

Appendix 7

Detailed SA Matrices for draft site allocations in the Draft Plan

Sites Allocations in the Draft Local Plan

G1: South of Hardwicke (dwellings, local centre, community uses, primary school, green infrastructure, open space and strategic landscaping)

(Site is equivalent to G1 in the Emerging Strategy Paper)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent site option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	++	This site has been identified as having potential to contribute towards future housing needs. The Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy sets out that the site may have potential to contribute towards future housing needs and so is included within the Draft Local Plan at this stage for the purposes of public consultation. The site is therefore allocated for a strategic mixed use development, including approximately 1200 dwellings..
SA 2: Health	+/--?	++/--?	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains a green space and a protected outdoor playspace which could be lost to development. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path. This site now includes open space uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	This site is on greenfield land. This site now includes community uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	--/+?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of Gloucester and Sharpness Canal KWS. There are existing GI assets (a green space and a protected outdoor playspace) within the site that could be lost to development. This site now requires the provision of green infrastructure in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent site option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	The majority of the site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium/low – medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB. It is now required that development at the site incorporates strategic landscaping in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy. This may potentially limit impacts in terms of the local landscape setting, however, impacts will be dependent upon the specific design which is unknown at this stage.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	+/--	The majority of the site scored 83 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. The site is expected to be delivered to prioritise walking, cycling, and public transport over the use of private car in line with the draft policy. These types of improvements could have benefits in terms of limiting the release of air pollutants as new development is occupied.
SA 11: Water quality	0	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	--	The site is on greenfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Quedgeley West; Hunts Grove / Quadrant Distribution Centre) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+++	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school. The site would provide a new primary school as part of the mixed use development in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.

G2: Land at Whaddon, Gloucester fringe (dwellings, retail, community, employment, secondary and primary schools, bus interchange, safeguarded rail halt, open space uses and strategic landscaping)

(Site is equivalent to G2 in the Emerging Strategy Paper)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent site option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	++	This site has been identified as having potential to meet Gloucester's unmet housing needs. The Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy sets out that approximately 2500 dwellings could be provided at the site. The delivery would be subject to the allocation being consistent with the approved strategy of the Joint Core Strategy Review being prepared by Gloucester City Council, Cheltenham Borough Council and Tewkesbury Borough Council.
SA 2: Health	-/+	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Country Park, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m. This site now includes open space uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	This site is on greenfield land. This site now includes community uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	++	Although this site is at a fourth tier settlement it is adjacent to Quedgeley and Gloucester across the local authority boundary.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-/+?	The site is within 250m-1km of Robin's Wood Hill Quarry SSSI. This site now requires the provision of green infrastructure in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m. It is now required that development at the site incorporates strategic landscaping in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy. This may potentially limit impacts in terms of the local landscape setting, however, impacts will be dependent upon the

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent site option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
			specific design which is unknown at this stage.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	++/--	The site scored 99 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. This site is expected to be developed to allow for a safeguarded rail halt and bus terminal in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy. The site is expected to be delivered to prioritise walking, cycling, and public transport over the use of private car in line with this draft policy. These types of improvements could have benefits in terms of limiting the release of air pollutants as new development is occupied.
SA 11: Water quality	0	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	--	The site is on greenfield land and a large portion of this land falls within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 and 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	+	This site will provide more than 600 homes, but is likely to provide less than 10ha of employment land. This site now also includes retail uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	++?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school. It is also likely to provide less than 10ha of employment land. This site would provide land for the development for both primary and secondary schools in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.

PS01: Brimscombe Mill, Brimscombe and Thrupp (dwellings, employment, community uses and open space)

(Site is equivalent to PS01 in the Emerging Strategy Paper)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	This site has capacity for 40 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	-/++	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space, a council play area and a protected outdoor play space. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path. This site now includes open space uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	+	This site is on brownfield land. This site now includes community and open space uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and Minchinhampton and Rodborough Common SSSIs. The site is also within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Brimscombe Port KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	--/+?	The majority of this site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	0	The majority of this site scored 68 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Phoenix Industrial Estate;

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
			<p>Hope Mills Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.</p> <p>This site now also includes employment uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy. However, the amount of employment to be included would be less than 10ha.</p>
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	<p>The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.</p> <p>This site now also includes employment uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy. However, the amount of employment to be included would be less than 10ha.</p>

PS02: Brimscombe Port, Brimscombe and Thrupp (dwellings, canal related tourism, employment, community uses and open space)

(Site is equivalent to PS02 in the Emerging Strategy Paper)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	This site has capacity 150 homes.
SA 2: Health	--/+?	--/+++?	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor play space, but there is green space within the site that could be lost to development. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m. This site now includes open space uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	+	This site is on brownfield land. This site now includes community uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	--?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI and Minchinhampton Common SSSI. It is also within 250m of a number of Key Wildlife Sites. The site also contains a GI asset (green space) which could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development and high sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	--/+?	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	0	The site scored 67 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	--	The site is on brownfield land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+?	+?	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land. The site is currently in employment use. This site now also includes canal related tourism uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy. However, the amount of employment to be included would be less than 10ha.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	The site is within 800m of Brimscombe C of E Primary School. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land. This site now also includes canal related tourism uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.

PS05: East of Tobacconist Road, Minchinhampton (dwellings, community uses, open space and strategic landscaping)

(Site is equivalent to PS05 in the Emerging Strategy Paper)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	This site has capacity for 80 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	++	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle route within 400m. The site would include an area of open space. This site now includes open space uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	This site is on greenfield land. This site now includes land for a community building in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	+	This site is at a second tier settlement. This site would include community uses but is not within a town centre.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Minchinhampton Common SSSI. It is also within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB. This site now requires that strategic landscaping is provided in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy. This may potentially limit impacts in terms of the local landscape setting, however, impacts will be dependent upon the specific design which is unknown at this stage.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	--	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	--	The site scored 84 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement but is not located within 600m of a key employment site.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

PS06: The New Lawn, Nailsworth (dwellings, community and open space uses subject to relocation of football ground)

(Site is equivalent to PS06 in the Emerging Strategy Paper)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	This site has capacity for 80 homes.
SA 2: Health	+/--?	++/--?	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. However, the site contains a protected outdoor playspace which could be lost to development. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m. This site now includes open space uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	+	This site is on brownfield land. This site now includes community uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	+	This site is at a second tier settlement. This site would include community uses but is not located within a town centre.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Woodchester Park SSSI and within 250m of High Wood and Bowlas Wood KWS and Bunting Hill KWS. The site is also located within 3.0km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	0	The site scored 64 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	+?	This site is on brownfield land.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 16: Employment	+	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Inchbrook Industrial Estate).
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

PS07: North of Nympsfield Road/Nortonwood junction, Nailsworth (dwellings, community uses, open space and strategic landscaping)

(Site is equivalent to PS07 in the Emerging Strategy Paper)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	This site has capacity for 25 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m. The site would include an area of open space. This site now includes open space uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	This site is on greenfield land. This site now includes community uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	+	This site is at a second tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	--?	The site is within 250m of Woodchester Park SSSI and within 250m of High Wood & Bowlas Wood KWS. The site is also located within 3.0km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB. It is now required that development at the site incorporates strategic landscaping in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy. This may potentially limit impacts in terms of the local landscape setting, however, impacts will be dependent upon the specific design which is unknown at this stage.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	0	The site scored 63 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	+	The site is at a Tier 2 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Inchbrook Industrial Estate).
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

PS10: Railway land / car parks, Cheapside, Stroud (dwellings and town centre uses)

(Site is equivalent to PS10 in the Emerging Strategy Paper)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	This site has capacity for 75 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	++	The site is within 400m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	+	This site is on brownfield land. This site includes town centre uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	++	This site is at a first tier settlement. The site would include town centre uses.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. It is also within 250m of a number of Key Wildlife Sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	--/+?	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	++	The site scored 46 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes. The site also includes town centre uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy. These uses could support some retail employment opportunities.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	The site is within 800m of Rodborough Community Primary School, Stroud Valley

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
			Community Primary School and The Rosary Catholic Primary Academy. The site also includes town centre uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy. These uses could support some retail employment opportunities which allow for work-based learning and skills development.

PS11: Merrywalks Arches, Merrywalks, Stroud (dwellings and town centre uses)

(Site is equivalent to PS11 in the Emerging Strategy Paper)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	This site has capacity for 25 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	++	The site is within 400m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	+	This site is on brownfield land. This site includes town centre uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	++	This site is at a first tier settlement. This site would include town centre uses.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. It is also within 250m of a number of Key Wildlife Sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	--/+?	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	++	The site scored 44 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	0	The site is on brownfield land which is mostly outside of flood zone 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	+	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes. The site also includes town centre uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy. These uses could support some retail employment opportunities.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	The site is within 800m of Rodborough Community Primary School, Stroud Valley

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
			<p>Community Primary School and The Rosary Catholic Primary Academy.</p> <p>The site also includes town centre uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy. These uses could support some retail employment opportunities which allow for work-based learning and skills development.</p>

PS12: Police station/ Magistrates Court, Parliament St, Stroud (dwellings and town centre uses)

(Site is equivalent to PS12 in the Emerging Strategy Paper)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	This site has capacity for 45 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	+	This site is on brownfield land. This site includes town centre uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	++	This site is at a first tier settlement. The site would include town centre uses.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 3.0km of the Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	-/+?	-/+?	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	++	The site scored 46 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++/--	++/--	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Fromside Industrial Estate; New Mills / Libby Drive) and is at a Tier 1 settlement. The site is currently in employment use. The site also includes town centre uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy. These uses could

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
			support some retail employment opportunities.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	<p>The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.</p> <p>The site also includes town centre uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy. These uses could support some retail employment opportunities which allow for work-based learning and skills development.</p>

PS13: Central river / canal corridor, Stroud (dwellings, canal related tourism, employment, community and open space uses)

(Site is equivalent to PS13 in the Emerging Strategy Paper)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	This site has capacity for 120 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/--?	++/--?	The site is within 400m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace, although it includes a protected outdoor playspace within the boundary of the site which could be lost to development. There are cycle routes within 400m. This site now includes open space uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	+	This site is on brownfield land. This site now includes community uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	--?	The site is within 250m-1km of Rodborough Common SAC and SSSI. It is also within 250m of three Key Wildlife Sites (Gannicox Toad Pond, Stroudwater Canal - Stroud and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries). The site also contains a GI asset (protected outdoor playspace) which could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	--/+?	Most of the site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for a large portion of the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	+	The majority of the site scored 58 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	--	The site is on brownfield land which contains large areas of flood zone 3a or 3b.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+?	+?	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes. While the site is over 10ha in size, considering the other uses to be provided it is unlikely that 10ha of employment land would be provided. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	++?	The site is within 800m of Rodborough Community Primary School, St Matthew's C of E Primary School and The Rosary Catholic Primary Academy, as well as Marling School (College) and Stroud High School (College). This site is likely to deliver less than 10ha of employment land.

PS16: South of Leonard Stanley Primary School, Leonard Stanley (dwellings, community and open space uses)

(Site is equivalent to PS16 in the Emerging Strategy Paper)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	This site has capacity for 25 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	-/++	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path. The site would include open space.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	This site is on greenfield land. This site now includes community uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement. This site now includes community uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy but is not located within a town centre.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also located within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	0	The site scored 67 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	-	-	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Ryeford Industrial Area) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

PS17: Magpies site, Oldends Lane, Stonehouse (dwellings, community and open space uses)

(Site is equivalent to PS17 in the Emerging Strategy Paper)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	This site has capacity for 10 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/--?	++/--?	The site is within 400-800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains a protected outdoor playspace which could be lost to development. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m. The site would include open space.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	+	This site is on brownfield land. This site now includes community uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	++	This site is at a first tier settlement. The site would include community uses but is not within a town centre.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	--?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. There is an existing GI asset (protected outdoor playspace) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	-	The site scored 80 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Stroudwater Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	++?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school and at least one existing secondary school.

PS19: North/northwest of Stonehouse, Stonehouse (dwellings, primary school, community, open space, employment uses and strategic landscaping)

(Site boundary has been updated from that presented for PS19 in the Emerging Strategy Paper)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	++	This site has capacity for 650 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m. This site would include an area of open space.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	This site is on greenfield land. This site now includes community uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	++	This site is not within or directly adjoining existing development within a Tier 1-4 settlement. However, the site is adjacent to the West of Stonehouse site allocation in the adopted Local Plan, which would form an extension of Stonehouse. This site now includes community uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy but is not located within a town centre.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-/+?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries Key Wildlife Site. This site now requires the provision of green infrastructure in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development and medium sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m of the AONB. It is now required that development at the site incorporates strategic landscaping in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy. This may potentially limit impacts in terms of the local landscape setting, however, impacts will be dependent upon the

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
			specific design which is unknown at this stage.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	+/--	The most inaccessible and largest portion of this site scored 95 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. The site is expected to be delivered to prioritise walking, cycling, and public transport in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy. These types of improvements could have benefits in terms of limiting the release of air pollutants as new development is occupied.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	-	The majority of this site is on greenfield land which is outside of flood zone 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	--?	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	++	This site could deliver more than 600 homes but less than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development. It is not currently at a Tier 1-4 settlement but is adjacent to the West of Stonehouse site allocation in the adopted Local Plan, which would form an extension of Stonehouse. It is specified that the site would deliver 5 ha of employment land in the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.
SA 17: Economic growth	++	++	The site is within 800m of at least one secondary school but it is not located within 800m of a primary school. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land. It is specified that the site would deliver 5 ha of employment land in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy. It would also provide for a new primary school.

PS20: M5 Junction 13, Stonehouse (employment, sports stadium and pitches, canal, open space uses and strategic landscaping)

(Site boundary has been updated from that presented for PS20 in the Emerging Strategy Paper)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	0	This site would not result in delivery of new homes in the District.
SA 2: Health	--?/++	--?/++	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Cycle Network route. This route runs through the site however meaning that it could be lost to development. The site would include an area of open space. This site now includes sports facilities and open space uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	This site is on greenfield land. This site now includes sports facilities, uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--/+	--/+	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Eastington). It is not within a town centre. This site now includes sports facilities in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of Stroudwater Canal - Stonehouse KWS and River Frome Mainstream and Tributaries KWS.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium/low – medium – high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB. It is now required that development at the site incorporates strategic landscaping in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy. This may potentially limit impacts in terms of the local landscape setting, however, impacts will be dependent upon the

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
			specific design which is unknown at this stage.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops and within 200m of a cycle route. Development at the site is now expected to be delivered to prioritise walking, cycling, and public transport in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy. These types of improvements could have benefits in terms of limiting the release of air pollutants as new development is occupied.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	--	The site is on greenfield land and contains a large area of land within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	++	This site would deliver employment land as part of mixed use development. 10ha of employment land is now to be provided at the site in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.
SA 17: Economic growth	++	++	This site would deliver employment land as part of mixed use development. 10ha of employment land is now to be provided at the site in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.

PS21: Land adjacent to Tiltdown House, Cam (dwellings, community and open space uses)

(Site boundary has been updated from that presented for PS21 in the Emerging Strategy Paper)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	This site has capacity for 15 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	-/++	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path. This site now includes open space uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	This site is on greenfield land. This site now includes community uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Stinchcombe Hill SSSI. It is also within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	--	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	+	The site scored 58 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	-	-	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is not within an area of high quality agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	+	The site is at a Tier 1 settlement and is located within 600m – 1km of key employment sites (Cam Mills, Everlands; Draycott / Middle Mill Industrial Estate).
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

PS24: West of Draycott, Cam (dwellings, community, primary school, open space uses and strategic landscaping)

(Site boundary has been updated from that presented for PS24 in the Emerging Strategy Paper)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	++	This site has capacity for 700 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	-/++	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path. This site now includes open space uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	This site is on greenfield land. This site now includes community uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-/+?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. This site now requires the provision of green infrastructure in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium/low sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB. It is now required that development at the site incorporates strategic landscaping in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy. This may potentially limit impacts in terms of the local landscape setting, however, impacts will be dependent upon the specific design which is unknown at this stage.
SA 9: Historic environment	?	?	A portion of this site was not included in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	--/+	The majority of this site scored 89 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. Development at the site is now expected to be delivered to prioritise walking, cycling, and public transport in

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
			line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy. These types of improvements could have benefits in terms of limiting the release of air pollutants as new development is occupied.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 and Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Draycott / Middle Mill Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	+?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school. This site would now include land for the development for a primary school in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.

PS25: East of River Cam, Cam (dwellings, community and open space uses)

(Site is equivalent to PS25 in the Emerging Strategy Paper)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	This site has capacity for 180 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	-/++	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path. This site would include an area of open space. This site now includes open space uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	This site is on greenfield land. This site now includes community uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	++	This site is at a first tier settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	0	This location was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	--/+	Much of the site scored 83 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. Development at the site is now expected to be delivered to prioritise walking, cycling, and public transport in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy. These types of improvements could have benefits in terms of limiting the release of air pollutants as new development is occupied.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	-	The site is on greenfield land and falls mostly outside of flood zone 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	++	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Cam Mills, Everlands; Draycott / Middle Mill Industrial Estate) and is at a Tier 1 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

PS27: 1-25 Long Street, Dursley (town centre uses)

(Site boundary has been updated from that presented for PS27 in the Emerging Strategy Paper)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	0	This site is proposed for town centre uses.
SA 2: Health	++	++	There is a GP within 400m of the site. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	Site options providing town centre uses will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	0	Site options providing town centre uses will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	++	This site is at a first tier settlement and would provide town centre uses.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	-/+?	-/+?	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station. It is located within 200m of a cycle route and within 400m of a number of bus stops.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	+	This site would include town centre uses which are likely to provide some employment opportunities.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	+	This site would include town centre uses which are likely allow for work based training opportunities as part of employment opportunities which come forward.

PS28: The Old Dairy/ Land off Prospect Place, Dursley (dwellings, community, open space, and town centre uses)

(Site is equivalent to PS28 in the Emerging Strategy Paper)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	This site has capacity for 10 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	++	The site is located within 400m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Trail route. This site now includes open space uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	+	This site is on brownfield land. This site now includes community uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.
SA 6: Services and facilities	++	++	This site is at a first tier settlement. The site would include town centre uses.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Stinchcombe Hill SSSI and within 250m of Hermitage Wood KWS. It is also within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB but is within 500m.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	++	++	The site scored 48 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Littlecombe Business Park) and is at a Tier 1 settlement. This site now includes town centre uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy which are likely to provide some employment opportunities.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	<p>The site is located within 800m of at least one existing secondary school but is not within 800m of an existing primary school.</p> <p>The site would now also include town centre uses which are likely allow for work based training opportunities as part of employment opportunities which come forward.</p>

PS30: SA4 Hunts Grove extension, Gloucester fringe (dwellings, primary school, community, open space uses and strategic landscaping)

(Site is equivalent to PS30 in the Emerging Strategy Paper)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	++	This site has capacity for 750 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	-/++	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path. The site would include open space.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	This site is on greenfield land. This site now includes community uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--/+	--/+	This site is not within or directly adjoining development within a Tier 1-4 settlement. However, it adjoins the committed Hunts Grove development area outlined in the adopted Local Plan for the Hunts Grove Extension. As set out in the adopted Local Plan and Settlement Role and Function Study Update 2018 Hunts Grove is anticipated to become a Tier 2 settlement, however, this will only be the case once development is completed. Furthermore, while the site would include community uses it is not within a town centre.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB. It is now required that development at the site incorporates strategic landscaping in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy. This may potentially limit impacts in terms of the local landscape setting, however, impacts will be dependent upon the specific design which is unknown at this stage.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 9: Historic environment	0	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	--	The site scored 89 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	-	The site is on greenfield land and lies mostly outside of flood zone 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Quedgeley West; Quedgeley Trading Estate East; Hunts Grove / Quadrant Distribution Centre) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	-?	+?	The site is located further than 800m from an existing primary school and further than 800m from an existing secondary school. This site would now include land for the development for a primary school in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.

PS32: South of M5/J12, Gloucester fringe (employment uses and strategic landscaping)

(Site is equivalent to PS32 in the Emerging Strategy Paper)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 2: Health	+	+	The site is within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace but not within 400m of a walking or cycle route.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	0?	The site is not within 1km of any designated sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB. It is now required that development at the site incorporates strategic landscaping in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy. This may potentially limit impacts in terms of the local landscape setting, however, impacts will be dependent upon the specific design which is unknown at this stage.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	+	The site is not located within 1km of a railway station or within 200m of a cycle route. It is located within 400m of a number of bus stops.
SA 11: Water quality	0	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	--?	The site is small in size and on greenfield land. It is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	0	This site is on greenfield land.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 16: Employment	+	+	This site is less than 10ha in size. Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy sets out the site would allow for 5ha of employment land.
SA 17: Economic growth	+	+	This site is less than 10ha in size. Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy sets out the site would allow for 5ha of employment land.

PS33: North-west of Berkeley, Berkeley (dwellings, community, open space uses and strategic landscaping)

(Site is equivalent to PS33 in the Emerging Strategy Paper)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	This site has capacity for 120 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	++	This site is located between 400m and 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is located within 400m of cycle route and a National Cycle Network route. The site would include open space.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	This site is on greenfield land. This site now includes community uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	+	This site is at a second tier settlement. This site now includes community uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy but is not located within a town centre.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB. It is now required that development at the site incorporates strategic landscaping in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy. This may potentially limit impacts in terms of the local landscape setting, however, impacts will be dependent upon the specific design which is unknown at this stage.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	-	The site scored 76 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 12: Flooding	--	--	The site is on greenfield land and a large portion of this land falls within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	++	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Rigestate, Station Road) and is at a Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

PS34: Sharpness Docks, Newtown and Sharpness (dock related industrial & distribution uses, dwellings, tourism, leisure and recreational uses)

(Site is equivalent to PS34 in the Emerging Strategy Paper)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	This site has capacity for 300 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/--?	++/--?	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace, but includes a Council play area and protected outdoor playspace within the boundary of the site which could be lost to development. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m. This site would include recreation uses.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	+	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	--?	The site is within 250m of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site and SSSI. It is also within 250m of Sharpness Docks Key Wildlife Site and Sharpness Cliffs Local Geological Site. The site also contains a GI asset (Council play area and protected outdoor playspace) which could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium/low sensitivity to residential development and medium sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	--/+?	--/+?	This site scored 4 in the SALA heritage assessment. The SALA also identified opportunities for the site to have potential for positive heritage benefits as a result of development.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	--	The site scored 98 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	--	The site is on brownfield land and a large portion of this land falls within flood zone 3a or 3b.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+?	+?	This site could deliver fewer than 600 homes and less than 10ha of employment land (dock related industrial and distribution uses) as part of mixed use development. The site is currently in employment use. The Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy sets out that the site would include 7ha expansion land for development of dock related industrial and distribution uses.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	The site is within 800m of Sharpness Primary school. This site could deliver less than 10ha of employment land (dock related industrial and distribution uses) as part of mixed use development. The Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy sets out that the site would include 7ha expansion land for development of dock related industrial and distribution uses.

PS35: Land at Focus School, Wanswell, Newtown and Sharpness (dwellings, community uses and open space)

(Site is equivalent to PS35 in the Emerging Strategy Paper)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	This site has capacity for 70 homes.
SA 2: Health	++/-	++/-	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace but also contains a protected outdoor play space which could be lost to development. There are cycle routes within 400m. This site would include an area of open space. This site is now to be developed as to ensure the retention and enhancement of existing open space within its boundaries in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	+	+	This site is on brownfield land. This site now includes community uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.
SA 6: Services and facilities	--/+	--/+	This site is not within or directly adjoining a Tier 1-4 settlement (it is outside of Newtown and Sharpness). This site would include community uses but is not located within a town centre.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	--?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site. It is also within 250m of Tintock Wood (including Pitbrook Brake & Penny Grove) KWS. There is an existing GI asset (protected outdoor playspace) within the site that could be lost to development.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	-	-	The site scored 74 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	0	0	The site is on brownfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 13: Efficient land use	+	+	The site is relatively small in size and on brownfield land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	+?	+?	This site is on brownfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	--	The site is located within 600m – 1km of a key employment site (Rigestate, Station Road) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement. The site is currently in employment use.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

PS36: New settlement at Sharpness, Newtown and Sharpness (dwellings, employment, retail, primary and secondary schools, community and open space uses, as well as new rail station and rapid bus services)

(Site boundary has been updated from that presented for PS36 in the Emerging Strategy Paper)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	++	This site has capacity for up to 2400 homes by 2040. The site would allow for the development of 2,400 dwellings within the plan period (5000 dwellings by 2050) as set out in the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy. The delivery of development at the site in line with the Garden City Principles is likely to help deliver affordable mixed-tenure homes and housing types.
SA 2: Health	++/--?	++/--?	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace but includes a Council play area and a protected outdoor playspace within the boundary of the site meaning that they could be lost to development. There are cycle routes within 400m. This site would include an area of open space. This site now includes community and open space uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy. The delivery of development at the site in line with the Garden City Principles is likely to help create healthy communities.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	This site is on greenfield land. This site now includes community uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy. The site is to be delivery in line with the Garden City Principles which are expected to help promote access to these new facilities as part of the creation of vibrant, sociable neighbourhoods.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement. This site would include community uses but is not located within a town centre.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
			The delivery of development at the site in line with the Garden City Principles is likely to help promote access to these new facilities as part of the creation of vibrant, sociable neighbourhoods.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	--?	+/--?	The site is within 250m of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site and SSSI as well as a number of Key Wildlife Sites. The site also contains a GI asset (a Council play area and a protected outdoor playspace) which could be lost to development. This site is to be developed as to incorporate green infrastructure in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy. The delivery of development at the site in line with the Garden City Principles is likely to help promote the achievement of net biodiversity gains.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	--?	This majority of the site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium – high/medium – high sensitivity to residential development and high sensitivity to employment development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	-	The majority of the site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	++/--	The majority of the site scored 85 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. This site is expected to be developed to allow for a new rail station and rapid bus services in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy. The site is expected to be delivered to prioritise walking, cycling, and public transport over the use of private car in line with this draft policy. Furthermore, the provision of new services and facilities at the site in line with the Garden Village Principles could help to reduce the need for residents to travel from the area on a regular basis. These types of improvements could have benefits in terms of limiting the release of air pollutants as new development is occupied.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 11: Water quality	0	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	--	--	The site is on greenfield land and a large portion of this land falls within flood zone 3a or 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	--?	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	+?	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. The delivery of development at the site in line with the Garden City Principles is likely to help promote the use of zero-carbon and energy-positive technology to ensure climate resilience.
SA 15: Waste	0	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	++	This site could deliver more than 600 homes and 10ha of employment land. The delivery of development in line with the Garden City principles is likely to help ensure that a wide range of local jobs are provided within easy commuting distance of homes.
SA 17: Economic growth	++?	++?	This site is within 800m of Berkeley Primary School and Sharpness Primary School. The site could deliver 10ha of employment land. This site would include land for the development of primary and secondary schools in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy. The delivery of development in line with the Garden City principles is likely to help ensure that a wide range of local jobs are provided within easy commuting distance of homes.

PS37: New settlement at Wisloe, Wisloe (Slimbridge) (dwellings, employment, retail, primary and secondary schools, community and open space uses)

(Site boundary has been updated from that presented for PS36 in the Emerging Strategy Paper)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	++	++	This site has capacity for up to 1500 dwellings by 2040. The delivery of development at the site in line with the Garden City Principles is likely to help deliver affordable mixed-tenure homes and housing types.
SA 2: Health	-/++	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP surgery. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. There are no walking or cycle routes within 400m. This site would include an area of open space. This site now includes community and open space uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy. The delivery of development at the site in line with the Garden City Principles is likely to help create healthy communities.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	This site is on greenfield land. This site now includes community uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy. The site is to be delivery in line with the Garden City Principles which are expected to help promote access to these new facilities as part of the creation of vibrant, sociable neighbourhoods.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	+	This site is at a tier 3b settlement. This site would include community uses but is not within a town centre. The delivery of development at the site in line with the Garden City Principles is likely to help promote access to these new facilities as part of the creation of vibrant, sociable neighbourhoods.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-/+?	The site is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
			This site is to be developed as to incorporate green infrastructure in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy. The delivery of development at the site in line with the Garden City Principles is likely to help promote the achievement of net biodiversity gains.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	+/--	The portions of the site scored 91, 89 and 95 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment. This site is expected to be developed to allow for connections to Cam and Dursley rail station and rapid bus services to the nearest main settlements in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy. The site is expected to be delivered to prioritise walking, cycling, and public transport over the use of private car in line with this draft policy. Furthermore, the provision of new services and facilities at the site in line with the Garden Village Principles could help to reduce the need for residents to travel from the area on a regular basis. These types of improvements could have benefits in terms of limiting the release of air pollutants as new development is occupied.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	--	The site is relatively large in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3b agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	+	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective. The delivery of development at the site in line with the Garden City Principles is likely to help promote the use of zero-carbon and energy-positive technology to ensure climate resilience.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 15: Waste	0	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	++	This site would deliver less than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development. The Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy sets out that 5ha employment land would be provided at the site. The delivery of development in line with the Garden City principles is likely to help ensure that a wide range of local jobs are provided within easy commuting distance of homes.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	++	The site is within 800m of Slimbridge Primary school. This site would deliver less than 10ha of employment land as part of mixed use development. This site would include land for the development of primary school in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy. The Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy also sets out that 5ha employment land would be provided at the site. The delivery of development in line with the Garden City principles is likely to help ensure that a wide range of local jobs are provided within easy commuting distance of homes.

PS38: South of Wickwar Road, Kingswood (dwellings, strategic landscaping, community and open space uses)

(Site is equivalent to PS38 in the Emerging Strategy Paper)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	This site has capacity for 50 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/++	-/++	The site is not located within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is not located within 400m of a walking or cycle path. This site would include an area of open space.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	This site is on greenfield land. This site now includes community uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement. This site would include community uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy but is not within a town centre.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	0?	The site is not within 1km of an internationally or nationally designated site, or within 250m of a locally designated site. It is also not within 3km of Rodborough Common SAC or within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB. It is now required that development at the site incorporates strategic landscaping in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy. This may potentially limit impacts in terms of the local landscape setting, however, impacts will be dependent upon the specific design which is unknown at this stage.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	--	The site scored 84 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 11: Water quality	0	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	+	The site is located within 600m of key employment sites (Abbey Mill Industrial Area; Orchestra Works) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

PS41: Washwell Fields, Painswick (dwellings, community, open space uses and strategic landscaping)

(Site is equivalent to PS41 in the Emerging Strategy Paper)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	This site has capacity for 20 homes.
SA 2: Health	++	++	The site is within 400m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a Council play area, a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a National Trail within 400m. This site would include an area of open space.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	This site is on greenfield land. This site now includes community uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	+	This site is at a tier 2 settlement. This site would now include community uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy but is not within a town centre.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Cotswold Commons and Beechwoods SSSI.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	--?	--?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of high/medium sensitivity to residential development. It is within the Cotswolds AONB. It is now required that development at the site incorporates strategic landscaping in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy. This may potentially limit impacts in terms of the local landscape setting, however, impacts will be dependent upon the specific design which is unknown at this stage.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	0	This site scored 1 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	--	The site scored 83 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 15: Waste	0	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

PS42: Land off Dozule Close, Leonard Stanley (residential, community and open space uses)

(Site not included in the Emerging Strategy Paper but is equivalent to option LEO009)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	This site has capacity for 15 homes.
SA 2: Health	-/+	++/-	This site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a council play area, a protected outdoor playspace and a green space. The site is not located within 400m of a cycle or walking route. This site now includes open space uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy. The draft policy also requires that open space to the southwest of the site and adjoining Marsh Lane should be retained as development is provided.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	This site is on greenfield land. This site now includes community uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	+	This site is at a Tier 3a settlement. This site would now include community uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy but is not within a town centre.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is not within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site or 3km of Rodborough Common SAC.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	0	0	The site scored 69 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	--?	The site is relatively small in size, on greenfield land and is on Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	0	This site is on greenfield land.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 16: Employment	--	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

PS43: Javelin Park, Gloucester fringe (employment uses)

(Site not included in the Emerging Strategy Paper but is equivalent to part of option HFD012)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	0	A negligible effect is expected in relation to this SA objective.
SA 2: Health	+	+	This site is located within 800m of a green space.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	Non-residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	0	Non-residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	0	A negligible effect is expected on this objective
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	+	The site is located within 400m of a number of bus stops but is not within 1.0km of railway station.
SA 11: Water quality	0	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	-	The site is on greenfield land mostly outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	--	The site is relatively large in size, on greenfield land and contains a significant portion of Grade 2 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	+	The site option previous allowed for development more than 10ha of employment land. This site now allows for the development of 9ha of employment land in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.
SA 17: Economic growth	++	+	The site option previous allowed for development more than 10ha of employment land. This site now allows for the development of 9ha of employment land in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.

PS44: North west of Whitminster Lane, Frampton (residential, community and open space uses)

(Site not included in the Emerging Strategy Paper but is equivalent to option FRA004)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	This site previously had capacity for 80 homes. This site would now allow for the development of 30 homes in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.
SA 2: Health	++	++	The site is located within 400m of a GP. The site is located within 800m of a green space and a protected outdoor playspace. The site is located within 400m of a National Cycle Network route and a cycle route. This site includes open space uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	0	Mixed use site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	This site is on greenfield land. This site includes community space uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	+	This site is at a third tier settlement. This site now includes community uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy but is not located within a town centre.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	The site is within 250m-1km of Frampton Pools SSSI and within 250m of Saul Gravel Pits KWS and Gloucester and Sharpness Canal KWS. It is also within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	--	The site scored 85 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	0	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 13: Efficient land use	--	--	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 2 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	+	+	The site is located within 600m of a key employment site (Frampton Industrial Estate) but is not at a Tier 1 or 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

PS45: Land west of Upton's Garden, Whitminster (residential, strategic landscaping, community and open spaces uses)

(Site not included in the Emerging Strategy Paper but is equivalent to option WHI004)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	This site previously had capacity for 10 homes ¹²² . This site would now allow for the development of 10 homes in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.
SA 2: Health	-/++	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle path within 400m. This site includes open space uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	This site is on greenfield land. This site includes community uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement. This site now includes community uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy but is not located within a town centre.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB. It is now required that development at the site incorporates strategic landscaping in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy. This may potentially limit impacts in terms of the local landscape setting, however, impacts will be dependent upon the specific design which is unknown at this stage.
SA 9: Historic environment	-	-	This site scored 2 in the SALA heritage assessment.

¹²² Please note that the total number of homes for site WHI004 was incorrectly reported as 30 in the SA Report for the Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018).

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 10: Air quality.	--	--	The site scored 82 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

PS46: Land west of School Lane, Whitminster (dwellings, strategic landscaping, community and open space uses)

(Site not included in the Emerging Strategy Paper but is equivalent to part of option WHI005)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	+	+	This site previously had capacity for 40 homes. This site would now allow for the development of 30 homes in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.
SA 2: Health	-/++	-/++	The site is not within 800m of a GP. The site is within 800m of a greenspace and a protected outdoor playspace. There is a cycle path within 400m. This site includes open space uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	+	This site is on greenfield land. This site includes community uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.
SA 6: Services and facilities	+	+	This site is at a tier 3a settlement. This site now includes community uses in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy but is not located within a town centre.
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	-?	-?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites. It is within 7.7km of the Severn Estuary SAC/SPA/Ramsar site.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	-?	-?	This site is in an area which was rated in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment as being of medium sensitivity to residential development. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB. It is now required that development at the site incorporates strategic landscaping in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy. This may potentially limit impacts in terms of the local landscape setting, however, impacts will be dependent upon the specific design which is unknown at this stage.
SA 9: Historic environment	0	0	This site was screened out of the SALA heritage assessment as having no heritage impacts.
SA 10: Air quality.	--	--	The site scored 81 in the Stroud SALA Transport Accessibility Assessment.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 11: Water quality	--?	--?	The site is within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	-	The site is on greenfield land outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	--?	The site is relatively small in size and on greenfield land. The site is within an area of Grade 3 agricultural land.
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	--	--	The site is located further than 1km from an employment site and is not at a Tier 1 or Tier 2 settlement.
SA 17: Economic growth	+?	+?	The site is located within 800m of at least one existing primary school but is not within 800m of an existing secondary school.

PS47: Land west of Renishaw New Mills, Kingswood (employment uses and strategic landscaping)

(Site not included in the Emerging Strategy Paper but is equivalent to option KIN005)

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 1: Housing	0	0	A negligible effect is expected in relation to this SA objective.
SA 2: Health	+	+	This site is located within 800m of three green spaces. The site is located further than 400m of cycle route or PRoW.
SA 3: Social inclusion	0	0	Non-Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 4: Crime	0	0	Non-Residential site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 5: Vibrant communities	0	0	This site contains a significant portion of greenfield land.
SA 6: Services and facilities	0	0	A negligible effect is expected on this objective
SA 7: Biodiversity/geodiversity	0?	0?	The site is not within 1km of internationally or nationally designated sites or 250m of locally designated sites.
SA 8: Landscapes/townscapes	?	?	This site is not covered by the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment. It is not within the Cotswolds AONB or within 500m of the AONB. This site now requires that strategic landscaping is provided in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy. This may potentially limit impacts in terms of the local landscape setting, however, impacts will be dependent upon the specific design which is unknown at this stage.
SA 9: Historic environment	--	--	This site scored 3 in the SALA heritage assessment.
SA 10: Air quality.	+	+	The site is located within 400m of a number of bus stops but is not within 1.0km of railway station.
SA 11: Water quality	0	0	The site is not within a Drinking Water Safeguarding Zone or a Source Protection Zone.
SA 12: Flooding	-	-	The site is on greenfield land and mostly outside of flood zones 3a and 3b.
SA 13: Efficient land use	--?	--?	The site is relatively large in size, on greenfield land and contains a significant portion of Grade 2 agricultural land
SA 14: Climate change	0	0	Employment site options will all have negligible effects on this objective.
SA 15: Waste	0	0	This site is on greenfield land.
SA 16: Employment	++	+	The site previously had capacity for more than 10ha of employment land. This site would allow for the development for 9ha of employment land in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.

SA Objective	Score: Equivalent Site Option	Score: Draft Local Plan	Justification
SA 17: Economic growth	++	+	<p>The site previously had capacity for more than 10ha of employment land.</p> <p>This site would allow for the development for 9ha of employment land in line with the Draft Local Plan Site Allocation Policy.</p>

Appendix 8

Audit Trail of Policy and Site Options

Table A8.1 Stroud District Council's Reasons for including policy approach in the Local Plan Review Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018) and evolution of policy approach in Draft Local Plan (November 2019)

Policy approach in the Local Plan Review: Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018)	Policy approach in Draft Local Plan (November 2019)	Council's reasons for following the approach in the Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018) and Draft Local Plan (November 2019)
N/A	New issue identified in the Draft Local Plan: Moving the District towards becoming Carbon Neutral by 2030, whilst adapting to the impacts of climate change and providing resilience for the future. New Core Policy DCP1 Delivering Carbon Neutral by 2030	Emerging Strategy Paper: N/A Draft Local Plan: The Council has declared a climate emergency and its commitment to Carbon Neutral by 2030, ahead of the Government Target of net Zero Carbon 2050. The Draft Local Plan identifies this as an overarching priority issue for the District.
Key Issue 1: Ensuring that new housing development is located in the right place, supported by the right services and infrastructure to create sustainable development.	Carried forward to the Draft Local Plan: Ensuring new housing development is located in the right place, supported by the right services and infrastructure to create sustainable development.	Emerging Strategy Paper: Identified by public consultation as the most significant key issue facing Stroud District, to deliver sustainable development linked to existing infrastructure or where there is potential to improve infrastructure and public transport links. Draft Local Plan: As above
Key Issue 2: Conserving and enhancing Stroud District's countryside and biodiversity, including maximising the potential for a green infrastructure network across the District.	Carried forward to the Draft Local Plan: Conserving and enhancing Stroud District's countryside and biodiversity, including maximising the potential for a green infrastructure network across the District.	Emerging Strategy Paper: Identified by public consultation as one of the top 5 key issues facing Stroud District, to continue to protect and enhance the natural environment and countryside within the District. Draft Local Plan: As above
Key Issue 3: Maximising the potential of brownfield and underused sites to contribute to housing supply.	Carried forward to the Draft Local Plan: Maximising the potential of brownfield and underused sites to contribute to housing supply.	Emerging Strategy Paper: Identified by public consultation as one of the top 5 key issues facing Stroud District, to deliver sustainable development through maximising the use of brownfield land. Draft Local Plan: As above
Key Issue 4: Developing strategies to avoid, reduce and mitigate the indirect impacts of development on the natural environment.	Carried forward to the Draft Local Plan: Developing strategies to avoid, reduce and mitigate the indirect impacts of development on the natural environment.	Emerging Strategy Paper: Identified by public consultation as one of the top 5 key issues facing Stroud District, to continue to protect the natural environment and biodiversity of the District from the direct and indirect impacts of development. Draft Local Plan: As above
Key Issue 5: Tackling the acute lack of affordable housing in the District.	Carried forward to the Draft Local Plan: Tackling the acute lack of affordable housing in the District.	Emerging Strategy Paper: Identified by public consultation as one of the top 5 key issues facing Stroud District, to address long term housing affordability issues and deliver development to meet local housing needs.

Policy approach in the Local Plan Review: Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018)	Policy approach in Draft Local Plan (November 2019)	Council's reasons for following the approach in the Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018) and Draft Local Plan (November 2019)
<p>Section 2.1 of the Emerging Strategy Paper presents the approach to the local economy and jobs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Growth and additional jobs on and adjacent to existing high value employment sites and within the M5/A38 growth corridor; • Opportunities to foster on-going employment-education links; • New employment sites of varying sizes and locations to meet the specific locational requirements of different sectors 	<p>Draft policies to emerge in Draft Local Plan from consideration of policy approach:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Core Policy CP2: Strategic growth and development locations • Core Policy CP5: Environmental development principles for strategic sites • New Policy DHC3: Live-work development • Core Policy CP11: New employment development • Policy EI2a: Former Berkeley Power Station • New Policy DEI1: District-wide mode-specific strategies • Policy EI14: Provision and protection of rail stations and halts • Policy EI15: Protection of freight facilities at Sharpness Docks 	<p>Draft Local Plan: As above</p> <p>Emerging Strategy Paper: In accordance with SA findings to make use of the M5 corridor to facilitate economic growth and reflect public consultation support for the M5 corridor as the most popular locational choice for employment growth alongside continued expansion of employment land at existing sites and settlements.</p> <p>Draft Local Plan: The Local Plan now proposes a significant increase in employment land at a variety of locations to reflect the needs of our local communities and the market. Proposed changes reflect the need for decision takers to consider the specific locational requirements of different sectors and that rural business needs cannot always be met in locations currently well served by public transport. Proposed policy changes emphasise the importance of prioritising sustainable transport options and implementing the Sustainable Transport Strategy. This includes new policy DEI1 District-wide mode-specific strategies designed to underpin the objectives of the Sustainable Transport Strategy to achieve modal shift away from the private car and to give priority to sustainable transport improvements above additional road infrastructure.</p>
<p>Section 2.1 of the Emerging Strategy Paper presents the approach to the local economy and jobs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Regeneration of under-utilised or low value employment sites for other uses provided this does not undermine key employment sectors 	<p>Draft policies to emerge in Draft Local Plan from consideration of policy approach:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Policy EI1: Key employment sites • Policy EI2: Regenerating existing employment sites 	<p>Emerging Strategy Paper: To boost local economic productivity, in the light of SA findings, and reflect public consultation support for increased flexibility in job generating uses on employment sites on a case by case basis, prioritising the re-use or redevelopment of derelict buildings, where the employment needs of B Class uses can be safeguarded. To reflect the NPPF focus on supporting key employment sectors.</p> <p>Draft Local Plan: Proposed changes recognise that key employment areas may require the provision of ancillary uses to support the primary uses. Regarding regenerating existing employment sites, proposed changes recognise that housing can form part of an acceptable mix for such sites and that some existing employment sites listed in the current Local Plan have now been regenerated, are allocated or have permission for alternative uses. Further changes may be required following the completion of an Employment Land Study in 2020.</p>

Policy approach in the Local Plan Review: Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018)	Policy approach in Draft Local Plan (November 2019)	Council's reasons for following the approach in the Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018) and Draft Local Plan (November 2019)
<p>Section 2.1 of the Emerging Strategy Paper presents the approach to the local economy and jobs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Support for co-working facilities particularly at town centres; • Support for the faster roll out of broadband. 	<p>Draft policies to emerge in Draft Local Plan from consideration of policy approach:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Policy HC2: Providing new homes above shops in our town centres • New Policy DHC3 Live-work development • Policy CP12: Town centres and retailing • Policy EI7: Non-retail uses in primary frontages • Policy EI8: Non-retail uses in secondary frontages • Policy EI12: Promoting transport choice and accessibility 	<p>Emerging Strategy Paper: To boost local economic productivity, in the light of SA findings, and reflect public consultation support for facilitating home working alongside tackling broadband provision as the major limiting factor.</p> <p>Draft Local Plan: The new and amended policies seek to deliver broadly on the Emerging Strategy policy approach towards more flexible working. In particular, new policy DHC3 supports live-work development; amended policy EI12 seeks to reduce the need to travel by supporting the roll out of high speed broadband; and amended policy EI8 introduces more flexibility to support office and residential uses within secondary frontages.</p>
<p>Section 2.1 of the Emerging Strategy Paper presents the approach to the local economy and jobs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Continued support for appropriate farm diversification proposals subject to environmental criteria. • New employment together with new housing to create sustainable communities and to reduce the potential for further out commuting. 	<p>Draft policies to emerge in Draft Local Plan from consideration of policy approach:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Policy EI4: Development at existing employment sites in the countryside • Policy EI5: Farm and forestry enterprise diversification • Policy EI6: Protecting individual and village shops, public houses and other community uses • Policy EI10: Provision of new tourism opportunities • New Policy DES1: Conversion of redundant agricultural or forestry buildings • Policy ES9: Equestrian development • Policy ES12: Better design of places 	<p>Emerging Strategy Paper: To reduce the net outflow of commuters and enable the tourism industry to contribute fully to economic growth, as identified in the SA, and reflect strong public consultation support for protecting rural areas and safeguarding agricultural land.</p> <p>Draft Local Plan: Proposed changes to policies seek to clarify and strengthen existing policy provision, better reflect the NPPF and accompanying guidance and protect current community uses.</p> <p>Proposed changes to Delivery Policy EI10 recognise the need to capitalise on the benefits that tourism can bring by taking a more flexible approach towards some forms of rural tourism, particularly where proposals provide opportunities to make a location more sustainable.</p>
<p>Section 2.2 in the Emerging Strategy Paper presents the approach to town centres in the District. This is to include the provision of retail floorspace needed for at least a ten year period; prioritising retail uses within primary frontages; and town centres being used to provide main retail and leisure uses as well as office uses with flexible rental arrangements. The following specific approaches are set out for the town centre locations:</p>	<p>Draft policies to emerge in Draft Local Plan from consideration of policy approach:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Policy HC2: Providing new homes above shops in our town centres • Policy CP12: Town centres and retailing • Policy EI7: Non-retail uses in primary frontages • Policy EI8: Non-retail uses in secondary frontages 	<p>Emerging Strategy Paper: To protect the changing economic and employment role of town centres, including tourism and leisure uses, as identified in the SA, and reflect public consultation support for an overall strategy alongside town centre specific proposals reflecting the character and function of each main town.</p> <p>Draft Local Plan: The Local Plan recognises recent retail trends and puts in place a more flexible policy approach to</p>

Policy approach in the Local Plan Review: Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018)	Policy approach in Draft Local Plan (November 2019)	Council's reasons for following the approach in the Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018) and Draft Local Plan (November 2019)
<p>Stroud:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Improvement to Merrywalks shopping centre Redevelopment of brownfield sites Improvements to Stroud station Increased walking and cycling links to and from Stroudwater canal and the wider Stroud valleys network <p>Nailsworth:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pedestrian improvements to Market Street Redevelopment of the town square Improved and centrally located town council, library and tourism facility Improved walking and cycling links <p>Dursley:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Redevelop brownfield sites Improve access to Market Hall Environmental improvements to Parsonage Street Increased walking and cycling links connecting Cam and Uley <p>Wotton-under-Edge:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Town centre improvements facilitated by the provision of additional parking Greenway cycle and walking route Priorities set out in Community Plan Update 2016 <p>Stonehouse:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Improved cycling and walking links from canal to the wider Stroud valleys network Proposals set out in the Stonehouse Neighbourhood Plan 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy EI9: Floorspace thresholds for Retail Impact Assessments Policy EI11: Providing sport, leisure, recreation and cultural facilities Policy EI16: Provision of public transport facilities Policy ES11: Maintaining, restoring and regenerating the District's canals Policy ES16: Public art contributions 	<p>support complimentary uses within the town centre, whilst continuing to protect the primary retail frontages for shopping. The Draft Plan mini visions, key issues and top priorities for the future identify priorities for specific town centres to promote local distinctiveness and vitality.</p>
<p>As set out in Section 2.3 the Emerging Strategy will seek to deliver:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> At least 638 new homes per year for a 20 year period; 	<p>Draft policies to emerge in Draft Local Plan from consideration of policy approach:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Core Policy CP8: New housing development Core Policy CP9: Affordable housing 	<p>Emerging Strategy Paper: To deliver sufficient housing in accordance with Central Government requirements; reflect the findings of the SA report highlighting affordability and housing stock issues; and respond to public consultation support for</p>

Policy approach in the Local Plan Review: Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018)	Policy approach in Draft Local Plan (November 2019)	Council's reasons for following the approach in the Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018) and Draft Local Plan (November 2019)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A mix of brownfield and greenfield allocated housing sites of varying sizes to ensure delivery is maintained throughout the plan period; • A proportion of affordable homes on all sites of 10 dwellings or above in urban areas and on all sites of above 5 dwellings in designated rural areas; • A mix of dwelling types (1 bed, 2 bed, 3 bed, 4+ bed, flats, houses, bungalows, etc.) on Local Plan housing sites in proportion to identified local needs; • Rural exception sites to meet local affordable needs; • Small scale housing in rural areas in the interests of social sustainability, subject to local community support through the preparation of neighbourhood plans. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Core Policy CP10: Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople Sites • New Policy DHC1: Meeting housing need within defined settlements • New Policy DHC2: Sustainable rural communities • Policy HC3: Self-build and custom build housing provision • Policy HC4: Local housing need (exception sites) • Policy HC1: Detailed criteria for new housing developments • New Policy DHC4: Community-led housing • Policy HC5: Replacement dwellings • Policy HC6: Residential sub-division of dwellings • Policy HC7: Annexes for dependents or carers • Policy HC8: Extensions to dwellings 	<p>affordable housing in its widest sense across the District to meet a range of local housing needs.</p> <p>Draft Local Plan: The amended and new policies seek to deliver broadly on the Emerging Strategy policy approach to homes and communities. The policies reflect findings from the Gloucestershire Local Housing Needs Assessment, reflect changes to the NPPF, in particular relating to support for entry level homes, self build and custom build homes and the local support in Stroud District for community led housing.</p>
<p>As set out in Section 2.4 the Emerging Strategy will seek to deliver:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Policy protection for important open spaces and facilities within settlements; • A mapped GI network linking urban areas to wider countryside, identifying important ecological and landscape features; • A set of standards for local open space and facilities to guide future development; • Site opportunities to address shortfalls in local open space, sport, recreation and community facility provision and to address shortfalls in local open space and gaps in the GI network; • Restoration of the derelict canal between Stonehouse and Saul Junction; • Improvements to Stonehouse to Nailsworth cycleway and creation of Cam, • Dursley and Uley Greenway; 	<p>Draft policies to emerge in Draft Local Plan from consideration of policy approach:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New Core Policy DCP1: Delivering Carbon Neutral by 2030 • Core Policy CP6: Infrastructure and developer contributions • New Core Policy DCP2: Supporting Older People • Core Policy CP7: Lifetime communities • Core Policy CP13: Demand management and sustainable travel measures • Core Policy CP14: High quality sustainable development • Core Policy CP15: A quality living and working countryside • New Policy DHC5: Wellbeing and healthy communities • New Policy DHC6: Protection of existing open spaces and built and indoor sports facilities 	<p>Emerging Strategy Paper: To respond to the range of issues identified in the SA relating to the wider environment and the role of local green spaces and community facilities, and reflect strong public consultation support for their protection as well as opportunities for maximising their use and accessibility.</p> <p>Draft Local Plan: The amended and new policies seek to deliver broadly on the Emerging Strategy policy approach to environmental and health and wellbeing issues. The policies reflect findings from the Local housing Needs Assessment, the Green Infrastructure, Sport and Recreation Study, the Sustainable Transport Strategy, the Renewable Energy Study, changes to the NPPF, particularly relating to biodiversity net gain, and the Council's recognition of Climate Emergency. The policies also reflect ongoing Council projects, including the restoration of the Cotswold canals and the development of the cycling and walking network.</p> <p>The approach of Policy ES2 and Policy DES3 reflect changes in the NPPF and have been recommended as part of the</p>

Policy approach in the Local Plan Review: Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018)	Policy approach in Draft Local Plan (November 2019)	Council's reasons for following the approach in the Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018) and Draft Local Plan (November 2019)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Opportunities to address community needs in association with new development through the Community Infrastructure Levy and s106 agreements; • Support for the planned provision of community facilities alongside housing growth, through masterplanning of strategic and other major developments; • Continued protection of biodiversity, landscape and heritage areas of importance; • Mitigation strategy for the Cotswold Beechwoods SAC to assess and address recreational pressures. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New Policy DHC7: Provision of new open space and built and indoor sports facilities • Policy ES1: Sustainable construction and design • Policy ES2: Renewable or low carbon energy generation • New Policy DES3: Heat supply • Policy ES3: Maintaining quality of life within our environmental limits • Policy ES4: Water resources, quality and flood risk • Policy ES5: Air quality • Policy ES6: Providing for biodiversity and geodiversity • Policy ES7: Landscape character • Policy ES8: Trees, hedgerows and woodlands • Policy ES10: Valuing our historic environment and assets • New Policy DES2: Green Infrastructure 	<p>Renewable Energy Assessment of the District to strengthen the Local Plan's policies for achieving Carbon Neutral 2030.</p>
<p>The Vision to 2040 is set out in Section 3.1 and this is supported by the 'Mini Visions' for the Stroud Valleys, The Stroud Valleys, Cam & Dursley, Gloucester's rural fringe, Berkeley Cluster, Severn Cluster, Wotton Cluster and Cotswold Cluster all of which are contained in Section 5 Making Places.</p>	<p>Draft policies to emerge in Draft Local Plan from consideration of policy approach:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Draft vision to 2040: "Regeneration, creativity and cultural blossoming in the green valleys..." • Draft site allocations for individual settlements (reasons for selection of specific site options is addressed in Table A8.2) • Mini-Visions for the Stroud Valleys, The Stroud Valleys, Cam & Dursley, Gloucester's rural fringe, Berkeley Cluster, Severn Cluster, Wotton Cluster and Cotswold Cluster • Core Policy CP4: Place Making 	<p>Emerging Strategy Paper: The Vision has been updated to reflect SA objectives identified in the SA scoping report and the revised Mini Visions for each cluster area (see Section 5) incorporating the views of Parish Council representatives in each Parish Cluster group.</p> <p>Draft Local Plan: The Vision has been amended since the Emerging Strategy to reflect issues raised during the Emerging Strategy public consultation process. These include: making references to Carbon Neutral 2030; travelling in sustainable ways; reflecting on employment growth sectors, supporting older people and providing opportunities for young people and the needs of villages and rural communities.</p>
<p>Strategic Objective SO1: Accessible communities Maintaining and improving accessibility to services and amenities, with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Healthcare for all residents • Affordable and decent housing for local needs 	<p>Amended from Emerging Strategy Paper as follows: SO1: Accessible communities Maintaining and improving accessibility to services and amenities, with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Affordable and quality housing for local needs 	<p>Emerging Strategy Paper: To reflect SA framework objectives and address the key issues identified through public consultation to deliver sustainable development. The Emerging Strategy includes new Strategic Objective SO1a promoting healthy and safe communities, in line with the NPPF,</p>

Policy approach in the Local Plan Review: Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018)	Policy approach in Draft Local Plan (November 2019)	Council's reasons for following the approach in the Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018) and Draft Local Plan (November 2019)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Active social, leisure and recreation opportunities Youth and adult learning opportunities 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Healthcare for all residents Active social, leisure and recreation opportunities Youth and adult learning opportunities 	<p>to deliver wider health and well being objectives as part of planned development.</p> <p>Draft Local Plan: The Strategic objectives have been refined to better reflect Draft Plan key issues and priorities and to reflect the results of public consultation. Specific changes to the strategic objectives include, for homes and communities, promoting quality homes and supporting the elderly and vulnerable. For economy and infrastructure, supporting and recognising existing businesses and encouraging new opportunities and prioritising rather than simply promoting healthy alternatives to the use of the private car. For environment and surroundings, reducing our carbon footprint rather than simply mitigating the effects of climate change and prioritising rather than facilitating the use of sustainable modes of transport.</p>
<p>Strategic Objective SO1a: Healthy, inclusive and safe communities</p> <p>Developing communities that support healthy lifestyles, promote social interaction, ensure public safety and reduce the fear of crime.</p>	<p>Amended from Emerging Strategy Paper as follows: SO1a: Healthy, inclusive and safe communities</p> <p>Developing communities that enable healthy lifestyles; promote social interaction; support the elderly, the young and the vulnerable; ensure public safety and reduce the fear of crime.</p>	
<p>Strategic Objective SO2: Local economy and jobs</p> <p>Providing for a strong, diverse, vibrant local economy that enables balanced economic growth, coupled with enhancing skills and job opportunities across the District.</p>	<p>Amended from Emerging Strategy Paper as follows: SO2: Local economy and jobs</p> <p>Providing for a strong, diverse, vibrant local economy that supports existing businesses and encourages new enterprise – enabling balanced economic growth, coupled with enhancing skills and job opportunities across the District.</p>	
<p>Strategic Objective SO3: Town centres and rural hinterlands</p> <p>Improving the safety, vitality and viability of our town centres, which link to and support the needs of their rural hinterlands.</p>	<p>Carried forward to the Draft Local Plan: Town centres and rural hinterlands</p>	
<p>Strategic Objective SO4: Transport and travel</p> <p>Promoting healthier alternatives to the use of the private car and seeking to reduce CO2 emissions by using new technologies, active travel and/or smarter choices, working towards a more integrated transport system to improve access to local goods and services.</p>	<p>Carried forward to the Draft Local Plan: Transport and travel</p>	
<p>Strategic Objective SO5: Climate Change and environmental limits</p> <p>Promoting a development strategy that mitigates global warming, adapts to climate change and respects our environmental limits by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Securing energy efficiency through building design 	<p>Amended from Emerging Strategy Paper as follows: SO5: Climate Change and environmental limits</p> <p>Promoting a development strategy that reduces our District's carbon footprint, adapts to climate change and respects our environmental limits by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Securing zero carbon development through building design Maximising the re-use of buildings and recycling of building materials 	

Policy approach in the Local Plan Review: Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018)	Policy approach in Draft Local Plan (November 2019)	Council's reasons for following the approach in the Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018) and Draft Local Plan (November 2019)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Maximising the re-use of buildings and recycling of building materials • Minimising the amount of waste produced and seeking to recover energy • Promoting the use of appropriately located brownfield land • Supporting a pattern of development that facilitates the use of sustainable modes of transport • Minimising and mitigating against future flood risks, recycling water resources and protecting and enhancing the quality of our District's surface and groundwater resources 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Minimising the amount of waste produced and seeking to recover energy • Promoting the use of appropriately located brownfield land • Supporting a pattern of development that prioritises the use of sustainable modes of transport • Minimising and mitigating against future flood risks, recycling water resources and protecting and enhancing the quality of our District's surface and groundwater resources 	
<p>Strategic Objective SO6: Our District's distinctive qualities</p> <p>Conserving and enhancing Stroud District's distinctive qualities, based on landscape, heritage, townscape and biodiversity</p>	<p>Carried forward to the Draft Local Plan: Our District's distinctive qualities</p>	
<p>As set out in Section 4.1 a hybrid approach to the Emerging Growth Strategy is proposed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Distribution of at least 12,800 additional dwellings and sufficient new employment land to meet needs for the next 20 years. • Development concentrated mostly at main towns of Cam and Dursley, Stonehouse and Stroud. • Housing and employment growth will also be centred at two new settlements at Sharpness and at Wisloe within the Severn Vale (A38/M5 corridor) where there is the potential to create new sustainable communities. • Further strategic employment growth will be concentrated at accessible locations within the A38/M5 corridor. 	<p>Draft policies to emerge in Draft Local Plan from consideration of policy approach:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Core Policy CP2: Strategic growth and development locations • Core Policy CP3: Settlement hierarchy • Core Policy CP5: Environmental development principles for strategic sites • CP11: New employment development • Policy EI2a: Former Berkeley Power Station • New Policy DEI1: District-wide mode-specific strategies • Policy EI14: Provision and protection of rail stations and halts • Policy EI15: Protection of freight facilities at Sharpness Docks 	<p>Emerging Strategy Paper: In accordance with SA recommendations for a hybrid strategy capitalising on the overall sustainability benefits of Option 1, the environmental benefits of Option 4 and potential social benefits of Option 2. The hybrid approach reflects the results of the high level transport assessment which identified both Options 1 and 2 as highly sustainable, with Option 1 the most sustainable and most likely to benefit from the existing passenger network. Option 4 was identified as offering opportunities to provide non car based alternatives. Option 3 was found to be the least sustainable in terms of the location of planned growth. The hybrid growth strategy also reflects strong public support for Option 1 whilst incorporating elements of Options 2, 3 and 4 and providing future strategic employment growth along the M5 corridor.</p> <p>Draft Local Plan: In response to additional evidence and public comments, the Draft Local Plan includes changes to:</p>

Policy approach in the Local Plan Review: Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018)	Policy approach in Draft Local Plan (November 2019)	Council's reasons for following the approach in the Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018) and Draft Local Plan (November 2019)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Modest levels of growth will be delivered at the local service centres of Berkeley, Minchinhampton, Nailsworth and Painswick. • Small amounts of growth will be delivered at the villages of Brimscombe, Kings Stanley, Kingswood, Leonard Stanley, North Woodchester and Thrupp. 		<p>confirm our approach to helping to address Gloucester's unmet needs; include additional allocations at Tier 3a settlements; include more opportunities for organic growth at some of our smaller tier 4a and 4b settlements; and remove potential sites that may have an adverse impact upon the AONB and its setting.</p> <p>However, the broad Emerging Strategy hybrid approach of concentrating growth at the main towns and larger villages and realising the potential for one or two new settlements is maintained as the most sustainable approach given the scale of growth required by the Government.</p> <p>An Economic Needs Assessment has been commissioned to look further at employment levels of growth required and locations to address the needs of key sectors. Detailed transport modelling of strategic growth locations is underway and whilst sustainable forms of transport will be prioritised, the impacts on the road network will need to be taken into consideration before the Local Plan development strategy can be finalised in 2020.</p>
<p>Section 4.4 contains the approach to managing growth in relation to settlement boundaries in the District:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Housing, employment and community uses within existing settlement development limits, updated, where appropriate, to reflect physical changes since they were last reviewed and to better reflect their intended function • Some limited development beyond settlement development limits as set out in the Emerging Strategy, subject to satisfying detailed environmental and design criteria. 	<p>Draft policies to emerge in Draft Local Plan from consideration of policy approach:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Core Policy CP3: Settlement Hierarchy • New Policy DHC1: Meeting housing need within defined settlements • New Policy DHC2: Sustainable rural communities • Policy HC3: Self build and custom build housing provision • Policy HC4: Local housing need (exception sites) • New Policy DHC3: Live-work development • Policy HC1: Detailed criteria for new housing development • New Policy DHC4: Community-led housing • Policy HC5: Replacement dwellings • Policy HC7: Annexes for dependents and carers • Policy HC8: Extension to dwellings 	<p>Emerging Strategy Paper: The SA considered that continuing with the current Local Plan approach offers the best environmental protection but may have minor negative effects on housing and economy objectives if proposals for development outside of settlement development limits are prevented from coming forward.</p> <p>Public consultation indicated strongest support for the assessment of development proposals on a case by case basis. The Emerging Strategy proposes a hybrid approach to update settlement development limits where required and to allow increased flexibility in the type of development allowed beyond settlement development limits whilst seeking to minimise potential negative environmental impacts through tighter criteria (to be developed at policy drafting stage).</p> <p>Draft Local Plan: The Draft Plan includes further revisions to the settlement hierarchy, to settlement development limits and</p>

Policy approach in the Local Plan Review: Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018)	Policy approach in Draft Local Plan (November 2019)	Council's reasons for following the approach in the Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018) and Draft Local Plan (November 2019)
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy EI12: Promoting transport choice and accessibility 	<p>to the suite of housing policies that support and manage growth at the settlements. This revised approach responds to NPPF support for entry level homes, self build and custom build homes and local support in Stroud District for community led housing and consultation responses for more flexibility but recognises that settlement development limits are a well established planning tool with broad support and environmental and sustainability benefits.</p> <p>In response to public consultation, the Draft Local Plan proposes to keep lower tier settlements (described as "Tier 4" and "Tier 5" in the current Local Plan) within the hierarchy and to retain settlement development limits for all settlements. However, it is proposed to widen the type of development that can be developed outside but adjacent to settlement development limits to meet specific needs and for these developments, to define detailed criteria to manage development and to maintain accessibility to services. For example, responding to the SA of the Emerging Strategy and to local communities' feedback, the Draft Local Plan recognises that some very limited housing development may be justifiable at some lower tier settlements, to ensure they remain viable and accessible communities, and to combat social exclusion. However, criteria seek to limit the impacts of cumulative growth at small settlements by restricting the number of new dwellings to no more than 10% of the total dwellings existing within the settlement at the start of the Plan period.</p>

Table A8.2 Stroud District Council's audit trail of site options and reasons for including sites in Local Plan Review Emerging Strategy Paper (November 2018) and Draft Plan (November 2019)

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Residential use						
<i>Berkeley</i>						
Garage court r/o Marybrook Street car park	BER00 2		Yes	No	No	<p>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be appropriate subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available. Should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. It is not proposed at this stage to allocate small sites with a net capacity of less than 10 dwellings.</p> <p>Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is below the threshold for allocation in the Draft Plan. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy.</p>
Former Berkeley hospital site	BER00 3		Yes	No	No	<p>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site has received planning permission for residential development.</p> <p>Draft Local Plan Stage: The site has now been developed.</p>
Land to the rear of Canonbury Street	BER00 4		Yes	No	No	<p>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site has received planning permission for residential development.</p> <p>Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is now being developed.</p>
Land off Fitzhardinge Way	BER00 5	BER A	Yes	No	No	<p>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p>Draft Local Plan Stage: Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p>
Land north of Berkeley and south west of bypass	BER00 6	BER B	Yes	Yes	Yes – as mixed use	<p>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p>Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is located at a Tier 2 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for modest growth at Local Service Centres. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Land between B4066 and Station Road	BER01 1	BER C	Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. Draft Local Plan Stage: Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
Land East of Berkeley Heath Motors filling station	BER01 4		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site did not meet the SALA requirement for the location of sites no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land attached to Foxfield House	BER01 5		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site was promoted during the consultation on the Emerging Strategy Paper. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of housing growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.
<i>Bisley</i>						
Graduate Gardeners (shown Graduate)	BIS001	BIS A	Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: Although the SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, the site is actively used by a thriving business and there is no evidence to suggest that any suitable land will be made available for re-development in the future. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site has been promoted as available for development. However, the site is located at a Tier 3b settlement and is not in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's Tier 1 main towns and two new sustainable settlements together with modest growth at Tier 2 local service centres and lesser levels of growth at Tier 3a accessible settlements with local facilities. It is not therefore proposed to allocate the site for development at this stage.
Land off Calfway Lane	BIS002	BIS A	Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of concentrating most development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest levels

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
						of growth at tier 2 settlements and lesser levels of development at tier 3a settlements. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is located at a Tier 3b settlement and is not in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's Tier 1 main towns and two new sustainable settlements together with modest growth at Tier 2 local service centres and lesser levels of growth at Tier 3a accessible settlements with local facilities. It is not therefore proposed to allocate the site for development at this stage.
<i>Brimscombe</i>						
Land off Dalloway	BRI004		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, narrowing the gap between Thrupp and Brimscombe and having a detrimental impact on the landcover pattern of the valley slopes. The site also slopes steeply making it physically difficult to develop. There are therefore potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land north-east of London Road	BRI005		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, narrowing the gap between Thrupp and Brimscombe and having a detrimental impact on the landcover pattern of the valley slopes. The site also slopes steeply making it physically difficult to develop. There are therefore potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land south of Bourne Lane	BRI007		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, having a detrimental impact on the landcover pattern of the valley slopes, the character and appearance of the area and the natural landscape beauty of the AONB. The site also slopes steeply making it physically difficult to develop. There are therefore potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Brimscombe Mills & Mill Pond	BRI008	BRI A	Yes	Yes – as mixed use	Yes – as mixed used	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is an existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site is located at a Tier 3a settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for lesser levels of growth at accessible settlements with local facilities. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.
Brimscombe Farm	BRI010	BRI D	Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
						this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. Draft Local Plan Stage: Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
Dockyard Works	BRI011	BRI C	Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is allocated in the Local Plan for mixed use redevelopment. The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be appropriate subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether the site remains available for redevelopment. Should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Val D'Or Works	BRI012	BRI C	Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is allocated in the Local Plan for mixed use redevelopment. The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be appropriate subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether the site remains available for redevelopment. Should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Queens Court	BRI013		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may be suitable and available for development in the future subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. Draft Local Plan Stage: Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy.
Land North of Queens Court	BRI014		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because the western play area / amenity land is important to the character of the

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
						settlement and the eastern part is identified as an asset of community value for its use as allotment gardens. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land east of Toadsmoor Road	BRI015		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact and impact on the conservation area. The steep slopes and lack of a suitable access also make development unfeasible without significant works. There are therefore potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land at Gussage Mill	BRI016		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact and impact on the conservation area. The watercourse and adjacent land is within flood zone 3. There are therefore potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land at Bowbridge Lock	BRI017		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because it constitutes a valuable wooded area with amenity and heritage value. The site also has physical constraints relating to vehicular access and flood risk. There are therefore constraints/potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Quarry Hill Farm Fields	BRI019		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, eroding the green gap between Stroud and Thrupp and adversely impacting upon the setting of the Cotswold AONB. The majority of the site slopes steeply and there are no obvious highway access points. There are therefore potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Field on the east side of London Road	BRI020		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, eroding the green gap between Stroud and Thrupp and adversely impacting upon the setting of the Cotswold AONB. The site slopes steeply making development and access difficult. There are therefore potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Lakeside Depot	BRI022	BRI A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is an existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site is located at a Tier 3a settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for lesser levels of growth at accessible

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
						settlements with local facilities. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.
<i>Brookthorpe</i>						
Land south east of Pear Tree Cottage	BRO001		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of strategic growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
<i>Cam</i>						
Cam Sports Club, Everlands	CAM001		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because the site is identified in the Local Plan as Protected Open Space, provides important, well used recreational and sporting facilities and makes a significant contribution to local amenity. Development is likely to result in harm or loss to that interest. There are therefore constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Upthorpe Farm	CAM003		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact; highly visible rising up the slopes, would erode the separation between Cam and Upthorpe, and be visible in key views to and from Peaked Down and the AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
14 St. George's Close	CAM004		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. Development would have adverse effects due to visibility, setting and key views to and from Peaked Down, Cam Long Down and the wider AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land east of Old Court	CAM005		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact; encroaching into the green buffer, including well used public footpaths, between the AONB and the main settlement of Cam. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land south of Old Court	CAM006		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact; encroaching into the green buffer, including well used public footpaths, between the AONB and the main settlement of Cam. Development would adversely change the character of the valley sides

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
						and would be inappropriate. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land at Norman Hill playing fields	CAM007		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because it provides important, well used recreational and sporting facilities, constitutes an important open space within the wider built up area and contributes to breaking up the built up massing of Cam and Dursley. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land adjacent to Tilsdown House	CAM008		Yes	Yes	Yes (part) – as mixed use	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is located at a Tier 1 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.
4 Tilsdown/ Indoor & Outdoor, Tilsdown	CAM009		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be acceptable however there is no evidence that the site is currently available or likely to become available in the future. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land off Elstub Lane	CAM010		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, highly visible as part of the escarpment footslopes, helping to separate Cam from the M5, and fulfilling a recreational role on the edge of the settlement. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land parcel to south west of Manor Close	CAM011	CAM A	Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. Draft Local Plan Stage: Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
Land west of Draycott Crescent	CAM013	CAM B	Yes	Yes	Yes – as mixed use	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
						Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is located at a Tier 1 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating strategic growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.
Land west of Cam and Dursley Train Station	CAM01 5	CAM C	Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. Draft Local Plan Stage: Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
Land north east of Box Road Avenue	CAM01 6	CAM C	Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site has received planning permission for residential development.
Land east of Court House Gardens	CAM02 1	CAM E	Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. Draft Local Plan Stage: Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. Part of the site is assessed separately as CAM E below.
Street Farm	CAM02 2		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact; encroaching into the green buffer, including well used public footpaths, between the AONB and the main settlement of Cam. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Land adjacent to Orchard Leaze	CAM02 3		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact; open to view and helping to separate Cam from the M5, including well used public footpaths. Access to the site, from Orchard Leaze, is off a single width access lane. There are therefore physical impacts and potential constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land at Bowlers Lea	CAM02 4		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. Development on the slopes would impinge on their function as backcloth to the settlement as well as potentially being highly visible to the wider countryside and the M5 to the north. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land NW Cam	CAM02 5	CAM B	Yes	Yes	Yes – as mixed use	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is located at a Tier 1 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating strategic growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.
Land west of A4135 Draycott	CAM02 6	CAM B	Yes	Yes	Yes (part) – as mixed use	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is located at a Tier 1 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating strategic growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.
Land east of Taits Hill Road	CAM02 8		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site was promoted during the consultation on the Emerging Strategy Paper. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. Development would significantly extend the main settlement westwards, but would feel divorced from it due to the steep valley slopes, and would be further detrimental to the function of the area as a green/wildlife corridor. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
East of River Cam		CAM E	Yes	Yes (N boundary of polygon amended)	Yes – as mixed use	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is a modest extension to the existing strategic allocation at NE Cam. The site is located at a Tier 1 settlement and is in

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
						accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.
<i>Cambridge</i>						
Land west of Greenacre	CBR00 2		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land rear of Caterham House	CBR00 3		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
<i>Chalford</i>						
Land west of Middle Hill	CHA00 1	CHA A	Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is being taken forward as a rural exception site for the development of 31 new homes.
<i>Coaley</i>						
Betworthy Farm	COA00 1	COA A	Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The northern half of the site has received planning permission for residential development. Draft Local Plan Stage: The northern part of the site is now being developed.
Land at Coaley	COA00 2		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: Large housing or employment development in this location would be completely out of character with the rural nature of the village with significant landscape and heritage impacts. The SALA identified that the site may have limited future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of concentrating most development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest levels of growth at tier 2 settlements and lesser levels of development at tier 3a settlements. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is located at a Tier 3b settlement and is not in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's Tier 1 main towns and two new sustainable settlements together with modest growth at Tier 2 local service centres and lesser levels of growth at Tier 3a accessible settlements with

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
						local facilities. It is not therefore proposed to allocate the site for development at this stage.
Land between Betworthy Farm and The Close		COA A	Yes	No	No	<p>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: Although the site has been previously assessed as having future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of concentrating most development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest levels of growth at tier 2 settlements and lesser levels of development at tier 3a settlements.</p> <p>Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is located at a Tier 3b settlement and is not in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's Tier 1 main towns and two new sustainable settlements together with modest growth at Tier 2 local service centres and lesser levels of growth at Tier 3a accessible settlements with local facilities. It is not therefore proposed to allocate the site for development at this stage.</p>
<i>Cranham</i>						
Simmonds Hall Farm Field	CRA001		Yes	No	No	<p>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.</p> <p>Draft Local Plan Stage: As above</p>
<i>Dursley</i>						
Land behind Kingshill House	DUR001		yes	No	No	<p>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is identified in the Local Plan as Protected Open Space and makes a significant contribution to the setting of Kingshill House. Development is likely to result in harm or loss to these interests. There are therefore constraints preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p>Draft Local Plan Stage: As above</p>
Land off Acacia Drive/ Oak Drive	DUR002		Yes	Yes	No	<p>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p>Draft Local Plan Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have some potential for limited development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, this is likely to be below the threshold for allocation in the Draft Plan and it has therefore been removed as a potential allocation. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy.</p>
Land north of Brownings Lane	DUR005		Yes	No	No	<p>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, eroding and changing the character of an important pastoral corridor along the edge of the settlement and adjacent to the Cotswolds AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
						Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
13 and 23 Bull Pitch	DUR006		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be acceptable however there is no evidence that the site is currently available or likely to become available in the future. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Henlow House/ 54 - 60 Silver Street	DUR007		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be appropriate subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available for intensification, and if it is, whether there is capacity for an additional 10 dwellings. Should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. It is not proposed at this stage to allocate small sites with a net capacity of less than 10 dwellings. Draft Local Plan Stage: There remains uncertainty whether this site is available for intensification. The development potential of the site is likely to be below the threshold for allocation in the Draft Plan. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy.
18 Woodmancote	DUR008		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site has received planning permission for residential development. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is now being developed for housing.
Land at 27 - 29 Uley Road	DUR009		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, eroding and changing the character of an important pastoral corridor along the edge of the settlement and adjacent to the Cotswolds AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land south and east of Downham View	DUR010	DUR A	Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. Draft Local Plan Stage: Whilst the SALA previously identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, concerns remain that development may be apparent from locations within the Cotswolds AONB and it is considered that there would not be sufficient positive benefit to outweigh the potential harm from development close to the edge of the AONB. Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
						alternative sites for strategic growth at Cam, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
Land at Castle Stream Farm	DUR01 1		Yes	No	No	<p>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p>Draft Local Plan Stage: Whilst the SALA previously identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, concerns remain that development may be apparent from locations within the Cotswolds AONB and it is considered that there would not be sufficient positive benefit to outweigh the potential harm from development close to the edge of the AONB. Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites for strategic growth at Cam, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p>
Castle Stream Farm	DUR01 2	DUR A	Yes	No	No	<p>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p>Draft Local Plan Stage: Whilst the SALA previously identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, concerns remain that development may be apparent from locations within the Cotswolds AONB and it is considered that there would not be sufficient positive benefit to outweigh the potential harm from development close to the edge of the AONB. Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites for strategic growth at Cam, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p>
Land east of Shakespeare Road	DUR01 3	DUR A	Yes	No	No	<p>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p> <p>Draft Local Plan Stage: Whilst the SALA previously identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
						constraints and impacts, concerns remain that development may be apparent from locations within the Cotswolds AONB and it is considered that there would not be sufficient positive benefit to outweigh the potential harm from development close to the edge of the AONB. Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites for strategic growth at Cam, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
Recreation ground east of School Road	DUR014		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is identified in the Local Plan as Protected Open Space, provides important, well used recreational and sporting facilities and makes a significant contribution to local amenity. Development is likely to result in harm or loss to that interest. There are therefore constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
The Old Dairy/ Land off Prospect Place	DUR017		Yes	Yes – as mixed use	Yes – as mixed use	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is identified in the Dursley Neighbourhood Plan as a potential area for enhancement through redevelopment. The site is located at a Tier 1 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements. The site is considered suitable and is being actively considered for partial redevelopment for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts.
Blackboys Farm	DUR020		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, extending the suburban character into the Cotswold AONB and adversely affecting the setting of the wooded slopes. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land to the rear of the police station	DUR021		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be appropriate subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available and if it is, whether there is capacity for 10 dwellings. Should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. It is not proposed at this stage to allocate small sites with a net capacity of less than 10 dwellings. Draft Local Plan Stage: There remains uncertainty whether this site is available for intensification. The development potential of the site is likely to be below the threshold for allocation in the Draft Plan. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy.

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
11/11a May Lane	DUR02 2		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be appropriate, subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, and development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. However, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate small sites with a net capacity of less than 10 dwellings. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is below the threshold for allocation in the Draft Plan. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy.
North of Ganzell Lane		DUR A	Yes	Yes (SE boundary of polygon amended)	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. Draft Local Plan Stage: The Issues and Options paper identified that the site may have some development potential subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, concerns remain that development may be apparent from locations within the Cotswolds AONB and it is considered that the provision of 80 new homes would not be of sufficient positive benefit to outweigh the potential harm from development close to the edge of the AONB. Given the suitability and availability of other locations at Cam, away from the AONB, to meet the needs of the local area and the District, in accordance with the development strategy for Tier 1 settlements, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate the site for development.
<i>Eastington</i>						
Land to rear of Alkerton garage	EAS001		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact on the north eastern part of the site and because of the likely significant heritage impact on listed buildings adjacent to the south western part of the site. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Alkerton Farm	EAS003	EAS B1	Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge. Draft Local Plan Stage: This large area is generally not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, separating the core of the village from the countryside which is a feature of the settlement. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land south east of	EAS004	EAS A	Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Swallowcroft						would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is generally not suitable for development because of the likely landscape impact, separating Alkerton from Millend to the north and protecting the separate identity of Middle Street to the east. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land to north of Millend Land	EAS005		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape and heritage impact, filling in the gap between the historic cluster of buildings at Millend and the 20c village and would adversely affect the setting of the listed buildings and character of the Conservation Area. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land at Junction 13 of the M5	EAS007	STO D1, STO D2	Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for residential development, removed from the existing urban area of Stonehouse and its local services and facilities and because of the landscape impact. Housing would extend the settlement form and development corridor towards the Severn Vale and M5, closing the gap between Stonehouse and Eastington, and would have an adverse impact on the setting of the canal, the Industrial Heritage Conservation Area and the Thames and Severn Way. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land at Chipmans Platt	EAS008	STO C	Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is not currently suitable for housing development because of the relatively remote location of Chipmans Platt relative to existing established settlements with services and facilities. The lane is also narrow and rural in character. There are therefore physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land west of Nupend	EAS009		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the remoteness of the area from local services and facilities and because of the likely high landscape impact. Development would impact on the character and setting of the listed buildings in Nupend and the hamlets' ancient

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
						settlement pattern. There are therefore physical constraints and potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land at Nupend (Parcel A)	EAS011		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely detrimental impact upon the setting of Nupend. The site currently functions as a valuable amenity space crossed by public rights of way. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land at South View	EAS012		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is not currently suitable for housing development because of the relatively remote location relative to existing established settlements with services and facilities. There are therefore physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land at Westend Farm	EAS013		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely detrimental impact upon the character and landscape setting of the listed buildings and the landscape of Westend and the erosion of the countryside buffer between Stonehouse and the M5 and vale to the west. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land north of Westend Farm	EAS014		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely detrimental impact upon the setting of Westend and the erosion of the countryside buffer between Stonehouse and the M5 and vale to the west. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land at Claypits	EAS015		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely detrimental impact upon the local landscape. Development would materially extend built development into the countryside and impact on the adjacent listed Claypits farmhouse and historic character of Eastington's hamlet based pattern. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
D E Spencer and Sons, Eastington	EAS016		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site was promoted during the consultation on the Emerging Strategy Paper. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is in employment use and such uses are protected from redevelopment for alternative uses unless wholly exceptional circumstances can be demonstrated. The redevelopment of the site for housing

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
						would therefore be contrary to the development strategy for the protection of existing employment sites.
Land adjoining Oldbury Lodge	EAS017		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site was promoted during the consultation on the Emerging Strategy Paper Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely landscape and heritage impacts. Development of this site would be detrimental to this green corridor, visibly extending sporadic development into the rural fringe and urbanising the area between Chipman's Platt, Church End, Nastend and Newtown. A heritage assessment has indicated that the impact on the character, setting and significance of the Conservation Area could prohibit development on this site. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land south-east of Chipmans Platt roundabout	EAS018		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site was promoted during the consultation on the Emerging Strategy Paper. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely landscape and heritage impacts. Development of this site would be detrimental to this green corridor, visibly extending sporadic development into the rural fringe and urbanising the area between Chipman's Platt, Church End, Nastend and Newtown. A heritage assessment has indicated that the impact on the character and significance of The Grange Grade II listed building could prohibit development in order to conserve this key view and to retain a sense of the house's historic status and position within the local landscape. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Field north of Travelodge at Chipmans Platt	EAS019		Yes	No	Yes – as mixed use	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site was promoted during the consultation on the Emerging Strategy Paper. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site forms part of a wider land parcel located at the Junction of the M5 motorway and the A419. The wider site is in accordance with the development strategy for strategic employment growth at accessible locations within the A38/ M5 corridor. The wider site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.
Land south and east of Cressington Cottages	EAS020		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site was promoted during the consultation on the Emerging Strategy Paper. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is not suitable for development because infilling would be out of character with the form and character of the existing hamlet and because of the erosion of the countryside buffer between Stonehouse and the M5 and vale to the west. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
South east of swallowcroft		EAS A	Yes	No	No	<p>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: Although the site has been previously assessed as having future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge.</p> <p>Draft Local Plan Stage: At Eastington there is a programme of community led housing developments to be delivered as rural exception sites as set out in the Neighbourhood Development Plan. It is understood that broad locations identified through the Local Plan process may have potential for this form of development and therefore at this stage it is expected that further growth at Eastington (Alkerton) will be developed through this approach.</p>
South of Alkerton: South of Bath Road		EAS B1	Yes	No	No	<p>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: Although the site has been previously assessed as having future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge.</p> <p>Draft Local Plan Stage: At Eastington there is a programme of community led housing developments to be delivered as rural exception sites as set out in the Neighbourhood Development Plan. It is understood that broad locations identified through the Local Plan process may have potential for this form of development and therefore at this stage it is expected that further growth at Eastington (Alkerton) will be developed through this approach.</p>
<i>Frampton</i>						
Old Dairy site	FRA001		Yes	No	No	<p>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be appropriate subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available. Should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy.</p> <p>Draft Local Plan Stage: As above</p>
Fields northwest of Whitminster Lane	FRA004	FRA B	Yes	No	Yes – as mixed use	<p>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
						at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site was not promoted as available at the emerging strategy stage. The site is located at a Tier 3a settlement, outside the Cotswolds AONB and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for growth at accessible settlements with a range of local facilities. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.
<i>France Lynch</i>						
Land south of Bakers Farm	FRL001		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
<i>Frocester</i>						
Frocester Manor	FRO001		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site was promoted during the consultation on the Emerging Strategy Paper. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is generally not suitable for housing development because of the remoteness of the site from a sustainable settlement and the likely significant heritage impact. Sensitive re-use and partial redevelopment for mixed use may be acceptable to secure the long term future of this important heritage asset. A comprehensive masterplan and supporting heritage justification would be required and an allocation is considered inappropriate at this time.
<i>Oakridge Lynch</i>						
Birds Frith Farm	OAK002		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land north of the Crescent	OAK001	OAK A	Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of concentrating most development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest levels of growth at tier 2 settlements and lesser levels of development at tier 3a settlements. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is located at a Tier 3b settlement and is not in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's Tier 1 main towns and two new sustainable settlements together with modest growth at Tier 2 local service centres and lesser

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
						levels of growth at Tier 3a accessible settlements with local facilities. It is not therefore proposed to allocate the site for development at this stage.
<i>Hardwicke</i>						
Land at Hardwicke	HAR00 1	HAR A1, HAR A2, HAR A3, HAR A4	Yes	Yes	Yes	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is located on the Gloucester Fringe and has been identified as having potential to contribute towards future housing needs. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.
Land at Church Lane	HAR00 2	HAR A4	Yes	Yes	Yes	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is located on the Gloucester Fringe and has been identified as having potential to contribute towards future housing needs. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.
SA4 Hunts Grove Extension	HAR00 4		Yes	Yes – as mixed use	Yes – as mixed use	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is an existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site is an extension to Hunts Grove and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for strategic growth at the District's main towns and at new sustainable settlements within the Severn Vale and A38/M5 corridor, suited and capable of meeting strategic growth needs. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.
Land East of Waterwells Business Park	HAR00 5	HAR C	Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is part of a larger area that has received planning permission for residential development
Land at Hiltmead Lane	HAR00 7		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: This large area is generally not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, isolated from any identified settlement and within open countryside. There are therefore constraints/potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land at Parkend Farm	HAR00 8		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not currently suitable for development because of the open rural character and relatively remote location relative to existing established settlements with services and facilities. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Land on east side of M5 at Moreton Valence	HAR010		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of strategic growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
land on west side of M5 at Moreton Valence	HAR011		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of strategic growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land at M5 Junction 12	HAR012		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because the site is surrounded by distributor roads and has other physical constraints relating to adjacent public rights of way use and flood risk. There are therefore constraints/potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location at present. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Mayos Land Phase 3	HAR014	HAR B	Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. Draft Local Plan Stage: Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
Land at Moreton Valence	HAR015		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site was promoted during the consultation on the Emerging Strategy Paper. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is not suitable for housing development of this scale which would have a material detrimental impact on the open rural character of the flat Severn Vale landscape and would be visible from the escarpment edge of the Cotswolds AONB. The city edge would be perceived to have moved southwards significantly as a finger into the flat open countryside. Any potential development would have to address high water table and flood risks. There are therefore physical constraints and potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land to east of	HAR016		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site was promoted during the consultation on the Emerging Strategy Paper.

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
A38 at Moreton Valence						Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is included within HAR015. The site is not suitable for housing development of this scale which would have a material detrimental impact on the open rural character of the flat Severn Vale landscape and would be visible from the escarpment edge of the Cotswolds AONB. The city edge would be perceived to have moved southwards significantly as a finger into the flat open countryside. Any potential development would have to address high water table and flood risks. There are therefore physical constraints and potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
<i>Haresfield</i>						
Land west of the railway	HFD001		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land to east of Round House Farm	HFD002		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land north of Starsmead House	HFD003		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land to north of Merryfields & Upper Green Cottage	HFD004		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land south and east of Haresfield Playing Field	HFD005		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Former Walled Garden to Haresfield court	HFD006		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
<i>Hillesley</i>						
Land to the west of Hawkesbury Road	HIL001		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site has received planning permission for residential development.
<i>Horsley</i>						
Land west of St Martin's Church	HOR001	HOR A	Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of concentrating most development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest levels of growth at tier 2 settlements and lesser levels of development at tier 3a settlements. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is located at a Tier 3b settlement and is not in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's Tier 1 main towns and two new sustainable settlements together with modest growth at Tier 2 local service centres and lesser levels of growth at Tier 3a accessible settlements with local facilities. It is not therefore proposed to allocate the site for development at this stage.
Fields south of Wormwood Hill	HOR002		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The land is not suitable for development because of the potential constraints and high landscape sensitivity of the site. The site is very steep with poor access. Development would extend the settlement beyond the natural boundary of the steep valley sides and would be out of scale with the small valley character. There are therefore physical constraints and potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
The Orchard	HOR003		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site was promoted during the consultation on the Emerging Strategy Paper. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of housing growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.
South of The Street		HOR A	Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of concentrating most development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest levels of growth at tier 2 settlements and lesser levels of development at tier 3a settlements.

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
						Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is located at a Tier 3b settlement and is not in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's Tier 1 main towns and two new sustainable settlements together with modest growth at Tier 2 local service centres and lesser levels of growth at Tier 3a accessible settlements with local facilities. It is not therefore proposed to allocate the site for development at this stage.
<i>King Stanley</i>						
Land to the north of Kings Stanley Primary School	KST00 1	KST B	Yes	Yes	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. Draft Local Plan Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have some development potential subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, further assessment work has identified significant access concerns and potential conflict with the adjacent primary school. It is considered that the role of Kings Stanley within the development strategy is better addressed through the committed scheme at Stanley Mills and it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
Land opposite King's Stanley Primary School	KST00 2		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, narrowing the gap between Kings Stanley and Middledyard and impacting on the setting of the listed buildings at Court Farm. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land to rear of Borough Close	KST00 3	KST C	Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. Draft Local Plan Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have some development potential subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, it is considered that the role of Kings Stanley within the development strategy is better addressed through the committed scheme at Stanley Mills and it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
Land rear of 24-30 Borough Close	KST00 4	KST C	Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
						Draft Local Plan Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have some development potential subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, it is considered that the role of Kings Stanley within the development strategy is better addressed through the committed scheme at Stanley Mills and it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
Rear of Borough Close	KST006	KST C	Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. Draft Local Plan Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have some development potential subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, it is considered that the role of Kings Stanley within the development strategy is better addressed through the committed scheme at Stanley Mills and it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
Court Farm Farmyard	KST008		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site was promoted during the consultation on the Emerging Strategy Paper. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the high landscape sensitivity of the site, narrowing the gap between Kings Stanley and Middleyard and impacting on the setting of local rural listed buildings. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Field 2 Court Farm	KST009		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site was promoted during the consultation on the Emerging Strategy Paper. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the high landscape sensitivity of the site, narrowing the gap between Kings Stanley and Middleyard and impacting on the setting of local rural listed buildings. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Field 13 Court Farm	KST010		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site was promoted during the consultation on the Emerging Strategy Paper. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the high landscape sensitivity of the site and its distance from the services and facilities of the existing established settlement of Kings Stanley. There are therefore physical constraints and potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
<i>Kingswood</i>						

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Land north of Pennwood Lodge	KIN001		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The land is not suitable for development because of the high landscape sensitivity of the site, beyond the strong valley floor boundary to the settlement and Conservation Area. Development may impact on the flood zone in the valley floor. There are therefore physical constraints and potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land south of Vineyard Lane	KIN002		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The land is not suitable for development because of the high landscape sensitivity and significant archaeological potential of the site. It is considered that development would adversely affect the historic landscape character as well as compromise the flood zone in the valley floor. There are therefore physical constraints and potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land south of 13 & 15 Hillesley Road	KIN003		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The land is not suitable for development because of the landscape sensitivity of the site. It is considered that development would detract from the open pastoral character of the area from views from local footpaths and minor roads. There are therefore potential impacts, as well as access and accessibility issues, preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land at Hillesley Road,	KIN004		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The land is not suitable for development because of the landscape sensitivity of the site. Development would detract from the open pastoral character of the area from views from local footpaths and minor roads. There are therefore potential impacts, as well as access and accessibility issues, preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land at Cloverlea Barn	KIN005	KIN A	Yes	Yes	Yes – as mixed use	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is located at a Tier 3a settlement and is in accordance with the development strategy for growth at accessible settlements with local facilities. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.
Land off Charfield Road, Kingswood	KIN007		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The land is not suitable for development because of the high landscape sensitivity of the site, extending development over onto the skyline and highly visible to the west and north west. The potential impact would therefore prevent sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land north of	KIN008		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The land is not suitable for development because of the high landscape sensitivity of the site. Development would

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Charfield Road						significantly extend the settlement form into the open vale countryside on higher ground and is inappropriate within the wider landscape. The potential impact would therefore prevent sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Neathwood Yard	KIN009		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of strategic growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land and yard at Walk Mill Lane	KIN010	KIN B	Yes	Only part of site (new polygon KIN B) identified as emerging option. KIN010 NOT included as a emerging option site	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. Draft Local Plan Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have some development potential subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. The site was included in the Emerging Strategy as one of two potential alternative sites at Kingswood to meet local needs. Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for a Tier 3a settlement set out in the Draft Strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate the site for development.
Land south of Westfield House	KIN011	KIN A	Yes	Yes	Yes – mixed use	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is located at a Tier 3a settlement and is in accordance with the development strategy for growth at accessible settlements with local facilities. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.
Land north and west of 10 - 14 Charfield Road	KIN013		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site comprises part of a larger site KIN008 previously assessed as having potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the high landscape sensitivity of the site including the visual setting of the listed Langford Mill House in a key view from Wotton Road. Development would extend the settlement form into the open vale countryside on higher ground and is inappropriate within the wider landscape. There are potential impacts therefore that would prevent sustainable development in this location.
Part land off Charfield Road	KIN014		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site comprises part of a larger site KIN007 previously assessed as having potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the high landscape sensitivity of the site, extending development over onto the

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
						skyline and highly visible to the west and north west. The potential impact would therefore prevent sustainable development in this location.
<i>Leonard Stanley</i>						
Land at Bath Road	LEO00 1	LEO A	Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. Draft Local Plan Stage: Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
Brimley	LEO00 2		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be acceptable however there is no evidence that the whole of the site is currently available or likely to become available in the future. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land to the north of Bath Road	LEO00 4	LEO B	Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. Draft Local Plan Stage: Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
Land at East Grange	LEO00 5		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, extending the settlement onto high ground and narrowing the gap between Leonard Stanley and Stanley Downton. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land at Leonard Stanley	LEO00 7		Yes	Yes	Yes – as mixed use	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is located at a Tier 3a settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for growth at accessible

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
						settlements with local facilities. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan
Part land to the north of Bath Road	LEO008		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site comprises the eastern part of a larger site LEO004 previously assessed as having some potential for sensitively located development on the western part of the site subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the landscape sensitivity of the site, eroding the important visual gap on Bath Road. The potential impact would therefore prevent sustainable development in this location.
Land off Dozule Close	LEO009		Yes	No	Yes	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site was promoted during the consultation on the Emerging Strategy Paper. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is located at a Tier 3a settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for growth at accessible settlements with local facilities. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan
Severn Waters		LEO B	Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: Although the site has been previously assessed as having future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. Draft Local Plan Stage: Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
<i>Manor Village</i>						
Manor Farm	MAN001		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be appropriate subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available. Should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. It is not proposed at this stage to allocate small sites with a net capacity of less than 10 dwellings. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land at Middle Hill, Eastcombe	MAN002	MAN A	Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
						would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is located within the Cotswolds AONB where local road infrastructure is identified as constrained. The scale of development proposed and location within the Cotswolds AONB would not accord with the Draft Plan development strategy of allocating development at less constrained Tier 3a settlements, outside the AONB with good road infrastructure connections to strategic facilities. It is not therefore proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
Frith Wood House & Cottage	MAN003		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be acceptable however there is no evidence that the site is currently available or likely to become available in the future. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
East of Middle Hill		MAN A	Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: Although the site has been previously assessed as having future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is located within the Cotswolds AONB where local road infrastructure is identified as constrained. The scale of development proposed and location within the Cotswolds AONB would not accord with the Draft Plan development strategy of allocating development at less constrained Tier 3a settlements, outside the AONB with good road infrastructure connections to strategic facilities. It is not therefore proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
<i>Middleyard</i>						
Land north of Coldwell Close	MID001		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land to the West of Walnut Tree House	MID002		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site was promoted during the consultation on the Emerging Strategy Paper. Draft Local Plan Stage: The land is not suitable for development because of the high landscape sensitivity of the site, narrowing the gap between Kings Stanley and Middleyard and impacting on the setting of local rural listed buildings. There

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
						are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
<i>Minchinhampton</i>						
Land off 17 Butt Street	MIN00 1		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be appropriate subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available. Should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. It is not proposed at this stage to allocate small sites with a net capacity of less than 10 dwellings. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is below the threshold for allocation in the Draft Plan. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy.
Land at The Knapp	MIN00 2		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. Development would adversely affect the setting and character of Minchinhampton Common to the west and would impinge on the skyline on the wold edge which would be uncharacteristic of the main settlement form. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land East of Besbury Park	MIN00 3		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, impinging on the openness of the area, close to the wold edge, and would be inappropriate. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Field 0013, Cirencester Road	MIN00 4	MIN A	Yes	Yes	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. Draft Local Plan Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have some development potential subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, further assessment work has identified landscape and visual concerns regarding self build and custom build development at this sensitive location within the Cotswolds AONB. Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for a Tier 2 settlement set out in the Draft Strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
Land at Glebe Farm	MIN00 5	MIN B	Yes	Yes – as mixed use	Yes – as mixed use	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is located at a Tier 2 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for modest growth at Local

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
						Service Centres. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.
The Lemon Field	MIN006		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the impact on the character of Minchinhampton Conservation Area and the settings of multiple listed buildings that surround the site. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land off Common Road	MIN007		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, damaging to the historical character of the area and Minchinhampton Common and extending the settlement form to join up to Fitzroy. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Seymour House/ Westfield Cottage	MIN008		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be appropriate subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available. Should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. It is not proposed at this stage to allocate small sites with a net capacity of less than 10 dwellings. Draft Local Plan Stage: Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy.
Field north east of Deans Quarry	MIN009		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of strategic growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land to rear of Tranquility , Houndscroft	MIN013		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of strategic growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land north and west of The Yews	MIN014		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site was promoted during the consultation on the Emerging Strategy Paper. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is not suitable for development, due to likely landscape and heritage impacts. The site is located within the Cotswolds Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty where the

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
						highest status is given to landscape protection. The land parcel also contributes to the setting of the Minchinhampton Common multi-period scheduled monument and adjacent listed buildings at a key gateway to the settlement. Development would adversely affect the setting and character of the Common, and would impinge on the skyline on the wold edge, which would be uncharacteristic of the main settlement form. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
The Old Builders Yard	MIN015		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site was promoted during the consultation on the Emerging Strategy Paper. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is not suitable for development, due to likely landscape impact. The site is located within the Cotswolds Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty where the highest status is given to landscape protection. Redevelopment of the site would be in contrast to the largely unsettled character and extend urban development beyond the defined built edge into the open AONB countryside at an important gateway to the settlement. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land on north side of Woefuldan e Bottom	MIN016		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site was promoted during the consultation on the Emerging Strategy Paper. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is not suitable for development, due to likely landscape impact. The site is located within the Cotswolds Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty where the highest status is given to landscape protection. Redevelopment of the site would be in contrast to the largely unsettled character and extend urban development beyond the defined built edge into the open AONB countryside at an important gateway to the settlement. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Former garage site	MIN017		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site was promoted during the consultation on the Emerging Strategy Paper. Draft Local Plan Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have some potential for limited development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, this is below the threshold for allocation in the Draft Plan. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy.
<i>Nailsworth</i>						
Garden land on the west	NAI001		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development as there is no longer any access to the site and any development is likely to impact on the character and appearance of the adjacent conservation area.

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
side of Wood Lane						Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Between Old Bristol Rd & Bath Rd	NAI004		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. It is located outside the settlement limits, adjacent to the conservation area and within the Cotswold AONB. Its steep wooded slope may prove a challenge to any excavation and engineering works. The site serves as an important separation of the close-knit core of the settlement from the loose-knit development beyond. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land between Worley Ridge & New Market Rd	NAI006		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development. There are inadequate pedestrian links to local facilities and the site is accessed from a sub standard track which would be unsuitable to cater for increased traffic. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land adjacent to Lower Newmarket Road	NAI007	NAI B	Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. Draft Local Plan Stage: Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
The New Lawn, Nailsworth	NAI008		Yes	Yes – as mixed use	Yes – as mixed use	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is located at a Tier 2 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for modest growth at Local Service Centres. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.
Land north of Nortonwood	NAI009		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: This site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. Housing development would be detrimental to its character, landform sensitivity and tranquillity. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land between Northfield Road &	NAI010		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for housing development because of the likely high landscape and heritage impact. Housing development in this area would remove the openness of the valley side, erode the distinctive character of the steep valley slopes and have a detrimental effect on all visual receptors and users of public rights of way.

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Stroud Road						Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land off Jubilee Road	NAI011		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape and heritage impact. Housing development in this area would remove the openness of the valley side, erode the distinctive character of the steep valley slopes and have a detrimental effect on all visual receptors and users of public rights of way. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land north of Nympsfield Road/Nortonwood Junction	NAI012	NAI A	Yes	Yes	Yes – as mixed use	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is located at a Tier 2 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for modest growth at Local Service Centres. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.
Land south of Avening Road	NAI013		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site includes NAI003 previously assessed as having potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, within the Cotswolds AONB. The site is located adjacent to a key wildlife site and is not within close distance of the town centre. There are therefore potential impacts together with the physical constraints of steep wooded slopes preventing sustainable development in this location.
<i>Newport</i>						
Land south of Newport Towers Hotel	NPT001		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land off Church View	NPT004		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site was promoted during the consultation on the Emerging Strategy Paper. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of housing growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.
<i>Newtown and Sharpness</i>						
Land south of primary school	NEW003a	NEW A2	Yes	Yes - as mixed use	Yes – as mixed use	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is in accordance with the development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
						settlements at Sharpness and at Wisloe within the Severn Vale (A38/M5 corridor). The site offers the opportunity to transform local transport facilities through a new rail station with services to Gloucester and rapid bus services to main towns including Bristol. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.
Land at Saniger Lane	NEW00 3b	NEW A1	Yes	Yes - as mixed use	Yes - as mixed use	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is in accordance with the development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements at Sharpness and at Wisloe within the Severn Vale (A38/M5 corridor). The site offers the opportunity to transform local transport facilities through a new rail station with services to Gloucester and rapid bus services to main towns including Bristol. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.
Land to the north of Oakfield Way	NEW00 5		Yes	No	Yes - as mixed use	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: Development would need to be considered as part of a wider development strategy for the area for it to have a coherent relationship with the existing settlement but on its own the site should not be developed as it provides a pastoral setting to Luggs Farm. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is in accordance with the development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements at Sharpness and at Wisloe within the Severn Vale (A38/M5 corridor). The site offers the opportunity to transform local transport facilities through a new rail station with services to Gloucester and rapid bus services to main towns including Bristol. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.
Land at Focus School-Berkeley Campus, Station Road	NEW00 6		Yes	Yes - as mixed use	Yes - as mixed use	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is located at a Tier 3a settlement and is in accordance with the development strategy for growth at accessible settlements with local facilities. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.
Panthurst Farm	NEW00 7		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site was promoted during the consultation on the Emerging Strategy Paper. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is distant from the nearest tier 3 settlement of Newtown and adjoins a landscape area considered to have high sensitivity to housing and employment uses. Over half of the site is within flood zones 2 and 3 and the remaining parcel of land is below the threshold for a strategic allocation. If comprehensive development of a new settlement takes place on adjoining land

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
						this site could be reconsidered for uses complementary to that development at a point in the future.
<i>North Nibley</i>						
Land south west of 19 Highlands Drive	NIB001		Yes	No	No	<p>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely detrimental impacts on an area of high landscape sensitivity and likely harmful impacts on the setting of nearby heritage assets. In addition, site access is unsatisfactory and the site's topography would make development conspicuous and difficult to integrate with the locally distinctive character of its surroundings. There are therefore physical constraints and potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p>Draft Local Plan Stage: As above</p>
Land north of Warren Croft	NIB002	NIB A	Yes	No	No	<p>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of concentrating most development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest levels of growth at tier 2 settlements and lesser levels of development at tier 3a settlements.</p> <p>Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is located at a Tier 3b settlement and is not in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's Tier 1 main towns and two new sustainable settlements together with modest growth at Tier 2 local service centres and lesser levels of growth at Tier 3a accessible settlements with local facilities. It is not therefore proposed to allocate the site for development at this stage.</p>
Land adjacent 38 Barrs Lane	NIB003		Yes	No	No	<p>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of concentrating most development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest levels of growth at tier 2 settlements and lesser levels of development at tier 3a settlements.</p> <p>Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is located at a Tier 3b settlement and is not in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's Tier 1 main towns and two new sustainable settlements together with modest growth at Tier 2 local service centres and lesser levels of growth at Tier 3a accessible settlements with local facilities. It is not therefore proposed to allocate the site for development at this stage.</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Land to west of New Road	NIB004		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site was promoted during the consultation on the Emerging Strategy Paper. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. The site is located within the Cotswolds AONB where the highest status is given to landscape protection. Development here would be a conspicuous extension out into the rural landscape. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land north of Innock's Estate and east of Lowerhouse Lane	NIB005		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site comprises the southern part of NIB002 previously assessed as having potential for some development accessed from Barr's Lane. Draft Local Plan Stage: Despite the potential for sensitive development on the western part of the site, the only viable access presented is via the B4060 through an area deemed highly sensitive in landscape terms. Notwithstanding potential highway safety, this access would detract from the landscape setting of North Nibley village, within the Cotswolds AONB, at a sensitive gateway to the settlement. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
<i>North Woodchester</i>						
Land off Selsley Road	NWO001	NWO A	Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. Draft Local Plan Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have some development potential subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, it is considered that the role of North Woodchester within the development strategy is better addressed through the committed scheme at Rooksmoor Mill and it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
Land east of Rooksmoor Hill	NWO003		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. The site is very steep and housing development would impinge on the openness and rural character of the slopes and reduce the gap between settlements. There are therefore physical constraints/potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
<i>Nymphsfield</i>						

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Land at The Cross	NYM001		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land west of Benton Court	NYM002		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
<i>Oakridge Lynch</i>						
North of The Crescent		OAK A	Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of concentrating most development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest levels of growth at tier 2 settlements and lesser levels of development at tier 3a settlements. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is located at a Tier 3b settlement and is not in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's Tier 1 main towns and two new sustainable settlements together with modest growth at Tier 2 local service centres and lesser levels of growth at Tier 3a accessible settlements with local facilities. It is not therefore proposed to allocate the site for development at this stage.
<i>Painswick</i>						
Land on east side of Gyde Road	PAI001		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape and heritage impact, impacting on the setting of the listed buildings at and surrounding Gyde House within the Conservation Area. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land south of Gyde House	PAI002		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape and heritage impact, impacting on the setting of the listed buildings at Gyde House within the Conservation Area. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Washwell Fields	PAI004	PAI A	Yes	No see PAI A	No see PAI A	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. Draft Local Plan Stage: Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
						the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
Land north-east of Lower Washwell Lane	PAI005		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: Housing development would result in a clear extension of the settlement up the slopes resulting in a significant adverse effect on the open, rural character of the valley within the AONB. The southwest corner is important to the setting of the Conservation Area and development would disrupt the relationship between the historic edge to the settlement and the valley landscape. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Painswick Mill	PAI006		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The impact on the setting and significance of the listed mill and on the group value of it together with the neighbouring cottages would be likely to preclude development on this site. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land at Stamages	PAI007	PAI B	Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. Draft Local Plan Stage: Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
Richmond Care Village	PAI008		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact on a heritage asset and the area providing a rare space within the settlement fabric providing a contrast to the generally dense built form. Housing to the west could block the open views which would be significantly detrimental to the character of the approach to the core of the settlement and conservation area. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Lower Broadhams	PAI009		yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of strategic growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Crab Orchard	PAI010		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of strategic growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land north of Groves Close	PAI011		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land to the east of Stamages Lane	PAI012		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely impacts on heritage assets where the area provides a rare space within the settlement fabric providing a contrast to the generally dense built form. Housing could block the open views which would be significantly detrimental to the character of the approach to the core of the settlement and conservation area. There are therefore potential impacts preventing further sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land at Clattergrove	PAI013		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site was promoted during the consultation on the Emerging Strategy Paper. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. The site is located within the Cotswolds Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty where the highest status is given to landscape protection. Housing development would extend built development to the detriment of the rural character of the area and coalesce existing sporadic development. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Washwell Fields		PAI A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is located at a Tier 2 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for modest growth at Local Service Centres. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.
Stamages		PAI B	Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: Although the site has been previously assessed as having future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. Draft Local Plan Stage: Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
						settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
<i>Randwick</i>						
Old chicken farm	RAN001		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
<i>Saul</i>						
Land at Saul Farm	SAU001		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
<i>Slimbridge</i>						
Land east of St John's Road	SLI001		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: This large area is generally not suitable for development because of the likely impact on an area of high/medium landscape sensitivity, and particularly the role this historic landscape plays in providing a setting for nearby heritage assets and in helping to define the character of the settlement as distinct from Cambridge. Furthermore, there are potential constraints which might affect the ability to create a new site access. There are therefore potential impacts and some physical constraint that would prevent sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Rear of Tynning Crescent/ South of Moorend Lane	SLI003	SLI A	Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of concentrating most development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest levels of growth at tier 2 settlements and lesser levels of development at tier 3a settlements. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is located at a Tier 3b settlement and is not in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's Tier 1 main towns and two new sustainable settlements together with modest growth at Tier 2 local service centres and lesser levels of growth at Tier 3a accessible settlements with local facilities. It is not therefore proposed to allocate the site for development at this stage.
<i>South Woodchester</i>						
Frogmarsh Meadows, north of	SWO002		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Frogmarsh Mill						Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
<i>Stinchcombe</i>						
Land at Townsend Farm	STI001		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
<i>Stone</i>						
Land at Damery Lane	STN002		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Green Farm, Falfield	STN003		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
<i>Stonehouse</i>						
Magpies site, Oldends Lane,	STO002		Yes	Yes	Yes – as mixed use	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is located at a Tier 1 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.
Land at Park Road/ Severn Road	STO003		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be appropriate subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available. Should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. It is not proposed at this stage to allocate small sites with a net capacity of less than 10 dwellings. Draft Local Plan Stage: There remains uncertainty whether this site is available. The development potential of the site is likely to be below the threshold for allocation in the Draft Plan. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy.
Land to rear of	STO004		Yes	Yes	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Regent Street						Draft Local Plan Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have some development potential subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the owners of the site have confirmed that it is not available for development and it has therefore been removed as a potential allocation.
Nutshell House	STO007		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of its location within flood zones 2 and 3 and because of the potential impact on the character and significance of the conservation area and on key views of the listed buildings of Nutshell Bridge, The Nutshell and Nutshell Cottage. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land at Lower Mills	STO008		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of its location within flood zones 2 and 3 and because of the potential impact on the character and significance of the conservation area and on the setting of Bridgend House. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Rear gardens on north side of Pearcroft Road	STO009		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be acceptable however there is no evidence that the site is currently available or likely to become available in the future. Draft Local Plan Stage: There remains uncertainty whether this site is available. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy.
Land on south side of Pearcroft Road	STO010		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because the paddock with some mature specimen trees (2 subject to TPO) is important to the character of the settlement, providing a soft southern edge to the town and significantly enhances the residential amenity of the area. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land north of The Glen, Woodcock Lane	STO012		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, adversely affecting the openness of the paddock and local landscape features and the setting for the AONB beyond. Development would severely restrict views from the local footpath and would create a hard urban edge to Stonehouse and diminish its setting in views from the AONB. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land at Horsemarling Farm, Standish	STO013		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. Development would significantly extend the settlement into the AONB to the north and east, would be noticeable and prominent and would adversely affect its intrinsic scenic qualities and character. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Former Standish Hospital Site	STO014		Yes	No	No	<p>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is generally not suitable for housing development because of the remoteness of the site from Stonehouse and the sensitive location within the Cotswolds AONB. However, there may be a case for enabling development to restore the heritage buildings on site. This is subject to a planning application to consider the specific case for enabling development and an allocation is considered inappropriate at this time.</p> <p>Draft Local Plan Stage: The site has received planning permission for residential development as a specific case for enabling development.</p>
Land at Stagholt Farm, West of B4008, Standish	STO015	STO B1	Yes	No	No	<p>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. Draft Local Plan Stage: The SALA identified that the southern part of the site may have some development potential subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, further assessment work has identified landscape and visual concerns regarding development at this sensitive location on the edge of the Cotswolds AONB. Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p>
Land at Nupend (Parcel B)	STO017	STO B2	Yes	No	No	<p>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. Draft Local Plan Stage: Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.</p>
Stagholt Playing Field Car park	STO018		Yes	No	No	<p>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have potential for a small exceptional affordable housing scheme on the southern portion of the site, subject to satisfactory replacement of existing changing facilities, car parking and securing appropriate access to adjacent allotments. By their very nature, exception sites are not proposed to be allocated in the Local Plan Review.</p> <p>Draft Local Plan Stage: As above</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Paper Mill, Lower Mills	STO019		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the location within flood zone 3 and because of the contribution of open land to the character of the Conservation Area, providing visual and physical separation between the mill to the south, the distinctive Grade II listed group of Nutshell Bridge, Nutshell Cottage and The Nutshell to the north, and the modern development of Crescent Road / Crescent Close to the north and east. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land at Stroud Green	STO020		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the distance from local facilities at Stonehouse, the potential impact on local heritage and because of the likely high impact on the local landscape. The site contains a rising ridge where development is likely to be highly visible within the local area and incongruous as a finger of development within an otherwise rural landscape. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Stagholt Farm, Stonehouse	STO021		Yes	No	Yes – as mixed use	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site was promoted during the consultation on the Emerging Strategy Paper. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site forms part of a wider land parcel located at a Tier 1 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating strategic growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements. The wider site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.
Part land south of Horsemarling Lane	STO022		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site comprises part of the larger site STO013 previously assessed as having potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. Development would significantly extend the settlement into the Cotswolds AONB to the north, would be noticeable and prominent and would adversely affect its intrinsic scenic qualities and character. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land at The Glen	STO023		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site includes STO012 previously assessed as having potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact on the local landscape and wider setting of the Cotswolds AONB. Development would severely restrict views from the local footpath, would create a hard urban edge to Stonehouse and diminish its setting

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
						in views from the AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Part land north west of Stonehouse	STO024		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site comprises part of the larger site STO016 previously assessed as having potential for development as an extension to west of Stonehouse strategic development. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is part of the larger site STO016 identified as a potential allocation in the Draft Plan.
North/ North west of Stonehouse: East of railway		STO B1	Yes	Yes	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. Draft Local Plan Stage: The SALA identified that the southern part of the site may have some development potential subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, further assessment work has identified landscape and visual concerns regarding development at this sensitive location on the edge of the Cotswolds AONB. Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
<i>Stroud</i>						
Land between Hillfield and Downfield	STR001		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be appropriate subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available. Should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. It is not proposed at this stage to allocate small sites with a net capacity of less than 10 dwellings. Draft Local Plan Stage: There remains uncertainty whether this site is available. The development potential of the site is likely to be below the threshold for allocation in the Draft Plan. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy.
Avocet & Goldcrest Business Parks/ Stroud Metals	STR003		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is allocated in the Local Plan for mixed use redevelopment consisting of canal related tourism development, retail and employment uses. A large part of the site is within flood zones 2 and 3 where housing uses are not appropriate. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land adjacent to Fromehall	STR005		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be appropriate subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
						<p>uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available. Should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. It is not proposed at this stage to allocate small sites with a net capacity of less than 10 dwellings.</p> <p>Draft Local Plan Stage: There remains uncertainty whether this site is available. The development potential of the site is likely to be below the threshold for allocation in the Draft Plan. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy.</p>
Lower Wharf Industrial Estate	STR007	STR A2	Yes	Yes – as mixed use	Yes – as mixed use	<p>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p>Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is located at a Tier 1 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p>
Wallbridge Fields	STR008		Yes	No	No	<p>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. Development would be highly visible and would erode the rural character, remove tree cover and reduce the gap between Stroud and Rodborough Common. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.</p> <p>Draft Local Plan Stage: As above</p>
Beeches Green area	STR010		Yes	No	No	<p>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is identified in the Stroud Town Centre Neighbourhood Development Plan as part of the wider Beeches Green area suitable for the intensification or redevelopment of the education, health and social uses and for residential development on open land where no longer needed for operational purposes. The site is not currently available. However, the site is located within settlement development limits where, should it become available in the future, development opportunities could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy.</p> <p>Draft Local Plan Stage: As above</p>
Police station/ Magistrates Court	STR018		Yes	Yes	Yes – as mixed use	<p>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.</p> <p>Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is identified in the Stroud Town Centre NDP as suitable for redevelopment for town centre and mixed uses, including housing. The site is located at a Tier 1 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.</p>

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Middle Leazes, off Parliament Street,	STR019		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be acceptable however there is no evidence that the site is currently available or likely to become available in the future. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Rear gardens at Park Road	STR020		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be acceptable however there is no evidence that the site is currently available or likely to become available in the future. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land between 23 and 67 Summer Street	STR021		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely landscape impact, narrowing the width of the green corridor and diverging from the current settlement pattern. Part of the area's sensitivity lies in the views from the gaps along Summer Street. Development would also affect the setting of the AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land to north of Butterow West,	STR022		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. Development would be highly visible and would erode the rural character, remove tree cover and reduce the gap between Stroud and Rodborough Common. In addition, part of the site constitutes a key wildlife site and development is likely to result in harm or loss to that interest. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land at Grange Fields	STR023		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, eroding the areas role as a buffer between development areas. The site lies within Cotswolds AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land to the rear of 87 Summer Street	STR024		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. The site forms part of an incised valley landscape that is both a continuation of and indivisible from the adjacent Cotswolds Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty and a key characteristic to the landscape setting of Stroud. Development would narrow the gap between the urban footprint to the north and south sides of the Slad Valley. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land adjacent to	STR025		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. The site is entirely within the

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
rear of 188 Bisley Road						Cotswolds AONB and development would be widely visible and an intrusion into the wider rural landscape, detrimental to the character of the local landscape and Cotswolds AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land at Kilminster Farm	STR026		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. Development would be an intrusion into the wider rural landscape, would be visible from a distance in several directions, as well as close to from the existing settlement edge and a local recreational area, and would be detrimental to the character of the local landscape, especially the adjoining AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land off Summer Crescent	STR030		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: A large part of the site is a protected outdoor play space and is not considered suitable for development. There are 13 garages to the north of the site. Housing development on this part of the site could only be considered as part of a wider scheme including the improvement of the protected open space/playground. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land at Slad Brook	STR031		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, eroding the areas role as a buffer between development areas. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land to the rear of Thrupp House	STR033		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, extending the settlement into the wider rural valley landscape above Bowbridge which would be visible from areas across the Frome valley. It is an integral part of the open countryside and within the designation of Cotswolds AONB. Part of the site adjoins Claypits Wood Key Wildlife Site. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land north of Farmhill Crescent	STR034		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, breaking into the open countryside and disrupting the development line on the ridgetop, eroding the gap between settlements. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Callowell Farm	STR035		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape and heritage impact, eroding the character and continuity of the Painswick Valley running into the settlement, adversely

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
						affecting the integrity and setting of Callowell Farm, and adversely affecting the relationship between Stratford Park and the wider countryside. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land opposite Salmon Springs	STR03 6		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of strategic growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Hammonds Farm	STR03 7		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape and heritage impact. There are therefore potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land east of Painswick Old Road	STR03 8	STR D	Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, eroding the areas role as a buffer between development areas. The site lies within Cotswolds AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land north of Folly Lane	STR03 9		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, extending the existing settlement boundary into the wider upper valley AONB landscape. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land to the north east of Vatch View	STR04 0		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, changing an open field into a continuum of development and undermining the continuity and significance of the valley sand setting of the AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land off Gunhouse Lane	STR04 1		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, extending settlement into the wider rural valley landscape above Bowbridge which would be visible from areas across the Frome valley. It is an integral part of the open countryside and within the designation of Cotswolds AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Rodboroug h,	STR04 3		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high heritage and landscape impact within the Cotswold AONB. Development would impact on the setting of the IHCA and key views of

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Lightpill/Rooksmoor						neighbouring listed buildings and would impinge on the openness and rural character of the slopes, reducing the gap between settlements. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land behind The Snow Mill	STR04 4		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact on the setting of the listed mill buildings. The site constitutes a key wildlife site and development is likely to result in harm or loss to that interest. The site also has physical constraints relating to vehicular access and flood risk. There are therefore constraints/potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Jefferies Field	STR04 5		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The impact on the character and significance of the Industrial Heritage Conservation Area and the setting of the neighbouring listed buildings prohibits development on this site. The area's sensitivity lies in the role as a valuable open gap in ribbon development, allowing fine glimpse views across the valley from the Ebley Road, and as a setting to the canal and double locks and associated Thames and Severn Way to the south. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land east of Jefferies Field	STR04 6		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The impact on the character and significance of the conservation area and the setting of the neighbouring listed buildings could prohibit development on this site. The area's sensitivity lies in the role as a valuable open gap in ribbon development, allowing fine glimpse views across the valley from the Ebley Road, and as a setting to the canal and double locks and associated Thames and Severn Way to the south. The value of the area lies in its location within the Industrial Heritage Conservation Area. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Playing field/ Tily's Field	STR04 7		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because it provides important, well used recreational and sporting facilities and constitutes an important open space within the wider built up area which, together with other open spaces, contributes to a notional green corridor/ setting to the AONB . There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land off Ebley Road	STR04 8		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The impact on the character and significance of the conservation area and the setting of the neighbouring listed buildings could prohibit development on this site. The area's sensitivity lies in the role as a valuable open gap in ribbon development, allowing fine glimpse views across the valley from the Ebley Road, and as a setting to the canal and associated Thames and Severn Way to the south. The value of the area lies in its location within the Industrial Heritage Conservation Area.

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
						Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land at Rose Cottage	STR053		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the high landscape sensitivity of the site and the role it plays in the setting of local heritage assets. Development would be widely visible and would be an intrusion into the wider rural landscape of the AONB, as well as having a negative impact on the setting of local heritage assets. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land off Meadow Lane	STR054		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development due to the significant heritage constraints presented by its location within the Ebley Mills Conservation Area and the potential impact on the setting of surrounding heritage assets. This is exacerbated by its high/medium landscape sensitivity. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land west of Devereaux Crescent	STR056	STR C	Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. Draft Local Plan Stage: Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
Land at Woodhouse Farm	STR057		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The land is not suitable for development because of the high landscape sensitivity of the site within the Cotswold AONB. The majority of the site constitutes a key wildlife site and has significant physical constraints relating to topography. The impact on the character, setting and significance of multiple heritage assets, including Stroud's conservation areas and Rodborough Fort, would be likely to preclude development on the majority of this site. There are therefore physical constraints and potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land south of Bisley Road	STR055		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The land is not suitable for development because of the high landscape sensitivity of the site. Development within this land parcel would be widely visible and would be an intrusion into the wider rural landscape. It would be detrimental to the character of the local landscape. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
						Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Former garage site Hillcrest Road	STR058		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site was promoted during the consultation on the Emerging Strategy Paper. Draft Local Plan Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have some potential for limited development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, this is below the threshold for allocation in the Draft Plan. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy.
Former garages site adj 11 Queens Drive	STR059		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site was promoted during the consultation on the Emerging Strategy Paper. Draft Local Plan Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have some potential for limited development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, this is below the threshold for allocation in the Draft Plan. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy.
Garage site Orchard Road	STR060		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site was promoted during the consultation on the Emerging Strategy Paper. Draft Local Plan Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have some potential for limited development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, this is below the threshold for allocation in the Draft Plan. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy.
Garages at Nouncells Cross	STR061		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site was promoted during the consultation on the Emerging Strategy Paper. Draft Local Plan Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have some potential for limited development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, this is below the threshold for allocation in the Draft Plan. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy.
Part land south of Kilminster Farm	STR062		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site comprises part of the larger site STR026 previously assessed as having potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. Development would be an intrusion into the wider rural landscape, would be visible from a distance in several directions, as well as close to from the existing settlement edge and a local recreational area, and would be detrimental to the

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
						character of the local landscape, especially the adjoining AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
North of Grange View/ Delmont Grove		STR D	Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: Although the site has been previously assessed as having future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. Draft Local Plan Stage: Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
<i>Upton St Leonard</i>						
Land at Nuthill	UPT001		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is considered unsuitable for development because of its remote location relative to existing established settlements with services and facilities. The Lane is also narrow, sunken and rural in character. There are therefore physical constraints and potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land South of Bondend Road	UPT002		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact from development of this field identified in the Landscape Sensitivity Assessment analysis. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
The Stanley	UPT003	UPT A1	Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of concentrating most development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest levels of growth at tier 2 settlements and lesser levels of development at tier 3a settlements. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is located at a Tier 3b settlement and is not in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's Tier 1 main towns and two new sustainable settlements together with modest growth at Tier 2 local service centres and lesser levels of growth at Tier 3a accessible settlements with local facilities. It is not therefore proposed to allocate the site for development at this stage.
Land east of Perry Orchard	UPT004	UPT A2	Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of concentrating most development

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
						at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest levels of growth at tier 2 settlements and lesser levels of development at tier 3a settlements. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is located at a Tier 3b settlement and is not in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's Tier 1 main towns and two new sustainable settlements together with modest growth at Tier 2 local service centres and lesser levels of growth at Tier 3a accessible settlements with local facilities. It is not therefore proposed to allocate the site for development at this stage.
Land south of High Street	UPT005		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: This large area is generally not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. Development would extend the settlement into the AONB, adversely affecting the intimate character of the valley and the escarpment foot slopes, and also, potentially, adversely affecting the tree cover. The extent of the development site would detrimentally alter rural character. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land south of Hucclecote Meadows	UPT006		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of concentrating most development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest levels of growth at tier 2 settlements and lesser levels of development at tier 3a settlements. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is located at a Tier 3b settlement and is not in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's Tier 1 main towns and two new sustainable settlements together with modest growth at Tier 2 local service centres and lesser levels of growth at Tier 3a accessible settlements with local facilities. It is not therefore proposed to allocate the site for development at this stage.
Land at Brockworth	UPT007		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, narrowing the gap between Brockworth and Upton St Leonards. Landscape features indicate an open parkland landscape setting of a former manor estate. The area provides an immediate setting to the AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land adj to Brockworth Airfield	UPT008		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact within the AONB on rising ground on the escarpment edge, narrowing the gap between Brockworth and Upton St Leonards. Landscape features indicate an open parkland landscape setting of a

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
						former manor estate. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Hardwick Farm	UPT009		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of strategic growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Prinknash Abbey	UPT010		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of strategic growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land at Birchall Lane	UPT011		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: This area is generally not suitable for built development because of the likely adverse landscape impact. Housing within the area would extend the settlement along the M5, adversely affecting the intimate character of the valley and tree cover. It would erode the separate character of Upton St Leonards settlement by coalescing sporadic development at Grove and St Leonards Court with a main access into adjoining Gloucester City suburbs and would detrimentally alter the rural character of the area. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Field south of Fieldway	UPT012		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development as this would detrimentally alter the rural character. The site is in a relatively remote location detached from the village core and within a river corridor important to the footslopes of the AONB. The area acts as a visual and physical separation between the main settlement and the scattered rural dwellings further to the south east. The Lane is also narrow and rural in character. There are therefore physical constraints and potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Part Land south of the High Street	UPT013		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site comprises part of the larger site UPT005 previously assessed as having potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. Development would extend the settlement into the AONB, adversely affecting the intimate character of the valley and the escarpment foot slopes, and also, potentially, adversely affecting the tree cover. Development site would detrimentally alter rural character and have potential to coalesce sporadic development here. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Land at Upton Lane	UPT014		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site comprises the combined area of UPT006 and UPT007 previously assessed as having some future potential within UPT006 subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, narrowing the gap between Brockworth and Upton St Leonards. Landscape features indicate an open parkland landscape setting of a former manor estate. The area provides an immediate setting to the Cotswolds AONB and shares the same landscape characteristics. Development may impact the adjacent SSSI through isolation from the wider countryside. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
<i>Whitminster</i>						
Land East of School Lane	WHI001	WHI E	Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge. Draft Local Plan Stage: Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
Land north of Hyde Lane	WHI002		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The land is not suitable for development because of the high landscape sensitivity of the site, highly visible to the north and separate from the main part of the settlement in open countryside. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
Land west of Upton's Garden	WHI004	WHI B	Yes	No	Yes – as mixed use	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is located at a Tier 3a settlement, outside the Cotswolds AONB, in reasonable proximity to strategic transport corridors. The site

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
						is in accordance with the development strategy for growth at accessible settlements with a range of local facilities and is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.
Land West of School Lane	WHI005	WHI A	Yes	No	No See WHI A	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge. Draft Local Plan Stage: Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
Highfield Nurseries	WHI006		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The land is not suitable for development because of the landscape sensitivity of the site, visible on the unspoilt skyline north of the settlement and viewed as part of the rural area from the north, west and south west. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage:
Land to west of Paynes Meadow	WHI008	WHI D	Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge. Draft Local Plan Stage: Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
Land at Jaxons Farm	WHI010		Yes	No	no	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site includes WHI002 previously assessed as having potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: The land is not suitable for development because of the high landscape sensitivity of the site, highly visible to the north and separate from the main part of the settlement in open countryside. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
North of Whitminste		WHI A	Yes	No	Yes	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: Although the site has been previously assessed as having future potential for some development subject to resolving

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
r Playing field						specific constraints and impacts, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is located at a Tier 3a settlement, outside the Cotswolds AONB, in reasonable proximity to strategic transport corridors. The site is in accordance with the development strategy for growth at accessible settlements with a range of local facilities and is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.
East of School Lane		WHI E	Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: Although the site has been previously assessed as having future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge. Draft Local Plan Stage: Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
<i>Wotton-under-Edge</i>						
Land at Holywell Farm	WUE001		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: Development of this site would result in material harm to the character and appearance of the Cotswolds AONB and likely harm to protected species on site. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land north east of Cherry Orchard	WUE002		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. The area's sensitivity lies in its very steeply sloping slopes, its relationship with the upper scarp slopes and contribution to their character and its openness to view across the valley. It would be very difficult to accommodate and mitigate on the steep slopes. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land off Fountain Crescent	WUE003		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site has received planning permission for residential development. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is now being developed for housing
Land south of Bearlands	WUE004		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. It would extend the current visible linear edge over onto the slope and would be inappropriate running down the

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
						slopes compromising a key characteristic of the AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
45 Water Lane	WUE005		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be acceptable however there is no evidence that the site is currently available or likely to become available in the future. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land west of Water Lane	WUE006		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact. The area is steep sloping and contributes as a green corridor. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land south of Symn Lane	WUE007		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, extending the current visible linear edge over onto the slope. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land off The Chipping	WUE008		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is located within settlement development limits where the principle of development may be acceptable however there is no evidence that the site is currently available or likely to become available in the future. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land at Hawpark Farm	WUE010		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site was promoted during the consultation on the Emerging Strategy Paper. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the high landscape sensitivity of the site including the setting of the listed Kingswood House and Park Mill Farm. Development would encroach on the sensitive visual gap between Wotton under Edge and Kingswood, eroding the separation and distinct nature of the two settlements, and detract from the character of the listed buildings as outliers within the rural edge landscape. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Employment sites						
<i>Berkeley</i>						
Old Piggery	BER012	BER C	Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
						location set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. Draft Local Plan Stage: Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
Former Berkeley Power Station	BER013		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: Whilst the site has potential for employment uses, the site is already identified in the Local Plan for B1-B8 employment uses and so allocation of the land for additional employment development is not required. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
<i>Brimscombe</i>						
Griffin Mills and Eagle Works Industrial Estates	BRI001		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: Whilst the site has potential for employment uses, the site is already located within a key employment area and so allocation of the land for additional development is not required. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Lower Brimscombe Mills	BRI006	BRI A	Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may be suitable for some redevelopment for employment purposes subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available. The site is located within settlement development limits where, should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Stafford Mills Industrial Estate	BRI018		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may be suitable for some redevelopment for employment purposes subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available. The site is located within settlement development limits where, should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
<i>Cam</i>						
Cam Mills, Everlands	CAM002		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
						Draft Local Plan Stage: Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
Draycott/ Middle Mill Industrial Estate	CAM019		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. Draft Local Plan Stage: Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
Rear of 4-60 Draycott	CAM020		Yes	Yes	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. Draft Local Plan Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have some development potential subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However the site is partly in active use, is in multiple ownerships and is not being actively promoted. Consequently, the site is not considered deliverable and has been removed as a potential employment allocation in the Draft Local Plan. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy.
<i>Eastington</i>						
Land at Meadow Mill	EAS006		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site has received outline planning permission for employment development. Draft Local Plan Stage: Planning permission for employment development on the site has now lapsed. Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for strategic employment development.
Land at Junction 13 of the M5	EAS007	STO D1, STO D2	Yes	Yes (now split into 2 sections)	Yes – as a single site for mixed use	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is located at the Junction of the M5 motorway and the A419 and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for strategic employment growth at accessible locations within the A38/ M5 corridor. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Motorway Depot at M5 Junction 13	EAS010		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. Draft Local Plan Stage: Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
Land adjoining Oldbury Lodge	EAS017		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site was promoted during the consultation on the Emerging Strategy Paper. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely landscape and heritage impacts. Development of this site would be detrimental to this green corridor, visibly extending sporadic development into the rural fringe and urbanising the area between Chipman's Platt, Church End, Nastend and Newtown. A heritage assessment has indicated that the impact on the character, setting and significance of the Conservation Area could prohibit development on this site. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
<i>Frampton</i>						
Netherhills Depot	FRA003	FRA C	Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. Draft Local Plan Stage: Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
North of Perry Way, A38		FRA C	Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: Although the site has been previously assessed as having future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. Draft Local Plan Stage: Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
						in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
<i>Hardwicke</i>						
Land at Shorn Brook	HAR003		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: From the information available, employment development is not considered appropriate given the local amenity, character contribution, access and potential flooding constraints on Shorn Brook further west. There are therefore constraints/potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Summerhill Equestrian Centre	HAR006		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because the site is isolated and detached from the Gloucester Urban Area and has physical constraints relating to current active sport/recreation use and flood risk. There are therefore constraints/potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Moreton Valence Airfield	HAR009		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. Draft Local Plan Stage: Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
<i>Haresfield</i>						
Blooms Garden Centre	HFD007		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. Draft Local Plan Stage: Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
SA4a Quedgeley East	HFD008		Yes	Yes	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
						Draft Local Plan Stage: The site has received planning permission for employment development and it has therefore been removed as a potential allocation.
Quedgeley East Extension	HFD009		Yes	Yes	Yes	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is an extension to the existing employment allocation at Quedgeley East and is in accordance with the development strategy for strategic employment growth at accessible locations within the A38/ M5 corridor. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.
Land west of 3 The Cottages, Haresfield	HFD010		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site was promoted during the consultation on the Emerging Strategy Paper. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of employment growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements or related to existing employment sites and is not considered a sustainable location for new development.
Land east of Gloucester Road (B4008), Haresfield	HFD011		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site was promoted during the consultation on the Emerging Strategy Paper. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact, narrowing the countryside gap and historic landscape setting to Haresfield listed buildings and monument. There are therefore significant landscape and heritage impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
Land west of Gloucester Road (B4008), Haresfield	HFD012		Yes	No	Yes	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site was promoted during the consultation on the Emerging Strategy Paper. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is located adjacent to Javelin Park waste incinerator and is in accordance with the development strategy for strategic employment growth at accessible locations within the A38/ M5 corridor. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.
<i>Kingswood</i>						
Land west of Renishaw New mills	KIN012		Yes	No	Yes	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site was promoted during the consultation on the Emerging Strategy Paper. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is part of the existing land ownership at Renishaw New Mills, a notable employment 'hub' and Key Employment Site. The SALA identifies that the site has potential for development as an extension to Renishaw New Mills subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. The site offers opportunities to facilitate sustainable

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
						transport links between Kingswood, Wotton under Edge and the wider area including the Wotton-Charfield-Kingswood Greenway. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.
<i>Minchinhampton</i>						
Land parcel south of Old Aerodrome Farm	MIN01 1		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is located within the Cotswolds Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty where the highest status is given to landscape protection. Development would impact on the generally open and undeveloped character of the area, removed from established settlements with services and facilities. There are therefore physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land adjoining Aston Down Business Park	MIN01 2		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is located within the Cotswolds Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty where the highest status is given to landscape protection. Development would impact on the generally open and undeveloped character of the area, removed from established settlements with services and facilities. There are therefore physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
<i>Nailsworth</i>						
Nailsworth Garden Centre	NAI002	NAI C	Yes	Yes	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. Draft Local Plan Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have some development potential for employment purposes subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the site has now been bought and reinvested in as an operational garden centre. There is no evidence to suggest deliverability for wider employment purposes and the site has therefore been removed as a potential allocation for employment purposes.
Land at Gigg Mill, between Old Bristol Rd & Old Horsley Rd	NAI005		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may be suitable for some redevelopment for employment purposes subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available. The site is located within settlement development limits where, should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. Draft Local Plan Stage: There remains uncertainty whether this site is available. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy.
<i>Newtown and Sharpness</i>						

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
SA5a South of Severn Distribution park	NEW004		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site has received outline planning permission for employment development. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
<i>Newport</i>						
Actrees Farm	NPT003		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site was promoted during the consultation on the Emerging Strategy Paper. Draft Local Plan Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have some potential for employment development as an extension to existing employment uses subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the site is not in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for the location of further strategic employment growth at accessible locations within the A38/ M5 corridor and it is not therefore proposed to allocate the site for employment development at this stage.
<i>South Woodchester</i>						
South Woodchester Industrial Area	SWO001		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: Whilst the site has potential for employment uses, the site is already located within a key employment area and so allocation of the land for additional development is not required. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
<i>Stonehouse</i>						
Land adj ABB/Kent, Oldends Lane	STO001		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: Whilst the site has potential for employment uses, the site is already located within a key employment area and so allocation of the land for additional development is not required. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land at The Glen	STO023		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site includes STO012 previously assessed as having potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact on the local landscape and wider setting of the Cotswolds AONB. Development would severely restrict views from the local footpath, would create a hard urban edge to Stonehouse and diminish its setting in views from the AONB. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.
<i>Stroud</i>						
Fromeside	STR012		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
						and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. Draft Local Plan Stage: Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
Land at Libbys Drive/ Slad Road	STR027		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that part of the site may be suitable for some development for employment purposes subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available. The site is located within settlement development limits where, should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. Draft Local Plan Stage: There remains uncertainty whether this site is available. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy.
New Mills Trading Estate/ Libbys Drive	STR029		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: Whilst the site has potential for employment uses, the site is already located within a key employment area and so allocation of the land for additional development is not required. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Bath Road Trading Estate	STR042	STR B2	Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: Whilst the site has potential for employment uses, the site is already located within a key employment area and so allocation of the land for additional development is not required. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Brunsdons Yard	STR049	KST D	Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: Whilst the site has potential for employment uses, the site is already located within a key employment area and so allocation of the land for additional development is not required. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Bath Road Industrial Estate		STR B2	Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: Although the site has been previously assessed as having future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. Draft Local Plan Stage: Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
Mixed use sites						

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
<i>Brimscombe</i>						
Ham Mill	BRI002		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site has received planning permission for mixed use development. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land at Hope Mill Lane	BRI003		Yes	Yes	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. Draft Local Plan Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have some development potential subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the site is not being actively promoted and has significant contaminated land challenges. The site is located within settlement development limits where, should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy.
Brimscombe Port Industrial Estate	BRI009	BRI B	Yes	Yes	Yes	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is an existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site is located at a Tier 3a settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for lesser levels of growth at accessible settlements with local facilities. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.
Bourne Mills	BRI021	BRI B	Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may be suitable for some mixed use redevelopment subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available. The site is located within settlement development limits where, should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. Draft Local Plan Stage: There remains uncertainty whether this site is available. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy.
<i>Brookthorpe</i>						
Land at Whaddon	BRO002		Yes	Yes	Yes	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is located on the Gloucester Fringe and has been identified as a potential alternative site to meet Gloucester's long term housing needs. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.
<i>Cam</i>						

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Coaley Junction	CAM014		Yes	Yes	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site has since received planning permission for residential development and it has therefore been removed as a potential allocation.
SA3 North east of Cam	CAM017		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site has received planning permission for mixed use development. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land north of Upthorpe	CAM018	CAM D, CAM E	Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. Draft Local Plan Stage: Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
Land at Cambridge / Coaley B	CAM027		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the landscape harm, eroding the countryside gap between Slimbridge, Cambridge and Cam. The site also lacks an obvious vehicular access. There are therefore potential impacts and constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land at Upthorpe Farm (part)	CAM029		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site comprises part of the larger CAM003 previously assessed as having potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape impact including the impact on the setting and key views of nearby listed buildings. Housing development would erode the separation between Cam and Upthorpe, extend above the 50m contour to be visible from Peaked Down and the AONB and encroach on the setting of Dulkan Brook. Employment development would further have a very adverse affect on the rural character of the area. There are therefore potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location.

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
South of Draycott Farm		CAM D	Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: Although the site has been previously assessed as having future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. Draft Local Plan Stage: Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
<i>Dursley</i>						
1-25 Long Street	DUR003		Yes	Yes	Yes (part)	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is located at a Tier 1 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements and maximising the use of brownfield land within settlement development limits. The site is considered suitable and offers the opportunity to provide the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts.
Reliance House	DUR004		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site has received planning permission for redevelopment for car parking. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site has been redeveloped for car parking.
Land to the rear of 12 - 14 Parsonage Street	DUR018		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. Draft Local Plan Stage: Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
<i>Eastington</i>						
Land rear of Alkerton Court	EAS002	EAS B2	Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
						main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is in existing employment use. At Eastington there is a programme of community led housing developments to be delivered as rural exception sites as set out in the Neighbourhood Development Plan. It is understood that broad locations identified through the Local Plan process may have potential for this form of development and therefore at this stage it is expected that further growth at Eastington (Alkerton) will be developed through this approach.
West of Alkerton: Alkerton Court		EAS B2	Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: Although the site has been previously assessed as having future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge. Draft Local Plan Stage: At Eastington there is a programme of community led housing developments to be delivered as rural exception sites as set out in the Neighbourhood Development Plan. It is understood that broad locations identified through the Local Plan process may have potential for this form of development and therefore at this stage it is expected that further growth at Eastington (Alkerton) will be developed through this approach.
<i>Frampton</i>						
Land at Whitminster Lane/ Church Lane	FRA002	FRA A	Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the scale of development proposed and location of this site would not accord with the emerging strategy of allocating development at the main tier 1 towns and at two new settlements, together with modest allocations at tier 2 settlements and lesser allocations at tier 3a settlements nearest to Stroud and Wotton-under-Edge. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is not in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for the location of further strategic employment growth at accessible locations within the A38/ M5 corridor. Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this location set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
<i>Hardwicke</i>						

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Land at Quadrant Distribution Centre	HAR013		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is identified as a Key Employment Site EK13 Hunts Grove/ Quadrant Distribution Centre and is not suitable for mixed use redevelopment due to the loss of key employment land. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
<i>Kings Stanley</i>						
Land parcel north west of Stanley Mills	KST007		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely harm to local heritage (conservation areas and listed buildings), harm or loss to a key wildlife site and because of its location within flood risk zones 2 and 3. The site also lacks an obvious vehicular access. There are therefore constraints/potential impacts preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
<i>Minchinhampton</i>						
Aston Down Business Park	MIN010		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is identified in the Local Plan as a Key Employment Site and is not suitable for housing development because of the relatively remote location of Aston Down relative to existing established settlements with services and facilities. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
<i>Newport</i>						
Land off the A38 at Actrees Farm	NPT002		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new mixed use development. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
<i>Newtown and Sharpness</i>						
Sharpness Docks	NEW001		Yes	Yes	Yes	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is an existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site is located at a Tier 3a settlement and is in accordance with the development strategy for growth at accessible settlements with local facilities. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.
Land at Sharpness	NEW002	NEW A1, NEW A2, NEW A3,	Yes	Yes (polygon amended to exclude A3 no	Yes	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy.

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
(Sanigar Farm)		NEW A4, NEW A5		longer being promoted)		Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is in accordance with the development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements at Sharpness and at Wisloe within the Severn Vale (A38/M5 corridor). The site offers the opportunity to transform local transport facilities through a new rail station with services to Gloucester and rapid bus services to main towns including Bristol. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.
Additional land at Newtown and Wanswell	NEW00 2a		Yes	No	Yes	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site was promoted during the consultation on the Emerging Strategy Paper. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is in accordance with the development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements at Sharpness and at Wisloe within the Severn Vale (A38/M5 corridor). The site offers the opportunity to transform local transport facilities through a new rail station with services to Gloucester and rapid bus services to main towns including Bristol. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.
<i>Saul</i>						
Fretherne Nurseries	SAU00 2		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is generally not suitable for housing development because of the remoteness of the site from a sustainable settlement and the likely significant heritage impact. Sensitive re-use and partial redevelopment for mixed use may be acceptable to secure the long term future of this important heritage asset. A comprehensive masterplan and supporting heritage justification would be required and an allocation is considered inappropriate at this time. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
<i>Slimbridge</i>						
Land at Cambridge / Coaley A	SLI002		Yes	Yes	Yes	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site forms part of a wider land parcel located within the Severn Vale (A38/M5 corridor). The wider site is in accordance with the development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements at Sharpness and at Wisloe within the Severn Vale (A38/M5 corridor). The wider site offers the opportunity to improve access to Cam and Dursley rail station and to local facilities. The wider site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Land east of Gossington	SLI004		Yes	Yes	Yes	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site forms part of a wider land parcel located within the Severn Vale (A38/M5 corridor). The wider site is in accordance with the development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements at Sharpness and at Wisloe within the Severn Vale (A38/M5 corridor). The wider site offers the opportunity to improve access to Cam and Dursley rail station and to local facilities. The wider site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.
Land south of Cambridge	SLI005		Yes	Yes	Yes	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site forms part of a wider land parcel located within the Severn Vale (A38/M5 corridor). The wider site is in accordance with the development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements at Sharpness and at Wisloe within the Severn Vale (A38/M5 corridor). The wider site offers the opportunity to improve access to Cam and Dursley rail station and to local facilities. The wider site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.
Land at Cambridge / Coaley A, Land east of Gossington and Land south of Cambridge	SLI002 SLI004 , and SLI005		Yes	Yes	Yes	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is in accordance with the development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements at Sharpness and at Wisloe within the Severn Vale (A38/M5 corridor). The site offers the opportunity to improve access to Cam and Dursley rail station and to local facilities. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.
M D Collins Steel Buildings Ltd	SLI006		Yes	No	Yes	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site was promoted during the consultation on the Emerging Strategy Paper. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site forms part of a wider land parcel located within the Severn Vale (A38/M5 corridor). The wider site is in accordance with the development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements at Sharpness and at Wisloe within the Severn Vale (A38/M5 corridor). The wider site offers the opportunity to improve access to Cam and Dursley rail station and to local facilities. The wider site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Land south of Slimbridge (Wisloe) roundabout, Slimbridge	SLI007		Yes	No	Yes	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site was promoted during the consultation on the Emerging Strategy Paper. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site forms part of a wider land parcel located within the Severn Vale (A38/M5 corridor). The wider site is in accordance with the development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements at Sharpness and at Wisloe within the Severn Vale (A38/M5 corridor). The wider site offers the opportunity to improve access to Cam and Dursley rail station and to local facilities. The wider site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.
<i>Stone</i>						
Land adjoining the Pines, Stone	STN001		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for strategic growth options within or adjacent to Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new mixed use development. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land to east of A38 at Stone	STN004		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site was promoted during the consultation on the Emerging Strategy Paper. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site does not meet the current SALA criteria for the location of housing growth options no more than one field from identified settlement limits of Tier 1 - 3 settlements and is not considered a sustainable location for new residential development.
<i>Stonehouse</i>						
Land South of Bristol Road	STO006	STO A	Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have future potential for some development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the emerging strategy and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development. Draft Local Plan Stage: Having considered the scale of growth appropriate for this settlement set out in the Draft Plan and the benefits and disbenefits of this site in comparison with alternative sites at this settlement, it is not proposed at this stage to allocate this site for development.
Land North West of Stonehouse	STO016	STO B2	Yes	Yes	Yes	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is located at a Tier 1 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
<i>Stroud</i>						
Tricorn House	STR002		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site has the benefit of deemed planning permission for residential development. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Land to the rear of Avocet Business Park	STR004	STR A1	Yes	Yes	Yes	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is located at a Tier 1 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.
Lodgemore /Fromehall Mills	STR006	STR A2	Yes	Yes	Yes	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is located at a Tier 1 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.
Railway Land/ car parks	STR014		Yes	Yes	Yes	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is identified in the Stroud Town Centre NDP as part of a wider area suitable for redevelopment for town centre and mixed uses, including housing. The site is located at a Tier 1 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.
Merrywalks Arches (former Cotswold Indoor Bowls)	STR015		Yes	Yes	Yes	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is identified in the Stroud Town Centre NDP as suitable for reuse/ redevelopment for mixed use commercial and residential development. The site is located at a Tier 1 settlement and is in accordance with the Draft Plan development strategy for concentrating growth at the District's main towns and at two new sustainable settlements. The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.
Merrywalks area (surgery,	STR016		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is identified in the Stroud Town Centre Neighbourhood Development Plan as part of a wider area suitable for mixed use redevelopment. The site is not currently available. However, the site is

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
McDonalds , car park)						located within settlement development limits where, should it become available in the future, development opportunities could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Market Tavern	STR017		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is identified in the Stroud Town Centre Neighbourhood Development Plan as suitable for mixed use redevelopment. However, there remains uncertainty at this stage whether this site is available. The site is located within settlement development limits where, should the site become available in the future, development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy. Draft Local Plan Stage: There remains uncertainty whether this site is available. The site is located within settlement development limits where development could come forward in accordance with existing Local Plan policy.
Daniels Industrial Estate	STR032	STR B1	Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site has received planning permission for mixed use redevelopment. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
<i>Whitminster</i>						
Highfield Garden Centre	WHI003		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: Although the SALA identified that the site may have future potential for mixed use development subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts, the site is currently in active commercial use and there is no evidence to suggest that any suitable land will be made available for re-development in the future. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Grove End Farm	WHI007		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The land is not suitable for housing development because of the landscape sensitivity of the site, visible on the top and slopes of a low ridge as part of the wider rural area to the south-east and separated from the main settlement by the A38. There are therefore potential impacts and constraints preventing sustainable mixed use development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
Community sites						
<i>Eastington</i>						

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Land at Junction 13 of the M5	EAS007	STO D1, STO D2	Yes	Yes (now split into 2 portions) b - sports stadium and community uses	Yes – as a single site for mixed use	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. Draft Local Plan Stage: The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the Draft Plan.
<i>Nailsworth</i>						
Land at Avening Road	NAI003		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for community development because of the likely high landscape impact and steep, wooded slopes. It is located outside the settlement limits, adjacent to a key wildlife site and within the Cotswold AONB. There are therefore potential impacts and physical constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
<i>Stroud</i>						
Capel Mill	STR009		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is not suitable for development because of the likely high landscape and heritage impacts. Development would be highly visible and would erode the conservation area's 'green corridor', remove tree cover and reduce the gap between Stroud and Rodborough. The site also has significant physical constraints relating to topography and access. There are therefore potential impacts/ constraints preventing sustainable development in this location. Draft Local Plan Stage: As above
<i>Wotton-under-Edge</i>						
Land south of Symn Lane	WUE009	WUE A	Yes	Yes	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is considered suitable and available for the scale and type of development as set out in the emerging strategy. Draft Local Plan Stage: The SALA identified that the site may have some development potential for school or community use subject to resolving specific constraints and impacts. However, the owners of the site have not confirmed that it is available for such development and it has therefore been removed as a potential allocation.
Open space sites						
<i>Berkeley</i>						
Land west of	BER001		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site is suitable for enhancement as an amenity space. The Council will consider allocating the

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
Parkview play area						most important open space areas at the draft plan stage following the audit and assessment of current open space provision. Draft Local Plan Stage: The Council will review the quantity, quality and accessibility of open space provision in the context of the recent open space, green infrastructure and playing pitch strategy evidence base studies. The Council will review suitable open space sites in accordance with the recommended standards in the Draft Plan and may consider further open space allocations at the pre-submission plan stage.
Land north of Canon Park sports ground	BER008		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site is suitable for enhancement as an amenity space. The Council will consider allocating the most important open space areas at the draft plan stage following the audit and assessment of current open space provision. Draft Local Plan Stage: The Council will review the quantity, quality and accessibility of open space provision in the context of the recent open space, green infrastructure and playing pitch strategy evidence base studies. The Council will review suitable open space sites in accordance with the recommended standards in the Draft Plan and may consider further open space allocations at the pre-submission plan stage.
<i>Chalford</i>						
Land at the west side of Middle Hill	CHA002		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site is suitable for future community uses which maintain the site's role as a green buffer between settlements. The Council will consider allocating the most important open space areas at the draft plan stage following the audit and assessment of current open space provision. Draft Local Plan Stage: The Council will review the quantity, quality and accessibility of open space provision in the context of the recent open space, green infrastructure and playing pitch strategy evidence base studies. The Council will review suitable open space sites in accordance with the recommended standards in the Draft Plan and may consider further open space allocations at the pre-submission plan stage.
<i>Stonehouse</i>						
Land north of Bristol Road	STO005		Yes	No	No	Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site is suitable for enhancement as an amenity space. The Council will consider allocating the most important open space areas at the draft plan stage following the audit and assessment of current open space provision. Draft Local Plan Stage: The Council will review the quantity, quality and accessibility of open space provision in the context of the recent open space, green infrastructure and playing pitch strategy evidence base studies. The Council will review suitable open space sites in accordance with the recommended

Site code/ name	SALA ref	Broad location ref	Is site a reasonable alternative for the purposes of SA? If no, why?	Was site included in the Emerging Strategy Paper?	Is the site included in the Draft Local Plan?	Reasons for Council's decision making
						standards in the Draft Plan and may consider further open space allocations at the pre-submission plan stage.
Cotswold Green	STO011		Yes	No	No	<p>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The SALA identified that the site is suitable for enhancement as an amenity space. The Council will consider allocating the most important open space areas at the draft plan stage following the audit and assessment of current open space provision.</p> <p>Draft Local Plan Stage: The Council will review the quantity, quality and accessibility of open space provision in the context of the recent open space, green infrastructure and playing pitch strategy evidence base studies. The Council will review suitable open space sites in accordance with the recommended standards in the Draft Plan and may consider further open space allocations at the pre-submission plan stage.</p>
<i>Stroud</i>						
School playing field	STR028		Yes	No	No	<p>Emerging Strategy Paper Stage: The site is identified in the Local Plan as Protected Open Space, provides important, well used recreational space and makes a significant contribution to local amenity.</p> <p>Draft Local Plan Stage: As above</p>