

Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Name or Organisation: Gladman Developments Ltd

3. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph	<input type="text"/>	Policy	<input type="text" value="CP2, CP3, DHCP1, DHC4, CP15,"/>	Policies Map	<input type="text"/>
-----------	----------------------	--------	---	--------------	----------------------

4. Do you consider the Local Plan is :

4.(1) Legally compliant	Yes	<input checked="checked" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.(2) Sound	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="checked" type="checkbox"/>
4 (3) Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes	<input checked="checked" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>

Please tick as appropriate

5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

See full representation submitted.

Various policies require modifications.

(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

6. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5 above. (Please note that non-compliance with

the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why each modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

See full representation submitted.

Policy CP2 and CP3 need modification regarding the spatial distribution and important of the key service centres and Tier 3a villages play. Gladman consider that these settlements have capacity to accommodate significantly more than the 985 dwelling requirement indicated at Table 3 - Policy CP2 in total. In order to achieve sustainable patterns of development across the District it is vital that some of these settlements are allocated additional growth. See paragraphs 4.2 – 4.3 of full representation.

Policy DHCP1 - should be amended to be flexible enough to accommodate new development outside of existing settlement development limits, to allow the Council to quickly address any issues in shortfall in housing supply against the plan requirement. Gladman recommend that the Council could incorporate a criteria based policy to achieve this, such an approach would allow the plan to protect itself against unsustainable development at the same times as being open and flexible to additional development opportunities to come forwards to meet identified needs. Gladman refer to policy GD2 of the Harborough Local Plan as an example, which states:

"in addition to sites allocated by this Local Plan and neighbourhood plans, development within or contiguous with the existing or committed built up area of Market Harborough, Key Centres, the Leicestershire Principal Urban Area (PUA), Rural Centres and Selected Rural Villages will be permitted where..."

A series of criteria then follows.

See para 4.6 of the full representation for justification.

Policy DHC2 should not be restricted to schemes of up to 9 dwellings, rather it should allow for schemes of an appropriate size (in relation to the settlement) to come forward. See paragraph 4.7 of full representation.

Policy DHC4 should be removed – justification given at para 4.10 appended full representation.

Policy CP15 – requires amending in line with DHCP1.

(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

Please note In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)

Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.

8. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

To be able to engage in the process effectively and present our case in full.

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

9. Signature:

Date:

20/07/2021