

12/3

To

Stroud District Council
Local Plan Review
Stroud District Council
Ebley Mill, Stroud, Glos, GL5 4UB

MAIL ROOM SERVICES
21 MAR 2019
RECEIVED

Review of Local Plan (Emerging Strategy)

I wish to register my objection to any proposal to include for development any land in the area designated G1 in the consultation document, whether the requirement is to provide overspill for Gloucester City or just to increase the available land for development within Stroud District Council

The proposal is not required in this area and in the timeframe being considered to meet our local needs, which are being provided for at Hunts Grove.

Any further development will completely destroy the remaining rural character of the Parish east of the Gloucester and Sharpness Canal

Furthermore it is contrary to the Hardwicke Neighbourhood Development plan.

Signed

[Redacted signature]

Address

[Redacted address]

STROUD DISTRICT COUNCIL
RECEIVED

21 MAR 2019

DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

27th November 2017

15 JAN 2018

APPENDIX 1 - OBJECTION TO PROPOSED BUILDING OF HOUSES ON WASHWELL FIELDS

My wife and I live at [REDACTED] and wish to object to the proposed building of houses on Washwell Fields by Stroud District Council or indeed anybody else for the following reasons.

1 - ACCESS - There are only two possible road points of access to Washwell Fields. One into Lower Washwell Lane which is already very congested with a very dangerous junction at the top on to the A46. The other being access directly on to the A46. If the second option was to be considered traffic lights or a roundabout would have to be installed which in view of the congestion already created by the traffic lights in the centre of the village would not be viable. Furthermore, the top part of Lower Washwell Lane has no pavement making it already hazardous for pedestrians, particularly the children who use it on their way to school.

2 - VILLAGE AMENITIES - Currently there are very limited shops and facilities in the village and building more houses would require additional shops and services - this would include expanding the village medical practice. Parking is also extremely limited.

3 - ADVERSE HERITAGE IMPACT - the proposed development would be directly in front of the "Grade II" listed property namely Washwell House. The field in question, which currently has no buildings on it, is part of the reason for designating the property as a Grade II listed building.

4 - COVENANT ON THE LAND - When we purchased [REDACTED] less than three years ago we were assured by Hamptons, the estate agents, that there was a covenant in place on Washwell Fields which we currently overlook, and that the land could not be built on. We purchased our house on the understanding this was the case.

5 - ADDITIONAL TRAFFIC - 10 TO 15 new houses could potentially mean an additional 20 to 30 more vehicles requiring access onto either Lower Washwell Lane or the A46, not to mention services and delivery vehicles which would impact heavily on the already busy traffic in Painswick.

6 - ADVERSE LANDSCAPE IMPACT. Washfield Fields are clearly visible from Longridge and any more new building would have an adverse visual impact. This is historic pasture land and should not be vandalised by building houses.

I would be grateful if the planning committee would take the above factors into account and withdraw this site as a suitable location for housing development.

[REDACTED]

Please acknowledge receipt of this communication. At the sometime please provide me with a list of the other eight proposed sites for building in Painswick which have been rejected by the Council and your reasons for doing so.



Stroud District Council is starting the process of reviewing the current Local Plan. This consultation is seeking views about the range of issues that the next Local Plan will need to tackle, and options for addressing them. This includes the identification of potential areas for growth and development. We ask a series of questions throughout the consultation document (each of which is numbered). Please refer to the question number and/or topic in your response, where relevant.

As an alternative to using this form, you can give us your question responses via our online survey at www.stroud.gov.uk/localplanreview. You will also find the main consultation document on this web page, as well as some supporting material and further reading. *Please note: there is a separate form for you to fill out if your comment relates specifically to a site submission / proposed alternative site (Local Plan Review: Call for Sites).*

15 JAN 2019

The consultation closes on Tuesday 5th December 2017. Please email completed electronic responses to local.plan@stroud.gov.uk or post paper copies to Local Plan Review, The Planning Strategy Team, Stroud District Council, Ebley Mill, Westward Road, Stroud, GL5 4UB. Should you have any queries, the Planning Strategy Team can be contacted on 01453 754143.

Consultation response form PART A

Your details

Thank you for taking part. Please fill out your personal information in PART A. Your contact details will not be made public and won't be used for any purpose other than this consultation. We will not accept anonymous responses. Your comments may be summarised when we report the findings of this consultation.

Your name

(title): Mr name: [REDACTED]

Your company name or organisation (if applicable)

[REDACTED]

Your address (optional)

[REDACTED]

Your email address *

[REDACTED]

Your phone number (optional)

[REDACTED]

If you are acting on behalf of a client, please supply the following details:

Your client's name

(title): name:

Your client's company or organisation (if applicable)

[REDACTED]

Keeping you updated:

Would you like to be notified of future progress on the Local Plan review? (* we will do this via email)

- i) When the findings from this consultation are made public Yes please No thanks
- ii) The next formal round of public consultation Yes please No thanks
- iii) No further contact please

Consultation response form PART B:

If you have several different comments to make, you may wish to use a separate PART B sheet for each one (although you do not have to). If you use multiple PART B sheets, please make sure you fill in your name on each of them (you only have to fill out PART A once, as long as it is clearly attached to your PART B sheets when you submit the forms to us).

Your name

Your organisation or company

Your client's name/organisation
(if applicable)

The consultation is seeking views about whether the big issues identified within this paper are the right things to focus on and what options exist for tackling them. Are there other issues, options or opportunities that have been missed? *Please note: there is a separate form for you to fill out if your comment relates specifically to a site submission / proposed alternative site (download a copy of the sites form at www.stroud.gov.uk/localplanreview).*

We ask a series of questions (highlighted in pink boxes) throughout the consultation paper. Each of the questions is numbered. Please can you reference the question number(s) and/or the topic here:

Question number:

Please use this box to set out your comments:

(Attach additional sheets of paper or expand this box if you need to)

SEE APPENDIX 1 ON ATTACHED SHEET.