

Appendix 4 Rejected sites

Site Ref.	Parish	Site Name	Reason
NPT001	Alkington	Land south of Newport Towers Hotel	Sites located beyond Tiers 1, 2 and 3 settlements have not been assessed.
NPT002	Alkington	Land off the A38 at Actrees Farm	Sites located beyond Tiers 1, 2 and 3 settlements have not been assessed.
BER007	Berkeley	Land West of B4066 Bypass	The site falls within site BER006 and has been excluded to avoid double counting.
BER008	Berkeley	Land north of Canon Park sports ground	The site is not currently available and there is no reasonable prospect that the site will be available at a point in the future for development.
BER009	Berkeley	Berkeley Relief Road North	The land is not suitable for housing/employment/community development nor is there currently any justification for transport infrastructure of this kind. There are likely to be physical constraints and potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location although such an assessment is beyond the scope of this SALA at this time.
BIS001	Bisley With Lypiatt	Graduade Gardeners	There is no evidence that any of this area is available. The site is actively used by a thriving business and there is nothing to suggest that any suitable land will be made available for development in the future.
FRL001	Bisley With Lypiatt	Land south of Bakers Farm	Sites located beyond Tiers 1, 2 and 3 settlements have not been assessed.
BRI004	Brimscombe And Thrupp	Land off Dalloway	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, narrowing the gap between Thrupp and Brimscombe and having a detrimental impact on the landcover pattern of the valley slopes. The site also slopes steeply making it physically difficult to develop. There are therefore potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location.
BRI005	Brimscombe And Thrupp	Land north-east of London Road	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, narrowing the gap between Thrupp and Brimscombe and having a detrimental impact on the landcover pattern of the valley slopes. The site also slopes steeply making it physically difficult to develop. There are therefore potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location.
BRI007	Brimscombe And Thrupp	Land south of Bourne Lane	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, having a detrimental impact on the landcover pattern of the

			valley slopes, the character and appearance of the area and the natural landscape beauty of the AONB and in particular the special qualities of the Cotswold escarpment, which are inseparably linked with the site. The site also slopes steeply making it physically difficult to develop. There are therefore potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location.
BRI014	Brimscombe And Thrupp	Land North of Queens Court	The site is not suitable for development because the western play area / amenity land is important to the character of the settlement and the eastern part is identified as an asset of community value for its use as allotment gardens.
BRI016	Brimscombe And Thrupp	Land at Gussage Mill	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact and impact on the conservation area, extending the linear settlement into the valley landscape and maximising the impact on passing traffic and on the watercourse. The watercourse and adjacent land is within flood zone 3. There are therefore potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location.
BRI019	Brimscombe And Thrupp	Quarry Hill Farm Fields	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, eroding the green gap between Stroud and Thrupp and adversely impacting upon the setting of the Cotswold AONB. The majority of the site slopes steeply and there are no obvious highway access points. There are therefore potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location.
BRI020	Brimscombe And Thrupp	Field on the east side of London Road	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, eroding the green gap between Stroud and Thrupp and adversely impacting upon the setting of the Cotswold AONB. The site slopes steeply making development and access difficult. There are therefore potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location.
BRO003	Brookthorpe With Whaddon	Tuffley Farm	The site falls within site BRO002 and has been excluded to avoid double counting.
BRO004	Brookthorpe With Whaddon	Land South of Grange Road	The site falls within site BRO002 and has been excluded to avoid double counting.
STR044	Cainscross	Land behind The Snow Mill	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact on the setting of the listed mill buildings. The site is not suitable for housing/employment/community development because the site constitutes a key wildlife site and development is likely to result in harm or loss to that interest. The site also has physical constraints relating to vehicular access and flood risk. There

			are therefore constraints/potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
CAM001	Cam	Cam Sports Club, Everlands	The site is not suitable for housing, employment or community development because the site is identified in the Local Plan as Protected Open Space, provides important, well used recreational and sporting facilities and makes a significant contribution to local amenity. Development is likely to result in harm or loss to that interest. There are therefore constraints preventing sustainable development in this location.
CAM002	Cam	Cam Mills, Everlands	The site is in active use and is not currently being promoted for development.
CAM003	Cam	Upthorpe Farm	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact; housing development would be highly visible rising up the slopes, would erode the separation between Cam and Upthorpe, and be visible in key views to and from Peaked Down and the AONB. Employment development would further have a very adverse affect on the rural character of the area. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
CAM004	Cam	14 St. George's Close	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. Housing and employment development would have adverse effects due to visibility, setting and key views to and from Peaked Down, Cam Long Down and the wider AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
CAM005	Cam	Land east of Old Court	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact; encroaching into the green buffer, including well used public footpaths, between the AONB and the main settlement of Cam. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
CAM006	Cam	Land south of Old Court	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact; encroaching into the green buffer, including well used public footpaths, between the AONB and the main settlement of Cam. Housing would adversely change the character of the valley sides and would be inappropriate. Employment use would be very difficult to integrate on the sloping valley sides, would adversely change their character and would be highly inappropriate in this location, next to housing at a lower level. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.

CAM007	Cam	Land at Norman Hill playing fields	The site is not suitable for housing, employment or community development because it provides important, well used recreational and sporting facilities and constitutes an important open space within the wider built up area which, together with other open spaces, contributes to a notional green corridor/ gap in views from Coaley, to the north east, south westwards to the AONB and Stinchcombe Hill and contributes to breaking up the built up massing of Cam and Dursley. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
CAM009	Cam	4 Tiltdown/ Indoor & Outdoor, Tiltdown	The site is in active use and is not being promoted currently.
CAM010	Cam	Land off Elstub Lane	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, highly visible as part of the escarpment footslopes, helping to separate Cam from the M5, and fulfilling a recreational role on the edge of the settlement. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
CAM012	Cam	Land north of Woodend Lane	The site falls within site CAM011 and has been excluded to avoid double counting.
CAM019	Cam	Draycott/ Middle Mill Industrial Estate	The site is in active use and is not currently being promoted for development.
BRI015	Chalford	Land east of Toadsmoor Road	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact and impact on the conservation area, extending the linear settlement into the valley landscape and maximising the impact on passing traffic and on the watercourse. The steep slopes and lack of a suitable access also make development unfeasible without significant works. There are therefore potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location.
MAN003	Chalford	Frith Wood House & Cottage	The site is not currently available and there is no reasonable prospect that the site will be available at a point in the future for development.
CRA001	Cranham	Simmonds Hall Farm Field	Sites located beyond Tiers 1, 2 and 3 settlements have not been assessed.
DUR001	Dursley	Land behind Kingshill House	The site is not suitable for housing, employment or community development because the site is identified in the Local Plan as Protected Open Space and makes a significant contribution to the setting of Kingshill House and on our ability to interpret its historic significance as a big, architecturally important, high status house in

			extensive grounds. Development is likely to result in harm or loss to these interests. There are therefore constraints preventing sustainable development in this location.
DUR005	Dursley	Land north of Brownings Lane	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, eroding and changing the character of an important pastoral corridor along the edge of the settlement and adjacent to the Cotswolds AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
DUR006	Dursley	13 and 23 Bull Pitch	The site is not currently available and there is no reasonable prospect that the site will be available at a point in the future for development.
DUR009	Dursley	Land at 27 - 29 Uley Road	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, eroding and changing the character of an important pastoral corridor along the edge of the settlement and adjacent to the Cotswolds AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
DUR014	Dursley	Recreation ground east of School Road	The site is not suitable for housing, employment or community development because the site is identified in the Local Plan as Protected Open Space, provides important, well used recreational and sporting facilities and makes a significant contribution to local amenity. Development is likely to result in harm or loss to that interest. There are therefore constraints preventing sustainable development in this location.
DUR015	Dursley	Land west of 8 Hunger Hill	Planning permission has been granted and therefore the site has been excluded to avoid double counting.
DUR016	Dursley	Land east of 5 - 9 Prospect Place	The site is below the size threshold set out in the methodology.
DUR018	Dursley	Land to the rear of 12 - 14 Parsonage Street,	The site is not currently available and there is no reasonable prospect that the site will be available at a point in the future for development.
DUR019	Dursley	Land south of Kingshill cemetery	The site is currently in use as allotments and has approval as an extension to Kingshill Cemetery to the north. The site is not currently available and there is no reasonable prospect that the site will be available at a point in the future for development.
DUR020	Dursley	Blackboys Farm	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, extending the suburban character into the Cotswold AONB and adversely affecting the setting of the wooded slopes. Employment development would further be out of place adjacent to a primarily residential

			area.
DUR023	Dursley	Land at Shakespeare Road	The site falls within site DUR013 and has been excluded to avoid double counting.
EAS001	Eastington	Land to rear of Alkerton garage	The site is not suitable for housing or employment development because of the likely high landscape impact of development on the north eastern part of the site and because of the likely significant heritage impact on listed buildings adjacent to the south western part of the site.
EAS005	Eastington	Land to north of Millend Land	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape and heritage impact, filling in the gap between the historic cluster of buildings at Millend and the 20c village and would adversely affect the setting of the listed buildings and character of the Conservation Area. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
EAS009	Eastington	Land west of Nupend	The site is not suitable for development because of the remoteness of the area from local services and facilities and because of the likely high landscape impact, extending the current settlement form over the top of the low hill towards the vale and if implemented on the higher land and slopes would become visible to the west including the M5. There would also be impact on the character and setting of the listed buildings in Nupend, and on the character and legibility of the hamlets' ancient settlement pattern. There are therefore physical constraints and potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
SAU001	Fretherne With Saul	Land at Saul Farm	Sites located beyond Tiers 1, 2 and 3 settlements have not been assessed.
STN001	Ham And Stone	Land adjoining the Pines, Stone	Sites located beyond Tiers 1, 2 and 3 settlements have not been assessed.
STN002	Ham And Stone	Land at Damery Lane	Sites located beyond Tiers 1, 2 and 3 settlements have not been assessed.
STN003	Ham And Stone	Green Farm, Falfield	Sites located beyond Tiers 1, 2 and 3 settlements have not been assessed.
BER010	Hamfallow	Berkeley Relief Road South	The land is not suitable for housing/employment/community development nor is there currently any justification for transport infrastructure of this kind. There are likely to be physical constraints and potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location although such an assessment is beyond the scope of this SALA at this time.
BER014	Hamfallow	Land East of Berkeley Heath Motors filling station	The site is located more than one field from the settlement boundary

HAR003	Hardwicke	Land at Shorn Brook	From the information available, housing or employment development is not considered appropriate given the local amenity, character contribution, access and potential flooding constraints on Shorn Brook further west.
HAR006	Hardwicke	Summerhill Equestrian Centre	The site is not suitable for housing/employment/community development because the site is isolated and detached from the Gloucester Urban Area and has physical constraints relating to current active sport/recreation use and flood risk. There are therefore constraints/potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
HAR007	Hardwicke	Land at Hiltmead Lane	This large area is generally not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, isolated from any identified settlement and within open countryside. There are therefore constraints/potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
HAR008	Hardwicke	Land at Parkend Farm	The site is not currently suitable for housing or employment development because of the open rural character and relatively remote location relative to existing established settlements with services and facilities.
HAR012	Hardwicke	Land at M5 Junction 12	The site is not suitable for housing/employment/community development because the site is surrounded by distributor roads and has other physical constraints relating to adjacent PROW use and flood risk. There are therefore constraints/potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location at present.
BRO001	Harescombe	Land south east of Pear Tree Cottage	The site is located more than one field from the settlement boundary
HFD001	Haresfield	Land west of the railway	Sites located beyond Tiers 1, 2 and 3 settlements have not been assessed.
HFD002	Haresfield	Land to east of Round House Farm	Sites located beyond Tiers 1, 2 and 3 settlements have not been assessed.
HFD003	Haresfield	Land north of Starsmead House	Sites located beyond Tiers 1, 2 and 3 settlements have not been assessed.
HFD004	Haresfield	Land to north of Merryfields & Upper Green Cottage	Sites located beyond Tiers 1, 2 and 3 settlements have not been assessed.
HFD005	Haresfield	Land south and east of Haresfield Playing Field	Sites located beyond Tiers 1, 2 and 3 settlements have not been assessed.

HFD006	Haresfield	Former Walled Garden to Haresfield court	Sites located beyond Tiers 1, 2 and 3 settlements have not been assessed.
HIL001	Hillesley And Tresham	Land to the west of Hawkesbury Road	Sites located beyond Tiers 1, 2 and 3 settlements have not been assessed.
NEW005	Hinton	Land to the north of Oakfield Way	Development would need to be considered as part of a wider development strategy for the area for it to have a coherent relationship with the existing settlement but on its own the site should not be developed as it provides a pastoral setting to Luggs Farm.
KST002	Kings Stanley	Land opposite King's Stanley Primary School	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, narrowing the gap between Kings Stanley and Middleyard and impacting on the setting of the listed buildings at Court Farm. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
KST005	Kings Stanley	Land rear of Selwyn Close	The site is not suitable for housing/employment/community development because the site constitutes a key wildlife site and development is likely to result in harm or loss to that interest. The site also has physical constraints relating to vehicular access and flood risk. There are therefore constraints/potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
MID001	Kings Stanley	Land north of Coldwell Close	Sites located beyond Tiers 1, 2 and 3 settlements have not been assessed.
KIN001	Kingswood	Land north of Pennwood Lodge	The land is not suitable for housing, employment or community development because of the high landscape sensitivity of the site, beyond the strong valley floor boundary to the settlement and Conservation Area. Development may impact on the flood zone in the valley floor. There are therefore physical constraints and potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
KIN002	Kingswood	Land south of Vineyard Lane	The land is not suitable for housing, employment or community development because of the high landscape sensitivity and significant archaeological potential of the site. It is considered that development would adversely affect the historic landscape character as well as compromise the flood zone in the valley floor. There are therefore physical constraints and potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.

KIN003	Kingswood	Land south of 13 & 15 Hillesley Road	The land is not suitable for housing or employment development because of the landscape sensitivity of the site. It is considered that development would detract from the open pastoral character of the area from views from local footpaths and minor roads. There are therefore potential impacts, as well as access and accessibility issues, preventing sustainable development in this location.
KIN004	Kingswood	Land at Hillesley Road,	The land is not suitable for housing or employment development because of the landscape sensitivity of the site. It is considered that development would detract from the open pastoral character of the area from views from local footpaths and minor roads. There are therefore potential impacts, as well as access and accessibility issues, preventing sustainable development in this location.
KIN006	Kingswood	Land at Chestnut Park	Planning permission has been granted and therefore the site has been excluded to avoid double counting.
KIN007	Kingswood	Land off Charfield Road, Kingswood	The land is not suitable for housing, employment or community development because of the high landscape sensitivity of the site, extending development over onto the skyline and highly visible to the west and north west. The potential impact would therefore prevent sustainable development in this location.
KIN008	Kingswood	Land north of Charfield Road	The land is not suitable for housing, employment or community development because of the high landscape sensitivity of the site. Development would significantly extend the settlement form into the open vale countryside on higher ground and is inappropriate within the wider landscape. The potential impact would therefore prevent sustainable development in this location.
KIN009	Kingswood	Neathwood Yard	The site is located more than one field from the settlement boundary.
LEO002	Leonard Stanley	Brimley	The site is not currently available and there is no reasonable prospect that the whole of the site will be available at a point in the future for redevelopment.
LEO003	Leonard Stanley	Rear of Chapel House	Planning permission has been granted and therefore the site has been excluded to avoid double counting.
LEO005	Leonard Stanley	Land at East Grange	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, extending the settlement onto high ground and narrowing the gap between Leonard Stanley and Stanley Downton. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.

LEO006	Leonard Stanley	Land at Bath Road	The site falls within site LEO001 and has been excluded to avoid double counting.
MIN002	Minchinhampton	Land at The Knapp	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. Development would adversely affect the setting and character of Minchinhampton Common to the west and would impinge on the skyline on the wold edge which would be uncharacteristic of the main settlement form. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
MIN003	Minchinhampton	Land East of Besbury Park	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, impinging on the openness of the area, close to the wold edge, and would be inappropriate. Employment use would be further out of character with the residential character of the settlement.
MIN006	Minchinhampton	The Lemon Field	The site is not suitable for development because of the impact on the character of Minchinhampton Conservation Area and the settings of multiple listed buildings that surround the site. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
MIN007	Minchinhampton	Land off Common Road	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, damaging to the historical character of the area and Minchinhampton Common and extending the settlement form to join up to Fitzroy. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
MIN009	Minchinhampton	Field north east of Deans Quarry	The site is located more than one field from the settlement boundary
MIN011	Minchinhampton	Land parcel south of Old Aerodrome Farm	The site is located within the Cotswolds Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty where the highest status is given to landscape protection. Development would impact on the generally open and undeveloped character of the area, removed from established settlements with services and facilities. There are therefore physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location.
MIN012	Minchinhampton	Land adjoining Aston Down Business Park	The site is located within the Cotswolds Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty where the highest status is given to landscape protection. Development would impact on the generally open and undeveloped character of the area, removed from established settlements with services and facilities. There are therefore physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location.

MIN013	Minchinhampton	Land to rear of Tranquility, Houndscroft	The site is located more than one field from the settlement boundary
HAR011	Moreton Valence	land on west side of M5 at Moreton Valence	The site is located more than one field from the settlement boundary
NAI001	Nailsworth	Garden land on the west side of Wood Lane	The site is not suitable for development as there is no longer any access to the site and any development is likely to impact on the character and appearance of the adjacent conservation area.
NAI003	Nailsworth	Land at Avening Road	The site is not suitable for housing/employment/community development because of the likely high landscape impact. It is located outside the settlement limits, adjacent to a key wildlife site and within the Cotswold AONB. Its steep wooded slope may prove a challenge to any excavation and engineering works. The site is not within close distance to the town centre.
NAI004	Nailsworth	Between Old Bristol Rd & Bath Rd	The site is not suitable for housing/employment/community development because of the likely high landscape impact. It is located outside the settlement limits, adjacent to the conservation area and within the Cotswold AONB. Its steep wooded slope may prove a challenge to any excavation and engineering works. The site serves as an important separation of the close-knit core of the settlement from the loose-knit development beyond.
NAI006	Nailsworth	Land between Worley Ridge & New Market Rd	The site is not suitable for development. There are inadequate pedestrian links to local facilities and the site is accessed from a sub standard track which would be unsuitable to cater for increased traffic.
NAI009	Nailsworth	Land north of Nortonwood	This site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. Housing development would be detrimental to its character, landform sensitivity and tranquillity. Employment development would not be feasible in this steeply sloping area and would be detrimental to its character, land cover and tranquillity.
NAI010	Nailsworth	Land between Northfield Road & Stroud Road	The site is not suitable for housing or employment development because of the likely high landscape and heritage impact. Housing development in this area would remove the openness of the valley side, erode the distinctive character of the steep valley slopes and have a detrimental effect on all visual receptors and users of PROWs. Employment development would not be feasible on the steep slopes and would be highly out of character with the sloping fine-grained landscape.

NAI011	Nailsworth	Land off Jubilee Road	The site is not suitable for housing or employment development because of the likely high landscape and heritage impact. Housing development in this area would remove the openness of the valley side, erode the distinctive character of the steep valley slopes and have a detrimental effect on all visual receptors and users of PROWs. Employment development would not be feasible on the steep slopes and would be highly out of character with the sloping fine-grained landscape.
NIB001	North Nibley	Land south west of 19 Highlands Drive	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely detrimental impacts on an area of high landscape sensitivity and likely harmful impacts on the setting of nearby heritage assets. In addition, site access is unsatisfactory and the site's topography would make development conspicuous and difficult to integrate with the locally distinctive character of its surroundings. There are therefore physical constraints and potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
NYM001	Nympsfield	Land at The Cross	Sites located beyond Tiers 1, 2 and 3 settlements have not been assessed.
NYM002	Nympsfield	Land west of Benton Court	Sites located beyond Tiers 1, 2 and 3 settlements have not been assessed.
PAI001	Painswick	Land on east side of Gyde Road	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape and heritage impact, impacting on the setting of the listed buildings at and surrounding Gyde House within the Conservation Area. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
PAI002	Painswick	Land south of Gyde House	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape and heritage impact, impacting on the setting of the listed buildings at Gyde House within the Conservation Area. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
PAI003	Painswick	Gyde Field	The site is below the size threshold set out in the methodology.
PAI005	Painswick	Land north-east of Lower Washwell Lane	Housing development would result in a clear extension of the settlement up the slopes which would be prominent in places and along the valley bottom, resulting in a significant adverse effect on the open, rural character of valley within the AONB. Employment development would be highly out of character, and would have a significant adverse effect on the rural character of valley within the AONB. The southwest corner is important to the setting of the Conservation Area and development would disrupt the relationship between the historic edge to the settlement and the valley landscape. There are therefore potential

			impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
PAI006	Painswick	Painswick Mill	From the information available, the site is considered not suitable for housing or employment. The impact on the setting and significance of the listed mill and on the group value of it together with the neighbouring cottages would be likely to preclude development on this site. The site, adjacent to the former mill races would need a flood risk assessment and not prejudice stream role as a wildlife corridor. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
PAI008	Painswick	Richmond Care Village	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact on a heritage asset and the area providing a rare space within the settlement fabric providing a contrast to the generally dense built form. Housing to the west could block the open views which would be significantly detrimental to the character of the approach to the core of the settlement and conservation area. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
PAI009	Painswick	Lower Broadhams	The site is located more than one field from the settlement boundary
PAI010	Painswick	Crab Orchard	The site is located more than one field from the settlement boundary.
STR037	Painswick	Hammonds Farm	The site is not suitable for housing/employment/community development because of the likely high landscape and heritage impact. There are therefore potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location.
RAN001	Randwick	Old chicken farm	Sites located beyond Tiers 1, 2 and 3 settlements have not been assessed.
NWO003	Rodborough	Land east of Rooksmoor Hill	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. The site is very steep and housing development would impinge on the openness and rural character of the slopes and reduce the gap between settlements. There are therefore physical constraints/potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
STR008	Rodborough	Wallbridge Fields	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. Development would be highly visible and would erode the rural character, remove tree cover and reduce the gap between Stroud and Rodborough Common. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.

STR011	Rodborough	Rodborough Field	The site is not suitable for housing/employment/community development because the majority of the site constitutes a key wildlife site and development is likely to result in harm or loss to that interest. The site also has physical constraints relating to vehicular access and flood risk. There are therefore constraints/potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
STR022	Rodborough	Land to north of Butterow West,	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. Development would be highly visible and would erode the rural character, remove tree cover and reduce the gap between Stroud and Rodborough Common. In addition, part of the site constitutes a key wildlife site and development is likely to result in harm or loss to that interest. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
CBR001	Slimbridge	Land at Barton Field/ Poplars Park	Planning permission has been granted and therefore the site has been excluded to avoid double counting.
CBR002	Slimbridge	Land west of Greenacre	Sites located beyond Tiers 1, 2 and 3 settlements have not been assessed.
SLI001	Slimbridge	Land east of St John's Road	This large area is generally not suitable for development because of the likely impact on an area of high/medium landscape sensitivity, and particularly the role this historic landscape plays in providing a setting for nearby heritage assets and in helping to define the character of the settlement as distinct from Cambridge. Furthermore, there are potential constraints which might affect the ability to create a new site access. There are therefore potential impacts and some physical constraint that would prevent sustainable development in this location.
HAR010	Standish	Land on east side of M5 at Moreton Valence	The site is located more than one field from the settlement boundary.
STI001	Stinchcombe	Land at Townsend Farm	Sites located beyond Tiers 1, 2 and 3 settlements have not been assessed.
STO007	Stonehouse	Nutshell House	The site is not suitable for development because of its location within flood zones 2 and 3 and because of the potential impact on the character and significance of the conservation area and on key views of the listed buildings of Nutshell Bridge, The Nutshell and Nutshell Cottage.
STO008	Stonehouse	Land at Lower Mills	The site is not suitable for development because of its location within flood zones 2 and 3 and because of the potential impact on the character and significance of the conservation area and on the

			setting of Bridgend House.
STO009	Stonehouse	Rear gardens on north side of Pearcroft Road	From the information available, the site is considered suitable for housing or community development subject to retaining the amenity of adjoining uses. However, vehicular access would have to be from the narrow driveway adjacent to Fieldheys which is of insufficient size to serve development above the size threshold for this study.
STO010	Stonehouse	Land on south side of Pearcroft Road	The site is not suitable for development because the paddock with some mature specimen trees (2 subject to TPO) is important to the character of the settlement, providing a soft southern edge to the town and significantly enhances the residential amenity of the area.
STO011	Stonehouse	Cotswold Green	There is no evidence that the site is available for enhancement as an amenity open space and further discussions with landowners would be required.
STO012	Stonehouse	Land north of The Glen, Woodcock Lane	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, adversely affecting the openness of the paddock and local landscape features and the setting for the AONB beyond. Development would severely restrict views from the local footpath and would create a hard urban edge to Stonehouse and diminish its setting in views from the AONB.
STO013	Stonehouse	Land at Horsemarling Farm, Standish	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. Housing or employment would significantly extend the settlement into the AONB to the north and east, would be noticeable and prominent and would adversely affect its intrinsic scenic qualities and character.
STO019	Stonehouse	Paper Mill, Lower Mills	The site is not suitable for development because of the location within flood zone 3 and because of the contribution of open land to the character of the Conservation Area, providing visual and physical separation between the mill to the south, the distinctive Grade II listed group of Nutshell Bridge, Nutshell Cottage and The Nutshell to the north, and the modern development of Crescent Road / Crescent Close to the north and east.
STR045	Stonehouse	Jefferies Field	The impact on the character and significance of the Industrial Heritage Conservation Area and the setting of the neighbouring listed buildings prohibits development on this site. The Landscape sensitivity analysis reflected this identifying that the area's sensitivity lies in the role as a valuable open gap in ribbon development, allowing fine glimpse views across the valley from the Ebley Road, and as a setting to the canal and double locks and associated Thames and Severn Way to

			the south.
STR046	Stonehouse	Land east of Jefferies Field	The impact on the character and significance of the conservation area and the setting of the neighbouring listed buildings could prohibit development on this site. The Landscape sensitivity analysis reflected this identifying that the area's sensitivity lies in the role as a valuable open gap in ribbon development, allowing fine glimpse views across the valley from the Ebley Road, and as a setting to the canal and double locks and associated Thames and Severn Way to the south. The value of the area lies in its location within the Industrial Heritage Conservation Area.
STR047	Stonehouse	Playing field/ Tily's Field	The site is not suitable for housing, employment or community development because it provides important, well used recreational and sporting facilities and constitutes an important open space within the wider built up area which, together with other open spaces, contributes to a notional green corridor/ setting to the AONB . There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
STR048	Stonehouse	Land off Ebley Road	The impact on the character and significance of the conservation area and the setting of the neighbouring listed buildings could prohibit development on this site. The area's sensitivity lies in the role as a valuable open gap in ribbon development, allowing fine glimpse views across the valley from the Ebley Road, and as a setting to the canal and associated Thames and Severn Way to the south. The value of the area lies in its location within the Industrial Heritage Conservation Area.
BRI017	Stroud	Land at Bowbridge Lock	The site is not suitable for housing/employment/community development because the site constitutes a valuable wooded area with amenity and heritage value and is likely to result in harm or loss to those interests. The site also has physical constraints relating to vehicular access and flood risk. There are therefore constraints/potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
STR009	Stroud	Capel Mill	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape and heritage impacts. Development would be highly visible and would erode the conservation area's 'green corridor', remove tree cover and reduce the gap between Stroud and Rodborough. The site also has significant physical constraints relating to topography and vehicular access. There are therefore potential impacts/ constraints preventing sustainable development in this location.

STR010	Stroud	Beeches Green area	The site is not currently available and there is no reasonable prospect that the site will be available at a point in the future for development.
STR012	Stroud	Fromeside	There is no evidence that any of this area is available currently.
STR013	Stroud	Cheapside	Planning permission has been granted and therefore the site has been excluded to avoid double counting.
STR016	Stroud	Merrywalks area (surgery, McDonalds, car park)	The site is in active use and there is no reasonable prospect that the site will be available at a point in the future for development.
STR019	Stroud	Middle Leazes, off Parliament Street,	The site is in active use, not currently available and because of multiple ownerships there is no reasonable prospect that the site will be available at a point in the future for development.
STR020	Stroud	Rear gardens at Park Road	The land is in active use as gardens for existing properties and there is no evidence that the land will become available in the future.
STR021	Stroud	Land between 23 and 67 Summer Street	The site is not suitable for housing or employment development because of the likely landscape impact, narrowing the width of the green corridor and diverging from the current settlement pattern. Part of the area's sensitivity lies in the views from the gaps along Summer Street. Employment development would be out of character and impractical on the valley sides due to slopes. Development would also affect the setting of the AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
STR023	Stroud	Land at Grange Fields	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, eroding the areas role as a buffer between development areas. The site lies within Cotswolds AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
STR024	Stroud	Land to the rear of 87 Summer Street	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. The proposed development site forms part of an incised valley landscape that is both a continuation of and indivisible from the adjacent Cotswolds Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty. This represents a distinctive and key characteristic landscape setting of the town of Stroud. Development would enlarge the northerly extent of the built environment into this important highly visible green finger of land running from the Cotswolds Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty into the centre of Stroud. It would narrow the gap between the urban footprint to the north and south sides of the Slad Valley. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable

			development in this location.
STR025	Stroud	Land adjacent to rear of 188 Bisley Road	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. The site is entirely within the Cotswolds AONB and development would be widely visible and an intrusion into the wider rural landscape, detrimental to the character of the local landscape and Cotswolds AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
STR026	Stroud	Land at Kilminster Farm	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. Development would be an intrusion into the wider rural landscape, would be visible from a distance in several directions, as well as close to from the existing settlement edge and a local recreational area, and would be detrimental to the character of the local landscape, especially the adjoining AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
STR028	Stroud	School playing field	The site is not suitable for housing, employment or community development because the site is identified in the Local Plan as Protected Open Space, provides important, well used recreational and makes a significant contribution to local amenity. Development is likely to result in harm or loss to that interest. There are therefore constraints preventing sustainable development in this location.
STR031	Stroud	Land at Slad Brook	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, eroding the areas role as a buffer between development areas. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
STR033	Stroud	Land to the rear of Thrupp House	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, extending settlement into the wider rural valley landscape above Bowbridge which would be visible from areas across the Frome valley. It is an integral part of the open countryside and within the designation of Cotswolds AONB. Part of the site adjoins Claypits Wood Key Wildlife Site. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
STR034	Stroud	Land north of Farmhill Crescent	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, breaking into the open countryside and disrupting the development line on the ridgetop, eroding the gap between settlements.
STR035	Stroud	Callowell Farm	The site is not suitable for housing/employment/community development because of the likely high landscape and heritage

			impact, eroding the character and continuity of the Painswick Valley running into the settlement, adversely affecting the integrity and setting of Callowell Farm, and adversely affecting the relationship between Stratford Park and the wider countryside. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
STR036	Stroud	Land opposite Salmon Springs	The site is located more than one field from the settlement boundary.
STR038	Stroud	Land east of Painswick Old Road	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, eroding the areas role as a buffer between development areas. The site lies within Cotswolds AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
STR039	Stroud	Land north of Folly Lane	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, extending the existing settlement boundary into the wider upper valley AONB landscape. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
STR040	Stroud	Land to the north east of Vatch View	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, changing an open field into a continuum of development and undermining the continuity and significance of the valley sand setting of the AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
STR041	Stroud	Land off Gunhouse Lane	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, extending settlement into the wider rural valley landscape above Bowbridge which would be visible from areas across the Frome valley. It is an integral part of the open countryside and within the designation of Cotswolds AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
STR043	Stroud	Rodborough, Lightpill/Rooksmoor	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high heritage and landscape impact within the Cotswold AONB. Development would impact on the setting of the IHCA and key views of neighbouring listed buildings and would impinge on the openness and rural character of the slopes, reducing the gap between settlements. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
UPT001	Upton St Leonards	Land at Nuthill	The site is considered unsuitable for housing and employment in principle because of the locational characteristics of the site and its surrounding area, consisting of a relatively remote location relative to

			existing established settlements with services and facilities. The Lane is also narrow, sunken and rural in character. There are therefore physical constraints and potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
UPT002	Upton St Leonards	Land South of Bondend Road	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact from residential or employment development of this field identified in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment analysis.
UPT005	Upton St Leonards	Land south of High Street	This large area is generally not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. Housing within the area would extend the settlement into the AONB, adversely affecting the intimate character of the valley and the escarpment foot slopes, and also, potentially, adversely affecting the tree cover. The extent of the development site would detrimentally alter rural character.
UPT007	Upton St Leonards	Land at Brockworth	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, narrowing the gap between Brockworth and Upton St Leonards. Landscape features indicate an open parkland landscape setting of a former manor estate. The area provides an immediate setting to the AONB and shares landscape characteristics with the SE area being more open. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
UPT008	Upton St Leonards	Land adj to Brockworth Airfield	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact within the AONB on rising ground on the escarpment edge. The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, narrowing the gap between Brockworth and Upton St Leonards. Landscape features indicate an open parkland landscape setting of a former manor estate. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
UPT009	Upton St Leonards	Hardwick Farm	The site is located more than one field from the settlement boundary
UPT010	Upton St Leonards	Prinknash Abbey	The site is located more than one field from the settlement boundary
EAS010	Whitminster	Motorway Depot at M5 Junction 13	The site is in current use as a highways depot and it is unlikely to be available in the short to medium term for other employment uses.
WHI002	Whitminster	Land north of Hyde Lane	The land is not suitable for housing, employment or community development because of the high landscape sensitivity of the site, highly visible to the north and separate from the main part of the settlement in open countryside. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
WHI003	Whitminster	Highfield	The site is in active use and is not currently being

		Garden Centre	promoted for development, nor is it likely to become available in the future.
WHI006	Whitminster	Highfield Nurseries	The land is not suitable for housing, employment or community development because of the landscape sensitivity of the site, visible on the unspoilt skyline north of the settlement and viewed as part of the rural area from the north, west and south west. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
NWO002	Woodchester	Woodchester Service Station	The site is below the size threshold set out in the methodology.
SWO002	Woodchester	Frogmarsh Meadows, north of Frogmarsh Mill	Sites located beyond Tiers 1, 2 and 3 settlements have not been assessed.
WUE002	Wotton Under Edge	Land north east of Cherry Orchard	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. The area's sensitivity lies in its very steeply sloping slopes, its relationship with the upper scarp slopes and contribution to their character and its openness to view across the valley. It would be very difficult to accommodate and mitigate on the steep slopes.
WUE004	Wotton Under Edge	Land south of Bearlands	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. It would extend the current visible linear edge over onto the slope and would be inappropriate running down the slopes compromising a key characteristic of the AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
WUE005	Wotton Under Edge	45 Water Lane	The site is in private use and there is no evidence that any of this area is available currently nor is it likely to become available in the future.
WUE006	Wotton Under Edge	Land west of Water Lane	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. The area is steep sloping and contributes as a green corridor. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
WUE007	Wotton Under Edge	Land south of Symn Lane	The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, extending the current visible linear edge over onto the slope. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
WUE008	Wotton Under Edge	Land off The Chipping	The site is in active use and is not being promoted currently nor is it likely to become available in the future.