the AONB. The southwest corner is important to the setting of the Conservation Area and development would disrupt the relationship between the historic edge to the settlement and the valley landscape. There are therefore

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Is site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Reasons for Council's decision making
					potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Painswick Mill	PAI006		Yes	No	The impact on the setting and significance of the listed mill and on the group value of it together with the neighbouring cottages would be likely to preclude development on this site. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land at Stamages	PAI007	PAI B	Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
Richmond Care Village	PAI008		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact on a heritage asset and the area providing a rare space within the settlement fabric providing a contrast to the generally dense built form. Housing to the west could block the open views which would be significantly detrimental to the character of the approach to the core of the settlement and conservation area. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Lower Broadhams	PAI009		yes	No	The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of strategic growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.
Crab Orchard	PAI010		Yes	No	The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of strategic growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.
Land north of Groves Close	PAI011		Yes	No	The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.
Land to the east of Stamages Lane	PAI012		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely impacts on heritage assets where the area provides a rare space within the settlement fabric providing a contrast to the generally dense built form. Housing could block the open views which would be significantly detrimental to the character of the approach to the core of the settlement and conservation area. There are therefore potential impacts preventing further sustainable development in this location.
Washwell Fields		PAI A	Yes	Yes	The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.
Stamages		PAI B	Yes	No	Although the site has been previously assessed as having future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Is site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Reasons for Council's decision making
					alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
<i>Randwick</i>					
Old chicken farm	RAN001		Yes	No	The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.
<i>Saul</i>					
Land at Saul Farm	SAU001		Yes	No	The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.
<i>Slimbridge</i>					
Land east of St John's Road	SLI001		Yes	No	This large area is generally not suitable for development because of the likely impact on an area of high/medium landscape sensitivity, and particularly the role this historic landscape plays in providing a setting for nearby heritage assets and in helping to define the character of the settlement as distinct from Cambridge. Furthermore, there are potential constraints which might affect the ability to create a new site access. There are therefore potential impacts and some physical constraint that would prevent sustainable development in this location.
Rear of Tynning Crescent/ South of Moorend Lane	SLI003	SLI A	Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of concentrating most development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest levels of growth at tier 2 settlements and lesser levels of development at tier 3a settlements.
<i>South Woodchester</i>					
Frogmarsh Meadows, north of Frogmarsh Mill	SWO002		Yes	No	The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.
<i>Stinchcombe</i>					
Land at Townsend Farm	STI001		Yes	No	The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.
<i>Stone</i>					
Land at Damery Lane	STN002		Yes	No	The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.
Green Farm, Falfield	STN003		Yes	No	The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Is site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Reasons for Council's decision making
					for new residential development.
<i>Stonehouse</i>					
Magpies site, Oldends Lane,	STO002		Yes	Yes	The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.
Land at Park Road/ Severn Road	STO003		Yes	No	The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be appropriate subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available. Should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. It is not proposed at this stage to allocate small sites with a net capacity of less than 10 dwellings.
Land to rear of Regent Street	STO004		Yes	Yes	The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.
Nutshell House	STO007		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of its location within flood zones 2 and 3 and because of the potential impact on the character and significance of the conservation area and on key views of the listed buildings of Nutshell Bridge, The Nutshell and Nutshell Cottage.
Land at Lower Mills	STO008		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of its location within flood zones 2 and 3 and because of the potential impact on the character and significance of the conservation area and on the setting of Bridgend House.
Rear gardens on north side of Pearcroft Road	STO009		Yes	No	The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be acceptable however there is no evidence that the site is currently available or likely to become available in the future.
Land on south side of Pearcroft Road	STO010		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because the paddock with some mature specimen trees (2 subject to TPO) is important to the character of the settlement, providing a soft southern edge to the town and significantly enhances the residential amenity of the area.
Land north of The Glen, Woodcock Lane	STO012		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, adversely affecting the openness of the paddock and local landscape features and the setting for the AONB beyond. Development would severely restrict views from the local footpath and would create a hard urban edge to Stonehouse and diminish its setting in views from the AONB.
Land at Horsemaring Farm, Standish	STO013		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. Development would significantly extend the settlement into the AONB to the north and east, would be noticeable and prominent and would adversely affect its intrinsic scenic qualities and character.
Former Standish Hospital Site	STO014		Yes	No	The site is generally not suitable for housing development because of the remoteness of the site from Stonehouse and the sensitive location within the Cotswolds AONB. However, there may be a case for enabling development to restore the heritage buildings on site. This is subject to a planning application to consider the specific case for enabling development and an allocation is considered

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Is site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Reasons for Council's decision making
					inappropriate at this time.
Land at Stagholt Farm, West of B4008, Standish	STO015	STO B1	Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
Land at Nupend (Parcel B)	STO017	STO B2	Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
Stagholt Playing Field Car park	STO018		Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have potential for a small exceptional affordable housing scheme on the southern portion of the site, subject to satisfactory replacement of existing changing facilities, car parking and securing appropriate access to adjacent allotments. By their very nature, exception sites are not proposed to be allocated in the Local Plan Review.
Paper Mill, Lower Mills	STO019		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the location within flood zone 3 and because of the contribution of open land to the character of the Conservation Area, providing visual and physical separation between the mill to the south, the distinctive Grade II listed group of Nutshell Bridge, Nutshell Cottage and The Nutshell to the north, and the modern development of Crescent Road / Crescent Close to the north and east.
Land at Stroud Green	STO020		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the distance from local facilities at Stonehouse, the potential impact on local heritage and because of the likely high impact on the local landscape. The site contains a rising ridge where development is likely to be highly visible within the local area and incongruous as a finger of development within an otherwise rural landscape.
North/ North west of Stonehouse: East of railway		STO B1	Yes	Yes	The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.
<i>Stroud</i>					
Land between Hillfield and Downfield	STR001		Yes	No	The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be appropriate subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available. Should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. It is not proposed at this stage to allocate small sites with a net capacity of less than 10 dwellings.
Avocet &	STR003		Yes	No	The site is allocated in the Local Plan for mixed use redevelopment consisting of

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Is site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Goldcrest Business Parks/ Stroud Metals					canal related tourism development, retail and employment uses . A large part of the site is within flood zones 2 and 3 where housing uses are not appropriate.
Land adjacent to Fromehall	STR005		Yes	No	The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be appropriate subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available. Should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. It is not proposed at this stage to allocate small sites with a net capacity of less than 10 dwellings.
Lower Wharf Industrial Estate	STR007	STR A2	Yes	Yes	The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.
Wallbridge Fields	STR008		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. Development would be highly visible and would erode the rural character, remove tree cover and reduce the gap between Stroud and Rodborough Common. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Beeches Green area	STR010		Yes	No	The site is identified in the Stroud Town Centre Neighbourhood Development Plan as part of the wider Beeches Green area suitable for the intensification or redevelopment of the education, health and social uses and for residential development on open land where no longer needed for operational purposes. The site is not currently available. However, the site is located within settlement development limits where, should it become available in the future, development opportunities could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy.
Police station/ Magistrates Court	STR018		Yes	Yes	The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.
Middle Leazes, off Parliament Street,	STR019		Yes	No	The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be acceptable however there is no evidence that the site is currently available or likely to become available in the future.
Rear gardens at Park Road	STR020		Yes	No	The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be acceptable however there is no evidence that the site is currently available or likely to become available in the future.
Land between 23 and 67 Summer Street	STR021		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely landscape impact, narrowing the width of the green corridor and diverging from the current settlement pattern. Part of the area's sensitivity lies in the views from the gaps along Summer Street. Development would also affect the setting of the AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land to north	STR022		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Is site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Reasons for Council's decision making
of Butterow West,					impact. Development would be highly visible and would erode the rural character, remove tree cover and reduce the gap between Stroud and Rodborough Common. In addition, part of the site constitutes a key wildlife site and development is likely to result in harm or loss to that interest. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land at Grange Fields	STR023		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, eroding the areas role as a buffer between development areas. The site lies within Cotswolds AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land to the rear of 87 Summer Street	STR024		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. The site forms part of an incised valley landscape that is both a continuation of and indivisible from the adjacent Cotswolds Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty and a key characteristic to the landscape setting of Stroud. Development would narrow the gap between the urban footprint to the north and south sides of the Slad Valley. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land adjacent to rear of 188 Bisley Road	STR025		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. The site is entirely within the Cotswolds AONB and development would be widely visible and an intrusion into the wider rural landscape, detrimental to the character of the local landscape and Cotswolds AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land at Kilminster Farm	STR026		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. Development would be an intrusion into the wider rural landscape, would be visible from a distance in several directions, as well as close to from the existing settlement edge and a local recreational area, and would be detrimental to the character of the local landscape, especially the adjoining AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land off Summer Crescent	STR030		Yes	No	A large part of the site is a protected outdoor play space and is not considered suitable for development. There are 13 garages to the north of the site. Housing development on this part of the site could only be considered as part of a wider scheme including the improvement of the protected open space/playground.
Land at Slad Brook	STR031		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, eroding the areas role as a buffer between development areas. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land to the rear of Thrupp House	STR033		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, extending the settlement into the wider rural valley landscape above Bowbridge which would be visible from areas across the Frome valley. It is an integral part of the open countryside and within the designation of Cotswolds AONB. Part of the site adjoins Claypits Wood Key Wildlife Site. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land north of	STR034		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Is site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Farmhill Crescent					impact, breaking into the open countryside and disrupting the development line on the ridgetop, eroding the gap between settlements.
Callowell Farm	STR035		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape and heritage impact, eroding the character and continuity of the Painswick Valley running into the settlement, adversely affecting the integrity and setting of Callowell Farm, and adversely affecting the relationship between Stratford Park and the wider countryside. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land opposite Salmon Springs	STR036		Yes	No	The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of strategic growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.
Hammonds Farm	STR037		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape and heritage impact. There are therefore potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land east of Painswick Old Road	STR038	STR D	Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, eroding the areas role as a buffer between development areas. The site lies within Cotswolds AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land north of Folly Lane	STR039		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, extending the existing settlement boundary into the wider upper valley AONB landscape. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land to the north east of Vatch View	STR040		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, changing an open field into a continuum of development and undermining the continuity and significance of the valley sand setting of the AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land off Gunhouse Lane	STR041		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, extending settlement into the wider rural valley landscape above Bowbridge which would be visible from areas across the Frome valley. It is an integral part of the open countryside and within the designation of Cotswolds AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Rodborough, Lightpill/Rooks moor	STR043		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high heritage and landscape impact within the Cotswold AONB. Development would impact on the setting of the IHCA and key views of neighbouring listed buildings and would impinge on the openness and rural character of the slopes, reducing the gap between settlements. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land behind The Snow Mill	STR044		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact on the setting of the listed mill buildings. The site constitutes a key

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Is site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Reasons for Council's decision making
					wildlife site and development is likely to result in harm or loss to that interest. The site also has physical constraints relating to vehicular access and flood risk. There are therefore constraints/potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Jefferies Field	STR045		Yes	No	The impact on the character and significance of the Industrial Heritage Conservation Area and the setting of the neighbouring listed buildings prohibits development on this site. The area's sensitivity lies in the role as a valuable open gap in ribbon development, allowing fine glimpse views across the valley from the Ebley Road, and as a setting to the canal and double locks and associated Thames and Severn Way to the south.
Land east of Jefferies Field	STR046		Yes	No	The impact on the character and significance of the conservation area and the setting of the neighbouring listed buildings could prohibit development on this site. The area's sensitivity lies in the role as a valuable open gap in ribbon development, allowing fine glimpse views across the valley from the Ebley Road, and as a setting to the canal and double locks and associated Thames and Severn Way to the south. The value of the area lies in its location within the Industrial Heritage Conservation Area.
Playing field/ Tily's Field	STR047		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because it provides important, well used recreational and sporting facilities and constitutes an important open space within the wider built up area which, together with other open spaces, contributes to a notional green corridor/ setting to the AONB . There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land off Ebley Road	STR048		Yes	No	The impact on the character and significance of the conservation area and the setting of the neighbouring listed buildings could prohibit development on this site. The area's sensitivity lies in the role as a valuable open gap in ribbon development, allowing fine glimpse views across the valley from the Ebley Road, and as a setting to the canal and associated Thames and Severn Way to the south. The value of the area lies in its location within the Industrial Heritage Conservation Area.
Land at Rose Cottage	STR053		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the high landscape sensitivity of the site and the role it plays in the setting of local heritage assets. Development would be widely visible and would be an intrusion into the wider rural landscape of the AONB, as well as having a negative impact on the setting of local heritage assets. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land off Meadow Lane	STR054		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development due to the significant heritage constraints presented by its location within the Ebley Mills Conservation Area and the potential impact on the setting of surrounding heritage assets. This is exacerbated by its high/medium landscape sensitivity. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land west of	STR056	STR C	Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Is site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Devereaux Crescent					subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
Land at Woodhouse Farm	STR057		Yes	No	The land is not suitable for development because of the high landscape sensitivity of the site within the Cotswold AONB. The majority of the site constitutes a key wildlife site and has significant physical constraints relating to topography. The impact on the character, setting and significance of multiple heritage assets, including Stroud's conservation areas and Rodborough Fort, would be likely to preclude development on the majority of this site. There are therefore physical constraints and potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land south of Bisley Road	STR055		Yes	No	The land is not suitable for development because of the high landscape sensitivity of the site. Development within this land parcel would be widely visible and would be an intrusion into the wider rural landscape. It would be detrimental to the character of the local landscape. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
North of Grange View/ Delmont Grove		STR D	Yes	No	Although the site has been previously assessed as having future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
<i>Upton St Leonard</i>					
Land at Nuthill	UPT001		Yes	No	The site is considered unsuitable for development because of its remote location relative to existing established settlements with services and facilities. The Lane is also narrow, sunken and rural in character. There are therefore physical constraints and potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land South of Bondend Road	UPT002		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact from development of this field identified in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment analysis.
The Stanley	UPT003	UPT A1	Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of concentrating most development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest levels of growth at tier 2 settlements and lesser levels of development at tier 3a settlements.
Land east of Perry Orchard	UPT004	UPT A2	Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Is site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Reasons for Council's decision making
					development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of concentrating most development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest levels of growth at tier 2 settlements and lesser levels of development at tier 3a settlements.
Land south of High Street	UPT005		Yes	No	This large area is generally not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. Development would extend the settlement into the AONB, adversely affecting the intimate character of the valley and the escarpment foot slopes, and also, potentially, adversely affecting the tree cover. The extent of the development site would detrimentally alter rural character.
Land south of Hucclecote Meadows	UPT006		Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of concentrating most development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest levels of growth at tier 2 settlements and lesser levels of development at tier 3a settlements.
Land at Brockworth	UPT007		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, narrowing the gap between Brockworth and Upton St Leonards. Landscape features indicate an open parkland landscape setting of a former manor estate. The area provides an immediate setting to the AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land adj to Brockworth Airfield	UPT008		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact within the AONB on rising ground on the escarpment edge, narrowing the gap between Brockworth and Upton St Leonards. Landscape features indicate an open parkland landscape setting of a former manor estate. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Hardwick Farm	UPT009		Yes	No	The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of strategic growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.
Prinknash Abbey	UPT010		Yes	No	The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of strategic growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.
Land at Birchall Lane	UPT011		Yes	No	This area is generally not suitable for built development because of the likely adverse landscape impact. Housing within the area would extend the settlement along the M5, adversely affecting the intimate character of the valley and tree cover. It would erode the separate character of Upton St Leonards settlement by coalescing sporadic development at Grove and St Leonards Court with a main access into adjoining Gloucester City suburbs and would detrimentally alter the rural character of the area.
Field south of	UPT012		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development as this would detrimentally alter the rural

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Is site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Fieldway					character. The site is in a relatively remote location detached from the village core and within a river corridor important to the footslopes of the AONB. The area acts as a visual and physical separation between the main settlement and the scattered rural dwellings further to the south east. The Lane is also narrow and rural in character. There are therefore physical constraints and potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
<i>Whitminster</i>					
Land East of School Lane	WHI001	WHI E	Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge.
Land north of Hyde Lane	WHI002		Yes	No	The land is not suitable for development because of the high landscape sensitivity of the site, highly visible to the north and separate from the main part of the settlement in open countryside. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land west of Upton's Garden	WHI004	WHI B	Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge.
Land West of School Lane	WHI005	WHI A	Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge.
Highfield Nurseries	WHI006		Yes	No	The land is not suitable for development because of the landscape sensitivity of the site, visible on the unspoilt skyline north of the settlement and viewed as part of the rural area from the north, west and south west. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land to west of Paynes Meadow	WHI008	WHI D	Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge.
North of Whitminster		WHI A	Yes	No	Although the site has been previously assessed as having future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, the scale of

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Is site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Playing field					development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge.
East of School Lane		WHI E	Yes	No	Although the site has been previously assessed as having future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge.
<i>Wotton-under-Edge</i>					
Land at Holywell Farm	WUE001		Yes	No	Development of this site would result in material harm to the character and appearance of the Cotswolds AONB and likely harm to protected species on site. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land north east of Cherry Orchard	WUE002		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. The area's sensitivity lies in its very steeply sloping slopes, its relationship with the upper scarp slopes and contribution to their character and its openness to view across the valley. It would be very difficult to accommodate and mitigate on the steep slopes.
Land off Fountain Crescent	WUE003		Yes	No	The site has received planning permission for residential development.
Land south of Bearlands	WUE004		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. It would extend the current visible linear edge over onto the slope and would be inappropriate running down the slopes compromising a key characteristic of the AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
45 Water Lane	WUE005		Yes	No	The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be acceptable however there is no evidence that the site is currently available or likely to become available in the future.
Land west of Water Lane	WUE006		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. The area is steep sloping and contributes as a green corridor. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land south of Symn Lane	WUE007		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, extending the current visible linear edge over onto the slope. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land off The Chipping	WUE008		Yes	No	The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be acceptable however there is no evidence that the site is currently available or likely to become available in the future.

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Is site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Employment sites					
<i>Berkeley</i>					
Old Piggery	BER012	BER C	Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
Former Berkeley Power Station	BER013		Yes	No	Whilst the site has potential for employment uses, the site is already identified in the Local Plan for B1-B8 employment uses and so allocation of the land for additional employment development is not required.
<i>Brimscombe</i>					
Griffin Mills and Eagle Works Industrial Estates	BRI001		Yes	No	Whilst the site has potential for employment uses, the site is already located within a key employment area and so allocation of the land for additional development is not required.
Lower Brimscombe Mills	BRI006	BRI A	Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may be suitable for some redevelopment for employment purposes subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available. The site is located within settlement development limits where, should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy.
Stafford Mills Industrial Estate	BRI018		Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may be suitable for some redevelopment for employment purposes subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available. The site is located within settlement development limits where, should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy.
<i>Cam</i>					
Cam Mills, Everlands	CAM002		Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
Draycott/Middle Mill Industrial Estate	CAM019		Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
Rear of 4-60 Draycott	CAM020		Yes	Yes	The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Is site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Reasons for Council's decision making
<i>Eastington</i>					
Land at Meadow Mill	EAS006		Yes	No	The site has received outline planning permission for employment development.
Land at Junction 13 of the M5	EAS007	STO D1, STO D2	Yes	Yes (now split into 2 sections) a - employment	The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.
Motorway Depot at M5 Junction 13	EAS010		Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
<i>Frampton</i>					
Netherhills Depot	FRA003	FRA C	Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
North of Perry Way, A38		FRA C	Yes	No	Although the site has been previously assessed as having future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
<i>Hardwicke</i>					
Land at Shorn Brook	HAR003		Yes	No	From the information available, employment development is not considered appropriate given the local amenity, character contribution, access and potential flooding constraints on Shorn Brook further west. There are therefore constraints/potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Summerhill Equestrian Centre	HAR006		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because the site is isolated and detached from the Gloucester Urban Area and has physical constraints relating to current active sport/recreation use and flood risk. There are therefore constraints/potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Moreton Valence Airfield	HAR009		Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
<i>Haresfield</i>					
Blooms Garden Centre	HFD007		Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the emerging strategy

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Is site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Reasons for Council's decision making
					and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
SA4a Quedgeley East	HFD008		Yes	Yes	The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.
Quedgeley East Extension	HFD009		Yes	Yes	The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.
<i>Minchinhampton</i>					
Land parcel south of Old Aerodrome Farm	MIN011		Yes	No	The site is located within the Cotswolds Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty where the highest status is given to landscape protection. Development would impact on the generally open and undeveloped character of the area, removed from established settlements with services and facilities. There are therefore physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land adjoining Aston Down Business Park	MIN012		Yes	No	The site is located within the Cotswolds Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty where the highest status is given to landscape protection. Development would impact on the generally open and undeveloped character of the area, removed from established settlements with services and facilities. There are therefore physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location.
<i>Nailsworth</i>					
Nailsworth Garden Centre	NAI002	NAI C	Yes	Yes	The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.
Land at Gigg Mill, between Old Bristol Rd & Old Horsley Rd	NAI005		Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may be suitable for some redevelopment for employment purposes subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available. The site is located within settlement development limits where, should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy.
<i>Newtown and Sharpness</i>					
SA5a South of Severn Distribution park	NEW004		Yes	No	The site has received outline planning permission for employment development.
<i>South Woodchester</i>					
South Woodchester Industrial Area	SWO001		Yes	No	Whilst the site has potential for employment uses, the site is already located within a key employment area and so allocation of the land for additional development is not required.
<i>Stonehouse</i>					
Land adj ABB/Kent, Oldends Lane	STO001		Yes	No	Whilst the site has potential for employment uses, the site is already located within a key employment area and so allocation of the land for additional development is not required.

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Is site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Stroud					
Fromeside	STR012		Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
Land at Libbys Drive/ Slad Road	STR027		Yes	No	The SALA identified that part of the site may be suitable for some development for employment purposes subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available. The site is located within settlement development limits where, should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy.
New Mills Trading Estate/ Libbys Drive	STR029		Yes	No	Whilst the site has potential for employment uses, the site is already located within a key employment area and so allocation of the land for additional development is not required.
Bath Road Trading Estate	STR042	STR B2	Yes	No	Whilst the site has potential for employment uses, the site is already located within a key employment area and so allocation of the land for additional development is not required.
Brunsdons Yard	STR049	KST D	Yes	No	Whilst the site has potential for employment uses, the site is already located within a key employment area and so allocation of the land for additional development is not required.
Bath Road Industrial Estate		STR B2	Yes	No	Although the site has been previously assessed as having future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
Mixed use sites					
Brimscombe					
Ham Mill	BRI002		Yes	No	The site has received planning permission for mixed use development.
Land at Hope Mill Lane	BRI003		Yes	Yes	The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.
Brimscombe Port Industrial Estate	BRI009	BRI B	Yes	Yes	The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.
Bourne Mills	BRI021	BRI B	Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may be suitable for some mixed use redevelopment subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available. The site is located within settlement development limits where, should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy.

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Is site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Reasons for Council's decision making
<i>Brookthorpe</i>					
Land at Whaddon	BRO002		Yes	Yes	The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.
<i>Cam</i>					
Coaley Junction	CAM014		Yes	Yes	The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.
SA3 North east of Cam	CAM017		Yes	No	The site has received planning permission for mixed use development.
Land north of Upthorpe	CAM018	CAM D, CAM E	Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
Land at Cambridge/ Coaley B	CAM027		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the landscape harm, eroding the countryside gap between Slimbridge, Cambridge and Cam. The site also lacks an obvious vehicular access. There are therefore potential impacts and constraints preventing sustainable development in this location.
South of Draycott Farm		CAM D	Yes	No	Although the site has been previously assessed as having future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
<i>Dursley</i>					
1-25 Long Street	DUR003		Yes	Yes	The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.
Reliance House	DUR004		Yes	No	The site has received planning permission for redevelopment for car parking.
Land to the rear of 12 - 14 Parsonage Street	DUR018		Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
<i>Eastington</i>					
Land rear of Alkerton Court	EAS002	EAS B2	Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Is site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Reasons for Council's decision making
					allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge.
West of Alkerton: Alkerton Court		EAS B2	Yes	No	Although the site has been previously assessed as having future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge.
<i>Frampton</i>					
Land at Whitminster Lane/ Church Lane	FRA002	FRA A	Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge.
<i>Hardwicke</i>					
Land at Quadrant Distribution Centre	HAR013		Yes	No	The site is identified as a Key Employment Site EK13 Hunts Grove/ Quadrant Distribution Centre and is not suitable for mixed use redevelopment due to the loss of key employment land.
<i>Kings Stanley</i>					
Land parcel north west of Stanley Mills	KST007		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely harm to local heritage (conservation areas and listed buildings), harm or loss to a key wildlife site and because of its location within flood risk zones 2 and 3. The site also lacks an obvious vehicular access. There are therefore constraints/potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
<i>Minchinhampton</i>					
Aston Down Business Park	MIN010		Yes	No	The site is identified in the Local Plan as a Key Employment Site and is not suitable for housing development because of the relatively remote location of Aston Down relative to existing established settlements with services and facilities.
<i>Newport</i>					
Land off the A38 at Actrees Farm	NPT002		Yes	No	The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new mixed use development.
<i>Newtown and Sharpness</i>					
Sharpness Docks	NEW001		Yes	Yes	The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.
Land at Sharpness (Sanigar Farm)	NEW002	NEW A1, NEW A2, NEW A3, NEW A4, NEW A5	Yes	Yes (polygon amended to exclude A3 no longer being	The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Is site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Reasons for Council's decision making
				promoted)	
<i>Saul</i>					
Fretherne Nurseries	SAU002		Yes	No	The site is generally not suitable for housing development because of the remoteness of the site from a sustainable settlement and the likely significant heritage impact. Sensitive re-use and partial redevelopment for mixed use may be acceptable to secure the long term future of this important heritage asset. A comprehensive masterplan and supporting heritage justification would be required and an allocation is considered inappropriate at this time.
<i>Slimbridge</i>					
Land at Cambridge/ Coaley A	SLI002		Yes	Yes	The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.
Land east of Gossington	SLI004		Yes	Yes	The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.
Land south of Cambridge	SLI005		Yes	Yes	The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.
Land at Cambridge/ Coaley A, Land east of Gossington and Land south of Cambridge	SLI002, SLI004 and SLI005		Yes	Yes	The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.
<i>Stone</i>					
Land adjoining the Pines, Stone	STN001		Yes	No	The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new mixed use development.
<i>Stonehouse</i>					
Land South of Bristol Road	STO006	STO A	Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
Land North West of Stonehouse	STO016	STO B2	Yes	Yes	The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.
<i>Stroud</i>					
Tricorn House	STR002		Yes	No	The site has the benefit of deemed planning permission for residential

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Is site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Reasons for Council's decision making
					development.
Land to the rear of Avocet Business Park	STR004	STR A1	Yes	Yes	The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.
Lodgemore/Fromehall Mills	STR006	STR A2	Yes	Yes	The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.
Railway Land/ car parks	STR014		Yes	Yes	The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.
Merrywalks Arches (former Cotswold Indoor Bowls)	STR015		Yes	Yes	The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.
Merrywalks area (surgery, McDonalds, car park)	STR016		Yes	No	The site is identified in the Stroud Town Centre Neighbourhood Development Plan as part of a wider area suitable for mixed use redevelopment. The site is not currently available. However, the site is located within settlement development limits where, should it become available in the future, development opportunities could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy.
Market Tavern	STR017		Yes	No	The site is identified in the Stroud Town Centre Neighbourhood Development Plan as suitable for mixed use redevelopment. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available. The site is located within settlement development limits where, should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy.
Daniels Industrial Estate	STR032	STR B1	Yes	No	The site has received planning permission for mixed use redevelopment.
Whitminster					
Highfield Garden Centre	WHI003		Yes	No	Although the SALA identified that the site may have future potential for mixed use development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, the site is currently in active commercial use and there is no evidence to suggest that any suitable land will be made available for re-development in the future.
Grove End Farm	WHI007		Yes	No	The land is not suitable for housing development because of the landscape sensitivity of the site, visible on the top and slopes of a low ridge as part of the wider rural area to the south-east and separated from the main settlement by the A38. There are therefore potential impacts and constraints preventing sustainable mixed use development in this location.
Community sites					
Eastington					
Land at Junction 13 of the M5	EAS007	STO D1, STO D2	Yes	Yes (now split into 2 portions) b -	The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Is site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Reasons for Council's decision making
				sports stadium and community uses	
<i>Nailsworth</i>					
Land at Avening Road	NAI003		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for community development because of the likely high landscape impact and steep, wooded slopes. It is located outside the settlement limits, adjacent to a key wildlife site and within the Cotswold AONB. There are therefore potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location.
<i>Stroud</i>					
Capel Mill	STR009		Yes	No	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape and heritage impacts. Development would be highly visible and would erode the conservation area's 'green corridor', remove tree cover and reduce the gap between Stroud and Rodborough. The site also has significant physical constraints relating to topography and access. There are therefore potential impacts/ constraints preventing sustainable development in this location.
<i>Wotton-under-Edge</i>					
Land south of Symn Lane	WUE009	WUE A	Yes	Yes	The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.
Open space sites					
<i>Berkeley</i>					
Land west of Parkview play area	BER001		Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site is suitable for enhancement as an amenity space. The Council will consider allocating the most important open space areas at the draft plan stage following the audit and assessment of current open space provision.
Land north of Canon Park sports ground	BER008		Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site is suitable for enhancement as an amenity space. The Council will consider allocating the most important open space areas at the draft plan stage following the audit and assessment of current open space provision.
<i>Chalford</i>					
Land at the west side of Middle Hill	CHA002		Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site is suitable for future community uses which maintain the site's role as a green buffer between settlements. The Council will consider allocating the most important open space areas at the draft plan stage following the audit and assessment of current open space provision.
<i>Stonehouse</i>					
Land north of Bristol Road	STO005		Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site is suitable for enhancement as an amenity space. The Council will consider allocating the most important open space areas at the draft plan stage following the audit and assessment of current open space provision.

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Is site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Cotswold Green	STO011		Yes	No	The SALA identified that the site is suitable for enhancement as an amenity space. The Council will consider allocating the most important open space areas at the draft plan stage following the audit and assessment of current open space provision.
<i>Stroud</i>					
School playing field	STR028		Yes	No	The site is identified in the Local Plan as Protected Open Space, provides important, well used recreational space and makes a significant contribution to local amenity.